

The University of Texas / Texas Tribune Poll Cross Tabulations

The Texas Politics Project at The University of Texas at Austin in Conjunction with the Texas Tribune

February 28, 2014

Contents

Political Habits	2
Q2. Political Interest	2
Q3. Voting Habit	13
Texas Political Mood	25
Q4. MIP Country	25
Q5. MIP State	61
Q6. Obama Approval	98
Q7. Congress Approval	110
Q8. Rick Perry Approval	122
Q9. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Country	134
Q10. National Economy: Better off/Worse Off	143
Q11. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Texas	155
Q12. Personal Economy: Better off/Worse off	164
Elections	176
Q13. 2014 Primary Participation Preference	176
Q14. 2014 Republican Gubernatorial Primary Vote Choice	185
Q15. 2014 Democratic Gubernatorial Primary Vote Choice	196
Q16. 2014 Republican Senatorial Primary Vote Choice	204
Q17. 2014 Democratic Senatorial Primary Vote Choice	218
Q18. 2014 Republican Lieutenant Governor Primary Vote Choice	229
Q19. 2014 Republican Attorney General Primary Vote Choice	239
Q20. 2014 Republican Comptroller Primary Vote Choice	248
Q21. 2016 Republican Presidential Primary Vote Choice	258
Q22. 2014 Gubernatorial Election Vote Choice: Davis vs. Abbott	275
Q23A. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Dewhurst	284
Q23B. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Patrick	293
Q23C. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Patterson	302
Q23D. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Staples	311
Q24. Tea Party Support	320
Q25. Tea Party Influence	330

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political Figure Favorability Ratings	340
Q26. Ted Cruz Favorability	340
Q27. John Cornyn Favorability	352
Q28. Rick Perry Favorability	364
Q29. Greg Abbott Favorability	376
Q30. Wendy Davis Favorability	388
Q31. Leticia Van de Putte Favorability	400
Q32. David Dewhurst Favorability	412
Q33. Dan Patrick Favorability	424
Q34. Todd Staples Favorability	436
Q35. Jerry Patterson Favorability	448
Policy Questions	460
Q36A. Support for Federal Government Actions on Economic Issues	460
Q36B. Support for Federal Government Actions on Economic Issues	471
Q37A. Support for State Government Actions on Economic Issues	482
Q37B. Support for State Government Actions on Economic Issues	493
Q38A. Education Policy Effectiveness: Creating a School Voucher Program	504
Q38B. Education Policy Effectiveness: Expanding the Number of Charter Schools	515
Q38C. Education Policy Effectiveness: Increasing the Pay of Public School Teachers	526
Q38D. Education Policy Effectiveness: Increasing Funding for the Public School System	537
Q38E. Education Policy Effectiveness: Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	548
Q38F. Education Policy Effectiveness: Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	559
Q38G. Education Policy Effectiveness: Increasing opportunities for online learning	570
Q39. MOST Effective Education Policy Proposal	581
Q40. Texas Road Quality	594
Q41. Voter ID Law Favorability	605
Q42. Comprehensive Immigration Reform Support	617
Q43. Immigration Law Enforcement: State or Federal Responsibility	628
Q44. In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants	637
Q45. Abortion Opinion	646
Q46. Marijuana Possession Opinion	657
Q47. Affordable Care Act Favorability	667

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Immigration Reform	679
Q48. Hydraulic Fracturing Knowledge	679
Q48B. Hydraulic Fracturing Costs/Benefits	691

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political Habits

Q2. Political Interest

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Extremely interested	37 80.43	59 65.56	53 47.75	134 34.90	110 52.13	137 59.05	100 80.00	630
Somewhat interested	6	25	44	169	77	88	20	429

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.04	27.78	39.64	44.01	36.49	37.93	16.00	
Not very interested	1 2.17	4 4.44	10 9.01	53 13.80	17 8.06	6 2.59	4 3.20	95
Not at all interested	1 2.17	2 2.22	3 2.70	25 6.51	7 3.32	1 0.43	1 0.80	40
Don't know	1 2.17	0 0.00	1 0.90	3 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5
Total	46 3.84	90 7.51	111 9.26	384 32.03	211 17.60	232 19.35	125 10.43	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely interested	149 60.57	134 34.90	348 61.27	631
Somewhat interested	75 30.49	169 44.01	185 32.57	429
Not very interested	15 6.10	53 13.80	27 4.75	95
Not at all interested	5 2.03	25 6.51	8 1.41	38

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	2	3	0	5
	0.81	0.78	0.00	

Total	246	384	568	1198
	20.53	32.05	47.41	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely interested	134	28	80	57	89	68	167	623
	56.07	23.73	63.49	49.57	71.20	36.96	66.27	
Somewhat interested	90	65	35	38	32	89	73	422
	37.66	55.08	27.78	33.04	25.60	48.37	28.97	
Not very interested	12	15	10	13	4	17	10	81
	5.02	12.71	7.94	11.30	3.20	9.24	3.97	
Not at all interested	3	10	1	6	0	10	1	31
	1.26	8.47	0.79	5.22	0.00	5.43	0.40	
Don't know	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.40	
Total	239	118	126	115	125	184	252	1159
	20.62	10.18	10.87	9.92	10.79	15.88	21.74	

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely interested      242           57           323      622
                        50.10        49.57        57.68
-----
Somewhat interested      189           38           194      421
                        39.13        33.04        34.64
-----
Not very interested       37           13           31       81
                        7.66        11.30         5.54
-----
Not at all interested     15            6            11       32
                        3.11         5.22         1.96
-----
Don't know                0            1            1        2
                        0.00         0.87         0.18
-----
Total                    483          115          560     1158
                        41.71         9.93        48.36
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely interested	124 49.60	234 52.35	167 66.53	105 41.83	630
Somewhat interested	106 42.40	179 40.04	69 27.49	77 30.68	431
Not very interested	18 7.20	27 6.04	12 4.78	39 15.54	96
Not at all interested	2 0.80	7 1.57	2 0.80	26 10.36	37
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.40	4 1.59	5
Total	250 20.85	447 37.28	251 20.93	251 20.93	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely interested	29 27.62	134 45.89	167 50.76	65 59.09	152 62.04	84 70.59	631
Somewhat interested	50 47.62	106 36.30	123 37.39	36 32.73	82 33.47	34 28.57	431
Not very interested	21 20.00	30 10.27	28 8.51	7 6.36	9 3.67	0 0.00	95

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all interested	3 2.86	19 6.51	11 3.34	2 1.82	2 0.82	1 0.84	38
Don't know	2 1.90	3 1.03	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5
Total	105 8.75	292 24.33	329 27.42	110 9.17	245 20.42	119 9.92	1200

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Extremely interested	85 49.71	134 52.14	68 44.16	169 53.14	175 58.14		631
Somewhat interested	70 40.94	94 36.58	65 42.21	111 34.91	90 29.90		430
Not very interested	12 7.02	19 7.39	17 11.04	26 8.18	22 7.31		96
Not at all interested	4 2.34	9 3.50	4 2.60	9 2.83	13 4.32		39
Don't know	0 0.00	1 0.39	0 0.00	3 0.94	1 0.33		5
Total	171	257	154	318	301		1201

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

14.24 21.40 12.82 26.48 25.06

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American / Amer   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern / Del o   Total
-----
Extremely interested                      443        62        104        10                                      2        6        5                                      0        632
                               60.94   39.49   38.10   62.50                                      66.67   37.50   55.56                                      0.00
-----
Somewhat interested                      213        77        124        5                                      1        7        4                                      0        431
                               29.30   49.04   45.42   31.25                                      33.33   43.75   44.44                                      0.00
-----
Not very interested                      50        12        29        1                                      0        2        0                                      1        95
                               6.88   7.64   10.62   6.25                                      0.00   12.50   0.00                                      100.00
-----
Not at all interested                      17        5        16        0                                      0        1        0                                      0        39
                               2.34   3.18   5.86   0.00                                      0.00   6.25   0.00                                      0.00
-----
Don't know                                      4        1        0        0                                      0        0        0                                      0        5
                               0.55   0.64   0.00   0.00                                      0.00   0.00   0.00                                      0.00
-----
Total                                              727        157        273        16                                      3        16        9                                      1        1202
                               60.48   13.06   22.71   1.33                                      0.25   1.33   0.75                                      0.08
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
marital
Q      Married  Separated  Divorced  Widowed  Single  Domestic Partnership  Total
-----
Extremely interested    365         6         54         30        139             36        630
                        58.03      40.00      46.15      51.72      45.28             49.32
-----
Somewhat interested    215         6         50         23        111             25        430
                        34.18      40.00      42.74      39.66      36.16             34.25
-----
Not very interested     35         2         10         4         38              6         95
                        5.56      13.33      8.55      6.90      12.38             8.22
-----
Not at all interested   14         1         3         1         16              4         39
                        2.23      6.67      2.56      1.72      5.21             5.48
-----
Don't know              0         0         0         0         3              2         5
                        0.00      0.00      0.00      0.00      0.98             2.74
-----
Total                   629        15        117        58        307             73       1199
                        52.46      1.25      9.76      4.84      25.60             6.09
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male  Female  Total
-----
Extremely interested    349    282    631
                        62.21   44.13
-----
Somewhat interested    165    266    431

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	29.41	41.63	
Not very interested	34	61	95
	6.06	9.55	
Not at all interested	11	27	38
	1.96	4.23	
Don't know	2	3	5
	0.36	0.47	
Total	561	639	1200
	46.75	53.25	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Extremely interested	175	336	120	631
	51.02	57.83	43.80	
Somewhat interested	130	190	110	430
	37.90	32.70	40.15	
Not very interested	27	37	31	95
	7.87	6.37	11.31	
Not at all interested	10	16	11	37
	2.92	2.75	4.01	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

```

=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely interested    100    135    284    112    631
                        44.84   43.97   61.61   54.11
-----
Somewhat interested     79    125    145     81    430
                        35.43   40.72   31.45   39.13
-----
Not very interested     30     33     23     9     95
                        13.45   10.75    4.99    4.35
-----
Not at all interested    11     14     9     3     37
                        4.93    4.56    1.95    1.45
-----
Don't know              3      0     0     2     5
                        1.35    0.00    0.00    0.97
-----
Total                   223    307    461    207   1198
                        18.61   25.63   38.48   17.28
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q3. Voting Habit

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Every election	18 39.13	29 32.22	29 26.13	94 24.48	54 25.59	91 39.39	72 57.14	387
Almost every election	15 32.61	33 36.67	47 42.34	120 31.25	106 50.24	106 45.89	32 25.40	459

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

About half	4 8.70	13 14.44	12 10.81	50 13.02	20 9.48	21 9.09	9 7.14	129
One or two	6 13.04	13 14.44	14 12.61	65 16.93	15 7.11	12 5.19	6 4.76	131
None	2 4.35	2 2.22	5 4.50	47 12.24	14 6.64	1 0.43	6 4.76	77
Don't know	1 2.17	0 0.00	4 3.60	8 2.08	2 0.95	0 0.00	1 0.79	16
Total	46 3.84	90 7.51	111 9.26	384 32.03	211 17.60	231 19.27	126 10.51	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Every election	76 30.77	94 24.48	217 38.20	387
Almost every election	95 38.46	120 31.25	245 43.13	460
About half	30 12.15	50 13.02	49 8.63	129
One or two	32 12.96	65 16.93	33 5.81	130

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

None	9	47	21	77
	3.64	12.24	3.70	
Don't know	5	8	3	16
	2.02	2.08	0.53	
Total	247	384	568	1199
	20.60	32.03	47.37	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Every election	86	20	41	32	54	37	112	382
	36.13	17.09	32.54	27.83	43.55	20.11	44.27	
Almost every election	87	39	43	34	51	90	108	452
	36.55	33.33	34.13	29.57	41.13	48.91	42.69	
About half	35	19	14	16	7	12	21	124
	14.71	16.24	11.11	13.91	5.65	6.52	8.30	
One or two	21	25	22	11	10	27	6	122
	8.82	21.37	17.46	9.57	8.06	14.67	2.37	
None	9	11	6	19	2	16	5	68
	3.78	9.40	4.76	16.52	1.61	8.70	1.98	
Don't know	0	3	0	3	0	2	1	9

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	2.56	0.00	2.61	0.00	1.09	0.40	
Total	238	117	126	115	124	184	253	1157
	20.57	10.11	10.89	9.94	10.72	15.90	21.87	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Every election          147           32           203          382
                   30.43           27.83           36.25
-----
Almost every election   169           34           249          452
                   34.99           29.57           44.46
-----
About half              69           16           40           125
                   14.29           13.91           7.14
-----
One or two              68           11           43           122
                   14.08           9.57           7.68
-----
None                   26           19           23           68
                   5.38           16.52           4.11
-----
Don't know              4            3            2            9
                   0.83           2.61           0.36
-----
Total                   483           115           560          1158
                   41.71           9.93           48.36
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Every election	92 36.65	138 30.87	98 39.04	58 23.11	386
Almost every election	107 42.63	169 37.81	100 39.84	84 33.47	460
About half	14 5.58	67 14.99	20 7.97	29 11.55	130
One or two	21 8.37	49 10.96	21 8.37	39 15.54	130
None	16 6.37	20 4.47	11 4.38	31 12.35	78
Don't know	1 0.40	4 0.89	1 0.40	10 3.98	16
Total	251 20.92	447 37.25	251 20.92	251 20.92	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Every election	25 23.81	75 25.86	93 28.18	34 30.63	105 43.03	56 47.06	388
Almost every election	27 25.71	101 34.83	144 43.64	52 46.85	90 36.89	46 38.66	460
About half	7 6.67	42 14.48	41 12.42	11 9.91	22 9.02	7 5.88	130
One or two	24 22.86	38 13.10	32 9.70	6 5.41	20 8.20	9 7.56	129
None	16 15.24	29 10.00	17 5.15	8 7.21	6 2.46	1 0.84	77
Don't know	6 5.71	5 1.72	3 0.91	0 0.00	1 0.41	0 0.00	15
Total	105 8.76	290 24.19	330 27.52	111 9.26	244 20.35	119 9.92	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Every election	66 38.82	114 44.36	33 21.29	83 26.10	91 30.43	387
Almost every election	64 37.65	90 35.02	58 37.42	147 46.23	102 34.11	461
About half	17 10.00	17 6.61	25 16.13	32 10.06	38 12.71	129
One or two	16 9.41	21 8.17	26 16.77	27 8.49	39 13.04	129
None	6 3.53	13 5.06	13 8.39	24 7.55	22 7.36	78
Don't know	1 0.59	2 0.78	0 0.00	5 1.57	7 2.34	15
Total	170 14.18	257 21.43	155 12.93	318 26.52	299 24.94	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Every election	251 34.57	45 28.85	78 28.68	2 12.50	2 100.00	5 31.25	2 25.00	0 0.00		385
Almost every election	306 42.15	50 32.05	88 32.35	7 43.75	0 0.00	5 31.25	4 50.00	0 0.00		460

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

About half	56	21	44	3	0	4	2	0	130
	7.71	13.46	16.18	18.75	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	
One or two	65	25	34	4	0	1	0	1	130
	8.95	16.03	12.50	25.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	100.00	
None	41	13	22	0	0	1	0	0	77
	5.65	8.33	8.09	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	
Don't know	7	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	15
	0.96	1.28	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	726	156	272	16	2	16	8	1	1197
	60.65	13.03	22.72	1.34	0.17	1.34	0.67	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Every election	222	0	35	21	78	31	387
	35.41	0.00	29.91	36.21	25.49	41.89	
Almost every election	268	4	43	27	99	19	460
	42.74	26.67	36.75	46.55	32.35	25.68	
About half	69	0	19	2	33	7	130
	11.00	0.00	16.24	3.45	10.78	9.46	
One or two	47	5	12	4	50	11	129

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.50	33.33	10.26	6.90	16.34	14.86	
None	18	6	7	4	38	2	75
	2.87	40.00	5.98	6.90	12.42	2.70	
Don't know	3	0	1	0	8	4	16
	0.48	0.00	0.85	0.00	2.61	5.41	
Total	627	15	117	58	306	74	1197
	52.38	1.25	9.77	4.85	25.56	6.18	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male  Female  Total
-----
Every election      208    179    387
                   37.08    28.06
-----
Almost every election  226    235    461
                   40.29    36.83
-----
About half          56     74    130
                   9.98    11.60
-----
One or two          36     93    129
                   6.42    14.58
-----
None                29     48     77
                   5.17     7.52
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	6	9	15
	1.07	1.41	

Total	561	638	1199
	46.79	53.21	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
locate
Q          Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Every election      104       197      87     388
                   30.14     33.79   31.87
-----
Almost every election 131       224     105     460
                   37.97     38.42   38.46
-----
About half         33        71      26     130
                   9.57     12.18   9.52
-----
One or two         41        53      35     129
                   11.88     9.09   12.82
-----
None              34        30      14      78
                   9.86     5.15   5.13
-----
Don't know         2         8       6      16
                   0.58     1.37   2.20
-----
Total             345       583     273    1201
                   28.73     48.54   22.73

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q           Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Every election           72             115             42             44             114             387
                        31.17          29.11          38.53          36.36          33.24
-----
Almost every election   103             153             34             44             126             460
                        44.59          38.73          31.19          36.36          36.73
-----
About half              23             49             14             14             31             131
                        9.96          12.41          12.84          11.57          9.04
-----
One or two              22             52             8             14             33             129
                        9.52          13.16          7.34           11.57          9.62
-----
None                    7             25             8             5             33             78
                        3.03          6.33          7.34           4.13          9.62
-----
Don't know              4             1             3             0             6             14
                        1.73          0.25          2.75           0.00          1.75
-----
Total                   231            395            109            121            343            1199
                        19.27          32.94          9.09           10.09          28.61
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Every election   45     78    166    98    387
                20.18  25.41  35.93  47.34
-----
Almost every election  74    106    202    78    460
                33.18  34.53  43.72  37.68
-----
About half      26     38     51    14    129
                11.66  12.38  11.04   6.76
-----
One or two      34     52     29    15    130
                15.25  16.94   6.28   7.25
-----
None            37     25     14     1     77
                16.59   8.14   3.03   0.48
-----
Don't know      7      8      0      1     16
                3.14   2.61   0.00   0.48
-----
Total           223    307    462    207   1199
                18.60  25.60  38.53  17.26
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Texas Political Mood

Q4. MIP Country

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
The economy	6 13.04	8 8.79	17 15.32	96 25.07	36 16.98	33 14.35	13 10.32	209
Federal spending/national debt	2	1	8	32	42	58	28	171

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	4.35	1.10	7.21	8.36	19.81	25.22	22.22	
Unemployment/jobs	3	9	12	50	19	14	10	117
	6.52	9.89	10.81	13.05	8.96	6.09	7.94	
Political corruption/leadershi	4	17	10	33	34	58	13	169
	8.70	18.68	9.01	8.62	16.04	25.22	10.32	
Health care	4	4	8	27	17	11	4	75
	8.70	4.40	7.21	7.05	8.02	4.78	3.17	
Moral decline	0	1	0	11	5	12	18	47
	0.00	1.10	0.00	2.87	2.36	5.22	14.29	
Partisan gridlock	5	15	19	15	6	9	0	69
	10.87	16.48	17.12	3.92	2.83	3.91	0.00	
Income inequality	11	17	15	12	2	3	2	62
	23.91	18.68	13.51	3.13	0.94	1.30	1.59	
Gas prices	1	1	0	7	1	2	1	13
	2.17	1.10	0.00	1.83	0.47	0.87	0.79	
Education	2	3	7	14	1	0	7	34
	4.35	3.30	6.31	3.66	0.47	0.00	5.56	
Social welfare programs	0	0	0	11	10	4	5	30
	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.87	4.72	1.74	3.97	
Immigration	1	4	2	10	4	6	3	30
	2.17	4.40	1.80	2.61	1.89	2.61	2.38	
Taxes	1	0	1	11	10	3	2	28
	2.17	0.00	0.90	2.87	4.72	1.30	1.59	
Energy	0	0	1	2	0	5	2	10

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.52	0.00	2.17	1.59	
Gay marriage	1	1	4	1	3	1	1	12
	2.17	1.10	3.60	0.26	1.42	0.43	0.79	
Environment	1	3	2	3	5	0	0	14
	2.17	3.30	1.80	0.78	2.36	0.00	0.00	
The media	0	0	0	4	6	3	0	13
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.04	2.83	1.30	0.00	
Housing	0	0	3	7	0	0	2	12
	0.00	0.00	2.70	1.83	0.00	0.00	1.59	
Border security	0	0	0	3	0	6	1	10
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	2.61	0.79	
National security/terrorism	3	0	0	6	5	0	8	22
	6.52	0.00	0.00	1.57	2.36	0.00	6.35	
Abortion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.38	
Crime and drugs	1	2	1	10	2	0	0	16
	2.17	2.20	0.90	2.61	0.94	0.00	0.00	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
	0.00	1.10	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Syria	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Foreign trade	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.79	
Voting system	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.79	
Gun control/gun violence	0	3	1	7	3	1	1	16
	0.00	3.30	0.90	1.83	1.42	0.43	0.79	
Government data collection	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	6
	0.00	1.10	0.00	0.78	0.47	0.43	0.00	
Total	46	91	111	383	212	230	126	1199
	3.84	7.59	9.26	31.94	17.68	19.18	10.51	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative	
The economy	31	96	82	209
	12.55	25.07	14.49	
Federal spending/national debt	11	32	128	171
	4.45	8.36	22.61	
Unemployment/jobs	23	50	43	116
	9.31	13.05	7.60	
Political corruption/leadership	31	33	104	168
	12.55	8.62	18.37	
Health care	16	27	32	75
	6.48	7.05	5.65	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Moral decline	1	11	34	46
	0.40	2.87	6.01	

Partisan gridlock	39	15	15	69
	15.79	3.92	2.65	

Income inequality	43	12	7	62
	17.41	3.13	1.24	

Gas prices	1	7	4	12
	0.40	1.83	0.71	

Education	13	14	7	34
	5.26	3.66	1.24	

Social welfare programs	0	11	20	31
	0.00	2.87	3.53	

Immigration	6	10	13	29
	2.43	2.61	2.30	

Taxes	2	11	14	27
	0.81	2.87	2.47	

Energy	1	2	7	10
	0.40	0.52	1.24	

Gay marriage	6	1	5	12
	2.43	0.26	0.88	

Environment	6	3	5	14
	2.43	0.78	0.88	

The media	0	4	9	13
	0.00	1.04	1.59	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Housing	3	7	3	13
	1.21	1.83	0.53	

Border security	0	3	7	10
	0.00	0.78	1.24	

National security/terrorism	4	6	14	24
	1.62	1.57	2.47	

Abortion	0	0	3	3
	0.00	0.00	0.53	

Crime and drugs	4	10	2	16
	1.62	2.61	0.35	

Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	2	0	3
	0.40	0.52	0.00	

Syria	0	3	0	3
	0.00	0.78	0.00	

Foreign trade	0	1	1	2
	0.00	0.26	0.18	

Voting system	0	2	1	3
	0.00	0.52	0.18	

Gun control/gun violence	4	7	4	15
	1.62	1.83	0.71	

Government data collection	1	3	2	6
	0.40	0.78	0.35	

Total	247	383	566	1196
	20.65	32.02	47.32	
=====				

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7 StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
The economy	40 16.81	29 24.37	20 15.87	15 13.27	14 11.29	45 24.73	38 15.02	201
Federal spending/national debt	12 5.04	9 7.56	8 6.35	16 14.16	35 28.23	29 15.93	62 24.51	171
Unemployment/jobs	30 12.61	13 10.92	11 8.73	14 12.39	9 7.26	17 9.34	17 6.72	111
Political corruption/leadership	19 7.98	15 12.61	14 11.11	16 14.16	37 29.84	29 15.93	35 13.83	165
Health care	21 8.82	7 5.88	11 8.73	9 7.96	2 1.61	6 3.30	19 7.51	75
Moral decline	2 0.84	6 5.04	1 0.79	2 1.77	6 4.84	2 1.10	27 10.67	46
Partisan gridlock	27 11.34	6 5.04	14 11.11	7 6.19	1 0.81	8 4.40	6 2.37	69
Income inequality	28 11.76	5 4.20	21 16.67	5 4.42	1 0.81	0 0.00	1 0.40	61
Gas prices	1 0.42	1 0.84	1 0.79	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 2.20	4 1.58	11

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Education	10	12	5	3	0	2	1	33
	4.20	10.08	3.97	2.65	0.00	1.10	0.40	
Social welfare programs	3	2	4	3	4	8	6	30
	1.26	1.68	3.17	2.65	3.23	4.40	2.37	
Immigration	6	1	2	5	4	3	7	28
	2.52	0.84	1.59	4.42	3.23	1.65	2.77	
Taxes	10	1	1	2	3	2	3	22
	4.20	0.84	0.79	1.77	2.42	1.10	1.19	
Energy	0	2	1	0	0	2	4	9
	0.00	1.68	0.79	0.00	0.00	1.10	1.58	
Gay marriage	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	10
	1.26	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	1.65	1.19	
Environment	4	0	4	0	0	5	0	13
	1.68	0.00	3.17	0.00	0.00	2.75	0.00	
The media	0	0	0	4	1	2	7	14
	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.54	0.81	1.10	2.77	
Housing	2	0	0	0	0	5	2	9
	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.75	0.79	
Border security	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	9
	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.88	0.81	1.65	1.19	
National security/terrorism	4	0	1	6	4	0	4	19
	1.68	0.00	0.79	5.31	3.23	0.00	1.58	
Abortion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.79	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Crime and drugs	4	6	2	0	0	3	1	16
	1.68	5.04	1.59	0.00	0.00	1.65	0.40	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
	0.42	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.00	
Syria	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Foreign trade	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Voting system	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	1.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Gun control/gun violence	4	3	2	1	2	2	1	15
	1.68	2.52	1.59	0.88	1.61	1.10	0.40	
Government data collection	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	4
	0.00	0.00	1.59	0.88	0.00	0.55	0.00	
Total	238	119	126	113	124	182	253	1155
	20.61	10.30	10.91	9.78	10.74	15.76	21.90	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
The economy	89	15	97	201

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	18.50	13.27	17.32	
Federal spending/national debt	29	16	126	171
	6.03	14.16	22.50	
Unemployment/jobs	54	14	43	111
	11.23	12.39	7.68	
Political corruption/leadership	48	16	101	165
	9.98	14.16	18.04	
Health care	38	9	27	74
	7.90	7.96	4.82	
Moral decline	9	2	34	45
	1.87	1.77	6.07	
Partisan gridlock	47	7	14	68
	9.77	6.19	2.50	
Income inequality	54	5	3	62
	11.23	4.42	0.54	
Gas prices	3	0	9	12
	0.62	0.00	1.61	
Education	27	3	3	33
	5.61	2.65	0.54	
Social welfare programs	9	3	18	30
	1.87	2.65	3.21	
Immigration	9	5	14	28
	1.87	4.42	2.50	
Taxes	11	2	8	21

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.29	1.77	1.43	
Energy	3	0	6	9
	0.62	0.00	1.07	
Gay marriage	5	0	7	12
	1.04	0.00	1.25	
Environment	8	0	6	14
	1.66	0.00	1.07	
The media	0	4	9	13
	0.00	3.54	1.61	
Housing	2	0	8	10
	0.42	0.00	1.43	
Border security	1	1	7	9
	0.21	0.88	1.25	
National security/terrorism	5	6	7	18
	1.04	5.31	1.25	
Abortion	0	0	3	3
	0.00	0.00	0.54	
Crime and drugs	11	0	3	14
	2.29	0.00	0.54	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	2	0	1	3
	0.42	0.00	0.18	
Syria	0	3	0	3
	0.00	2.65	0.00	
Foreign trade	2	0	0	2

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.42	0.00	0.00	
Voting system	4	0	0	4
	0.83	0.00	0.00	
Gun control/gun violence	9	1	5	15
	1.87	0.88	0.89	
Government data collection	2	1	1	4
	0.42	0.88	0.18	
Total	481	113	560	1154
	41.68	9.79	48.53	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
The economy	52 20.80	88 19.78	25 10.00	45 17.86	210
Federal spending/national debt	39 15.60	27 6.07	68 27.20	36 14.29	170
Unemployment/jobs	27 10.80	50 11.24	18 7.20	21 8.33	116
Political corruption/leadership	23 9.20	35 7.87	65 26.00	46 18.25	169

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Health care	12 4.80	35 7.87	8 3.20	20 7.94	75
Moral decline	8 3.20	5 1.12	16 6.40	17 6.75	46
Partisan gridlock	13 5.20	45 10.11	0 0.00	11 4.37	69
Income inequality	3 1.20	51 11.46	1 0.40	7 2.78	62
Gas prices	4 1.60	3 0.67	1 0.40	4 1.59	12
Education	3 1.20	27 6.07	0 0.00	5 1.98	35
Social welfare programs	11 4.40	9 2.02	7 2.80	3 1.19	30
Immigration	8 3.20	8 1.80	10 4.00	3 1.19	29
Taxes	9 3.60	10 2.25	3 1.20	5 1.98	27
Energy	3 1.20	2 0.45	2 0.80	3 1.19	10
Gay marriage	5 2.00	6 1.35	0 0.00	1 0.40	12
Environment	5 2.00	6 1.35	1 0.40	1 0.40	13

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The media	1 0.40	0 0.00	9 3.60	3 1.19	13
Housing	2 0.80	2 0.45	5 2.00	3 1.19	12
Border security	5 2.00	1 0.22	3 1.20	1 0.40	10
National security/terrorism	9 3.60	6 1.35	2 0.80	7 2.78	24
Abortion	1 0.40	0 0.00	2 0.80	0 0.00	3
Crime and drugs	2 0.80	9 2.02	0 0.00	5 1.98	16
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0 0.00	1 0.22	0 0.00	2 0.79	3
Syria	3 1.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3
Foreign trade	0 0.00	2 0.45	0 0.00	0 0.00	2
Voting system	0 0.00	4 0.90	0 0.00	0 0.00	4
Gun control/gun violence	1 0.40	9 2.02	4 1.60	2 0.79	16
Government data collection	1 0.40	4 0.90	0 0.00	1 0.40	6

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	250	445	250	252	1197
	20.89	37.18	20.89	21.05	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          educ
          No HS  High school graduate  Some college  2-year  4-year  Post-grad  Total
-----
The economy          23          54          51          13          41          27          209
                   22.12          18.49          15.55          11.61          16.53          22.69
-----
Federal spending/national debt          5          38          45          22          39          22          171
                   4.81          13.01          13.72          19.64          15.73          18.49
-----
Unemployment/jobs          10          34          37          7          25          4          117
                   9.62          11.64          11.28          6.25          10.08          3.36
-----
Political corruption/leadership          12          41          55          20          31          9          168
                   11.54          14.04          16.77          17.86          12.50          7.56
-----
Health care          9          20          27          6          9          6          77
                   8.65          6.85          8.23          5.36          3.63          5.04
-----
Moral decline          0          12          11          4          18          1          46
                   0.00          4.11          3.35          3.57          7.26          0.84
-----
Partisan gridlock          2          5          20          6          23          13          69
                   1.92          1.71          6.10          5.36          9.27          10.92
-----
Income inequality          3          7          16          4          20          12          62
                   2.88          2.40          4.88          3.57          8.06          10.08

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gas prices	1 0.96	4 1.37	5 1.52	1 0.89	1 0.40	1 0.84	13
Education	1 0.96	7 2.40	6 1.83	4 3.57	13 5.24	4 3.36	35
Social welfare programs	0 0.00	20 6.85	5 1.52	2 1.79	3 1.21	1 0.84	31
Immigration	5 4.81	10 3.42	4 1.22	3 2.68	3 1.21	3 2.52	28
Taxes	7 6.73	6 2.05	3 0.91	6 5.36	2 0.81	2 1.68	26
Energy	0 0.00	3 1.03	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.40	6 5.04	10
Gay marriage	3 2.88	3 1.03	4 1.22	1 0.89	1 0.40	0 0.00	12
Environment	5 4.81	1 0.34	2 0.61	0 0.00	4 1.61	2 1.68	14
The media	0 0.00	1 0.34	4 1.22	4 3.57	3 1.21	2 1.68	14
Housing	8 7.69	3 1.03	1 0.30	0 0.00	1 0.40	0 0.00	13
Border security	1 0.96	3 1.03	5 1.52	1 0.89	0 0.00	1 0.84	11
National security/terrorism	4 3.85	2 0.68	9 2.74	3 2.68	6 2.42	1 0.84	25

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Abortion	0 0.00	2 0.68	1 0.30	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3
Crime and drugs	0 0.00	7 2.40	8 2.44	0 0.00	1 0.40	0 0.00	16
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0 0.00	2 0.68	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.40	0 0.00	3
Syria	3 2.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3
Foreign trade	0 0.00	2 0.68	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2
Voting system	0 0.00	1 0.34	3 0.91	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4
Gun control/gun violence	2 1.92	4 1.37	2 0.61	4 3.57	1 0.40	2 1.68	15
Government data collection	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 1.22	1 0.89	1 0.40	0 0.00	6
Total	104 8.65	292 24.27	328 27.27	112 9.31	248 20.62	119 9.89	1203

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====

attend

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
The economy	29 17.16	48 18.75	34 22.22	53 16.77	45 14.95	209
Federal spending/national debt	23 13.61	42 16.41	19 12.42	49 15.51	39 12.96	172
Unemployment/jobs	23 13.61	16 6.25	17 11.11	33 10.44	27 8.97	116
Political corruption/leadership	22 13.02	31 12.11	23 15.03	40 12.66	51 16.94	167
Health care	10 5.92	14 5.47	9 5.88	21 6.65	21 6.98	75
Moral decline	10 5.92	26 10.16	3 1.96	5 1.58	2 0.66	46
Partisan gridlock	1 0.59	8 3.12	6 3.92	26 8.23	28 9.30	69
Income inequality	10 5.92	10 3.91	2 1.31	19 6.01	20 6.64	61
Gas prices	2 1.18	1 0.39	4 2.61	2 0.63	2 0.66	11
Education	5 2.96	4 1.56	7 4.58	9 2.85	9 2.99	34
Social welfare programs	2 1.18	12 4.69	4 2.61	8 2.53	5 1.66	31
Immigration	2	6	1	6	15	30

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.18	2.34	0.65	1.90	4.98	
Taxes	4	9	2	8	4	27
	2.37	3.52	1.31	2.53	1.33	
Energy	0	3	1	5	1	10
	0.00	1.17	0.65	1.58	0.33	
Gay marriage	4	3	1	2	2	12
	2.37	1.17	0.65	0.63	0.66	
Environment	0	1	2	7	4	14
	0.00	0.39	1.31	2.22	1.33	
The media	3	5	2	0	4	14
	1.78	1.95	1.31	0.00	1.33	
Housing	4	1	5	3	0	13
	2.37	0.39	3.27	0.95	0.00	
Border security	1	2	1	4	3	11
	0.59	0.78	0.65	1.27	1.00	
National security/terrorism	4	4	5	6	5	24
	2.37	1.56	3.27	1.90	1.66	
Abortion	1	1	0	0	0	2
	0.59	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Crime and drugs	4	3	1	4	3	15
	2.37	1.17	0.65	1.27	1.00	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	0	0	0	2	3
	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.66	
Syria	0	0	0	3	0	3

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95	0.00	
Foreign trade	1 0.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.33	2
Voting system	0 0.00	4 1.56	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4
Gun control/gun violence	1 0.59	2 0.78	3 1.96	2 0.63	6 1.99	14
Government data collection	2 1.18	0 0.00	1 0.65	1 0.32	2 0.66	6
Total	169 14.14	256 21.42	153 12.80	316 26.44	301 25.19	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
The economy	111 15.27	33 20.89	57 20.80	5 29.41	0 0.00	4 26.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	210
Federal spending/nati	123 16.92	7 4.43	34 12.41	4 23.53	0 0.00	1 6.67	2 28.57	0 0.00	171
Unemployment/jobs	58 7.98	26 16.46	28 10.22	1 5.88	0 0.00	1 6.67	2 28.57	0 0.00	116

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political corruption/	135	8	19	1	1	3	1	0	168
	18.57	5.06	6.93	5.88	50.00	20.00	14.29	0.00	
Health care	45	13	16	1	0	0	0	0	75
	6.19	8.23	5.84	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Moral decline	29	3	13	0	0	1	0	1	47
	3.99	1.90	4.74	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	100.00	
Partisan gridlock	53	4	12	1	0	0	0	0	70
	7.29	2.53	4.38	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Income inequality	36	11	12	1	0	1	0	0	61
	4.95	6.96	4.38	5.88	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	
Gas prices	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	11
	0.69	0.63	1.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Education	13	12	7	0	1	1	0	0	34
	1.79	7.59	2.55	0.00	50.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	
Social welfare progra	19	1	10	0	0	1	0	0	31
	2.61	0.63	3.65	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	
Immigration	23	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	30
	3.16	1.90	1.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	
Taxes	12	4	10	2	0	0	0	0	28
	1.65	2.53	3.65	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Energy	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	9
	0.83	0.00	1.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Gay marriage	3	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	11
	0.41	2.53	1.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Environment	6	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	14
	0.83	0.00	2.55	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
The media	8	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	14
	1.10	0.00	1.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	
Housing	3	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	13
	0.41	0.63	3.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Border security	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	1.24	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
National security/ter	10	8	5	0	0	2	0	0	25
	1.38	5.06	1.82	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	0.00	
Abortion	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Crime and drugs	7	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	16
	0.96	3.80	1.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.00	0.63	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Syria	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.00	0.00	1.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Foreign trade	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0.00	0.63	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Voting system	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.14	1.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Gun control/gun viole	6	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	15
	0.83	3.80	1.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Government data colle	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0.41	0.63	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	727	158	274	17	2	15	7	1	1201
	60.53	13.16	22.81	1.42	0.17	1.25	0.58	0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
The economy	112 17.95	1 6.25	18 15.65	3 5.17	67 21.82	7 9.33	208
Federal spending/national debt	95 15.22	1 6.25	21 18.26	12 20.69	38 12.38	4 5.33	171
Unemployment/jobs	52 8.33	2 12.50	11 9.57	6 10.34	33 10.75	12 16.00	116
Political corruption/leadership	114 18.27	3 18.75	14 12.17	8 13.79	24 7.82	6 8.00	169
Health care	33 5.29	0 0.00	9 7.83	7 12.07	22 7.17	4 5.33	75
Moral decline	26 4.17	1 6.25	8 6.96	2 3.45	10 3.26	0 0.00	47
Partisan gridlock	48 7.69	1 6.25	5 4.35	2 3.45	6 1.95	7 9.33	69

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Income inequality	34	0	3	0	22	3	62
	5.45	0.00	2.61	0.00	7.17	4.00	
Gas prices	6	1	2	0	2	1	12
	0.96	6.25	1.74	0.00	0.65	1.33	
Education	12	0	0	1	16	4	33
	1.92	0.00	0.00	1.72	5.21	5.33	
Social welfare programs	14	0	4	2	3	6	29
	2.24	0.00	3.48	3.45	0.98	8.00	
Immigration	12	1	3	3	9	1	29
	1.92	6.25	2.61	5.17	2.93	1.33	
Taxes	11	1	3	1	11	0	27
	1.76	6.25	2.61	1.72	3.58	0.00	
Energy	4	0	2	0	4	0	10
	0.64	0.00	1.74	0.00	1.30	0.00	
Gay marriage	6	0	3	0	2	1	12
	0.96	0.00	2.61	0.00	0.65	1.33	
Environment	9	0	0	0	3	1	13
	1.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	1.33	
The media	5	4	0	4	1	0	14
	0.80	25.00	0.00	6.90	0.33	0.00	
Housing	1	0	0	1	8	3	13
	0.16	0.00	0.00	1.72	2.61	4.00	
Border security	4	0	1	3	1	0	9
	0.64	0.00	0.87	5.17	0.33	0.00	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

National security/terrorism	10	0	3	0	6	6	25
	1.60	0.00	2.61	0.00	1.95	8.00	
Abortion	1	0	0	0	2	0	3
	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	
Crime and drugs	5	0	1	1	6	3	16
	0.80	0.00	0.87	1.72	1.95	4.00	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	0	0	0	1	1	3
	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	1.33	
Syria	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	
Foreign trade	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.72	0.33	0.00	
Voting system	3	0	0	0	1	0	4
	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.00	
Gun control/gun violence	3	0	2	1	4	5	15
	0.48	0.00	1.74	1.72	1.30	6.67	
Government data collection	3	0	2	0	1	0	6
	0.48	0.00	1.74	0.00	0.33	0.00	
Total	624	16	115	58	307	75	1195
	52.22	1.34	9.62	4.85	25.69	6.28	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
The economy	121 21.65	88 13.77	209
Federal spending/national debt	82 14.67	89 13.93	171
Unemployment/jobs	47 8.41	70 10.95	117
Political corruption/leadership	82 14.67	86 13.46	168
Health care	37 6.62	38 5.95	75
Moral decline	18 3.22	29 4.54	47
Partisan gridlock	33 5.90	36 5.63	69
Income inequality	19 3.40	43 6.73	62
Gas prices	2 0.36	10 1.56	12
Education	14 2.50	20 3.13	34
Social welfare programs	19	12	31

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.40	1.88	

Immigration	13	16	29
	2.33	2.50	

Taxes	16	11	27
	2.86	1.72	

Energy	5	5	10
	0.89	0.78	

Gay marriage	2	10	12
	0.36	1.56	

Environment	6	7	13
	1.07	1.10	

The media	8	6	14
	1.43	0.94	

Housing	1	12	13
	0.18	1.88	

Border security	3	7	10
	0.54	1.10	

National security/terrorism	14	10	24
	2.50	1.56	

Abortion	1	2	3
	0.18	0.31	

Crime and drugs	4	12	16
	0.72	1.88	

Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	2	3

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.18	0.31	
Syria	3	0	3
	0.54	0.00	
Foreign trade	1	1	2
	0.18	0.16	
Voting system	0	4	4
	0.00	0.63	
Gun control/gun violence	4	10	14
	0.72	1.56	
Government data collection	3	3	6
	0.54	0.47	
Total	559	639	1198
	46.66	53.34	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
The economy	57	107	45	209
	16.67	18.29	16.61	
Federal spending/national debt	45	86	40	171
	13.16	14.70	14.76	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	38	45	34	117
	11.11	7.69	12.55	

Political corruption/leadership	42	86	41	169
	12.28	14.70	15.13	

Health care	20	38	16	74
	5.85	6.50	5.90	

Moral decline	17	18	12	47
	4.97	3.08	4.43	

Partisan gridlock	18	38	13	69
	5.26	6.50	4.80	

Income inequality	14	44	5	63
	4.09	7.52	1.85	

Gas prices	5	4	4	13
	1.46	0.68	1.48	

Education	13	15	6	34
	3.80	2.56	2.21	

Social welfare programs	10	9	12	31
	2.92	1.54	4.43	

Immigration	8	18	3	29
	2.34	3.08	1.11	

Taxes	9	12	6	27
	2.63	2.05	2.21	

Energy	1	7	2	10
	0.29	1.20	0.74	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gay marriage	2	2	8	12
	0.58	0.34	2.95	

Environment	8	6	0	14
	2.34	1.03	0.00	

The media	6	7	0	13
	1.75	1.20	0.00	

Housing	2	5	5	12
	0.58	0.85	1.85	

Border security	2	4	3	9
	0.58	0.68	1.11	

National security/terrorism	6	13	5	24
	1.75	2.22	1.85	

Abortion	0	2	1	3
	0.00	0.34	0.37	

Crime and drugs	6	7	3	16
	1.75	1.20	1.11	

Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	2	0	3
	0.29	0.34	0.00	

Syria	3	0	0	3
	0.88	0.00	0.00	

Foreign trade	0	1	1	2
	0.00	0.17	0.37	

Voting system	2	0	2	4
	0.58	0.00	0.74	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gun control/gun violence	6	6	2	14
	1.75	1.03	0.74	

Government data collection	1	3	2	6
	0.29	0.51	0.74	

Total	342	585	271	1198
	28.55	48.83	22.62	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
The economy	45	71	19	16	57	208
	19.40	18.07	17.27	13.56	16.67	
Federal spending/national debt	38	42	22	14	55	171
	16.38	10.69	20.00	11.86	16.08	
Unemployment/jobs	16	44	7	6	44	117
	6.90	11.20	6.36	5.08	12.87	
Political corruption/leadership	29	59	21	8	51	168
	12.50	15.01	19.09	6.78	14.91	
Health care	16	29	3	11	17	76
	6.90	7.38	2.73	9.32	4.97	
Moral decline	2	21	4	1	18	46
	0.86	5.34	3.64	0.85	5.26	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Partisan gridlock	12 5.17	27 6.87	4 3.64	16 13.56	9 2.63	68
Income inequality	16 6.90	17 4.33	6 5.45	13 11.02	10 2.92	62
Gas prices	1 0.43	5 1.27	2 1.82	1 0.85	3 0.88	12
Education	9 3.88	12 3.05	0 0.00	7 5.93	6 1.75	34
Social welfare programs	4 1.72	4 1.02	4 3.64	4 3.39	15 4.39	31
Immigration	2 0.86	11 2.80	1 0.91	2 1.69	13 3.80	29
Taxes	3 1.29	10 2.54	4 3.64	0 0.00	9 2.63	26
Energy	4 1.72	2 0.51	0 0.00	3 2.54	1 0.29	10
Gay marriage	2 0.86	1 0.25	1 0.91	4 3.39	4 1.17	12
Environment	1 0.43	5 1.27	1 0.91	1 0.85	5 1.46	13
The media	1 0.43	13 3.31	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	14
Housing	2 0.86	2 0.51	3 2.73	1 0.85	5 1.46	13

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Border security	4 1.72	2 0.51	1 0.91	2 1.69	2 0.58	11
National security/terrorism	11 4.74	6 1.53	3 2.73	0 0.00	4 1.17	24
Abortion	0 0.00	2 0.51	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.29	3
Crime and drugs	6 2.59	4 1.02	0 0.00	1 0.85	4 1.17	15
Afghanistan/Pakistan	1 0.43	1 0.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.29	3
Syria	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.54	0 0.00	3
Foreign trade	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.29	2
Voting system	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.58	3
Gun control/gun violence	4 1.72	3 0.76	3 2.73	4 3.39	1 0.29	15
Government data collection	1 0.43	0 0.00	1 0.91	0 0.00	4 1.17	6
Total	232 19.41	393 32.89	110 9.21	118 9.87	342 28.62	1195

Cell Contents

|-----|

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
The economy	41 18.47	62 20.26	76 16.52	30 14.42	209
Federal spending/national debt	18 8.11	50 16.34	74 16.09	28 13.46	170
Unemployment/jobs	16 7.21	30 9.80	56 12.17	14 6.73	116
Political corruption/leadership	20 9.01	26 8.50	83 18.04	39 18.75	168
Health care	17 7.66	13 4.25	25 5.43	20 9.62	75
Moral decline	7 3.15	13 4.25	13 2.83	13 6.25	46
Partisan gridlock	2 0.90	26 8.50	30 6.52	11 5.29	69
Income inequality	20 9.01	16 5.23	19 4.13	8 3.85	63
Gas prices	1 0.45	7 2.29	4 0.87	1 0.48	13
Education	13	13	8	0	34

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	5.86	4.25	1.74	0.00	
Social welfare programs	2	12	10	7	31
	0.90	3.92	2.17	3.37	
Immigration	8	3	14	4	29
	3.60	0.98	3.04	1.92	
Taxes	13	5	9	0	27
	5.86	1.63	1.96	0.00	
Energy	3	1	1	5	10
	1.35	0.33	0.22	2.40	
Gay marriage	3	1	4	3	11
	1.35	0.33	0.87	1.44	
Environment	9	3	1	1	14
	4.05	0.98	0.22	0.48	
The media	4	0	1	8	13
	1.80	0.00	0.22	3.85	
Housing	3	8	0	1	12
	1.35	2.61	0.00	0.48	
Border security	0	0	3	7	10
	0.00	0.00	0.65	3.37	
National security/terrorism	3	7	12	2	24
	1.35	2.29	2.61	0.96	
Abortion	2	0	0	1	3
	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.48	
Crime and drugs	7	2	6	1	16

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.15	0.65	1.30	0.48	
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	1	2	0	3
	0.00	0.33	0.43	0.00	
Syria	4	0	0	0	4
	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Foreign trade	0	0	2	0	2
	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.00	
Voting system	1	0	0	2	3
	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.96	
Gun control/gun violence	4	6	4	1	15
	1.80	1.96	0.87	0.48	
Government data collection	1	1	3	1	6
	0.45	0.33	0.65	0.48	
Total	222	306	460	208	1196
	18.56	25.59	38.46	17.39	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q5. MIP State

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Immigration	1 2.17	6 6.74	7 6.36	36 9.65	37 17.45	47 20.70	15 11.81	149
The economy	2 4.35	1 1.12	5 4.55	35 9.38	17 8.02	10 4.41	8 6.30	78

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	2 4.35	5 5.62	6 5.45	52 13.94	12 5.66	11 4.85	15 11.81	103
Border security	2 4.35	0 0.00	5 4.55	14 3.75	33 15.57	53 23.35	28 22.05	135
Education	5 10.87	7 7.87	21 19.09	26 6.97	10 4.72	19 8.37	9 7.09	97
Political corruption/leadershi	9 19.57	30 33.71	19 17.27	33 8.85	2 0.94	10 4.41	3 2.36	106
State budget cuts	1 2.17	5 5.62	4 3.64	15 4.02	6 2.83	4 1.76	4 3.15	39
Gas prices	0 0.00	1 1.12	0 0.00	8 2.14	5 2.36	3 1.32	2 1.57	19
Health care	8 17.39	14 15.73	11 10.00	36 9.65	14 6.60	2 0.88	3 2.36	88
State government spending	2 4.35	0 0.00	1 0.91	13 3.49	1 0.47	3 1.32	4 3.15	24
Crime and drugs	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.91	21 5.63	10 4.72	3 1.32	2 1.57	37
Moral decline	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 1.88	10 4.72	11 4.85	7 5.51	35
Taxes	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.91	8 2.14	2 0.94	8 3.52	3 2.36	22
Water supply	0 0.00	5 5.62	5 4.55	14 3.75	15 7.08	12 5.29	5 3.94	56

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gay marriage	0	1	1	2	1	2	0	7
	0.00	1.12	0.91	0.54	0.47	0.88	0.00	
Energy	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	7
	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.27	1.42	0.88	0.00	
Environment	2	1	0	4	5	2	1	15
	4.35	1.12	0.00	1.07	2.36	0.88	0.79	
Transportation/roads/traffic	1	1	4	5	2	1	1	15
	2.17	1.12	3.64	1.34	0.94	0.44	0.79	
Abortion	1	0	4	0	0	3	3	11
	2.17	0.00	3.64	0.00	0.00	1.32	2.36	
Housing	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	8
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.88	0.47	0.00	0.00	
Social welfare programs	4	1	2	8	10	7	6	38
	8.70	1.12	1.82	2.14	4.72	3.08	4.72	
Insurance rates	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	8
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.94	0.88	1.57	
The media	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	6
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.94	0.88	0.79	
Voting system	0	3	1	10	0	0	0	14
	0.00	3.37	0.91	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Redistricting	3	5	7	7	5	0	4	31
	6.52	5.62	6.36	1.88	2.36	0.00	3.15	
State courts	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3
	0.00	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.44	0.00	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Utility rates	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.47	0.88	0.00	
Electoral fraud	0	0	0	2	4	3	1	10
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	1.89	1.32	0.79	
Gun control/gun violence	3	2	4	4	1	4	0	18
	6.52	2.25	3.64	1.07	0.47	1.76	0.00	
Total	46	89	110	373	212	227	127	1184
	3.89	7.52	9.29	31.50	17.91	19.17	10.73	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Immigration	14	36	99	149
	5.71	9.65	17.55	
The economy	8	35	35	78
	3.27	9.38	6.21	
Unemployment/jobs	14	52	38	104
	5.71	13.94	6.74	
Border security	7	14	114	135
	2.86	3.75	20.21	
Education	34	26	38	98
	13.88	6.97	6.74	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political corruption/leadership	58	33	15	106
	23.67	8.85	2.66	
State budget cuts	9	15	14	38
	3.67	4.02	2.48	
Gas prices	1	8	9	18
	0.41	2.14	1.60	
Health care	33	36	19	88
	13.47	9.65	3.37	
State government spending	2	13	9	24
	0.82	3.49	1.60	
Crime and drugs	1	21	15	37
	0.41	5.63	2.66	
Moral decline	0	7	28	35
	0.00	1.88	4.96	
Taxes	1	8	12	21
	0.41	2.14	2.13	
Water supply	10	14	32	56
	4.08	3.75	5.67	
Gay marriage	2	2	3	7
	0.82	0.54	0.53	
Energy	1	1	5	7
	0.41	0.27	0.89	
Environment	3	4	7	14
	1.22	1.07	1.24	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Transportation/roads/traffic	5	5	5	15
	2.04	1.34	0.89	
Abortion	6	0	6	12
	2.45	0.00	1.06	
Housing	0	7	1	8
	0.00	1.88	0.18	
Social welfare programs	7	8	23	38
	2.86	2.14	4.08	
Insurance rates	0	2	6	8
	0.00	0.54	1.06	
The media	0	1	4	5
	0.00	0.27	0.71	
Voting system	5	10	0	15
	2.04	2.68	0.00	
Redistricting	15	7	9	31
	6.12	1.88	1.60	
State courts	1	0	2	3
	0.41	0.00	0.35	
Utility rates	0	2	3	5
	0.00	0.54	0.53	
Electoral fraud	0	2	8	10
	0.00	0.54	1.42	
Gun control/gun violence	8	4	5	17
	3.27	1.07	0.89	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	245	373	564	1182
	20.73	31.56	47.72	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Immigration	12	10	7	15	31	30	44	149
	5.06	8.33	5.65	13.64	24.80	16.57	17.81	
The economy	15	15	8	8	3	8	14	71
	6.33	12.50	6.45	7.27	2.40	4.42	5.67	
Unemployment/jobs	26	13	8	7	9	17	15	95
	10.97	10.83	6.45	6.36	7.20	9.39	6.07	
Border security	3	5	2	10	32	31	50	133
	1.27	4.17	1.61	9.09	25.60	17.13	20.24	
Education	19	12	14	10	9	17	18	99
	8.02	10.00	11.29	9.09	7.20	9.39	7.29	
Political corruption/leadership	38	11	28	11	1	12	4	105
	16.03	9.17	22.58	10.00	0.80	6.63	1.62	
State budget cuts	13	12	6	2	2	3	2	40
	5.49	10.00	4.84	1.82	1.60	1.66	0.81	
Gas prices	8	2	1	1	2	1	2	17

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.38	1.67	0.81	0.91	1.60	0.55	0.81	
Health care	35	8	10	9	2	15	7	86
	14.77	6.67	8.06	8.18	1.60	8.29	2.83	
State government spending	0	1	7	4	2	3	5	22
	0.00	0.83	5.65	3.64	1.60	1.66	2.02	
Crime and drugs	7	8	0	3	2	6	5	31
	2.95	6.67	0.00	2.73	1.60	3.31	2.02	
Moral decline	1	3	0	4	5	5	18	36
	0.42	2.50	0.00	3.64	4.00	2.76	7.29	
Taxes	1	0	1	6	1	5	5	19
	0.42	0.00	0.81	5.45	0.80	2.76	2.02	
Water supply	5	5	10	6	8	4	19	57
	2.11	4.17	8.06	5.45	6.40	2.21	7.69	
Gay marriage	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	6
	0.84	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.80	1.10	0.00	
Energy	0	1	1	0	3	2	0	7
	0.00	0.83	0.81	0.00	2.40	1.10	0.00	
Environment	4	0	2	0	0	5	1	12
	1.69	0.00	1.61	0.00	0.00	2.76	0.40	
Transportation/roads/traffic	2	2	4	2	0	2	1	13
	0.84	1.67	3.23	1.82	0.00	1.10	0.40	
Abortion	1	2	1	0	0	1	5	10
	0.42	1.67	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.55	2.02	
Housing	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.55	1.21	
Social welfare programs	10	2	0	1	3	6	12	34
	4.22	1.67	0.00	0.91	2.40	3.31	4.86	
Insurance rates	1	0	0	2	3	0	3	9
	0.42	0.00	0.00	1.82	2.40	0.00	1.21	
The media	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	5
	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	1.60	0.00	0.81	
Voting system	8	1	2	4	0	0	0	15
	3.38	0.83	1.61	3.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Redistricting	16	2	6	2	0	2	3	31
	6.75	1.67	4.84	1.82	0.00	1.10	1.21	
State courts	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3
	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.40	
Utility rates	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	5
	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.91	1.60	0.00	0.40	
Electoral fraud	1	2	0	0	1	2	5	11
	0.42	1.67	0.00	0.00	0.80	1.10	2.02	
Gun control/gun violence	6	1	4	2	0	1	2	16
	2.53	0.83	3.23	1.82	0.00	0.55	0.81	
Total	237	120	124	110	125	181	247	1144
	20.72	10.49	10.84	9.62	10.93	15.82	21.59	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Immigration	29	15	104	148
	6.03	13.64	18.84	
The economy	39	8	25	72
	8.11	7.27	4.53	
Unemployment/jobs	47	7	41	95
	9.77	6.36	7.43	
Border security	10	10	112	132
	2.08	9.09	20.29	
Education	45	10	44	99
	9.36	9.09	7.97	
Political corruption/leadership	77	11	17	105
	16.01	10.00	3.08	
State budget cuts	30	2	6	38
	6.24	1.82	1.09	
Gas prices	11	1	6	18
	2.29	0.91	1.09	
Health care	52	9	24	85
	10.81	8.18	4.35	
State government spending	9	4	9	22
	1.87	3.64	1.63	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Crime and drugs	16	3	14	33
	3.33	2.73	2.54	

Moral decline	4	4	27	35
	0.83	3.64	4.89	

Taxes	2	6	11	19
	0.42	5.45	1.99	

Water supply	20	6	31	57
	4.16	5.45	5.62	

Gay marriage	3	0	3	6
	0.62	0.00	0.54	

Energy	1	0	5	6
	0.21	0.00	0.91	

Environment	6	0	7	13
	1.25	0.00	1.27	

Transportation/roads/traffic	9	2	4	15
	1.87	1.82	0.72	

Abortion	4	0	6	10
	0.83	0.00	1.09	

Housing	3	0	5	8
	0.62	0.00	0.91	

Social welfare programs	13	1	22	36
	2.70	0.91	3.99	

Insurance rates	1	2	6	9
	0.21	1.82	1.09	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The media	1	0	3	4
	0.21	0.00	0.54	

Voting system	11	4	0	15
	2.29	3.64	0.00	

Redistricting	23	2	5	30
	4.78	1.82	0.91	

State courts	1	0	2	3
	0.21	0.00	0.36	

Utility rates	1	1	2	4
	0.21	0.91	0.36	

Electoral fraud	2	0	8	10
	0.42	0.00	1.45	

Gun control/gun violence	11	2	3	16
	2.29	1.82	0.54	

Total	481	110	552	1143
	42.08	9.62	48.29	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 Q24

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Immigration	36	23	59	32	150
	15.06	5.17	23.79	12.80	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The economy	15 6.28	34 7.64	8 3.23	19 7.60	76
Unemployment/jobs	26 10.88	48 10.79	12 4.84	18 7.20	104
Border security	30 12.55	4 0.90	62 25.00	39 15.60	135
Education	29 12.13	49 11.01	9 3.63	11 4.40	98
Political corruption/leadership	4 1.67	76 17.08	6 2.42	20 8.00	106
State budget cuts	2 0.84	26 5.84	2 0.81	9 3.60	39
Gas prices	3 1.26	6 1.35	1 0.40	8 3.20	18
Health care	12 5.02	50 11.24	9 3.63	16 6.40	87
State government spending	5 2.09	8 1.80	7 2.82	3 1.20	23
Crime and drugs	10 4.18	13 2.92	5 2.02	9 3.60	37
Moral decline	7 2.93	2 0.45	12 4.84	15 6.00	36
Taxes	6 2.51	2 0.45	11 4.44	2 0.80	21

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Water supply	12 5.02	17 3.82	11 4.44	16 6.40	56
Gay marriage	2 0.84	4 0.90	1 0.40	2 0.80	9
Energy	3 1.26	2 0.45	0 0.00	2 0.80	7
Environment	6 2.51	6 1.35	0 0.00	2 0.80	14
Transportation/roads/traffic	2 0.84	6 1.35	1 0.40	5 2.00	14
Abortion	2 0.84	3 0.67	3 1.21	4 1.60	12
Housing	5 2.09	3 0.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	8
Social welfare programs	15 6.28	13 2.92	4 1.61	6 2.40	38
Insurance rates	4 1.67	1 0.22	2 0.81	1 0.40	8
The media	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 1.61	1 0.40	5
Voting system	0 0.00	11 2.47	4 1.61	0 0.00	15
Redistricting	0 0.00	25 5.62	5 2.02	0 0.00	30

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

State courts	0 0.00	1 0.22	1 0.40	1 0.40	3
Utility rates	1 0.42	2 0.45	2 0.81	1 0.40	6
Electoral fraud	1 0.42	2 0.45	7 2.82	0 0.00	10
Gun control/gun violence	1 0.42	8 1.80	0 0.00	8 3.20	17
Total	239 20.22	445 37.65	248 20.98	250 21.15	1182

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Immigration	9 8.82	41 14.19	40 12.46	12 10.81	29 12.13	18 14.75	149
The economy	8 7.84	34 11.76	20 6.23	5 4.50	6 2.51	5 4.10	78
Unemployment/jobs	14 13.73	34 11.76	24 7.48	9 8.11	19 7.95	3 2.46	103
Border security	9	41	30	16	21	17	134

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.82	14.19	9.35	14.41	8.79	13.93	
Education	0	13	22	2	43	19	99
	0.00	4.50	6.85	1.80	17.99	15.57	
Political corruption/leadership	5	14	24	23	25	15	106
	4.90	4.84	7.48	20.72	10.46	12.30	
State budget cuts	4	5	13	3	4	8	37
	3.92	1.73	4.05	2.70	1.67	6.56	
Gas prices	5	7	3	2	2	0	19
	4.90	2.42	0.93	1.80	0.84	0.00	
Health care	9	24	22	4	17	11	87
	8.82	8.30	6.85	3.60	7.11	9.02	
State government spending	0	4	10	6	4	0	24
	0.00	1.38	3.12	5.41	1.67	0.00	
Crime and drugs	7	12	10	4	2	2	37
	6.86	4.15	3.12	3.60	0.84	1.64	
Moral decline	2	7	15	1	8	2	35
	1.96	2.42	4.67	0.90	3.35	1.64	
Taxes	3	5	5	6	1	1	21
	2.94	1.73	1.56	5.41	0.42	0.82	
Water supply	6	9	18	1	18	4	56
	5.88	3.11	5.61	0.90	7.53	3.28	
Gay marriage	1	2	5	0	0	0	8
	0.98	0.69	1.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Energy	0	1	0	3	1	2	7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	0.35	0.00	2.70	0.42	1.64	
Environment	5	2	4	0	1	2	14
	4.90	0.69	1.25	0.00	0.42	1.64	
Transportation/roads/traffic	0	3	3	0	5	4	15
	0.00	1.04	0.93	0.00	2.09	3.28	
Abortion	3	3	3	1	2	1	13
	2.94	1.04	0.93	0.90	0.84	0.82	
Housing	0	2	2	0	3	1	8
	0.00	0.69	0.62	0.00	1.26	0.82	
Social welfare programs	3	11	12	4	6	3	39
	2.94	3.81	3.74	3.60	2.51	2.46	
Insurance rates	0	1	5	0	2	0	8
	0.00	0.35	1.56	0.00	0.84	0.00	
The media	0	0	3	2	1	0	6
	0.00	0.00	0.93	1.80	0.42	0.00	
Voting system	0	0	7	5	2	0	14
	0.00	0.00	2.18	4.50	0.84	0.00	
Redistricting	2	6	9	0	11	2	30
	1.96	2.08	2.80	0.00	4.60	1.64	
State courts	0	0	1	0	1	1	3
	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.42	0.82	
Utility rates	0	2	1	0	2	0	5
	0.00	0.69	0.31	0.00	0.84	0.00	
Electoral fraud	1	2	4	1	2	1	11

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.98	0.69	1.25	0.90	0.84	0.82	
Gun control/gun violence	6	4	6	1	1	0	18
	5.88	1.38	1.87	0.90	0.42	0.00	
Total	102	289	321	111	239	122	1184
	8.61	24.41	27.11	9.38	20.19	10.30	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Immigration	13	32	21	44	40	150	
	7.65	12.70	14.19	14.10	13.25		
The economy	17	15	9	27	11	79	
	10.00	5.95	6.08	8.65	3.64		
Unemployment/jobs	19	22	16	22	25	104	
	11.18	8.73	10.81	7.05	8.28		
Border security	15	43	17	37	22	134	
	8.82	17.06	11.49	11.86	7.28		
Education	20	19	9	27	24	99	
	11.76	7.54	6.08	8.65	7.95		
Political corruption/leadership	10	12	16	34	34	106	
	5.88	4.76	10.81	10.90	11.26		

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

State budget cuts	7 4.12	12 4.76	5 3.38	4 1.28	10 3.31	38
Gas prices	6 3.53	4 1.59	3 2.03	4 1.28	2 0.66	19
Health care	10 5.88	10 3.97	8 5.41	23 7.37	35 11.59	86
State government spending	2 1.18	4 1.59	1 0.68	12 3.85	5 1.66	24
Crime and drugs	6 3.53	8 3.17	7 4.73	11 3.53	4 1.32	36
Moral decline	6 3.53	14 5.56	5 3.38	5 1.60	7 2.32	37
Taxes	2 1.18	3 1.19	2 1.35	5 1.60	8 2.65	20
Water supply	10 5.88	10 3.97	3 2.03	18 5.77	16 5.30	57
Gay marriage	1 0.59	2 0.79	1 0.68	0 0.00	3 0.99	7
Energy	0 0.00	5 1.98	0 0.00	1 0.32	0 0.00	6
Environment	1 0.59	1 0.40	2 1.35	5 1.60	5 1.66	14
Transportation/roads/traffic	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.03	3 0.96	8 2.65	14

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Abortion	2 1.18	4 1.59	0 0.00	4 1.28	3 0.99	13
Housing	1 0.59	4 1.59	2 1.35	1 0.32	0 0.00	8
Social welfare programs	4 2.35	10 3.97	9 6.08	9 2.88	6 1.99	38
Insurance rates	1 0.59	2 0.79	1 0.68	1 0.32	3 0.99	8
The media	2 1.18	1 0.40	0 0.00	1 0.32	1 0.33	5
Voting system	2 1.18	3 1.19	1 0.68	2 0.64	7 2.32	15
Redistricting	3 1.76	3 1.19	3 2.03	9 2.88	13 4.30	31
State courts	1 0.59	1 0.40	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.33	3
Utility rates	0 0.00	2 0.79	1 0.68	1 0.32	0 0.00	4
Electoral fraud	2 1.18	6 2.38	2 1.35	0 0.00	1 0.33	11
Gun control/gun violence	7 4.12	0 0.00	1 0.68	2 0.64	8 2.65	18
Total	170 14.36	252 21.28	148 12.50	312 26.35	302 25.51	1184

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Immigration	108 15.17	9 5.88	27 10.00	2 11.76	0 0.00	1 7.69	3 37.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	150
The economy	37 5.20	10 6.54	26 9.63	3 17.65	0 0.00	1 7.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	77
Unemployment/jobs	35 4.92	29 18.95	37 13.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 7.69	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	103
Border security	111 15.59	1 0.65	16 5.93	2 11.76	1 50.00	2 15.38	1 12.50	1 100.00	1 100.00	135
Education	65 9.13	12 7.84	18 6.67	0 0.00	1 50.00	1 7.69	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	98
Political corruption/	71 9.97	12 7.84	17 6.30	2 11.76	0 0.00	3 23.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	105
State budget cuts	17 2.39	14 9.15	6 2.22	1 5.88	0 0.00	1 7.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	39
Gas prices	5 0.70	5 3.27	7 2.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	17
Health care	53 7.44	13 8.50	19 7.04	1 5.88	0 0.00	1 7.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	87

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

State government spen	16	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	23
	2.25	0.00	2.22	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Crime and drugs	15	6	15	1	0	0	0	0	37
	2.11	3.92	5.56	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Moral decline	24	2	8	0	0	0	2	0	36
	3.37	1.31	2.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	
Taxes	13	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	21
	1.83	1.31	2.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Water supply	43	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	55
	6.04	0.65	4.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Gay marriage	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	7
	0.56	0.00	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Energy	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0.42	0.00	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Environment	4	1	8	1	0	0	0	0	14
	0.56	0.65	2.96	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Transportation/roads/	8	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	14
	1.12	2.61	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Abortion	7	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	12
	0.98	0.65	1.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Housing	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
	0.70	1.31	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Social welfare progra	25	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	39
	3.51	5.88	1.48	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Insurance rates	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
	0.98	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
The media	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	0.56	0.65	0.00	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Voting system	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	14
	1.12	3.27	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Redistricting	17	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	30
	2.39	1.96	3.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
State courts	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.14	0.00	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Utility rates	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	5
	0.14	0.00	1.11	0.00	0.00	7.69	0.00	0.00	
Electoral fraud	3	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	10
	0.42	1.31	1.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Gun control/gun viole	2	9	4	1	0	1	0	0	17
	0.28	5.88	1.48	5.88	0.00	7.69	0.00	0.00	
Total	712	153	270	17	2	13	8	1	1176
	60.54	13.01	22.96	1.45	0.17	1.11	0.68	0.09	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Immigration	100 16.03	3 18.75	11 9.48	6 10.34	18 6.06	13 17.57	151
The economy	46 7.37	0 0.00	3 2.59	5 8.62	18 6.06	6 8.11	78
Unemployment/jobs	26 4.17	1 6.25	14 12.07	0 0.00	51 17.17	12 16.22	104
Border security	92 14.74	0 0.00	9 7.76	10 17.24	21 7.07	3 4.05	135
Education	50 8.01	1 6.25	7 6.03	5 8.62	30 10.10	6 8.11	99
Political corruption/leadership	51 8.17	1 6.25	16 13.79	3 5.17	30 10.10	5 6.76	106
State budget cuts	20 3.21	2 12.50	6 5.17	0 0.00	9 3.03	2 2.70	39
Gas prices	12 1.92	0 0.00	2 1.72	2 3.45	1 0.34	1 1.35	18
Health care	42 6.73	1 6.25	8 6.90	5 8.62	24 8.08	8 10.81	88
State government spending	9 1.44	0 0.00	4 3.45	2 3.45	7 2.36	1 1.35	23
Crime and drugs	14 2.24	0 0.00	3 2.59	2 3.45	15 5.05	2 2.70	36
Moral decline	24	0	6	0	5	0	35

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.85	0.00	5.17	0.00	1.68	0.00	
Taxes	4	0	8	1	8	0	21
	0.64	0.00	6.90	1.72	2.69	0.00	
Water supply	39	1	2	3	11	1	57
	6.25	6.25	1.72	5.17	3.70	1.35	
Gay marriage	2	0	0	0	4	1	7
	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.35	1.35	
Energy	1	0	2	0	4	0	7
	0.16	0.00	1.72	0.00	1.35	0.00	
Environment	7	0	2	0	4	0	13
	1.12	0.00	1.72	0.00	1.35	0.00	
Transportation/roads/traffic	5	0	1	1	7	1	15
	0.80	0.00	0.86	1.72	2.36	1.35	
Abortion	2	0	1	3	3	3	12
	0.32	0.00	0.86	5.17	1.01	4.05	
Housing	4	0	2	1	1	0	8
	0.64	0.00	1.72	1.72	0.34	0.00	
Social welfare programs	23	0	4	3	6	3	39
	3.69	0.00	3.45	5.17	2.02	4.05	
Insurance rates	5	0	0	1	1	1	8
	0.80	0.00	0.00	1.72	0.34	1.35	
The media	4	0	1	0	0	0	5
	0.64	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Voting system	8	4	1	0	1	1	15

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.28	25.00	0.86	0.00	0.34	1.35	
Redistricting	18	0	1	0	9	3	31
	2.88	0.00	0.86	0.00	3.03	4.05	
State courts	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.00	
Utility rates	2	1	0	0	1	1	5
	0.32	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.34	1.35	
Electoral fraud	4	1	1	4	0	0	10
	0.64	6.25	0.86	6.90	0.00	0.00	
Gun control/gun violence	8	0	1	1	7	0	17
	1.28	0.00	0.86	1.72	2.36	0.00	
Total	624	16	116	58	297	74	1185
	52.66	1.35	9.79	4.89	25.06	6.24	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 Q

	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Immigration	73	77	150
	13.25	12.18	
The economy	35	42	77
	6.35	6.65	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	41	62	103
	7.44	9.81	

Border security	68	67	135
	12.34	10.60	

Education	44	55	99
	7.99	8.70	

Political corruption/leadership	49	58	107
	8.89	9.18	

State budget cuts	17	22	39
	3.09	3.48	

Gas prices	9	9	18
	1.63	1.42	

Health care	38	49	87
	6.90	7.75	

State government spending	11	12	23
	2.00	1.90	

Crime and drugs	17	19	36
	3.09	3.01	

Moral decline	18	18	36
	3.27	2.85	

Taxes	14	7	21
	2.54	1.11	

Water supply	32	24	56
	5.81	3.80	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gay marriage	4 0.73	4 0.63	8

Energy	5 0.91	1 0.16	6

Environment	6 1.09	7 1.11	13

Transportation/roads/traffic	6 1.09	9 1.42	15

Abortion	4 0.73	9 1.42	13

Housing	1 0.18	7 1.11	8

Social welfare programs	19 3.45	19 3.01	38

Insurance rates	2 0.36	6 0.95	8

The media	2 0.36	3 0.47	5

Voting system	10 1.81	5 0.79	15

Redistricting	15 2.72	16 2.53	31

State courts	2 0.36	1 0.16	3

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Utility rates	2	3	5
	0.36	0.47	

Electoral fraud	3	7	10
	0.54	1.11	

Gun control/gun violence	4	14	18
	0.73	2.22	

Total	551	632	1183
	46.58	53.42	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Immigration	35	77	38	150
	10.39	13.49	13.87	

The economy	21	34	23	78
	6.23	5.95	8.39	

Unemployment/jobs	36	30	38	104
	10.68	5.25	13.87	

Border security	22	76	37	135
	6.53	13.31	13.50	

Education	31	56	11	98
	9.20	9.81	4.01	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political corruption/leadership	28	65	14	107
	8.31	11.38	5.11	
State budget cuts	8	25	6	39
	2.37	4.38	2.19	
Gas prices	2	12	5	19
	0.59	2.10	1.82	
Health care	28	40	19	87
	8.31	7.01	6.93	
State government spending	8	11	4	23
	2.37	1.93	1.46	
Crime and drugs	15	10	11	36
	4.45	1.75	4.01	
Moral decline	12	17	6	35
	3.56	2.98	2.19	
Taxes	11	9	1	21
	3.26	1.58	0.36	
Water supply	16	27	13	56
	4.75	4.73	4.74	
Gay marriage	3	4	1	8
	0.89	0.70	0.36	
Energy	4	0	2	6
	1.19	0.00	0.73	
Environment	10	1	2	13
	2.97	0.18	0.73	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Transportation/roads/traffic	4	8	2	14
	1.19	1.40	0.73	
Abortion	4	6	2	12
	1.19	1.05	0.73	
Housing	5	2	1	8
	1.48	0.35	0.36	
Social welfare programs	8	14	17	39
	2.37	2.45	6.20	
Insurance rates	1	7	0	8
	0.30	1.23	0.00	
The media	3	1	2	6
	0.89	0.18	0.73	
Voting system	7	4	4	15
	2.08	0.70	1.46	
Redistricting	9	15	6	30
	2.67	2.63	2.19	
State courts	1	2	0	3
	0.30	0.35	0.00	
Utility rates	1	1	2	4
	0.30	0.18	0.73	
Electoral fraud	0	9	2	11
	0.00	1.58	0.73	
Gun control/gun violence	4	8	5	17
	1.19	1.40	1.82	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	337	571	274	1182
	28.51	48.31	23.18	

Cell Contents				
	Count			
	Column Percent			

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Immigration	33 14.86	52 13.27	12 10.81	10 8.33	44 12.98	151
The economy	5 2.25	27 6.89	8 7.21	5 4.17	32 9.44	77
Unemployment/jobs	14 6.31	36 9.18	7 6.31	13 10.83	33 9.73	103
Border security	32 14.41	42 10.71	13 11.71	6 5.00	43 12.68	136
Education	18 8.11	38 9.69	14 12.61	13 10.83	15 4.42	98
Political corruption/leadership	15 6.76	46 11.73	8 7.21	16 13.33	21 6.19	106
State budget cuts	6 2.70	18 4.59	5 4.50	8 6.67	3 0.88	40
Gas prices	4	9	2	0	3	18

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.80	2.30	1.80	0.00	0.88	
Health care	22 9.91	18 4.59	9 8.11	12 10.00	27 7.96	88
State government spending	10 4.50	2 0.51	2 1.80	4 3.33	5 1.47	23
Crime and drugs	11 4.95	4 1.02	4 3.60	2 1.67	16 4.72	37
Moral decline	10 4.50	17 4.34	2 1.80	0 0.00	6 1.77	35
Taxes	5 2.25	4 1.02	1 0.90	5 4.17	6 1.77	21
Water supply	7 3.15	16 4.08	5 4.50	6 5.00	22 6.49	56
Gay marriage	2 0.90	2 0.51	0 0.00	1 0.83	2 0.59	7
Energy	1 0.45	3 0.77	3 2.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	7
Environment	3 1.35	2 0.51	0 0.00	1 0.83	8 2.36	14
Transportation/roads/traffic	4 1.80	4 1.02	1 0.90	3 2.50	3 0.88	15
Abortion	1 0.45	3 0.77	6 5.41	0 0.00	3 0.88	13
Housing	0	5	0	1	2	8

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	1.28	0.00	0.83	0.59	
Social welfare programs	4 1.80	15 3.83	2 1.80	2 1.67	16 4.72	39
Insurance rates	1 0.45	2 0.51	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 1.47	8
The media	2 0.90	1 0.26	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.88	6
Voting system	2 0.90	7 1.79	1 0.90	0 0.00	4 1.18	14
Redistricting	5 2.25	6 1.53	1 0.90	7 5.83	12 3.54	31
State courts	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.90	0 0.00	2 0.59	3
Utility rates	0 0.00	1 0.26	1 0.90	0 0.00	2 0.59	4
Electoral fraud	3 1.35	7 1.79	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	10
Gun control/gun violence	2 0.90	5 1.28	3 2.70	5 4.17	1 0.29	16
Total	222 18.75	392 33.11	111 9.38	120 10.14	339 28.63	1184

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Immigration	8 3.76	25 8.25	77 16.81	40 19.42	150
The economy	18 8.45	20 6.60	31 6.77	9 4.37	78
Unemployment/jobs	20 9.39	56 18.48	23 5.02	4 1.94	103
Border security	7 3.29	31 10.23	66 14.41	30 14.56	134
Education	26 12.21	24 7.92	35 7.64	13 6.31	98
Political corruption/leadership	17 7.98	26 8.58	45 9.83	18 8.74	106
State budget cuts	5 2.35	12 3.96	20 4.37	3 1.46	40
Gas prices	3 1.41	6 1.98	7 1.53	2 0.97	18
Health care	20 9.39	19 6.27	32 6.99	16 7.77	87
State government spending	7 3.29	4 1.32	9 1.97	3 1.46	23

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Crime and drugs	11	6	12	7	36
	5.16	1.98	2.62	3.40	

Moral decline	7	12	14	3	36
	3.29	3.96	3.06	1.46	

Taxes	6	6	9	0	21
	2.82	1.98	1.97	0.00	

Water supply	2	11	26	17	56
	0.94	3.63	5.68	8.25	

Gay marriage	4	1	0	2	7
	1.88	0.33	0.00	0.97	

Energy	4	0	0	2	6
	1.88	0.00	0.00	0.97	

Environment	10	1	3	0	14
	4.69	0.33	0.66	0.00	

Transportation/roads/traffic	3	9	1	1	14
	1.41	2.97	0.22	0.49	

Abortion	7	2	0	3	12
	3.29	0.66	0.00	1.46	

Housing	1	4	2	1	8
	0.47	1.32	0.44	0.49	

Social welfare programs	4	10	19	6	39
	1.88	3.30	4.15	2.91	

Insurance rates	3	1	3	2	9
	1.41	0.33	0.66	0.97	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The media	1	3	0	2	6
	0.47	0.99	0.00	0.97	

Voting system	4	2	5	3	14
	1.88	0.66	1.09	1.46	

Redistricting	6	7	9	8	30
	2.82	2.31	1.97	3.88	

State courts	0	1	2	0	3
	0.00	0.33	0.44	0.00	

Utility rates	1	2	0	1	4
	0.47	0.66	0.00	0.49	

Electoral fraud	2	0	4	5	11
	0.94	0.00	0.87	2.43	

Gun control/gun violence	6	2	4	5	17
	2.82	0.66	0.87	2.43	

Total	213	303	458	206	1180
	18.05	25.68	38.81	17.46	
=====					

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q6. Obama Approval

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	24 52.17	39 43.82	34 30.63	54 14.10	6 2.84	6 2.58	17 13.60	180
Approve somewhat	12 26.09	38 42.70	48 43.24	92 24.02	33 15.64	7 3.00	4 3.20	234

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither approve nor disapprove	2 4.35	3 3.37	8 7.21	76 19.84	11 5.21	10 4.29	3 2.40	113
Disapprove somewhat	5 10.87	7 7.87	12 10.81	53 13.84	24 11.37	27 11.59	4 3.20	132
Disapprove strongly	3 6.52	2 2.25	8 7.21	103 26.89	135 63.98	183 78.54	97 77.60	531
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.90	5 1.31	2 0.95	0 0.00	0 0.00	8
Total	46 3.84	89 7.43	111 9.27	383 31.97	211 17.61	233 19.45	125 10.43	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Approve strongly	98 39.52	54 14.10	28 4.94	180
Approve somewhat	98 39.52	92 24.02	43 7.58	233
Neither approve nor disapprove	13 5.24	76 19.84	24 4.23	113
Disapprove somewhat	24 9.68	53 13.84	55 9.70	132

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	13	103	415	531
	5.24	26.89	73.19	
Don't know	2	5	2	9
	0.81	1.31	0.35	
Total	248	383	567	1198
	20.70	31.97	47.33	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Approve strongly	127	11	29	8	1	0	2	178
	53.14	9.40	22.83	6.96	0.80	0.00	0.79	
Approve somewhat	85	46	60	17	5	6	7	226
	35.56	39.32	47.24	14.78	4.00	3.24	2.78	
Neither approve nor disapprove	13	28	17	18	5	17	4	102
	5.44	23.93	13.39	15.65	4.00	9.19	1.59	
Disapprove somewhat	8	19	15	20	7	35	21	125
	3.35	16.24	11.81	17.39	5.60	18.92	8.33	
Disapprove strongly	5	11	5	50	107	125	217	520
	2.09	9.40	3.94	43.48	85.60	67.57	86.11	
Don't know	1	2	1	2	0	2	1	9

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.42	1.71	0.79	1.74	0.00	1.08	0.40	
Total	239	117	127	115	125	185	252	1160
	20.60	10.09	10.95	9.91	10.78	15.95	21.72	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Approve strongly      167           8           3          178
                    34.50          6.96          0.54
-----
Approve somewhat      192           17           17          226
                    39.67          14.78          3.04
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  58           18           26          102
                    11.98          15.65          4.65
-----
Disapprove somewhat   43           20           62          125
                    8.88           17.39          11.09
-----
Disapprove strongly   20           50           449          519
                    4.13           43.48          80.32
-----
Don't know            4            2            2            8
                    0.83           1.74           0.36
-----
Total                 484           115           559          1158
                    41.80          9.93           48.27
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Approve strongly	4 1.60	162 36.24	0 0.00	14 5.60	180
Approve somewhat	11 4.40	185 41.39	3 1.20	35 14.00	234
Neither approve nor disapprove	8 3.20	51 11.41	17 6.77	37 14.80	113
Disapprove somewhat	37 14.80	40 8.95	18 7.17	36 14.40	131
Disapprove strongly	188 75.20	9 2.01	212 84.46	123 49.20	532
Don't know	2 0.80	0 0.00	1 0.40	5 2.00	8
Total	250 20.87	447 37.31	251 20.95	250 20.87	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	11 10.48	39 13.40	56 16.97	20 18.18	36 14.63	19 15.83	181
Approve somewhat	22 20.95	45 15.46	63 19.09	18 16.36	59 23.98	27 22.50	234
Neither approve nor disapprove	26 24.76	27 9.28	19 5.76	19 17.27	18 7.32	5 4.17	114
Disapprove somewhat	12 11.43	39 13.40	34 10.30	8 7.27	26 10.57	14 11.67	133
Disapprove strongly	34 32.38	138 47.42	156 47.27	42 38.18	107 43.50	53 44.17	530
Don't know	0 0.00	3 1.03	2 0.61	3 2.73	0 0.00	2 1.67	10
Total	105 8.74	291 24.21	330 27.45	110 9.15	246 20.47	120 9.98	1202

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve strongly	29 17.06	36 13.95	19 12.34	43 13.56	53 17.73	180
Approve somewhat	26 15.29	49 18.99	27 17.53	57 17.98	75 25.08	234
Neither approve nor disapprove	19 11.18	17 6.59	23 14.94	33 10.41	21 7.02	113
Disapprove somewhat	18 10.59	37 14.34	12 7.79	38 11.99	26 8.70	131
Disapprove strongly	78 45.88	118 45.74	72 46.75	145 45.74	118 39.46	531
Don't know	0 0.00	1 0.39	1 0.65	1 0.32	6 2.01	9
Total	170 14.19	258 21.54	154 12.85	317 26.46	299 24.96	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Approve strongly	66 9.08	71 45.51	39 14.34	1 5.88	1 33.33	2 11.76	1 11.11		0 0.00	181
Approve somewhat	122 16.78	41 26.28	60 22.06	5 29.41	1 33.33	5 29.41	0 0.00		0 0.00	234

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither approve nor d	36	28	45	2	0	2	0	0	113
	4.95	17.95	16.54	11.76	0.00	11.76	0.00	0.00	
Disapprove somewhat	67	10	48	4	0	2	1	0	132
	9.22	6.41	17.65	23.53	0.00	11.76	11.11	0.00	
Disapprove strongly	431	2	80	5	1	6	7	1	533
	59.28	1.28	29.41	29.41	33.33	35.29	77.78	100.00	
Don't know	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	0.69	2.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	727	156	272	17	3	17	9	1	1202
	60.48	12.98	22.63	1.41	0.25	1.41	0.75	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Approve strongly	73	1	16	6	67	17	180
	11.62	6.67	13.68	10.53	21.90	23.29	
Approve somewhat	106	1	27	6	69	25	234
	16.88	6.67	23.08	10.53	22.55	34.25	
Neither approve nor disapprove	46	1	14	4	42	6	113
	7.32	6.67	11.97	7.02	13.73	8.22	
Disapprove somewhat	67	1	13	1	44	4	130

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	10.67	6.67	11.11	1.75	14.38	5.48	
Disapprove strongly	336	11	47	40	77	20	531
	53.50	73.33	40.17	70.18	25.16	27.40	
Don't know	0	0	0	0	7	1	8
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.29	1.37	
Total	628	15	117	57	306	73	1196
	52.51	1.25	9.78	4.77	25.59	6.10	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	70	110	180
	12.48	17.24	
Approve somewhat	103	130	233
	18.36	20.38	
Neither approve nor disapprove	50	64	114
	8.91	10.03	
Disapprove somewhat	66	66	132
	11.76	10.34	
Disapprove strongly	269	262	531
	47.95	41.07	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	3	6	9
	0.53	0.94	

Total	561	638	1199
	46.79	53.21	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Approve strongly	63	88	29	180
	18.31	15.15	10.58	

Approve somewhat	76	122	36	234
	22.09	21.00	13.14	

Neither approve nor disapprove	33	54	26	113
	9.59	9.29	9.49	

Disapprove somewhat	43	52	36	131
	12.50	8.95	13.14	

Disapprove strongly	126	261	145	532
	36.63	44.92	52.92	

Don't know	3	4	2	9
	0.87	0.69	0.73	

Total	344	581	274	1199
	28.69	48.46	22.85	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Approve strongly           49           60           14           22           35           180
                           21.30           15.15           12.96           18.18           10.23
-----
Approve somewhat           46           72           21           38           56           233
                           20.00           18.18           19.44           31.40           16.37
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove           18           44           11           12           29           114
                           7.83           11.11           10.19           9.92           8.48
-----
Disapprove somewhat           11           43           16           15           46           131
                           4.78           10.86           14.81           12.40           13.45
-----
Disapprove strongly           105           174           46           33           173           531
                           45.65           43.94           42.59           27.27           50.58
-----
Don't know           1           3           0           1           3           8
                           0.43           0.76           0.00           0.83           0.88
-----
Total           230           396           108           121           342           1197
                           19.21           33.08           9.02           10.11           28.57
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Approve strongly      29    49    75    26    179
                    13.06  15.96  16.23  12.62
-----
Approve somewhat     56    69    78    30    233
                    25.23  22.48  16.88  14.56
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  40    33    32     8    113
                    18.02  10.75   6.93   3.88
-----
Disapprove somewhat  41    33    43    14    131
                    18.47  10.75   9.31   6.80
-----
Disapprove strongly  52   120   232   128   532
                    23.42  39.09  50.22  62.14
-----
Don't know           4     3     2     0     9
                    1.80   0.98   0.43   0.00
-----
Total                222   307   462   206  1197
                    18.55  25.65  38.60  17.21
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q7. Congress Approval

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	2 4.35	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 2.36	3 1.43	1 0.43	19 15.20	34
Approve somewhat	1 2.17	3 3.37	6 5.45	37 9.71	19 9.05	19 8.23	14 11.20	99

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither approve nor disapprove	9 19.57	6 6.74	5 4.55	86 22.57	31 14.76	31 13.42	14 11.20	182
Disapprove somewhat	7 15.22	17 19.10	22 20.00	68 17.85	57 27.14	82 35.50	23 18.40	276
Disapprove strongly	26 56.52	63 70.79	72 65.45	170 44.62	97 46.19	97 41.99	54 43.20	579
Don't know	1 2.17	0 0.00	5 4.55	11 2.89	3 1.43	1 0.43	1 0.80	22
Total	46 3.86	89 7.47	110 9.23	381 31.96	210 17.62	231 19.38	125 10.49	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Approve strongly	2 0.82	9 2.36	23 4.06	34
Approve somewhat	10 4.08	37 9.71	52 9.17	99
Neither approve nor disapprove	20 8.16	86 22.57	77 13.58	183
Disapprove somewhat	46 18.78	68 17.85	162 28.57	276

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	161	170	248	579
	65.71	44.62	43.74	
Don't know	6	11	5	22
	2.45	2.89	0.88	
Total	245	381	567	1193
	20.54	31.94	47.53	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Approve strongly	11	0	4	1	5	0	9	30
	4.64	0.00	3.12	0.87	4.07	0.00	3.60	
Approve somewhat	32	12	7	3	9	8	24	95
	13.50	10.43	5.47	2.61	7.32	4.35	9.60	
Neither approve nor disapprove	33	24	12	19	13	30	41	172
	13.92	20.87	9.38	16.52	10.57	16.30	16.40	
Disapprove somewhat	40	24	13	20	32	62	78	269
	16.88	20.87	10.16	17.39	26.02	33.70	31.20	
Disapprove strongly	118	49	90	69	64	82	97	569
	49.79	42.61	70.31	60.00	52.03	44.57	38.80	
Don't know	3	6	2	3	0	2	1	17

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.27	5.22	1.56	2.61	0.00	1.09	0.40	
Total	237	115	128	115	123	184	250	1152
	20.57	9.98	11.11	9.98	10.68	15.97	21.70	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Approve strongly      15           1           14          30
                    3.14         0.87         2.51
-----
Approve somewhat      51           3           41          95
                    10.67        2.61         7.36
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  68           19           84         171
                    14.23        16.52        15.08
-----
Disapprove somewhat   77           20          172         269
                    16.11        17.39        30.88
-----
Disapprove strongly  256           69          243         568
                    53.56        60.00        43.63
-----
Don't know            11           3            3          17
                    2.30         2.61         0.54
-----
Total                 478          115          557        1150
                    41.57        10.00        48.43
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Approve strongly          14             15             6             0             35
                          5.62             3.39             2.39             0.00
-----
Approve somewhat          20             53             19             8             100
                          8.03             11.99             7.57             3.20
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  51             50             29             52             182
                          20.48            11.31            11.55            20.80
-----
Disapprove somewhat       74             66             73             62             275
                          29.72            14.93            29.08            24.80
-----
Disapprove strongly       89             253            123             113            578
                          35.74            57.24            49.00            45.20
-----
Don't know                 1             5             1             15             22
                          0.40             1.13             0.40             6.00
-----
Total                     249            442             251             250            1192
                          20.89            37.08            21.06            20.97
=====

```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	2 1.98	11 3.82	3 0.91	5 4.59	12 4.92	0 0.00	33
Approve somewhat	15 14.85	29 10.07	31 9.45	7 6.42	5 2.05	11 9.32	98
Neither approve nor disapprove	26 25.74	45 15.62	63 19.21	12 11.01	32 13.11	4 3.39	182
Disapprove somewhat	24 23.76	67 23.26	68 20.73	23 21.10	61 25.00	32 27.12	275
Disapprove strongly	29 28.71	128 44.44	158 48.17	61 55.96	132 54.10	71 60.17	579
Don't know	5 4.95	8 2.78	5 1.52	1 0.92	2 0.82	0 0.00	21
Total	101 8.50	288 24.24	328 27.61	109 9.18	244 20.54	118 9.93	1188

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve strongly	14 8.43	12 4.71	0 0.00	5 1.58	3 1.00	34
Approve somewhat	13 7.83	33 12.94	9 5.84	27 8.52	18 6.00	100
Neither approve nor disapprove	28 16.87	36 14.12	41 26.62	48 15.14	30 10.00	183
Disapprove somewhat	50 30.12	58 22.75	39 25.32	75 23.66	54 18.00	276
Disapprove strongly	60 36.14	111 43.53	65 42.21	155 48.90	187 62.33	578
Don't know	1 0.60	5 1.96	0 0.00	7 2.21	8 2.67	21
Total	166 13.93	255 21.39	154 12.92	317 26.59	300 25.17	1192

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Approve strongly	18 2.48	7 4.64	9 3.32	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	35
Approve somewhat	43 5.93	29 19.21	22 8.12	2 12.50	0 0.00	1 5.88	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	98

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither approve nor d	88	29	59	2	0	3	1	0	182
	12.14	19.21	21.77	12.50	0.00	17.65	12.50	0.00	
Disapprove somewhat	179	20	68	4	0	3	2	1	277
	24.69	13.25	25.09	25.00	0.00	17.65	25.00	100.00	
Disapprove strongly	389	60	106	7	3	10	4	0	579
	53.66	39.74	39.11	43.75	100.00	58.82	50.00	0.00	
Don't know	8	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	21
	1.10	3.97	2.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	725	151	271	16	3	17	8	1	1192
	60.82	12.67	22.73	1.34	0.25	1.43	0.67	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Approve strongly	11	0	1	0	13	8	33
	1.77	0.00	0.88	0.00	4.28	10.96	
Approve somewhat	48	0	10	0	32	8	98
	7.70	0.00	8.85	0.00	10.53	10.96	
Neither approve nor disapprove	72	1	19	11	67	10	180
	11.56	6.25	16.81	19.64	22.04	13.70	
Disapprove somewhat	156	5	20	19	61	14	275

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	25.04	31.25	17.70	33.93	20.07	19.18	
Disapprove strongly	327	9	62	26	124	29	577
	52.49	56.25	54.87	46.43	40.79	39.73	
Don't know	9	1	1	0	7	4	22
	1.44	6.25	0.88	0.00	2.30	5.48	
Total	623	16	113	56	304	73	1185
	52.57	1.35	9.54	4.73	25.65	6.16	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	14	20	34
	2.52	3.14	
Approve somewhat	47	52	99
	8.47	8.18	
Neither approve nor disapprove	81	102	183
	14.59	16.04	
Disapprove somewhat	114	162	276
	20.54	25.47	
Disapprove strongly	297	281	578
	53.51	44.18	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	2	19	21
	0.36	2.99	

Total	555	636	1191
	46.60	53.40	

=====

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	20	8	6	34
	5.83	1.39	2.20	
Approve somewhat	32	45	23	100
	9.33	7.81	8.42	
Neither approve nor disapprove	67	74	41	182
	19.53	12.85	15.02	
Disapprove somewhat	83	125	68	276
	24.20	21.70	24.91	
Disapprove strongly	135	312	131	578
	39.36	54.17	47.99	
Don't know	6	12	4	22
	1.75	2.08	1.47	
Total	343	576	273	1192
	28.78	48.32	22.90	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Approve strongly           11           7           3           6           8           35
                           4.74          1.79          2.78          5.04          2.35
-----
Approve somewhat           26           29           7           9           27           98
                           11.21         7.44          6.48          7.56          7.92
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  39           68           16           10           48           181
                           16.81        17.44        14.81          8.40         14.08
-----
Disapprove somewhat         46           86           26           24           93           275
                           19.83        22.05        24.07        20.17        27.27
-----
Disapprove strongly        105           194           51           68           161           579
                           45.26        49.74        47.22        57.14        47.21
-----
Don't know                   5           6           5           2           4           22
                           2.16          1.54          4.63          1.68          1.17
-----
Total                         232           390           108           119           341           1190
                           19.50        32.77          9.08        10.00        28.66
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Approve strongly      8    18    5    3    34
                    3.59  5.86  1.10  1.45
-----
Approve somewhat     21    32    28   18   99
                    9.42 10.42  6.14  8.70
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  59    47    56   21  183
                    26.46 15.31 12.28 10.14
-----
Disapprove somewhat   56    71   107   42  276
                    25.11 23.13 23.46 20.29
-----
Disapprove strongly   68   133   256  122  579
                    30.49 43.32 56.14 58.94
-----
Don't know           11    6    4    1   22
                    4.93  1.95  0.88  0.48
-----
Total                 223   307   456  207 1193
                    18.69 25.73 38.22 17.35
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q8. Rick Perry Approval

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	3 6.38	2 2.22	2 1.82	21 5.48	39 18.40	78 33.77	70 55.56	215
Approve somewhat	1 2.13	3 3.33	4 3.64	68 17.75	78 36.79	104 45.02	28 22.22	286

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither approve nor disapprove	1 2.13	4 4.44	12 10.91	106 27.68	31 14.62	25 10.82	17 13.49	196
Disapprove somewhat	2 4.26	9 10.00	17 15.45	64 16.71	36 16.98	16 6.93	6 4.76	150
Disapprove strongly	38 80.85	72 80.00	70 63.64	101 26.37	22 10.38	8 3.46	3 2.38	314
Don't know	2 4.26	0 0.00	5 4.55	23 6.01	6 2.83	0 0.00	2 1.59	38
Total	47 3.92	90 7.51	110 9.17	383 31.94	212 17.68	231 19.27	126 10.51	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Approve strongly	7 2.85	21 5.48	186 32.69	214
Approve somewhat	8 3.25	68 17.75	210 36.91	286
Neither approve nor disapprove	16 6.50	106 27.68	73 12.83	195
Disapprove somewhat	28 11.38	64 16.71	58 10.19	150

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	180	101	34	315
	73.17	26.37	5.98	
Don't know	7	23	8	38
	2.85	6.01	1.41	
Total	246	383	569	1198
	20.53	31.97	47.50	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Approve strongly	13	7	5	7	36	39	101	208
	5.44	5.98	3.94	6.14	28.80	21.31	39.92	
Approve somewhat	15	14	10	19	51	65	105	279
	6.28	11.97	7.87	16.67	40.80	35.52	41.50	
Neither approve nor disapprove	29	41	15	25	17	32	29	188
	12.13	35.04	11.81	21.93	13.60	17.49	11.46	
Disapprove somewhat	28	17	19	30	15	27	8	144
	11.72	14.53	14.96	26.32	12.00	14.75	3.16	
Disapprove strongly	147	34	77	29	5	8	7	307
	61.51	29.06	60.63	25.44	4.00	4.37	2.77	
Don't know	7	4	1	4	1	12	3	32

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.93	3.42	0.79	3.51	0.80	6.56	1.19	
Total	239	117	127	114	125	183	253	1158
	20.64	10.10	10.97	9.84	10.79	15.80	21.85	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID3
           Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Approve strongly           25           7           175           207
                           5.17           6.14           31.31
-----
Approve somewhat           39           19           221           279
                           8.06           16.67           39.53
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove           85           25           78           188
                           17.56           21.93           13.95
-----
Disapprove somewhat           64           30           49           143
                           13.22           26.32           8.77
-----
Disapprove strongly           258           29           20           307
                           53.31           25.44           3.58
-----
Don't know           13           4           16           33
                           2.69           3.51           2.86
-----
Total           484           114           559           1157
                           41.83           9.85           48.31
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Approve strongly	61 24.40	22 4.91	90 36.00	40 16.00	213
Approve somewhat	112 44.80	33 7.37	80 32.00	62 24.80	287
Neither approve nor disapprove	37 14.80	66 14.73	39 15.60	54 21.60	196
Disapprove somewhat	17 6.80	71 15.85	34 13.60	26 10.40	148
Disapprove strongly	13 5.20	248 55.36	6 2.40	48 19.20	315
Don't know	10 4.00	8 1.79	1 0.40	20 8.00	39
Total	250 20.87	448 37.40	250 20.87	250 20.87	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	16 15.24	52 17.81	65 19.76	19 17.27	39 15.98	23 19.17	214
Approve somewhat	19 18.10	73 25.00	81 24.62	24 21.82	64 26.23	26 21.67	287
Neither approve nor disapprove	24 22.86	60 20.55	51 15.50	13 11.82	29 11.89	19 15.83	196
Disapprove somewhat	17 16.19	49 16.78	31 9.42	18 16.36	27 11.07	8 6.67	150
Disapprove strongly	21 20.00	49 16.78	90 27.36	31 28.18	83 34.02	41 34.17	315
Don't know	8 7.62	9 3.08	11 3.34	5 4.55	2 0.82	3 2.50	38
Total	105 8.75	292 24.33	329 27.42	110 9.17	244 20.33	120 10.00	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve strongly	38 22.35	61 23.83	21 13.55	52 16.35	41 13.67	213
Approve somewhat	45 26.47	75 29.30	33 21.29	86 27.04	47 15.67	286
Neither approve nor disapprove	34 20.00	37 14.45	37 23.87	49 15.41	40 13.33	197
Disapprove somewhat	13 7.65	35 13.67	22 14.19	41 12.89	39 13.00	150
Disapprove strongly	36 21.18	39 15.23	35 22.58	80 25.16	124 41.33	314
Don't know	4 2.35	9 3.52	7 4.52	10 3.14	9 3.00	39
Total	170 14.18	256 21.35	155 12.93	318 26.52	300 25.02	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Approve strongly	158 21.79	8 5.16	38 13.92	3 18.75	1 33.33	2 13.33	3 37.50		1 100.00	214
Approve somewhat	187 25.79	13 8.39	73 26.74	7 43.75	0 0.00	2 13.33	3 37.50		0 0.00	285

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither approve nor d	98	45	49	2	0	1	0	0	195
	13.52	29.03	17.95	12.50	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	
Disapprove somewhat	80	27	38	1	0	1	1	0	148
	11.03	17.42	13.92	6.25	0.00	6.67	12.50	0.00	
Disapprove strongly	188	51	63	2	2	8	1	0	315
	25.93	32.90	23.08	12.50	66.67	53.33	12.50	0.00	
Don't know	14	11	12	1	0	1	0	0	39
	1.93	7.10	4.40	6.25	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	
Total	725	155	273	16	3	15	8	1	1196
	60.62	12.96	22.83	1.34	0.25	1.25	0.67	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Approve strongly	130	0	17	16	38	13	214
	20.70	0.00	14.41	27.59	12.38	17.81	
Approve somewhat	173	3	29	14	56	12	287
	27.55	20.00	24.58	24.14	18.24	16.44	
Neither approve nor disapprove	90	2	12	13	65	14	196
	14.33	13.33	10.17	22.41	21.17	19.18	
Disapprove somewhat	71	2	23	3	46	3	148

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	11.31	13.33	19.49	5.17	14.98	4.11	
Disapprove strongly	151	7	34	12	85	26	315
	24.04	46.67	28.81	20.69	27.69	35.62	
Don't know	13	1	3	0	17	5	39
	2.07	6.67	2.54	0.00	5.54	6.85	
Total	628	15	118	58	307	73	1199
	52.38	1.25	9.84	4.84	25.60	6.09	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	117	97	214
	20.86	15.23	
Approve somewhat	142	144	286
	25.31	22.61	
Neither approve nor disapprove	88	108	196
	15.69	16.95	
Disapprove somewhat	85	65	150
	15.15	10.20	
Disapprove strongly	118	196	314
	21.03	30.77	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	11	27	38
	1.96	4.24	

Total	561	637	1198
	46.83	53.17	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Approve strongly	48	103	63	214
	13.91	17.70	23.08	

Approve somewhat	92	123	72	287
	26.67	21.13	26.37	

Neither approve nor disapprove	56	100	40	196
	16.23	17.18	14.65	

Disapprove somewhat	38	75	36	149
	11.01	12.89	13.19	

Disapprove strongly	101	163	51	315
	29.28	28.01	18.68	

Don't know	10	18	11	39
	2.90	3.09	4.03	

Total	345	582	273	1200
	28.75	48.50	22.75	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Approve strongly           41           74           14           18           66           213
                           17.83          18.73          12.84          15.00          19.30
-----
Approve somewhat           53           85           30           18           99           285
                           23.04          21.52          27.52          15.00          28.95
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  46           57           14           19           60           196
                           20.00          14.43          12.84          15.83          17.54
-----
Disapprove somewhat        23           49           10           14           53           149
                           10.00          12.41          9.17           11.67          15.50
-----
Disapprove strongly        64           114          33           49           55           315
                           27.83          28.86          30.28          40.83          16.08
-----
Don't know                  3           16           8           2           9           38
                           1.30           4.05          7.34           1.67           2.63
-----
Total                       230          395           109           120           342          1196
                           19.23          33.03          9.11           10.03          28.60
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Approve strongly      8    51    91    64    214
                    3.59  16.67  19.78  30.77
-----
Approve somewhat     58    67   114    46    285
                    26.01  21.90  24.78  22.12
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  47    60    67    22    196
                    21.08  19.61  14.57  10.58
-----
Disapprove somewhat   33    48    49    20    150
                    14.80  15.69  10.65  9.62
-----
Disapprove strongly   60    61   138    55    314
                    26.91  19.93  30.00  26.44
-----
Don't know           17    19     1     1     38
                    7.62   6.21  0.22  0.48
-----
Total                223   306   460   208   1197
                    18.63  25.56  38.43  17.38
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q9. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Country

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

LIBCON7								
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Right direction	27 57.45	55 61.11	55 49.55	101 26.37	34 16.11	8 3.46	19 15.08	299
Wrong track	11 23.40	22 24.44	35 31.53	217 56.66	161 76.30	212 91.77	101 80.16	759

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	9	13	21	65	16	11	6	141
	19.15	14.44	18.92	16.97	7.58	4.76	4.76	

Total	47	90	111	383	211	231	126	1199
	3.92	7.51	9.26	31.94	17.60	19.27	10.51	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

=====
IDEOL
Q Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total

Right direction 136 101 60 297
55.51 26.37 10.58

Wrong track 67 217 473 757
27.35 56.66 83.42

Don't know 42 65 34 141
17.14 16.97 6.00

Total 245 383 567 1195
20.50 32.05 47.45
=====

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Right direction	147 61.51	42 35.90	56 44.80	18 15.65	6 4.84	15 8.20	8 3.17	292
Wrong track	55 23.01	46 39.32	48 38.40	80 69.57	116 93.55	153 83.61	238 94.44	736
Don't know	37 15.48	29 24.79	21 16.80	17 14.78	2 1.61	15 8.20	6 2.38	127
Total	239 20.69	117 10.13	125 10.82	115 9.96	124 10.74	183 15.84	252 21.82	1155

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Right direction	245 50.94	18 15.65	29 5.18	292
Wrong track	149 30.98	80 69.57	507 90.54	736
Don't know	87 18.09	17 14.78	24 4.29	128
Total	481 41.61	115 9.95	560 48.44	1156

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Right direction	26 10.40	242 54.14	4 1.59	27 10.80	299
Wrong track	210 84.00	135 30.20	238 94.82	176 70.40	759
Don't know	14 5.60	70 15.66	9 3.59	47 18.80	140
Total	250 20.87	447 37.31	251 20.95	250 20.87	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Right direction	21 19.81	74 25.61	73 22.19	30 27.52	69 28.28	31 26.05	298
Wrong track	61	179	220	65	157	76	758

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	57.55	61.94	66.87	59.63	64.34	63.87	
Don't know	24	36	36	14	18	12	140
	22.64	12.46	10.94	12.84	7.38	10.08	
Total	106	289	329	109	244	119	1196
	8.86	24.16	27.51	9.11	20.40	9.95	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Right direction	44	60	34	70	91	299	
	25.88	23.35	21.94	22.08	30.43		
Wrong track	110	171	107	204	167	759	
	64.71	66.54	69.03	64.35	55.85		
Don't know	16	26	14	43	41	140	
	9.41	10.12	9.03	13.56	13.71		
Total	170	257	155	317	299	1198	
	14.19	21.45	12.94	26.46	24.96		

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race								Total	
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / America	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del orie		
Right direction	122 16.80	72 46.45	93 34.19	5 29.41		1 33.33	4 25.00	1 11.11	0 0.00	298
Wrong track	543 74.79	49 31.61	139 51.10	8 47.06		2 66.67	9 56.25	8 88.89	1 100.00	759
Don't know	61 8.40	34 21.94	40 14.71	4 23.53		0 0.00	3 18.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	142
Total	726 60.55	155 12.93	272 22.69	17 1.42		3 0.25	16 1.33	9 0.75	1 0.08	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Right direction	137 21.85	2 13.33	29 25.00	6 10.34	97 31.80	26 35.62	297
Wrong track	436 69.54	12 80.00	74 63.79	43 74.14	163 53.44	29 39.73	757
Don't know	54 8.61	1 6.67	13 11.21	9 15.52	45 14.75	18 24.66	140
Total	627	15	116	58	305	73	1194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.51 1.26 9.72 4.86 25.54 6.11

=====

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Right direction	152 27.14	147 23.04	299
Wrong track	366 65.36	392 61.44	758
Don't know	42 7.50	99 15.52	141
Total	560 46.74	638 53.26	1198

=====

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Right direction	117 34.01	134 23.06	47 17.28	298

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wrong track	183	379	196	758
	53.20	65.23	72.06	
Don't know	44	68	29	141
	12.79	11.70	10.66	
Total	344	581	272	1197
	28.74	48.54	22.72	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Right direction	66	90	27	44	70	297
	28.45	22.78	24.77	36.67	20.47	
Wrong track	141	260	73	54	231	759
	60.78	65.82	66.97	45.00	67.54	
Don't know	25	45	9	22	41	142
	10.78	11.39	8.26	18.33	11.99	
Total	232	395	109	120	342	1198
	19.37	32.97	9.10	10.02	28.55	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64   65+  Total
-----
Right direction    61    86   112    38   297
                  27.35  28.01  24.35  18.45
-----
Wrong track       119   182   307   150   758
                  53.36  59.28  66.74  72.82
-----
Don't know        43    39    41    18   141
                  19.28  12.70   8.91   8.74
-----
Total             223   307   460   206  1196
                  18.65  25.67  38.46  17.22
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q10. National Economy: Better off/Worse Off

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
A lot better off	7 14.89	7 7.78	12 10.81	22 5.74	4 1.90	4 1.72	7 5.60	63
Somewhat better off	16 34.04	60 66.67	56 50.45	94 24.54	37 17.62	15 6.47	12 9.60	290

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

About the same	14 29.79	16 17.78	28 25.23	122 31.85	71 33.81	65 28.02	23 18.40	339
Somewhat worse off	7 14.89	5 5.56	9 8.11	80 20.89	61 29.05	78 33.62	37 29.60	277
A lot worse off	1 2.13	2 2.22	1 0.90	50 13.05	36 17.14	68 29.31	44 35.20	202
Don't know	2 4.26	0 0.00	5 4.50	15 3.92	1 0.48	2 0.86	2 1.60	27
Total	47 3.92	90 7.51	111 9.27	383 31.97	210 17.53	232 19.37	125 10.43	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
A lot better off	26 10.53	22 5.74	15 2.64	63
Somewhat better off	132 53.44	94 24.54	65 11.42	291
About the same	58 23.48	122 31.85	159 27.94	339
Somewhat worse off	20 8.10	80 20.89	176 30.93	276

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot worse off	4	50	149	203
	1.62	13.05	26.19	
Don't know	7	15	5	27
	2.83	3.92	0.88	
Total	247	383	569	1199
	20.60	31.94	47.46	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
A lot better off	34	5	17	2	4	0	2	64
	14.29	4.24	13.39	1.75	3.20	0.00	0.79	
Somewhat better off	116	39	61	21	8	27	16	288
	48.74	33.05	48.03	18.42	6.40	14.67	6.32	
About the same	57	43	28	34	32	51	80	325
	23.95	36.44	22.05	29.82	25.60	27.72	31.62	
Somewhat worse off	20	17	15	24	48	63	77	264
	8.40	14.41	11.81	21.05	38.40	34.24	30.43	
A lot worse off	8	11	5	27	33	42	75	201
	3.36	9.32	3.94	23.68	26.40	22.83	29.64	
Don't know	3	3	1	6	0	1	3	17

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.26	2.54	0.79	5.26	0.00	0.54	1.19	
Total	238	118	127	114	125	184	253	1159
	20.53	10.18	10.96	9.84	10.79	15.88	21.83	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID3
           Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
A lot better off           55             2             5             62
                           11.39           1.75           0.89
-----
Somewhat better off        216            21            52            289
                           44.72           18.42          9.29
-----
About the same             128            34            162            324
                           26.50           29.82          28.93
-----
Somewhat worse off         53             24            187            264
                           10.97           21.05          33.39
-----
A lot worse off            24             27            150            201
                           4.97            23.68          26.79
-----
Don't know                  7              6              4             17
                           1.45            5.26           0.71
-----
Total                       483            114            560            1157
                           41.75           9.85           48.40
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
A lot better off	5 2.01	51 11.41	3 1.20	4 1.59	63
Somewhat better off	42 16.87	207 46.31	7 2.79	35 13.94	291
About the same	71 28.51	112 25.06	68 27.09	88 35.06	339
Somewhat worse off	67 26.91	50 11.19	92 36.65	68 27.09	277
A lot worse off	64 25.70	21 4.70	79 31.47	38 15.14	202
Don't know	0 0.00	6 1.34	2 0.80	18 7.17	26
Total	249 20.78	447 37.31	251 20.95	251 20.95	1198

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
A lot better off	0 0.00	21 7.22	21 6.38	7 6.36	11 4.51	3 2.52	63
Somewhat better off	18 16.98	57 19.59	83 25.23	23 20.91	74 30.33	36 30.25	291
About the same	40 37.74	78 26.80	84 25.53	28 25.45	74 30.33	36 30.25	340
Somewhat worse off	31 29.25	60 20.62	82 24.92	26 23.64	45 18.44	33 27.73	277
A lot worse off	14 13.21	67 23.02	53 16.11	22 20.00	36 14.75	10 8.40	202
Don't know	3 2.83	8 2.75	6 1.82	4 3.64	4 1.64	1 0.84	26
Total	106 8.84	291 24.27	329 27.44	110 9.17	244 20.35	119 9.92	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot better off	10 5.88	20 7.75	7 4.58	10 3.13	17 5.65	64
Somewhat better off	34 20.00	50 19.38	37 24.18	84 26.33	86 28.57	291
About the same	43 25.29	71 27.52	43 28.10	97 30.41	86 28.57	340
Somewhat worse off	47 27.65	59 22.87	32 20.92	84 26.33	54 17.94	276
A lot worse off	33 19.41	53 20.54	31 20.26	35 10.97	51 16.94	203
Don't know	3 1.76	5 1.94	3 1.96	9 2.82	7 2.33	27
Total	170 14.15	258 21.48	153 12.74	319 26.56	301 25.06	1201

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
A lot better off	22 3.03	21 13.55	19 7.01	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	63
Somewhat better off	153 21.05	56 36.13	71 26.20	3 18.75	2 66.67	4 23.53	2 22.22	0 0.00	0 0.00	291

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

About the same	210	41	78	4	0	6	1	0	340
	28.89	26.45	28.78	25.00	0.00	35.29	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat worse off	187	24	54	7	0	4	1	1	278
	25.72	15.48	19.93	43.75	0.00	23.53	11.11	100.00	
A lot worse off	146	4	43	1	1	3	4	0	202
	20.08	2.58	15.87	6.25	33.33	17.65	44.44	0.00	
Don't know	9	9	6	0	0	0	1	0	25
	1.24	5.81	2.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	
Total	727	155	271	16	3	17	9	1	1199
	60.63	12.93	22.60	1.33	0.25	1.42	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
A lot better off	18	1	5	1	30	9	64
	2.87	6.25	4.24	1.72	9.77	12.00	
Somewhat better off	147	2	31	7	80	26	293
	23.41	12.50	26.27	12.07	26.06	34.67	
About the same	180	3	30	18	93	14	338
	28.66	18.75	25.42	31.03	30.29	18.67	
Somewhat worse off	162	2	32	13	53	15	277

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	25.80	12.50	27.12	22.41	17.26	20.00	
A lot worse off	113	8	16	18	42	6	203
	17.99	50.00	13.56	31.03	13.68	8.00	
Don't know	8	0	4	1	9	5	27
	1.27	0.00	3.39	1.72	2.93	6.67	
Total	628	16	118	58	307	75	1202
	52.25	1.33	9.82	4.83	25.54	6.24	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
A lot better off	34	29	63
	6.05	4.55	
Somewhat better off	126	165	291
	22.42	25.86	
About the same	163	177	340
	29.00	27.74	
Somewhat worse off	131	146	277
	23.31	22.88	
A lot worse off	105	98	203
	18.68	15.36	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	3	23	26
	0.53	3.61	

Total	562	638	1200
	46.83	53.17	

=====

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

=====				
Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

A lot better off	27	27	10	64
	7.83	4.63	3.66	

Somewhat better off	97	147	47	291
	28.12	25.21	17.22	

About the same	107	162	71	340
	31.01	27.79	26.01	

Somewhat worse off	57	145	75	277
	16.52	24.87	27.47	

A lot worse off	51	87	65	203
	14.78	14.92	23.81	

Don't know	6	15	5	26
	1.74	2.57	1.83	

Total	345	583	273	1201
	28.73	48.54	22.73	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
A lot better off           21           20           5           7           11           64
                           9.09           5.06           4.55           5.79           3.21
-----
Somewhat better off       57           97           24           45           69           292
                           24.68           24.56           21.82           37.19           20.12
-----
About the same            63           110           36           38           91           338
                           27.27           27.85           32.73           31.40           26.53
-----
Somewhat worse off       58           93           24           26           77           278
                           25.11           23.54           21.82           21.49           22.45
-----
A lot worse off           28           66           17           4           88           203
                           12.12           16.71           15.45           3.31           25.66
-----
Don't know                4           9           4           1           7           25
                           1.73           2.28           3.64           0.83           2.04
-----
Total                    231           395           110           121           343           1200
                           19.25           32.92           9.17           10.08           28.58
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
A lot better off      19    19    22    4    64
                    8.48   6.17   4.76   1.92
-----
Somewhat better off   55    74   119   44   292
                    24.55  24.03  25.76  21.15
-----
About the same       88    76   117   59   340
                    39.29  24.68  25.32  28.37
-----
Somewhat worse off   29    86   115   46   276
                    12.95  27.92  24.89  22.12
-----
A lot worse off      22    45    82   54   203
                    9.82  14.61  17.75  25.96
-----
Don't know           11     8     7     1    27
                    4.91   2.60   1.52   0.48
-----
Total                224   308   462   208  1202
                    18.64  25.62  38.44  17.30
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q11. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Texas

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

LIBCON7								
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Right direction	8 17.02	11 12.22	24 21.82	114 29.69	133 63.33	157 67.97	92 73.02	539
Wrong track	32 68.09	59 65.56	68 61.82	163 42.45	38 18.10	40 17.32	23 18.25	423

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	7	20	18	107	39	34	11	236
	14.89	22.22	16.36	27.86	18.57	14.72	8.73	

Total	47	90	110	384	210	231	126	1198
	3.92	7.51	9.18	32.05	17.53	19.28	10.52	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
IDEOL

Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Right direction	43	114	382	539
	17.41	29.69	67.25	
Wrong track	159	163	102	424
	64.37	42.45	17.96	
Don't know	45	107	84	236
	18.22	27.86	14.79	
Total	247	384	568	1199
	20.60	32.03	47.37	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Right direction	67 28.03	32 27.12	18 14.29	35 30.43	95 76.61	92 50.00	192 76.19	531
Wrong track	125 52.30	50 42.37	81 64.29	56 48.70	12 9.68	54 29.35	33 13.10	411
Don't know	47 19.67	36 30.51	27 21.43	24 20.87	17 13.71	38 20.65	27 10.71	216
Total	239 20.64	118 10.19	126 10.88	115 9.93	124 10.71	184 15.89	252 21.76	1158

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Right direction	118 24.38	35 30.43	379 67.68	532
Wrong track	257 53.10	56 48.70	99 17.68	412
Don't know	109 22.52	24 20.87	82 14.64	215
Total	484 41.76	115 9.92	560 48.32	1159

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Right direction	145 58.00	116 25.89	191 76.10	88 35.20	540
Wrong track	67 26.80	249 55.58	33 13.15	73 29.20	422
Don't know	38 15.20	83 18.53	27 10.76	89 35.60	237
Total	250 20.85	448 37.36	251 20.93	250 20.85	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Right direction	24 23.08	143 49.14	145 44.07	43 39.09	119 48.57	66 55.46	540
Wrong track	37	88	114	46	97	41	423

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.58	30.24	34.65	41.82	39.59	34.45	
Don't know	43	60	70	21	29	12	235
	41.35	20.62	21.28	19.09	11.84	10.08	
Total	104	291	329	110	245	119	1198
	8.68	24.29	27.46	9.18	20.45	9.93	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Right direction	90	141	64	137	107	539
	52.63	54.86	41.29	43.08	35.79	
Wrong track	50	75	57	111	130	423
	29.24	29.18	36.77	34.91	43.48	
Don't know	31	41	34	70	62	238
	18.13	15.95	21.94	22.01	20.74	
Total	171	257	155	318	299	1200
	14.25	21.42	12.92	26.50	24.92	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / America	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del orie		
Right direction	352 48.42	53 34.19	111 40.81	9 56.25		1 33.33	6 35.29	7 77.78	1 100.00	540
Wrong track	267 36.73	59 38.06	82 30.15	4 25.00		2 66.67	9 52.94	0 0.00	0 0.00	423
Don't know	108 14.86	43 27.74	79 29.04	3 18.75		0 0.00	2 11.76	2 22.22	0 0.00	237
Total	727 60.58	155 12.92	272 22.67	16 1.33		3 0.25	17 1.42	9 0.75	1 0.08	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Right direction	317 50.48	4 26.67	44 37.93	32 55.17	114 37.25	28 38.36	539
Wrong track	198 31.53	10 66.67	51 43.97	14 24.14	118 38.56	32 43.84	423
Don't know	113 17.99	1 6.67	21 18.10	12 20.69	74 24.18	13 17.81	234
Total	628	15	116	58	306	73	1196

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.51 1.25 9.70 4.85 25.59 6.10

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          gender
          Male   Female   Total
-----
Right direction    291     248     539
                  51.87    38.87
-----
Wrong track        179     244     423
                  31.91    38.24
-----
Don't know         91     146     237
                  16.22    22.88
-----
Total              561     638    1199
                  46.79    53.21
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          locate
          Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Right direction    144     265     130     539
                  41.86    45.61    47.79
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wrong track	127	208	87	422
	36.92	35.80	31.99	
Don't know	73	108	55	236
	21.22	18.59	20.22	
Total	344	581	272	1197
	28.74	48.54	22.72	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Right direction	108	171	56	41	163	539
	46.75	43.18	50.91	33.88	47.66	
Wrong track	78	155	36	50	105	424
	33.77	39.14	32.73	41.32	30.70	
Don't know	45	70	18	30	74	237
	19.48	17.68	16.36	24.79	21.64	
Total	231	396	110	121	342	1200
	19.25	33.00	9.17	10.08	28.50	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Right direction    67    117    235    122    541
                  29.91   37.99   50.87   58.94
-----
Wrong track        83    127    157    56    423
                  37.05   41.23   33.98   27.05
-----
Don't know         74     64     70     29    237
                  33.04   20.78   15.15   14.01
-----
Total              224    308    462    207   1201
                  18.65   25.65   38.47   17.24
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

About the same	22 47.83	45 50.00	38 34.23	162 42.19	92 43.81	102 44.16	50 39.68	511
Somewhat worse off	6 13.04	10 11.11	15 13.51	85 22.14	59 28.10	66 28.57	21 16.67	262
A lot worse off	1 2.17	6 6.67	6 5.41	41 10.68	17 8.10	20 8.66	21 16.67	112
Don't know	1 2.17	1 1.11	4 3.60	8 2.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.79	15
Total	46 3.84	90 7.51	111 9.27	384 32.05	210 17.53	231 19.28	126 10.52	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
A lot better off	14 5.69	20 5.21	25 4.40	59
Somewhat better off	77 31.30	68 17.71	94 16.55	239
About the same	105 42.68	162 42.19	245 43.13	512
Somewhat worse off	31 12.60	85 22.14	145 25.53	261

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot worse off	13	41	58	112
	5.28	10.68	10.21	
Don't know	6	8	1	15
	2.44	2.08	0.18	
Total	246	384	568	1198
	20.53	32.05	47.41	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
A lot better off	23	5	8	7	5	1	8	57
	9.62	4.24	6.35	6.03	4.00	0.54	3.16	
Somewhat better off	70	26	43	20	17	28	33	237
	29.29	22.03	34.13	17.24	13.60	15.22	13.04	
About the same	95	53	55	42	56	80	120	501
	39.75	44.92	43.65	36.21	44.80	43.48	47.43	
Somewhat worse off	29	20	17	28	36	56	61	247
	12.13	16.95	13.49	24.14	28.80	30.43	24.11	
A lot worse off	22	13	2	15	11	18	30	111
	9.21	11.02	1.59	12.93	8.80	9.78	11.86	
Don't know	0	1	1	4	0	1	1	8

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	0.85	0.79	3.45	0.00	0.54	0.40	
Total	239	118	126	116	125	184	253	1161
	20.59	10.16	10.85	9.99	10.77	15.85	21.79	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID3
           Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
A lot better off           36             7             14             57
                          7.44             6.03             2.50
-----
Somewhat better off       139             20             78             237
                          28.72            17.24            13.93
-----
About the same            203             42             255            500
                          41.94            36.21            45.54
-----
Somewhat worse off        67             28             152            247
                          13.84            24.14            27.14
-----
A lot worse off           37             15             59             111
                          7.64            12.93            10.54
-----
Don't know                 2              4              2              8
                          0.41            3.45             0.36
-----
Total                      484             116             560            1160
                          41.72            10.00            48.28
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
A lot better off	15 6.02	35 7.81	4 1.59	4 1.59	58
Somewhat better off	39 15.66	131 29.24	31 12.35	40 15.94	241
About the same	116 46.59	178 39.73	101 40.24	116 46.22	511
Somewhat worse off	48 19.28	67 14.96	84 33.47	62 24.70	261
A lot worse off	31 12.45	35 7.81	30 11.95	17 6.77	113
Don't know	0 0.00	2 0.45	1 0.40	12 4.78	15
Total	249 20.77	448 37.36	251 20.93	251 20.93	1199

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
A lot better off      4      15      11      5      20      4      59
                    3.81      5.14      3.33      4.55      8.13      3.33
-----
Somewhat better off   6      56      57      21      66      34      240
                    5.71      19.18      17.27      19.09      26.83      28.33
-----
About the same       51     117     148     46     103     49     514
                    48.57     40.07     44.85     41.82     41.87     40.83
-----
Somewhat worse off   33     66     70     18     49     26     262
                    31.43     22.60     21.21     16.36     19.92     21.67
-----
A lot worse off      8      32     41     17     8      7     113
                    7.62     10.96     12.42     15.45     3.25     5.83
-----
Don't know           3      6      3      3      0      0     15
                    2.86     2.05     0.91     2.73     0.00     0.00
-----
Total                105    292    330    110    246    120    1203
                    8.73     24.27     27.43     9.14     20.45     9.98
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot better off	9 5.29	24 9.34	7 4.55	11 3.46	8 2.66	59
Somewhat better off	41 24.12	47 18.29	28 18.18	69 21.70	55 18.27	240
About the same	63 37.06	119 46.30	54 35.06	138 43.40	139 46.18	513
Somewhat worse off	47 27.65	46 17.90	47 30.52	64 20.13	57 18.94	261
A lot worse off	9 5.29	19 7.39	17 11.04	30 9.43	37 12.29	112
Don't know	1 0.59	2 0.78	1 0.65	6 1.89	5 1.66	15
Total	170 14.17	257 21.42	154 12.83	318 26.50	301 25.08	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
A lot better off	16 2.20	18 11.61	24 8.79	1 6.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00		1 100.00	60
Somewhat better off	134 18.41	41 26.45	56 20.51	4 26.67	1 33.33	2 12.50	1 11.11		0 0.00	239

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

About the same	330	60	107	5	1	7	3	0	513
	45.33	38.71	39.19	33.33	33.33	43.75	33.33	0.00	
Somewhat worse off	168	19	59	5	0	7	4	0	262
	23.08	12.26	21.61	33.33	0.00	43.75	44.44	0.00	
A lot worse off	75	12	23	0	1	0	1	0	112
	10.30	7.74	8.42	0.00	33.33	0.00	11.11	0.00	
Don't know	5	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	14
	0.69	3.23	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	728	155	273	15	3	16	9	1	1200
	60.67	12.92	22.75	1.25	0.25	1.33	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
A lot better off	14	0	6	0	29	10	59
	2.23	0.00	5.17	0.00	9.45	13.51	
Somewhat better off	133	1	27	4	61	13	239
	21.14	6.67	23.28	6.90	19.87	17.57	
About the same	281	3	37	30	128	32	511
	44.67	20.00	31.90	51.72	41.69	43.24	
Somewhat worse off	153	3	30	14	48	14	262

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	24.32	20.00	25.86	24.14	15.64	18.92	
A lot worse off	44	8	15	9	35	2	113
	7.00	53.33	12.93	15.52	11.40	2.70	
Don't know	4	0	1	1	6	3	15
	0.64	0.00	0.86	1.72	1.95	4.05	
Total	629	15	116	58	307	74	1199
	52.46	1.25	9.67	4.84	25.60	6.17	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
A lot better off           34     25     59
                        6.06     3.91
-----
Somewhat better off       122     118    240
                        21.75    18.44
-----
About the same            246     266    512
                        43.85    41.56
-----
Somewhat worse off        115     147    262
                        20.50    22.97
-----
A lot worse off           41     72    113
                        7.31    11.25
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	3	12	15
	0.53	1.88	

Total	561	640	1201
	46.71	53.29	

=====

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

=====

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
A lot better off	28	22	9	59
	8.14	3.78	3.30	
Somewhat better off	78	125	37	240
	22.67	21.48	13.55	
About the same	134	248	130	512
	38.95	42.61	47.62	
Somewhat worse off	63	131	67	261
	18.31	22.51	24.54	
A lot worse off	38	48	26	112
	11.05	8.25	9.52	
Don't know	3	8	4	15
	0.87	1.37	1.47	
Total	344	582	273	1199
	28.69	48.54	22.77	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
A lot better off           15           15           5           12           13           60
                           6.49           3.79           4.55           9.92           3.79
-----
Somewhat better off       55           96           19           20           50           240
                           23.81          24.24          17.27          16.53          14.58
-----
About the same            86           162           48           60           156           512
                           37.23          40.91          43.64          49.59          45.48
-----
Somewhat worse off       51           79           28           23           81           262
                           22.08          19.95          25.45          19.01          23.62
-----
A lot worse off          22           42           7           5           37           113
                           9.52           10.61          6.36           4.13          10.79
-----
Don't know                2           2           3           1           6           14
                           0.87           0.51           2.73           0.83           1.75
-----
Total                    231          396           110           121           343          1201
                           19.23          32.97          9.16           10.07          28.56
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
A lot better off      26    21    11     2    60
                    11.66  6.84  2.38  0.96
-----
Somewhat better off   47    80    81    31   239
                    21.08 26.06 17.53 14.90
-----
About the same       82   116   208   107   513
                    36.77 37.79 45.02 51.44
-----
Somewhat worse off   38    54   120    49   261
                    17.04 17.59 25.97 23.56
-----
A lot worse off      22    30    41    19   112
                    9.87  9.77  8.87  9.13
-----
Don't know           8     6     1     0    15
                    3.59  1.95  0.22  0.00
-----
Total                223   307   462   208  1200
                    18.58 25.58 38.50 17.33
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Elections

Q13. 2014 Primary Participation Preference

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Republican primary	0 0.00	2 2.25	8 7.27	97 25.26	135 63.98	197 84.91	103 81.10	542
Democratic primary	37	75	69	140	32	13	16	382

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	80.43	84.27	62.73	36.46	15.17	5.60	12.60	
Wouldn't vote in a primary	5 10.87	10 11.24	21 19.09	90 23.44	21 9.95	11 4.74	1 0.79	159
Don't know	4 8.70	2 2.25	12 10.91	57 14.84	23 10.90	11 4.74	7 5.51	116
Total	46 3.84	89 7.42	110 9.17	384 32.03	211 17.60	232 19.35	127 10.59	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Republican primary	11 4.45	97 25.26	435 76.58	543
Democratic primary	181 73.28	140 36.46	60 10.56	381
Wouldn't vote in a primary	36 14.57	90 23.44	33 5.81	159
Don't know	19 7.69	57 14.84	40 7.04	116
Total	247 20.60	384 32.03	568 47.37	1199

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Republican primary	4 1.67	5 4.24	6 4.72	33 28.70	99 79.84	147 79.89	243 96.43	537
Democratic primary	216 90.38	81 68.64	65 51.18	14 12.17	2 1.61	2 1.09	0 0.00	380
Wouldn't vote in a primary	15 6.28	21 17.80	36 28.35	34 29.57	13 10.48	24 13.04	5 1.98	148
Don't know	4 1.67	11 9.32	20 15.75	34 29.57	10 8.06	11 5.98	4 1.59	94
Total	239 20.62	118 10.18	127 10.96	115 9.92	124 10.70	184 15.88	252 21.74	1159

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Republican primary	15	33	489	537

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.10	28.70	87.32	
Democratic primary	361	14	4	379
	74.59	12.17	0.71	
Wouldn't vote in a primary	72	34	42	148
	14.88	29.57	7.50	
Don't know	36	34	25	95
	7.44	29.57	4.46	
Total	484	115	560	1159
	41.76	9.92	48.32	

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Republican primary	221	18	199	104	542
	88.40	4.02	79.28	41.43	
Democratic primary	5	351	7	19	382
	2.00	78.35	2.79	7.57	
Wouldn't vote in a primary	17	54	33	54	158
	6.80	12.05	13.15	21.51	
Don't know	7	25	12	74	118
	2.80	5.58	4.78	29.48	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	250	448	251	251	1200
	20.83	37.33	20.92	20.92	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Republican primary      32           136           150           43           125           58           544
                        30.48          46.74          45.45          39.09          51.02          48.33
-----
Democratic primary      28           86           115           37           78           38           382
                        26.67          29.55          34.85          33.64          31.84          31.67
-----
Wouldn't vote in a primary  27           27           42           16           30           16           158
                        25.71          9.28           12.73          14.55          12.24          13.33
-----
Don't know              18           42           23           14           12           8           117
                        17.14          14.43          6.97           12.73          4.90           6.67
-----
Total                   105          291           330           110          245           120          1201
                        8.74          24.23          27.48          9.16          20.40          9.99
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

attend

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Republican primary	91 53.53	137 53.31	71 45.81	151 47.48	92 30.67	542
Democratic primary	49 28.82	83 32.30	36 23.23	91 28.62	122 40.67	381
Wouldn't vote in a primary	19 11.18	21 8.17	29 18.71	36 11.32	55 18.33	160
Don't know	11 6.47	16 6.23	19 12.26	40 12.58	31 10.33	117
Total	170 14.17	257 21.42	155 12.92	318 26.50	300 25.00	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Republican primary	415 57.08	8 5.13	97 35.53	9 52.94	1 50.00	5 29.41	8 80.00	1 100.00	544
Democratic primary	167 22.97	106 67.95	102 37.36	1 5.88	1 50.00	4 23.53	1 10.00	0 0.00	382
Wouldn't vote in a pr	89 12.24	20 12.82	43 15.75	3 17.65	0 0.00	4 23.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	159

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	56	22	31	4	0	4	1	0	118
	7.70	14.10	11.36	23.53	0.00	23.53	10.00	0.00	
Total	727	156	273	17	2	17	10	1	1203
	60.43	12.97	22.69	1.41	0.17	1.41	0.83	0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Republican primary	340	5	59	36	85	18	543
	54.14	31.25	50.43	62.07	27.78	24.66	
Democratic primary	161	3	41	12	129	36	382
	25.64	18.75	35.04	20.69	42.16	49.32	
Wouldn't vote in a primary	76	4	6	8	54	9	157
	12.10	25.00	5.13	13.79	17.65	12.33	
Don't know	51	4	11	2	38	10	116
	8.12	25.00	9.40	3.45	12.42	13.70	
Total	628	16	117	58	306	73	1198
	52.42	1.34	9.77	4.84	25.54	6.09	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Republican primary    282     261     543
                    50.18   40.91
-----
Democratic primary    153     228     381
                    27.22   35.74
-----
Wouldn't vote in a primary    74     85     159
                    13.17   13.32
-----
Don't know            53     64     117
                    9.43   10.03
-----
Total                 562     638    1200
                    46.83   53.17
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Republican primary    138     269     135     542
                    40.12   46.22   49.45
-----
Democratic primary    117     187     78     382
                    34.01   32.13   28.57
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wouldn't vote in a primary	54	73	31	158
	15.70	12.54	11.36	

Don't know	35	53	29	117
	10.17	9.11	10.62	

Total	344	582	273	1199
	28.69	48.54	22.77	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====							
	METRO						
Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total	

Republican primary	112	178	46	39	168	543	
	48.48	44.95	42.20	32.50	48.84		

Democratic primary	76	119	40	53	94	382	
	32.90	30.05	36.70	44.17	27.33		

Wouldn't vote in a primary	23	58	13	19	46	159	
	9.96	14.65	11.93	15.83	13.37		

Don't know	20	41	10	9	36	116	
	8.66	10.35	9.17	7.50	10.47		

Total	231	396	109	120	344	1200	
	19.25	33.00	9.08	10.00	28.67		

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                AGEG
Q              18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Republican primary      68    126    229    120    543
                        30.36  41.04  49.57  57.69
-----
Democratic primary     68    108    153    53    382
                        30.36  35.18  33.12  25.48
-----
Wouldn't vote in a primary  50    48    47    14    159
                        22.32  15.64  10.17  6.73
-----
Don't know              38    25    33    21    117
                        16.96  8.14   7.14  10.10
-----
Total                   224    307    462    208   1201
                        18.65  25.56  38.47  17.32
=====

```

Q14. 2014 Republican Gubernatorial Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Greg Abbott	0	1	3	76	118	186	91	475
Miriam Martinez	0	0	4	8	4	7	5	28
SECEDE Kilgore	0	0	0	1	5	1	4	11
Lisa Fritsch	0	1	0	13	6	3	3	26
Total	0	2	7	98	133	197	103	540

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Greg Abbott	4	76	395	475
	44.44	77.55	91.01	
Miriam Martinez	4	8	17	29
	44.44	8.16	3.92	
SECEDE Kilgore	0	1	10	11
	0.00	1.02	2.30	
Lisa Fritsch	1	13	12	26
	11.11	13.27	2.76	
Total	9	98	434	541
	1.66	18.11	80.22	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Greg Abbott	1	5	0	19	89	133	223	470
	25.00	100.00	0.00	61.29	89.90	90.48	91.77	
Miriam Martinez	1	0	2	4	3	7	11	28
	25.00	0.00	40.00	12.90	3.03	4.76	4.53	
SECEDE Kilgore	0	0	1	1	1	3	4	10
	0.00	0.00	20.00	3.23	1.01	2.04	1.65	
Lisa Fritsch	2	0	2	7	6	4	5	26

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	50.00	0.00	40.00	22.58	6.06	2.72	2.06	
Total	4	5	5	31	99	147	243	534
	0.75	0.94	0.94	5.81	18.54	27.53	45.51	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Greg Abbott          6             19             445         470
                   42.86          61.29          91.00
-----
Miriam Martinez      3             4             20          27
                   21.43          12.90          4.09
-----
SECEDE Kilgore      1             1             9           11
                   7.14           3.23          1.84
-----
Lisa Fritsch         4             7             15          26
                   28.57          22.58          3.07
-----
Total                14            31            489         534
                   2.62           5.81          91.57
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====						
Q24						
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	

Greg Abbott	198 89.59	10 55.56	175 88.83	92 89.32	475	
Miriam Martinez	13 5.88	4 22.22	4 2.03	7 6.80	28	
SECEDE Kilgore	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 4.57	2 1.94	11	
Lisa Fritsch	10 4.52	4 22.22	9 4.57	2 1.94	25	
Total	221 41.00	18 3.34	197 36.55	103 19.11	539	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

=====							
educ							
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

Greg Abbott	27 84.38	116 86.57	138 92.00	38 88.37	103 83.74	54 93.10	476
Miriam Martinez	1 3.12	8 5.97	5 3.33	1 2.33	10 8.13	3 5.17	28
SECEDE Kilgore	0	3	6	1	0	1	11

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	2.24	4.00	2.33	0.00	1.72	
Lisa Fritsch	4	7	1	3	10	0	25
	12.50	5.22	0.67	6.98	8.13	0.00	
Total	32	134	150	43	123	58	540
	5.93	24.81	27.78	7.96	22.78	10.74	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Greg Abbott	79 88.76	117 84.78	66 92.96	134 89.33	79 85.87	475
Miriam Martinez	8 8.99	7 5.07	4 5.63	4 2.67	6 6.52	29
SECEDE Kilgore	1 1.12	5 3.62	1 1.41	1 0.67	2 2.17	10
Lisa Fritsch	1 1.12	9 6.52	0 0.00	11 7.33	5 5.43	26
Total	89 16.48	138 25.56	71 13.15	150 27.78	92 17.04	540

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Americ	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del ori	
Greg Abbott	370 89.81	7 77.78	80 83.33	6 75.00	1 100.00	3 75.00	8 100.00	1 100.00	476
Miriam Martinez	20 4.85	0 0.00	6 6.25	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	27
SECEDE Kilgore	6 1.46	0 0.00	4 4.17	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	10
Lisa Fritsch	16 3.88	2 22.22	6 6.25	1 12.50	0 0.00	1 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	26
Total	412 76.44	9 1.67	96 17.81	8 1.48	1 0.19	4 0.74	8 1.48	1 0.19	539

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Greg Abbott	304 90.21	3 60.00	48 81.36	34 94.44	69 81.18	17 89.47	475
Miriam Martinez	13	1	8	2	4	0	28

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.86	20.00	13.56	5.56	4.71	0.00	
SECEDE Kilgore	8	0	0	0	3	0	11
	2.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.53	0.00	
Lisa Fritsch	12	1	3	0	9	2	27
	3.56	20.00	5.08	0.00	10.59	10.53	
Total	337	5	59	36	85	19	541
	62.29	0.92	10.91	6.65	15.71	3.51	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Greg Abbott	249	227	476
	88.61	87.64	
Miriam Martinez	15	12	27
	5.34	4.63	
SECEDE Kilgore	4	7	11
	1.42	2.70	
Lisa Fritsch	13	13	26
	4.63	5.02	
Total	281	259	540
	52.04	47.96	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Greg Abbott	110 79.71	239 89.18	127 95.49	476
Miriam Martinez	14 10.14	10 3.73	3 2.26	27
SECEDE Kilgore	1 0.72	9 3.36	1 0.75	11
Lisa Fritsch	13 9.42	10 3.73	2 1.50	25
Total	138 25.60	268 49.72	133 24.68	539

```
=====
```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Greg Abbott	97	155	42	30	152	476

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	86.61	87.57	93.33	75.00	91.02	
Miriam Martinez	4 3.57	12 6.78	0 0.00	3 7.50	9 5.39	28
SECEDE Kilgore	5 4.46	3 1.69	1 2.22	0 0.00	2 1.20	11
Lisa Fritsch	6 5.36	7 3.95	2 4.44	7 17.50	4 2.40	26
Total	112 20.70	177 32.72	45 8.32	40 7.39	167 30.87	541

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Greg Abbott	49 72.06	107 84.92	204 90.27	115 95.83	475
Miriam Martinez	8 11.76	8 6.35	10 4.42	2 1.67	28
SECEDE Kilgore	2 2.94	5 3.97	4 1.77	0 0.00	11
Lisa Fritsch	9 13.24	6 4.76	8 3.54	3 2.50	26

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	68	126	226	120	540
	12.59	23.33	41.85	22.22	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q15. 2014 Democratic Gubernatorial Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Wendy Davis	34 91.89	70 93.33	66 95.65	114 84.44	16 51.61	7 58.33	12 80.00	319
Ray Madrigal	3 8.11	5 6.67	3 4.35	21 15.56	15 48.39	5 41.67	3 20.00	55

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	37	75	69	135	31	12	15	374
	9.89	20.05	18.45	36.10	8.29	3.21	4.01	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          IDEOL
          Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Wendy Davis  170      114      36      320
              93.92    84.44    60.00
-----
Ray Madrigal  11       21      24      56
              6.08     15.56   40.00
-----
Total        181     135     60     376
              48.14   35.90   15.96
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          PID7
          StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Wendy Davis  187     61     56     10     1     2     0     317
              88.21   76.25   88.89  71.43   50.00  100.00   NaN
-----
Ray Madrigal  25     19     7     4     1     0     0     56
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	11.79	23.75	11.11	28.57	50.00	0.00	NaN	
Total	212	80	63	14	2	2	0	373
	56.84	21.45	16.89	3.75	0.54	0.54	0.00	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Wendy Davis      304           10           3          317
                  85.63           71.43           75.00
-----
Ray Madrigal     51            4            1           56
                  14.37           28.57           25.00
-----
Total            355           14            4          373
                  95.17           3.75           1.07
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          Q24
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Wendy Davis      3          303           3          10          319
                  75.00          87.32          50.00          58.82
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Ray Madrigal	1	44	3	7	55
	25.00	12.68	50.00	41.18	
Total	4	347	6	17	374
	1.07	92.78	1.60	4.55	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Wendy Davis	21	71	91	35	68	33	319
	75.00	86.59	79.82	97.22	87.18	89.19	
Ray Madrigal	7	11	23	1	10	4	56
	25.00	13.41	20.18	2.78	12.82	10.81	
Total	28	82	114	36	78	37	375
	7.47	21.87	30.40	9.60	20.80	9.87	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wendy Davis	34	62	26	82	114	318
	72.34	74.70	81.25	91.11	94.21	
Ray Madrigal	13	21	6	8	7	55
	27.66	25.30	18.75	8.89	5.79	
Total	47	83	32	90	121	373
	12.60	22.25	8.58	24.13	32.44	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / America	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del orie	
Wendy Davis	153	87	74	1	1	3	1	0	320
	91.62	84.47	75.51	100.00	100.00	75.00	100.00	NaN	
Ray Madrigal	14	16	24	0	0	1	0	0	55
	8.38	15.53	24.49	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	NaN	
Total	167	103	98	1	1	4	1	0	375
	44.53	27.47	26.13	0.27	0.27	1.07	0.27	0.00	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Wendy Davis	138 86.79	3 100.00	31 77.50	10 90.91	104 81.89	34 94.44	320
Ray Madrigal	21 13.21	0 0.00	9 22.50	1 9.09	23 18.11	2 5.56	56
Total	159 42.29	3 0.80	40 10.64	11 2.93	127 33.78	36 9.57	376

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Wendy Davis	123 82.00	196 87.11	319
Ray Madrigal	27 18.00	29 12.89	56
Total	150 40.00	225 60.00	375

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
                locate
Q              Urban  Suburban  Rural  Total
-----
Wendy Davis    98      161     61    320
                85.22    87.50   79.22
-----
Ray Madrigal   17       23     16     56
                14.78    12.50   20.78
-----
Total          115     184     77    376
                30.59    48.94   20.48
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                METRO
Q              Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Wendy Davis          67              104              30              44              74              319
                    88.16              88.89              78.95              83.02              81.32
-----
Ray Madrigal         9              13              8              9              17              56
                    11.84              11.11              21.05              16.98              18.68
-----
Total                76              117              38              53              91              375
                    20.27              31.20              10.13              14.13              24.27
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Wendy Davis    52    90   130    47   319
              76.47  84.91  86.67  94.00
-----
Ray Madrigal   16    16    20     3    55
              23.53  15.09  13.33   6.00
-----
Total          68   106   150    50   374
              18.18  28.34  40.11  13.37
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16. 2014 Republican Senatorial Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|                Count |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
John Cornyn	0	1	5	46	75	133	62	322
Dwayne Stovall	0	0	0	7	4	11	4	26
Linda Vega	0	1	1	23	5	2	5	37
Curt Cleaver	0	0	0	2	4	1	2	9

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Reid Reasor	0	0	2	7	3	3	4	19
Ken Cope	0	0	0	3	11	7	1	22
Steve Stockman	0	0	0	6	24	33	21	84
Chris Mapp	0	0	1	3	6	3	3	16
Total	0	2	9	97	132	193	102	535

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
John Cornyn	6 54.55	46 47.42	270 63.38	322
Dwayne Stovall	0 0.00	7 7.22	18 4.23	25
Linda Vega	2 18.18	23 23.71	12 2.82	37
Curt Cleaver	0 0.00	2 2.06	7 1.64	9
Reid Reasor	2 18.18	7 7.22	10 2.35	19

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Ken Cope	0	3	19	22
	0.00	3.09	4.46	

Steve Stockman	0	6	78	84
	0.00	6.19	18.31	

Chris Mapp	1	3	12	16
	9.09	3.09	2.82	

Total	11	97	426	534
	2.06	18.16	79.78	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
John Cornyn	0	1	2	15	53	84	165	320
	0.00	20.00	28.57	50.00	56.38	58.33	68.46	

Dwayne Stovall	1	1	1	1	5	10	6	25
	25.00	20.00	14.29	3.33	5.32	6.94	2.49	

Linda Vega	2	3	3	8	5	6	10	37
	50.00	60.00	42.86	26.67	5.32	4.17	4.15	

Curt Cleaver	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	9
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.06	2.78	1.66	

Reid Reasor	0	0	0	0	3	3	9	15
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.19	2.08	3.73	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Ken Cope	1	0	1	0	2	10	8	22
	25.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	2.13	6.94	3.32	
Steve Stockman	0	0	0	4	22	24	32	82
	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	23.40	16.67	13.28	
Chris Mapp	0	0	0	2	3	3	7	15
	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	3.19	2.08	2.90	
Total	4	5	7	30	94	144	241	525
	0.76	0.95	1.33	5.71	17.90	27.43	45.90	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
John Cornyn	3	15	302	320
	21.43	50.00	62.79	
Dwayne Stovall	3	1	21	25
	21.43	3.33	4.37	
Linda Vega	7	8	22	37
	50.00	26.67	4.57	
Curt Cleaver	0	0	9	9
	0.00	0.00	1.87	
Reid Reasor	0	0	15	15

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00	0.00	3.12	
Ken Cope	1	0	20	21
	7.14	0.00	4.16	
Steve Stockman	0	4	78	82
	0.00	13.33	16.22	
Chris Mapp	0	2	14	16
	0.00	6.67	2.91	
Total	14	30	481	525
	2.67	5.71	91.62	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q24
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
John Cornyn      144      4      103      71      322
                  64.86      23.53      53.37      71.00
-----
Dwayne Stovall   10      6      7      1      24
                  4.50      35.29      3.63      1.00
-----
Linda Vega       19      4      4      9      36
                  8.56      23.53      2.07      9.00
-----
Curt Cleaver     6      0      3      1      10
                  2.70      0.00      1.55      1.00
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Reid Reasor	17 7.66	0 0.00	2 1.04	0 0.00	19
Ken Cope	9 4.05	2 11.76	3 1.55	8 8.00	22
Steve Stockman	14 6.31	1 5.88	58 30.05	10 10.00	83
Chris Mapp	3 1.35	0 0.00	13 6.74	0 0.00	16
Total	222 41.73	17 3.20	193 36.28	100 18.80	532

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
John Cornyn	13 38.24	88 66.67	85 58.62	16 38.10	78 63.41	42 71.19	322
Dwayne Stovall	1 2.94	7 5.30	5 3.45	7 16.67	4 3.25	1 1.69	25
Linda Vega	8 23.53	3 2.27	13 8.97	4 9.52	7 5.69	1 1.69	36
Curt Cleaver	0 0.00	5 3.79	4 2.76	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.69	10

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Reid Reasor	2 5.88	1 0.76	9 6.21	3 7.14	5 4.07	0 0.00	20
Ken Cope	5 14.71	3 2.27	5 3.45	1 2.38	8 6.50	0 0.00	22
Steve Stockman	2 5.88	21 15.91	17 11.72	11 26.19	19 15.45	13 22.03	83
Chris Mapp	3 8.82	4 3.03	7 4.83	0 0.00	2 1.63	1 1.69	17
Total	34 6.36	132 24.67	145 27.10	42 7.85	123 22.99	59 11.03	535

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====

Q	attend						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
John Cornyn	58 64.44	85 63.43	34 47.89	95 63.76	50 56.82		322
Dwayne Stovall	5 5.56	4 2.99	5 7.04	9 6.04	3 3.41		26
Linda Vega	6 6.67	8 5.97	5 7.04	12 8.05	6 6.82		37
Curt Cleaver	3	3	2	1	0		9

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.33	2.24	2.82	0.67	0.00	
Reid Reasor	4	4	9	1	1	19
	4.44	2.99	12.68	0.67	1.14	
Ken Cope	1	10	0	9	1	21
	1.11	7.46	0.00	6.04	1.14	
Steve Stockman	10	19	12	17	25	83
	11.11	14.18	16.90	11.41	28.41	
Chris Mapp	3	1	4	5	2	15
	3.33	0.75	5.63	3.36	2.27	
Total	90	134	71	149	88	532
	16.92	25.19	13.35	28.01	16.54	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / America	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del orie	Total
John Cornyn	259	0	54	3	1	1	4	1	323
	63.48	0.00	56.25	33.33	100.00	20.00	57.14	100.00	
Dwayne Stovall	16	6	2	0	0	0	1	0	25
	3.92	75.00	2.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.29	0.00	
Linda Vega	19	2	12	1	0	2	1	0	37
	4.66	25.00	12.50	11.11	0.00	40.00	14.29	0.00	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Curt Cleaver	4	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	10
	0.98	0.00	5.21	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Reid Reasor	15	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	19
	3.68	0.00	4.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Ken Cope	14	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	22
	3.43	0.00	5.21	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Steve Stockman	67	0	12	1	0	2	1	0	83
	16.42	0.00	12.50	11.11	0.00	40.00	14.29	0.00	
Chris Mapp	14	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	16
	3.43	0.00	2.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	408	8	96	9	1	5	7	1	535
	76.26	1.50	17.94	1.68	0.19	0.93	1.31	0.19	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
John Cornyn	224 67.47	2 33.33	21 36.84	27 75.00	39 45.88	10 52.63	323
Dwayne Stovall	9 2.71	1 16.67	8 14.04	0 0.00	7 8.24	0 0.00	25
Linda Vega	18 5.42	2 33.33	5 8.77	0 0.00	8 9.41	3 15.79	36

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Curt Cleaver	7	0	1	0	2	0	10
	2.11	0.00	1.75	0.00	2.35	0.00	
Reid Reasor	4	0	0	0	12	4	20
	1.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.12	21.05	
Ken Cope	10	0	9	0	3	0	22
	3.01	0.00	15.79	0.00	3.53	0.00	
Steve Stockman	47	1	13	9	11	2	83
	14.16	16.67	22.81	25.00	12.94	10.53	
Chris Mapp	13	0	0	0	3	0	16
	3.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.53	0.00	
Total	332	6	57	36	85	19	535
	62.06	1.12	10.65	6.73	15.89	3.55	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
John Cornyn	160	162	322
	57.76	63.04	
Dwayne Stovall	12	13	25
	4.33	5.06	
Linda Vega	13	24	37

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	4.69	9.34	
Curt Cleaver	4	5	9
	1.44	1.95	
Reid Reasor	15	4	19
	5.42	1.56	
Ken Cope	11	11	22
	3.97	4.28	
Steve Stockman	52	32	84
	18.77	12.45	
Chris Mapp	10	6	16
	3.61	2.33	
Total	277	257	534
	51.87	48.13	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count	Column Percent

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
John Cornyn	65	153	103	321
	48.51	58.40	76.87	
Dwayne Stovall	4	17	4	25
	2.99	6.49	2.99	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Linda Vega	19	14	3	36
	14.18	5.34	2.24	
Curt Cleaver	3	6	1	10
	2.24	2.29	0.75	
Reid Reasor	10	7	1	18
	7.46	2.67	0.75	
Ken Cope	14	5	2	21
	10.45	1.91	1.49	
Steve Stockman	17	50	16	83
	12.69	19.08	11.94	
Chris Mapp	2	10	4	16
	1.49	3.82	2.99	
Total	134	262	134	530
	25.28	49.43	25.28	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
John Cornyn	44	113	33	24	108	322
	40.00	65.32	73.33	61.54	65.06	
Dwayne Stovall	7	11	3	1	3	25
	6.36	6.36	6.67	2.56	1.81	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Linda Vega	7 6.36	12 6.94	1 2.22	6 15.38	11 6.63	37
Curt Cleaver	2 1.82	5 2.89	1 2.22	0 0.00	2 1.20	10
Reid Reasor	10 9.09	3 1.73	3 6.67	0 0.00	3 1.81	19
Ken Cope	6 5.45	9 5.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 3.61	21
Steve Stockman	27 24.55	19 10.98	4 8.89	7 17.95	26 15.66	83
Chris Mapp	7 6.36	1 0.58	0 0.00	1 2.56	7 4.22	16
Total	110 20.64	173 32.46	45 8.44	39 7.32	166 31.14	533

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
John Cornyn	18 26.47	68 54.84	147 65.33	89 78.07	322
Dwayne Stovall	6	13	5	1	25

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.82	10.48	2.22	0.88	
Linda Vega	14	8	13	2	37
	20.59	6.45	5.78	1.75	
Curt Cleaver	0	9	0	0	9
	0.00	7.26	0.00	0.00	
Reid Reasor	10	7	1	0	18
	14.71	5.65	0.44	0.00	
Ken Cope	14	5	2	1	22
	20.59	4.03	0.89	0.88	
Steve Stockman	6	12	46	19	83
	8.82	9.68	20.44	16.67	
Chris Mapp	0	2	11	2	15
	0.00	1.61	4.89	1.75	
Total	68	124	225	114	531
	12.81	23.35	42.37	21.47	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q17. 2014 Democratic Senatorial Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
David Alameel	7 23.33	15 23.44	14 21.88	36 28.80	10 32.26	2 16.67	1 6.25	85
Michael Fjetland	2 6.67	4 6.25	3 4.69	9 7.20	4 12.90	0 0.00	5 31.25	27

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Harry Kim	5 16.67	10 15.62	11 17.19	7 5.60	9 29.03	1 8.33	1 6.25	44
Kesha Rogers	9 30.00	22 34.38	23 35.94	61 48.80	6 19.35	8 66.67	5 31.25	134
Maxet Scherr	7 23.33	13 20.31	13 20.31	12 9.60	2 6.45	1 8.33	4 25.00	52
Total	30 8.77	64 18.71	64 18.71	125 36.55	31 9.06	12 3.51	16 4.68	342

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
David Alameel	36 22.64	36 28.80	12 21.05	84
Michael Fjetland	9 5.66	9 7.20	9 15.79	27
Harry Kim	26 16.35	7 5.60	11 19.30	44
Kesha Rogers	54 33.96	61 48.80	19 33.33	134
Maxet Scherr	34 21.38	12 9.60	6 10.53	52

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159	125	57	341
	46.63	36.66	16.72	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
David Alameel	51	18	13	1	0	0	0	83
	26.56	24.00	22.81	8.33	0.00	0.00	NaN	
Michael Fjetland	11	11	5	0	0	0	0	27
	5.73	14.67	8.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	NaN	
Harry Kim	24	9	9	2	0	0	0	44
	12.50	12.00	15.79	16.67	0.00	0.00	NaN	
Kesha Rogers	73	29	22	8	0	2	0	134
	38.02	38.67	38.60	66.67	0.00	100.00	NaN	
Maxet Scherr	33	8	8	1	1	0	0	51
	17.19	10.67	14.04	8.33	100.00	0.00	NaN	
Total	192	75	57	12	1	2	0	339
	56.64	22.12	16.81	3.54	0.29	0.59	0.00	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
                PID3
Q                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
David Alameel      83          1           0          84
                  25.54         8.33         0.00
-----
Michael Fjetland   27          0           0          27
                  8.31          0.00         0.00
-----
Harry Kim          42          2           0          44
                  12.92         16.67         0.00
-----
Kesha Rogers       124         8            2          134
                  38.15         66.67         66.67
-----
Maxet Scherr       49          1            1          51
                  15.08         8.33         33.33
-----
Total              325         12            3          340
                  95.59         3.53         0.88
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
                Q24
Q                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
David Alameel      0          78          2           5          85
                  0.00         24.61         28.57         35.71
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Michael Fjetland	1	25	0	0	26
	25.00	7.89	0.00	0.00	
Harry Kim	0	39	2	2	43
	0.00	12.30	28.57	14.29	
Kesha Rogers	2	125	2	6	135
	50.00	39.43	28.57	42.86	
Maxet Scherr	1	50	1	1	53
	25.00	15.77	14.29	7.14	
Total	4	317	7	14	342
	1.17	92.69	2.05	4.09	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
David Alameel	9	24	17	8	23	3	84
	33.33	32.00	16.04	22.86	32.86	10.00	
Michael Fjetland	3	6	9	5	1	2	26
	11.11	8.00	8.49	14.29	1.43	6.67	
Harry Kim	1	5	13	6	11	9	45
	3.70	6.67	12.26	17.14	15.71	30.00	
Kesha Rogers	13	34	45	13	21	9	135

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	48.15	45.33	42.45	37.14	30.00	30.00	
Maxet Scherr	1 3.70	6 8.00	22 20.75	3 8.57	14 20.00	7 23.33	53
Total	27 7.87	75 21.87	106 30.90	35 10.20	70 20.41	30 8.75	343

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
David Alameel           11           20           13           18           24           86
                        26.19        25.64           40.62        22.22        21.62
-----
Michael Fjetland        1            8            5            1           13           28
                        2.38        10.26        15.62        1.23        11.71
-----
Harry Kim               8            7            5           12           13           45
                        19.05        8.97        15.62        14.81        11.71
-----
Kesha Rogers           18           32            7           38           39           134
                        42.86        41.03        21.88        46.91        35.14
-----
Maxet Scherr            4           11            2           12           22           51
                        9.52        14.10        6.25        14.81        19.82
-----
Total                   42           78            32           81           111          344
                        12.21        22.67        9.30        23.55        32.27
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Americ	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del ori	Total
David Alameel		34 23.29	12 12.12	38 41.30	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 33.33	0 NaN	0 NaN	85
Michael Fjetland		11 7.53	8 8.08	8 8.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 NaN	0 NaN	27
Harry Kim		24 16.44	9 9.09	9 9.78	1 100.00	1 100.00	0 0.00	0 NaN	0 NaN	44
Kesha Rogers		43 29.45	62 62.63	27 29.35	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 66.67	0 NaN	0 NaN	134
Maxet Scherr		34 23.29	8 8.08	10 10.87	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 NaN	0 NaN	52
Total		146 42.69	99 28.95	92 26.90	1 0.29	1 0.29	3 0.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	342

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
David Alameel	34 24.29	0 0.00	11 29.73	3 30.00	28 23.73	8 23.53	84
Michael Fjetland	11 7.86	1 33.33	3 8.11	0 0.00	12 10.17	1 2.94	28
Harry Kim	20 14.29	0 0.00	2 5.41	1 10.00	20 16.95	1 2.94	44
Kesha Rogers	56 40.00	1 33.33	16 43.24	5 50.00	39 33.05	17 50.00	134
Maxet Scherr	19 13.57	1 33.33	5 13.51	1 10.00	19 16.10	7 20.59	52
Total	140 40.94	3 0.88	37 10.82	10 2.92	118 34.50	34 9.94	342

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
David Alameel	45 32.14	39 19.31	84
Michael Fjetland	14 10.00	13 6.44	27

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Harry Kim	28	16	44
	20.00	7.92	

Kesha Rogers	36	99	135
	25.71	49.01	

Maxet Scherr	17	35	52
	12.14	17.33	

Total	140	202	342
	40.94	59.06	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

David Alameel	32	37	15	84
	31.37	22.70	19.74	

Michael Fjetland	5	13	9	27
	4.90	7.98	11.84	

Harry Kim	17	19	8	44
	16.67	11.66	10.53	

Kesha Rogers	34	67	34	135
	33.33	41.10	44.74	

Maxet Scherr	14	27	10	51
	13.73	16.56	13.16	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	102	163	76	341
	29.91	47.80	22.29	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
David Alameel	10 14.93	29 26.61	10 32.26	13 28.26	23 26.74	85
Michael Fjetland	5 7.46	7 6.42	0 0.00	2 4.35	12 13.95	26
Harry Kim	16 23.88	13 11.93	3 9.68	3 6.52	8 9.30	43
Kesha Rogers	21 31.34	46 42.20	12 38.71	21 45.65	34 39.53	134
Maxet Scherr	15 22.39	14 12.84	6 19.35	7 15.22	9 10.47	51
Total	67 19.76	109 32.15	31 9.14	46 13.57	86 25.37	339

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
David Alameel	17 25.37	20 19.23	33 25.38	15 35.71	85
Michael Fjetland	5 7.46	12 11.54	7 5.38	4 9.52	28
Harry Kim	11 16.42	19 18.27	12 9.23	2 4.76	44
Kesha Rogers	24 35.82	39 37.50	53 40.77	18 42.86	134
Maxet Scherr	10 14.93	14 13.46	25 19.23	3 7.14	52
Total	67 19.53	104 30.32	130 37.90	42 12.24	343

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q18. 2014 Republican Lieutenant Governor Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
David Dewhurst	0	0	2	48	46	69	35	200
Todd Staples	0	0	0	20	16	34	15	85
Jerry Patterson	0	1	0	7	27	27	12	74
Dan Patrick	0	1	6	20	42	59	38	166

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	0	2	8	95	131	189	100	525
-------	---	---	---	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          IDEOL
          Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
David Dewhurst      2      48      150      200
                   20.00   50.53   35.71
-----
Todd Staples        0      20      64      84
                   0.00   21.05   15.24
-----
Jerry Patterson    1      7      66      74
                   10.00   7.37   15.71
-----
Dan Patrick        7      20      140     167
                   70.00   21.05   33.33
-----
Total              10     95     420     525
                   1.90   18.10   80.00
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
David Dewhurst	0 0.00	2 40.00	3 50.00	9 30.00	26 26.80	63 43.75	96 40.85	199
Todd Staples	2 50.00	3 60.00	1 16.67	7 23.33	17 17.53	19 13.19	36 15.32	85
Jerry Patterson	2 50.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	5 16.67	12 12.37	17 11.81	38 16.17	75
Dan Patrick	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	9 30.00	42 43.30	45 31.25	65 27.66	162
Total	4 0.77	5 0.96	6 1.15	30 5.76	97 18.62	144 27.64	235 45.11	521

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
David Dewhurst	5 33.33	9 30.00	185 38.95	199
Todd Staples	6 40.00	7 23.33	71 14.95	84
Jerry Patterson	3 20.00	5 16.67	67 14.11	75

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Dan Patrick	1	9	152	162
	6.67	30.00	32.00	

Total	15	30	475	520
	2.88	5.77	91.35	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q24

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
David Dewhurst	105	2	53	41	201
	48.39	11.11	27.18	42.27	
Todd Staples	26	12	32	15	85
	11.98	66.67	16.41	15.46	
Jerry Patterson	19	4	35	16	74
	8.76	22.22	17.95	16.49	
Dan Patrick	67	0	75	25	167
	30.88	0.00	38.46	25.77	
Total	217	18	195	97	527
	41.18	3.42	37.00	18.41	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
David Dewhurst	7 21.21	62 47.69	59 41.26	12 27.91	44 36.07	17 30.91	201
Todd Staples	7 21.21	13 10.00	19 13.29	8 18.60	32 26.23	5 9.09	84
Jerry Patterson	1 3.03	14 10.77	19 13.29	9 20.93	18 14.75	14 25.45	75
Dan Patrick	18 54.55	41 31.54	46 32.17	14 32.56	28 22.95	19 34.55	166
Total	33 6.27	130 24.71	143 27.19	43 8.17	122 23.19	55 10.46	526

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
David Dewhurst	46 50.55	38 28.79	28 39.44	62 43.36	28 31.11	202
Todd Staples	12 13.19	25 18.94	6 8.45	21 14.69	21 23.33	85

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Jerry Patterson	7 7.69	25 18.94	9 12.68	24 16.78	9 10.00	74
Dan Patrick	26 28.57	44 33.33	28 39.44	36 25.17	32 35.56	166
Total	91 17.27	132 25.05	71 13.47	143 27.13	90 17.08	527

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / America	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del orie	Total
David Dewhurst	151 37.56	0 0.00	45 48.39	2 22.22	0 0.00	1 25.00	1 14.29	1 100.00	201
Todd Staples	64 15.92	5 62.50	10 10.75	3 33.33	0 0.00	1 25.00	1 14.29	0 0.00	84
Jerry Patterson	58 14.43	0 0.00	12 12.90	2 22.22	1 100.00	1 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	74
Dan Patrick	129 32.09	3 37.50	26 27.96	2 22.22	0 0.00	1 25.00	5 71.43	0 0.00	166
Total	402 76.57	8 1.52	93 17.71	9 1.71	1 0.19	4 0.76	7 1.33	1 0.19	525

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
marital
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
David Dewhurst      126         2         21         13         31         8         201
                   38.07      40.00      36.84      36.11      38.27         47.06
-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Todd Staples        52         1         10         4         17         1         85
                   15.71      20.00      17.54      11.11      20.99         5.88
-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Jerry Patterson    45         1         9         7         12         1         75
                   13.60      20.00      15.79      19.44      14.81         5.88
-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Dan Patrick        108         1         17         12         21         7         166
                   32.63      20.00      29.82      33.33      25.93         41.18
-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Total              331         5         57         36         81         17         527
                   62.81      0.95      10.82      6.83      15.37         3.23
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----|-----|-----|
David Dewhurst      91     109     200
                   33.21   43.25
-----|-----|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Todd Staples	42	43	85
	15.33	17.06	

Jerry Patterson	46	28	74
	16.79	11.11	

Dan Patrick	95	72	167
	34.67	28.57	

Total	274	252	526
	52.09	47.91	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

David Dewhurst	47	85	68	200
	34.81	32.44	53.12	

Todd Staples	23	45	16	84
	17.04	17.18	12.50	

Jerry Patterson	18	46	10	74
	13.33	17.56	7.81	

Dan Patrick	47	86	34	167
	34.81	32.82	26.56	

Total	135	262	128	525
	25.71	49.90	24.38	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q           Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
David Dewhurst           28                65                18                23                66                200
                        25.69             37.79             40.91             58.97             40.49
-----
Todd Staples              8                34                 2                 6                35                85
                        7.34             19.77             4.55             15.38             21.47
-----
Jerry Patterson          23                24                 9                 4                15                75
                        21.10            13.95            20.45            10.26             9.20
-----
Dan Patrick               50                49                15                 6                47                167
                        45.87            28.49            34.09            15.38             28.83
-----
Total                    109               172                44                39                163                527
                        20.68            32.64             8.35             7.40             30.93
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
AGEG
Q           18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

David Dewhurst	20	49	90	41	200
	29.85	40.16	40.72	35.04	

Todd Staples	9	26	30	20	85
	13.43	21.31	13.57	17.09	

Jerry Patterson	16	7	29	23	75
	23.88	5.74	13.12	19.66	

Dan Patrick	22	40	72	33	167
	32.84	32.79	32.58	28.21	

Total	67	122	221	117	527
	12.71	23.15	41.94	22.20	
=====					

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q19. 2014 Republican Attorney General Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Dan Branch	0	2	4	24	45	98	40	213
Barry Smitherman	0	0	0	29	21	26	22	98
Ken Paxton	0	0	3	39	59	63	35	199
Total	0	2	7	92	125	187	97	510

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Dan Branch          6          24          183         213
                   66.67       26.09       44.74
-----
Barry Smitherman   0          29          69          98
                   0.00       31.52       16.87
-----
Ken Paxton         3          39          157         199
                   33.33       42.39       38.39
-----
Total              9          92          409         510
                   1.76       18.04       80.20
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                PID7
Q              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Dan Branch      2          1          1          6          43          42          118         213
                   40.00       20.00       25.00      20.69       45.74       29.58       52.44
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Barry Smitherman	1	3	3	7	13	23	44	94
	20.00	60.00	75.00	24.14	13.83	16.20	19.56	
Ken Paxton	2	1	0	16	38	77	63	197
	40.00	20.00	0.00	55.17	40.43	54.23	28.00	
Total	5	5	4	29	94	142	225	504
	0.99	0.99	0.79	5.75	18.65	28.17	44.64	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Dan Branch	4	6	202	212
	30.77	20.69	43.91	
Barry Smitherman	6	7	80	93
	46.15	24.14	17.39	
Ken Paxton	3	16	178	197
	23.08	55.17	38.70	
Total	13	29	460	502
	2.59	5.78	91.63	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====						
Q24						
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	

Dan Branch	109	3	78	24	214	
	50.93	16.67	41.94	26.09		

Barry Smitherman	41	4	32	21	98	
	19.16	22.22	17.20	22.83		

Ken Paxton	64	11	76	47	198	
	29.91	61.11	40.86	51.09		

Total	214	18	186	92	510	
	41.96	3.53	36.47	18.04		
=====						

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====							
educ							
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

Dan Branch	8	61	55	17	55	17	213
	25.81	48.03	40.44	41.46	47.01	29.82	

Barry Smitherman	8	23	20	8	23	16	98
	25.81	18.11	14.71	19.51	19.66	28.07	

Ken Paxton	15	43	61	16	39	24	198
	48.39	33.86	44.85	39.02	33.33	42.11	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	31	127	136	41	117	57	509
	6.09	24.95	26.72	8.06	22.99	11.20	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q          More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
Dan Branch          44          64          19          52          34          213
                   50.57         48.48          28.36          36.62         41.46
-----
Barry Smitherman   14          25          23          20          16          98
                   16.09         18.94          34.33          14.08         19.51
-----
Ken Paxton         29          43          25          70          32          199
                   33.33         32.58          37.31          49.30         39.02
-----
Total              87          132          67          142          82          510
                   17.06         25.88          13.14          27.84         16.08
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
race
Q          White / Blanco  Black  Hispanic  Asian  Native American / Americ  Mixed  Other  Middle Eastern / Del ori  Total
-----
Dan Branch          172          0          33          4          1          1          2          0          213
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	43.88	0.00	37.50	44.44	100.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	
Barry Smitherman	75	0	18	2	0	1	2	0	98
	19.13	0.00	20.45	22.22	0.00	25.00	25.00	0.00	
Ken Paxton	145	8	37	3	0	2	4	1	200
	36.99	100.00	42.05	33.33	0.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	
Total	392	8	88	9	1	4	8	1	511
	76.71	1.57	17.22	1.76	0.20	0.78	1.57	0.20	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Dan Branch	144 44.72	1 20.00	21 37.50	10 37.04	37 44.05	1 5.88	214
Barry Smitherman	58 18.01	1 20.00	5 8.93	8 29.63	22 26.19	4 23.53	98
Ken Paxton	120 37.27	3 60.00	30 53.57	9 33.33	25 29.76	12 70.59	199
Total	322 63.01	5 0.98	56 10.96	27 5.28	84 16.44	17 3.33	511

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                gender
Q             Male  Female  Total
-----
Dan Branch      98    115    213
                36.84   47.33
-----
Barry Smitherman 60     37     97
                22.56   15.23
-----
Ken Paxton     108    91    199
                40.60   37.45
-----
Total          266    243    509
                52.26   47.74
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                locate
Q             Urban  Suburban  Rural  Total
-----
Dan Branch      47    103    64    214
                35.88   41.04   50.00
-----
Barry Smitherman 30     48    20    98
                22.90   19.12   15.62
-----
Ken Paxton     54    100    44    198

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

41.22 39.84 34.38

Total	131	251	128	510
	25.69	49.22	25.10	

=====

Cell Contents	

Count	
Column Percent	

=====

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Dan Branch	37 36.63	61 36.97	25 55.56	14 35.00	77 48.73	214
Barry Smitherman	30 29.70	23 13.94	13 28.89	12 30.00	19 12.03	97
Ken Paxton	34 33.66	81 49.09	7 15.56	14 35.00	62 39.24	198
Total	101 19.84	165 32.42	45 8.84	40 7.86	158 31.04	509

=====

Cell Contents	

Count	
Column Percent	

=====

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Dan Branch	18	45	102	48	213
	26.87	36.89	47.89	44.86	
Barry Smitherman	18	17	39	23	97
	26.87	13.93	18.31	21.50	
Ken Paxton	31	60	72	36	199
	46.27	49.18	33.80	33.64	
Total	67	122	213	107	509
	13.16	23.97	41.85	21.02	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q20. 2014 Republican Comptroller Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|                Count |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Harvey Hildebran	0	1	1	16	28	51	24	121
Raul Torres	0	0	0	12	14	13	19	58
Glen Hegar	0	0	2	16	23	63	18	122
Debra Medina	0	1	4	46	59	49	32	191

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	0	2	7	90	124	176	93	492
-------	---	---	---	----	-----	-----	----	-----

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative	
Harvey Hildebran	2 22.22	16 17.78	104 26.40	122
Raul Torres	0 0.00	12 13.33	46 11.68	58
Glen Hegar	2 22.22	16 17.78	104 26.40	122
Debra Medina	5 55.56	46 51.11	140 35.53	191
Total	9 1.83	90 18.26	394 79.92	493

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Harvey Hildebran	0 0.00	4 80.00	0 0.00	10 35.71	21 23.08	34 24.82	49 22.48	118
Raul Torres	1 25.00	0 0.00	1 25.00	1 3.57	8 8.79	14 10.22	33 15.14	58
Glen Hegar	1 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 10.71	24 26.37	38 27.74	55 25.23	121
Debra Medina	2 50.00	1 20.00	3 75.00	14 50.00	38 41.76	51 37.23	81 37.16	190
Total	4 0.82	5 1.03	4 0.82	28 5.75	91 18.69	137 28.13	218 44.76	487

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Harvey Hildebran	4 30.77	10 35.71	103 23.15	117
Raul Torres	2 15.38	1 3.57	55 12.36	58
Glen Hegar	1 7.69	3 10.71	117 26.29	121

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Debra Medina	6	14	170	190
	46.15	50.00	38.20	

Total	13	28	445	486
	2.67	5.76	91.56	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

Q24					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Harvey Hildebran	50	6	46	20	122
	24.27	33.33	25.27	23.26	
Raul Torres	35	3	13	7	58
	16.99	16.67	7.14	8.14	
Glen Hegar	55	2	48	16	121
	26.70	11.11	26.37	18.60	
Debra Medina	66	7	75	43	191
	32.04	38.89	41.21	50.00	
Total	206	18	182	86	492
	41.87	3.66	36.99	17.48	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          |
|          |
|          |
|          |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Harvey Hildebran      8           34           17           13           27           22          121
                    25.00           27.20           12.98          31.71          24.11          42.31
-----
Raul Torres            1           11           33           5            8            1           59
                    3.12           8.80           25.19          12.20           7.14           1.92
-----
Glen Hegar            5           31           34           8            36           9           123
                    15.62          24.80           25.95          19.51          32.14          17.31
-----
Debra Medina          18           49           47           15           41           20          190
                    56.25          39.20           35.88          36.59          36.61          38.46
-----
Total                 32          125          131           41           112           52          493
                    6.49          25.35          26.57           8.32          22.72          10.55
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----
Harvey Hildebran           22           30           14           32           24          122
                    25.58           24.00           20.90           23.53          30.38
-----
Raul Torres                 9           15           10           21           4           59
                    10.47           12.00           14.93           15.44           5.06
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Glen Hegar	23 26.74	32 25.60	20 29.85	26 19.12	21 26.58	122
Debra Medina	32 37.21	48 38.40	23 34.33	57 41.91	30 37.97	190
Total	86 17.44	125 25.35	67 13.59	136 27.59	79 16.02	493

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Americ	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del ori	
Harvey Hildebran	89 23.86	5 62.50	21 23.08	0 0.00	1 100.00	2 40.00	4 66.67	0 0.00	122
Raul Torres	34 9.12	1 12.50	23 25.27	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	59
Glen Hegar	100 26.81	0 0.00	12 13.19	5 62.50	0 0.00	2 40.00	2 33.33	1 100.00	122
Debra Medina	150 40.21	2 25.00	35 38.46	2 25.00	0 0.00	1 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	190
Total	373 75.66	8 1.62	91 18.46	8 1.62	1 0.20	5 1.01	6 1.22	1 0.20	493

Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                marital
Q           Married  Separated  Divorced  Widowed  Single  Domestic Partnership  Total
-----
Harvey Hildebran      80         1         14         6         17         5         123
                    25.89      20.00      26.42      18.18      21.52         31.25
-----
Raul Torres           33         1         2         1         19         3         59
                    10.68      20.00      3.77      3.03      24.05         18.75
-----
Glen Hegar           65         1         26         11         17         2         122
                    21.04      20.00      49.06      33.33      21.52         12.50
-----
Debra Medina         131         2         11         15         26         6         191
                    42.39      40.00      20.75      45.45      32.91         37.50
-----
Total                309         5         53         33         79         16         495
                    62.42      1.01      10.71      6.67      15.96         3.23
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                gender
Q           Male  Female  Total
-----
Harvey Hildebran      75      47      122
                    29.18      20.00
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Raul Torres	29	29	58
	11.28	12.34	

Glen Hegar	66	56	122
	25.68	23.83	

Debra Medina	87	103	190
	33.85	43.83	

Total	257	235	492
	52.24	47.76	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Harvey Hildebran	29	66	27	122
	22.66	27.05	22.31	

Raul Torres	23	22	14	59
	17.97	9.02	11.57	

Glen Hegar	27	60	35	122
	21.09	24.59	28.93	

Debra Medina	49	96	45	190
	38.28	39.34	37.19	

Total	128	244	121	493
	25.96	49.49	24.54	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q          Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Harvey Hildebran          24              36              13              14              36              123
                          22.86           22.93           30.95           36.84           23.53
-----
Raul Torres                15              19              2              6              17              59
                          14.29           12.10           4.76           15.79           11.11
-----
Glen Hegar                 26              38              16              5              38              123
                          24.76           24.20           38.10           13.16           24.84
-----
Debra Medina               40              64              11              13              62              190
                          38.10           40.76           26.19           34.21           40.52
-----
Total                      105             157             42              38             153             495
                          21.21           31.72           8.48            7.68           30.91
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
AGEG
Q          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Harvey Hildebran	13	30	45	33	121
	19.40	24.59	22.17	33.00	

Raul Torres	18	7	25	8	58
	26.87	5.74	12.32	8.00	

Glen Hegar	17	37	45	23	122
	25.37	30.33	22.17	23.00	

Debra Medina	19	48	88	36	191
	28.36	39.34	43.35	36.00	

Total	67	122	203	100	492
	13.62	24.80	41.26	20.33	
=====					

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q21. 2016 Republican Presidential Primary Vote Choice

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|                Count |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Rick Perry	0	0	1	15	15	14	9	54
Ted Cruz	0	1	2	10	33	61	45	152
Marco Rubio	0	0	0	7	16	10	0	33
Rand Paul	0	0	0	4	15	21	13	53

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Chris Christie	0	0	3	7	3	4	4	21
Paul Ryan	0	0	0	6	8	16	11	41
Rick Santorum	0	0	0	3	7	6	6	22
Bobby Jindal	0	0	0	12	4	15	2	33
Jeb Bush	0	0	2	13	12	9	6	42
Scott Walker	0	0	0	2	6	20	3	31
Haven't thought enough about i	0	1	2	20	15	23	2	63
Total	0	2	10	99	134	199	101	545

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Rick Perry	1 9.09	15 15.15	38 8.74	54
Ted Cruz	2 18.18	10 10.10	139 31.95	151
Marco Rubio	0 0.00	7 7.07	26 5.98	33

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Rand Paul	0	4	49	53
	0.00	4.04	11.26	
Chris Christie	3	7	10	20
	27.27	7.07	2.30	
Paul Ryan	0	6	36	42
	0.00	6.06	8.28	
Rick Santorum	0	3	19	22
	0.00	3.03	4.37	
Bobby Jindal	0	12	21	33
	0.00	12.12	4.83	
Jeb Bush	2	13	28	43
	18.18	13.13	6.44	
Scott Walker	0	2	29	31
	0.00	2.02	6.67	
Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	3	20	40	63
	27.27	20.20	9.20	
Total	11	99	435	545
	2.02	18.17	79.82	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q PID7
StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Rick Perry	0	1	0	4	7	18	23	53
	0.00	16.67	0.00	13.33	7.07	12.16	9.39	
Ted Cruz	0	0	0	6	38	40	68	152
	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	38.38	27.03	27.76	
Marco Rubio	0	0	2	3	7	15	5	32
	0.00	0.00	33.33	10.00	7.07	10.14	2.04	
Rand Paul	1	0	0	8	12	6	23	50
	20.00	0.00	0.00	26.67	12.12	4.05	9.39	
Chris Christie	3	0	0	2	4	5	6	20
	60.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	4.04	3.38	2.45	
Paul Ryan	0	1	0	0	3	16	21	41
	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	3.03	10.81	8.57	
Rick Santorum	0	3	0	0	6	2	11	22
	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	6.06	1.35	4.49	
Bobby Jindal	1	0	0	1	3	4	25	34
	20.00	0.00	0.00	3.33	3.03	2.70	10.20	
Jeb Bush	0	0	2	4	6	11	18	41
	0.00	0.00	33.33	13.33	6.06	7.43	7.35	
Scott Walker	0	0	0	0	4	7	19	30
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.04	4.73	7.76	
Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	0	1	2	2	9	24	26	64
	0.00	16.67	33.33	6.67	9.09	16.22	10.61	
Total	5	6	6	30	99	148	245	539
	0.93	1.11	1.11	5.57	18.37	27.46	45.45	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                               PID3
                               Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Rick Perry                      1           4           47           52
                               5.88        13.33        9.61
-----
Ted Cruz                        0           6          146          152
                               0.00        20.00       29.86
-----
Marco Rubio                     2           3           28           33
                               11.76       10.00        5.73
-----
Rand Paul                      1           8           40           49
                               5.88        26.67        8.18
-----
Chris Christie                 3           2           15           20
                               17.65        6.67        3.07
-----
Paul Ryan                      2           0           40           42
                               11.76        0.00        8.18
-----
Rick Santorum                  3           0           19           22
                               17.65        0.00        3.89
-----
Bobby Jindal                   1           1           31           33
                               5.88        3.33        6.34
-----
Jeb Bush                       2           4           36           42

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	11.76	13.33	7.36	
Scott Walker	0	0	29	29
	0.00	0.00	5.93	
Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	2	2	58	62
	11.76	6.67	11.86	
Total	17	30	489	536
	3.17	5.60	91.23	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

=====
Q24
Q Republican candidate Democratic candidate Tea Party candidate Don't know Total

Rick Perry	44	3	2	3	52
	20.09	17.65	1.01	2.91	
Ted Cruz	32	0	97	22	151
	14.61	0.00	48.74	21.36	
Marco Rubio	12	5	6	9	32
	5.48	29.41	3.02	8.74	
Rand Paul	16	0	26	10	52
	7.31	0.00	13.07	9.71	
Chris Christie	9	6	4	2	21
	4.11	35.29	2.01	1.94	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Paul Ryan	23 10.50	0 0.00	14 7.04	5 4.85	42
Rick Santorum	6 2.74	0 0.00	6 3.02	9 8.74	21
Bobby Jindal	20 9.13	1 5.88	7 3.52	5 4.85	33
Jeb Bush	29 13.24	0 0.00	5 2.51	7 6.80	41
Scott Walker	6 2.74	0 0.00	17 8.54	7 6.80	30
Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	22 10.05	2 11.76	15 7.54	24 23.30	63
Total	219 40.71	17 3.16	199 36.99	103 19.14	538

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Rick Perry	3 9.09	14 10.37	16 10.81	5 11.63	10 8.00	5 8.77	53
Ted Cruz	9 27.27	39 28.89	40 27.03	16 37.21	28 22.40	20 35.09	152

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Marco Rubio	4 12.12	6 4.44	9 6.08	6 13.95	6 4.80	2 3.51	33
Rand Paul	4 12.12	9 6.67	14 9.46	3 6.98	17 13.60	5 8.77	52
Chris Christie	0 0.00	9 6.67	5 3.38	0 0.00	6 4.80	0 0.00	20
Paul Ryan	1 3.03	16 11.85	12 8.11	2 4.65	6 4.80	5 8.77	42
Rick Santorum	3 9.09	6 4.44	4 2.70	1 2.33	5 4.00	2 3.51	21
Bobby Jindal	0 0.00	3 2.22	10 6.76	1 2.33	10 8.00	8 14.04	32
Jeb Bush	5 15.15	12 8.89	11 7.43	3 6.98	10 8.00	1 1.75	42
Scott Walker	2 6.06	9 6.67	6 4.05	0 0.00	8 6.40	6 10.53	31
Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	2 6.06	12 8.89	21 14.19	6 13.95	19 15.20	3 5.26	63
Total	33 6.10	135 24.95	148 27.36	43 7.95	125 23.11	57 10.54	541

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Rick Perry	8 8.89	13 9.56	7 10.00	20 13.33	5 5.43	53
Ted Cruz	22 24.44	29 21.32	24 34.29	46 30.67	31 33.70	152
Marco Rubio	6 6.67	6 4.41	4 5.71	14 9.33	1 1.09	31
Rand Paul	10 11.11	10 7.35	2 2.86	17 11.33	14 15.22	53
Chris Christie	6 6.67	1 0.74	1 1.43	4 2.67	8 8.70	20
Paul Ryan	8 8.89	16 11.76	2 2.86	6 4.00	9 9.78	41
Rick Santorum	6 6.67	9 6.62	3 4.29	2 1.33	2 2.17	22
Bobby Jindal	8 8.89	10 7.35	8 11.43	3 2.00	3 3.26	32
Jeb Bush	10 11.11	6 4.41	5 7.14	11 7.33	9 9.78	41
Scott Walker	1 1.11	14 10.29	1 1.43	11 7.33	3 3.26	30
Haven't thought enough about it to have	5	22	13	16	7	63

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	5.56	16.18	18.57	10.67	7.61	
Total	90	136	70	150	92	538
	16.73	25.28	13.01	27.88	17.10	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Rick Perry	36 8.65	0 0.00	14 14.29	2 25.00	0 0.00	1 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	53
Ted Cruz	118 28.37	0 0.00	28 28.57	1 12.50	0 0.00	1 25.00	4 57.14	0 0.00	0 0.00	152
Marco Rubio	18 4.33	5 62.50	8 8.16	2 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	33
Rand Paul	46 11.06	0 0.00	5 5.10	0 0.00	1 100.00	0 0.00	1 14.29	0 0.00	0 0.00	53
Chris Christie	12 2.88	0 0.00	6 6.12	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 14.29	0 0.00	0 0.00	20
Paul Ryan	38 9.13	0 0.00	2 2.04	1 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 100.00	0 0.00	42
Rick Santorum	14 3.37	0 0.00	8 8.16	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	22

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Bobby Jindal	31	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	33
	7.45	0.00	2.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Jeb Bush	31	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	43
	7.45	25.00	10.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Scott Walker	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
	7.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Haven't thought enoug	42	1	15	1	0	2	1	0	62
	10.10	12.50	15.31	12.50	0.00	50.00	14.29	0.00	
Total	416	8	98	8	1	4	7	1	543
	76.61	1.47	18.05	1.47	0.18	0.74	1.29	0.18	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Rick Perry	24 7.06	1 25.00	7 12.07	2 5.56	20 23.53	1 5.26	55
Ted Cruz	101 29.71	0 0.00	13 22.41	16 44.44	16 18.82	5 26.32	151
Marco Rubio	19 5.59	0 0.00	7 12.07	4 11.11	1 1.18	2 10.53	33
Rand Paul	27 7.94	2 50.00	5 8.62	0 0.00	15 17.65	4 21.05	53

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Chris Christie	12	1	2	1	4	0	20
	3.53	25.00	3.45	2.78	4.71	0.00	
Paul Ryan	32	0	0	5	5	0	42
	9.41	0.00	0.00	13.89	5.88	0.00	
Rick Santorum	14	0	2	2	2	0	20
	4.12	0.00	3.45	5.56	2.35	0.00	
Bobby Jindal	20	0	4	0	7	2	33
	5.88	0.00	6.90	0.00	8.24	10.53	
Jeb Bush	30	0	2	3	3	4	42
	8.82	0.00	3.45	8.33	3.53	21.05	
Scott Walker	24	0	2	3	1	0	30
	7.06	0.00	3.45	8.33	1.18	0.00	
Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	37	0	14	0	11	1	63
	10.88	0.00	24.14	0.00	12.94	5.26	
Total	340	4	58	36	85	19	542
	62.73	0.74	10.70	6.64	15.68	3.51	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Rick Perry	23	31	54

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.16	11.83	

Ted Cruz	85	67	152
	30.14	25.57	

Marco Rubio	24	9	33
	8.51	3.44	

Rand Paul	31	22	53
	10.99	8.40	

Chris Christie	8	13	21
	2.84	4.96	

Paul Ryan	21	21	42
	7.45	8.02	

Rick Santorum	8	13	21
	2.84	4.96	

Bobby Jindal	17	16	33
	6.03	6.11	

Jeb Bush	21	21	42
	7.45	8.02	

Scott Walker	16	14	30
	5.67	5.34	

Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	28	35	63
	9.93	13.36	

Total	282	262	544
	51.84	48.16	

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                               locate
                                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Rick Perry                       19      13      21     53
                                13.77   4.85   15.67
-----
Ted Cruz                         32      87      33    152
                                23.19  32.46  24.63
-----
Marco Rubio                       8      14      10     32
                                5.80   5.22   7.46
-----
Rand Paul                        15      25      13     53
                                10.87   9.33   9.70
-----
Chris Christie                    9       5       7     21
                                6.52   1.87   5.22
-----
Paul Ryan                         11      20      10     41
                                7.97   7.46   7.46
-----
Rick Santorum                     2      13       7     22
                                1.45   4.85   5.22
-----
Bobby Jindal                      14      13       5     32
                                10.14   4.85   3.73
-----
Jeb Bush                          6      26       9     41
                                4.35   9.70   6.72
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Scott Walker	2	21	8	31
	1.45	7.84	5.97	

Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	20	31	11	62
	14.49	11.57	8.21	

Total	138	268	134	540
	25.56	49.63	24.81	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Rick Perry	6	21	5	5	17	54
	5.41	11.80	10.64	12.82	10.12	
Ted Cruz	27	53	11	9	52	152
	24.32	29.78	23.40	23.08	30.95	
Marco Rubio	1	18	3	1	10	33
	0.90	10.11	6.38	2.56	5.95	
Rand Paul	12	16	1	2	21	52
	10.81	8.99	2.13	5.13	12.50	
Chris Christie	5	4	1	1	9	20
	4.50	2.25	2.13	2.56	5.36	
Paul Ryan	12	14	0	4	12	42
	10.81	7.87	0.00	10.26	7.14	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Rick Santorum	4 3.60	8 4.49	5 10.64	1 2.56	4 2.38	22
Bobby Jindal	10 9.01	4 2.25	4 8.51	0 0.00	15 8.93	33
Jeb Bush	14 12.61	7 3.93	6 12.77	5 12.82	9 5.36	41
Scott Walker	8 7.21	9 5.06	6 12.77	1 2.56	8 4.76	32
Haven't thought enough about it to ha	12 10.81	24 13.48	5 10.64	10 25.64	11 6.55	62
Total	111 20.44	178 32.78	47 8.66	39 7.18	168 30.94	543

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Rick Perry	15 22.06	22 17.46	11 4.80	5 4.17	53
Ted Cruz	14 20.59	26 20.63	66 28.82	47 39.17	153
Marco Rubio	2	12	11	7	32

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.94	9.52	4.80	5.83	

Rand Paul	7	13	31	3	54
	10.29	10.32	13.54	2.50	

Chris Christie	2	5	9	4	20
	2.94	3.97	3.93	3.33	

Paul Ryan	3	13	16	10	42
	4.41	10.32	6.99	8.33	

Rick Santorum	1	2	15	4	22
	1.47	1.59	6.55	3.33	

Bobby Jindal	7	6	17	2	32
	10.29	4.76	7.42	1.67	

Jeb Bush	3	9	17	13	42
	4.41	7.14	7.42	10.83	

Scott Walker	0	1	10	19	30
	0.00	0.79	4.37	15.83	

Haven't thought enough about it to have an opinion	14	17	26	6	63
	20.59	13.49	11.35	5.00	

Total	68	126	229	120	543
	12.52	23.20	42.17	22.10	
=====					

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q22. 2014 Gubernatorial Election Vote Choice: Davis vs. Abbott

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7								Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.		
Wendy Davis	40 86.96	83 92.22	84 76.36	156 40.73	36 17.06	11 4.76	23 18.25	433	
Greg Abbott	2 4.35	2 2.22	10 9.09	107 27.94	138 65.40	208 90.04	96 76.19	563	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Haven't thought about it enoug	4	5	16	120	37	12	7	201
	8.70	5.56	14.55	31.33	17.54	5.19	5.56	

Total	46	90	110	383	211	231	126	1197
	3.84	7.52	9.19	32.00	17.63	19.30	10.53	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Wendy Davis	207	156	70	433
	83.81	40.73	12.35	
Greg Abbott	14	107	442	563
	5.67	27.94	77.95	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	26	120	55	201
	10.53	31.33	9.70	
Total	247	383	567	1197
	20.63	32.00	47.37	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Wendy Davis	200 83.68	66 55.93	97 76.98	29 25.44	6 4.84	14 7.61	13 5.18	425
Greg Abbott	11 4.60	20 16.95	8 6.35	43 37.72	103 83.06	143 77.72	230 91.63	558
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	28 11.72	32 27.12	21 16.67	42 36.84	15 12.10	27 14.67	8 3.19	173
Total	239 20.67	118 10.21	126 10.90	114 9.86	124 10.73	184 15.92	251 21.71	1156

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Wendy Davis	363 75.00	29 25.44	33 5.88	425
Greg Abbott	40 8.26	43 37.72	477 85.03	560
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	81 16.74	42 36.84	51 9.09	174
Total	484 41.76	114 9.84	561 48.40	1159

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Wendy Davis	26 10.44	353 78.97	11 4.40	42 16.80	432
Greg Abbott	201 80.72	37 8.28	216 86.40	108 43.20	562
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	22 8.84	57 12.75	23 9.20	100 40.00	202
Total	249 20.82	447 37.37	250 20.90	250 20.90	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Wendy Davis	28 26.92	92 31.51	116 35.37	43 39.45	102 41.63	51 42.86	432
Greg Abbott	36	144	160	47	119	57	563

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	34.62	49.32	48.78	43.12	48.57	47.90	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	40	56	52	19	24	11	202
	38.46	19.18	15.85	17.43	9.80	9.24	
Total	104	292	328	109	245	119	1197
	8.69	24.39	27.40	9.11	20.47	9.94	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Wendy Davis	53	84	44	111	141	433
	31.18	32.68	28.57	35.02	47.00	
Greg Abbott	88	141	75	156	103	563
	51.76	54.86	48.70	49.21	34.33	
Haven't thought about it enough to have	29	32	35	50	56	202
	17.06	12.45	22.73	15.77	18.67	
Total	170	257	154	317	300	1198
	14.19	21.45	12.85	26.46	25.04	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Wendy Davis	230 31.64	89 57.42	98 36.03	5 31.25		2 66.67	8 47.06	1 11.11	0 0.00	433
Greg Abbott	412 56.67	21 13.55	110 40.44	7 43.75		1 33.33	5 29.41	8 88.89	1 100.00	565
Haven't thought about	85 11.69	45 29.03	64 23.53	4 25.00		0 0.00	4 23.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	202
Total	727 60.58	155 12.92	272 22.67	16 1.33		3 0.25	17 1.42	9 0.75	1 0.08	1200

Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Wendy Davis	198 31.53	3 17.65	44 37.61	13 22.81	136 44.44	40 54.79	434
Greg Abbott	348 55.41	4 23.53	53 45.30	40 70.18	99 32.35	20 27.40	564
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	82 13.06	10 58.82	20 17.09	4 7.02	71 23.20	13 17.81	200
Total		628	17	117	57	306	73 1198

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.42 1.42 9.77 4.76 25.54 6.09

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|    Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Wendy Davis      191     242     433
                 34.05    37.93
-----
Greg Abbott      296     267     563
                 52.76    41.85
-----
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion  74     129     203
                 13.19    20.22
-----
Total            561     638    1199
                 46.79    53.21
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|    Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Wendy Davis      133     223     77     433
                 38.78    38.32    28.21
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Greg Abbott	140	274	149	563
	40.82	47.08	54.58	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	70	85	47	202
	20.41	14.60	17.22	
Total	343	582	273	1198
	28.63	48.58	22.79	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Wendy Davis	88	148	34	67	96	433
	38.10	37.37	31.19	55.83	28.07	
Greg Abbott	110	177	49	41	186	563
	47.62	44.70	44.95	34.17	54.39	
Haven't thought about it enough to ha	33	71	26	12	60	202
	14.29	17.93	23.85	10.00	17.54	
Total	231	396	109	120	342	1198
	19.28	33.06	9.10	10.02	28.55	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Wendy Davis      91    110   167   66   434
                40.81  35.71  36.15  31.73
-----
Greg Abbott      61    130   250  123   564
                27.35  42.21  54.11  59.13
-----
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion  71    68    45    19    203
                31.84  22.08   9.74   9.13
-----
Total            223   308   462   208  1201
                18.57  25.65  38.47  17.32
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q23A. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Dewhurst

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7								Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.		
Leticia Van de Putte	36 76.60	72 82.76	79 71.82	131 34.20	33 16.02	11 4.82	14 11.20	376	
David Dewhurst	3 6.38	3 3.45	7 6.36	98 25.59	123 59.71	190 83.33	98 78.40	522	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Haven't thought about it enoug	8	12	24	154	50	27	13	288
	17.02	13.79	21.82	40.21	24.27	11.84	10.40	
Total	47	87	110	383	206	228	125	1186
	3.96	7.34	9.27	32.29	17.37	19.22	10.54	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Leticia Van de Putte	186	131	57	374
	76.23	34.20	10.22	
David Dewhurst	14	98	411	523
	5.74	25.59	73.66	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	44	154	90	288
	18.03	40.21	16.13	
Total	244	383	558	1185
	20.59	32.32	47.09	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Leticia Van de Putte	183 76.57	43 36.44	85 68.00	27 24.77	9 7.20	22 11.96	5 2.03	374
David Dewhurst	14 5.86	20 16.95	10 8.00	33 30.28	94 75.20	128 69.57	214 86.99	513
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	42 17.57	55 46.61	30 24.00	49 44.95	22 17.60	34 18.48	27 10.98	259
Total	239 20.86	118 10.30	125 10.91	109 9.51	125 10.91	184 16.06	246 21.47	1146

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Leticia Van de Putte	311 64.52	27 24.77	35 6.32	373
David Dewhurst	44 9.13	33 30.28	436 78.70	513
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	127 26.35	49 44.95	83 14.98	259
Total	482 42.10	109 9.52	554 48.38	1145

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Leticia Van de Putte	21 8.50	313 70.18	17 6.97	24 9.60	375
David Dewhurst	193 78.14	42 9.42	196 80.33	92 36.80	523
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	33 13.36	91 20.40	31 12.70	134 53.60	289
Total	247 20.81	446 37.57	244 20.56	250 21.06	1187

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Leticia Van de Putte	27 25.96	79 27.43	101 30.79	38 35.51	85 35.42	44 36.67	374
David Dewhurst	31	126	153	46	112	55	523

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	29.81	43.75	46.65	42.99	46.67	45.83	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	46	83	74	23	43	21	290
	44.23	28.82	22.56	21.50	17.92	17.50	
Total	104	288	328	107	240	120	1187
	8.76	24.26	27.63	9.01	20.22	10.11	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Leticia Van de Putte	49	67	45	89	123	373
	29.70	26.38	29.41	28.08	41.55	
David Dewhurst	80	133	72	145	93	523
	48.48	52.36	47.06	45.74	31.42	
Haven't thought about it enough to have	36	54	36	83	80	289
	21.82	21.26	23.53	26.18	27.03	
Total	165	254	153	317	296	1185
	13.92	21.43	12.91	26.75	24.98	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Leticia Van de Putte	198 27.46	76 49.35	83 31.20	5 33.33		2 66.67	8 47.06	2 22.22	0 0.00	374
David Dewhurst	397 55.06	22 14.29	87 32.71	5 33.33		0 0.00	4 23.53	7 77.78	1 100.00	523
Haven't thought about	126 17.48	56 36.36	96 36.09	5 33.33		1 33.33	5 29.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	289
Total	721 60.79	154 12.98	266 22.43	15 1.26		3 0.25	17 1.43	9 0.76	1 0.08	1186

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Domestic Partnership	Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single			
Leticia Van de Putte	169 27.30	3 18.75	44 37.61	9 15.52	119 39.27		30 41.10	374
David Dewhurst	317 51.21	6 37.50	44 37.61	43 74.14	92 30.36		22 30.14	524
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	133 21.49	7 43.75	29 24.79	6 10.34	92 30.36		21 28.77	288
Total		619	16	117	58	303	73	1186

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.19 1.35 9.87 4.89 25.55 6.16

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      160     214     374
                        28.78    34.02
-----
David Dewhurst           273     249     522
                        49.10    39.59
-----
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion      123     166     289
                        22.12    26.39
-----
Total                    556     629    1185
                        46.92    53.08
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      118     192     65     375
                        34.71    33.22    24.16
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

David Dewhurst	126	255	142	523
	37.06	44.12	52.79	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	96	131	62	289
	28.24	22.66	23.05	
Total	340	578	269	1187
	28.64	48.69	22.66	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO						Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX		
Leticia Van de Putte	77	125	35	60	77	374	
	33.19	31.81	32.11	50.00	23.19		
David Dewhurst	106	171	46	35	165	523	
	45.69	43.51	42.20	29.17	49.70		
Haven't thought about it enough to ha	49	97	28	25	90	289	
	21.12	24.68	25.69	20.83	27.11		
Total	232	393	109	120	332	1186	
	19.56	33.14	9.19	10.12	27.99		

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Leticia Van de Putte	76 34.08	90 29.41	150 32.97	58 28.71	374
David Dewhurst	63 28.25	122 39.87	222 48.79	116 57.43	523
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	84 37.67	94 30.72	83 18.24	28 13.86	289
Total	223 18.80	306 25.80	455 38.36	202 17.03	1186

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q23B. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Patrick

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Leticia Van de Putte	33 71.74	73 82.02	71 64.55	129 33.68	30 14.85	20 8.66	15 12.00	371
Dan Patrick	4 8.70	3 3.37	10 9.09	83 21.67	121 59.90	167 72.29	94 75.20	482

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Haven't thought about it enoug	9	13	29	171	51	44	16	333
	19.57	14.61	26.36	44.65	25.25	19.05	12.80	
Total	46	89	110	383	202	231	125	1186
	3.88	7.50	9.27	32.29	17.03	19.48	10.54	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Leticia Van de Putte	177	129	65	371
	72.24	33.68	11.65	
Dan Patrick	17	83	382	482
	6.94	21.67	68.46	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	51	171	111	333
	20.82	44.65	19.89	
Total	245	383	558	1186
	20.66	32.29	47.05	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Leticia Van de Putte	179 76.17	46 38.98	81 64.80	17 14.91	7 5.74	27 14.92	12 4.76	369
Dan Patrick	15 6.38	18 15.25	4 3.20	30 26.32	95 77.87	111 61.33	203 80.56	476
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	41 17.45	54 45.76	40 32.00	67 58.77	20 16.39	43 23.76	37 14.68	302
Total	235 20.49	118 10.29	125 10.90	114 9.94	122 10.64	181 15.78	252 21.97	1147

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Leticia Van de Putte	307 64.09	17 14.91	46 8.29	370
Dan Patrick	37 7.72	30 26.32	409 73.69	476
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	135 28.18	67 58.77	100 18.02	302
Total	479 41.72	114 9.93	555 48.34	1148

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Leticia Van de Putte	25 10.25	311 69.73	17 6.83	18 7.29	371
Dan Patrick	175 71.72	30 6.73	195 78.31	82 33.20	482
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	44 18.03	105 23.54	37 14.86	147 59.51	333
Total	244 20.57	446 37.61	249 20.99	247 20.83	1186

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Leticia Van de Putte	23 22.55	80 27.59	100 30.40	40 37.38	87 36.25	41 34.45	371
Dan Patrick	35	121	144	36	100	46	482

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	34.31	41.72	43.77	33.64	41.67	38.66	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	44	89	85	31	53	32	334
	43.14	30.69	25.84	28.97	22.08	26.89	
Total	102	290	329	107	240	119	1187
	8.59	24.43	27.72	9.01	20.22	10.03	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Leticia Van de Putte	49	63	45	96	117	370
	29.34	24.90	29.22	30.57	39.00	
Dan Patrick	78	115	66	128	96	483
	46.71	45.45	42.86	40.76	32.00	
Haven't thought about it enough to have	40	75	43	90	87	335
	23.95	29.64	27.92	28.66	29.00	
Total	167	253	154	314	300	1188
	14.06	21.30	12.96	26.43	25.25	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Leticia Van de Putte	185 25.62	76 48.41	94 35.47	5 31.25		2 66.67	8 47.06	3 30.00	0 0.00	373
Dan Patrick	380 52.63	17 10.83	72 27.17	3 18.75		1 33.33	3 17.65	6 60.00	1 100.00	483
Haven't thought about	157 21.75	64 40.76	99 37.36	8 50.00		0 0.00	6 35.29	1 10.00	0 0.00	335
Total	722 60.62	157 13.18	265 22.25	16 1.34		3 0.25	17 1.43	10 0.84	1 0.08	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total	
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership		
Leticia Van de Putte	169 27.21	3 18.75	41 35.34	10 17.24	115 37.83	34 46.58	372	
Dan Patrick	308 49.60	2 12.50	39 33.62	37 63.79	77 25.33	20 27.40	483	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	144 23.19	11 68.75	36 31.03	11 18.97	112 36.84	19 26.03	333	
Total		621	16	116	58	304	73	1188

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.27 1.35 9.76 4.88 25.59 6.14

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      157     214     371
                        28.39    33.70
-----
Dan Patrick                263     220     483
                        47.56    34.65
-----
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion      133     201     334
                        24.05    31.65
-----
Total                    553     635    1188
                        46.55    53.45
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      113     193     65     371
                        33.43    33.45    23.81
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Dan Patrick	123	226	134	483
	36.39	39.17	49.08	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	102	158	74	334
	30.18	27.38	27.11	
Total	338	577	273	1188
	28.45	48.57	22.98	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Leticia Van de Putte	78	114	37	61	82	372
	33.77	29.31	34.58	51.26	24.05	
Dan Patrick	98	152	42	30	160	482
	42.42	39.07	39.25	25.21	46.92	
Haven't thought about it enough to ha	55	123	28	28	99	333
	23.81	31.62	26.17	23.53	29.03	
Total	231	389	107	119	341	1187
	19.46	32.77	9.01	10.03	28.73	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Leticia Van de Putte	69 31.51	100 32.89	142 31.14	59 28.50	370
Dan Patrick	52 23.74	100 32.89	222 48.68	110 53.14	484
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	98 44.75	104 34.21	92 20.18	38 18.36	332
Total	219 18.47	304 25.63	456 38.45	207 17.45	1186

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q23C. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Patterson

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Leticia Van de Putte	35 76.09	72 80.00	72 65.45	123 32.37	30 14.49	8 3.48	14 11.29	354
Jerry Patterson	2 4.35	2 2.22	7 6.36	82 21.58	123 59.42	180 78.26	94 75.81	490

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Haven't thought about it enoug	9	16	31	175	54	42	16	343
	19.57	17.78	28.18	46.05	26.09	18.26	12.90	

Total	46	90	110	380	207	230	124	1187
	3.88	7.58	9.27	32.01	17.44	19.38	10.45	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Leticia Van de Putte	180	123	52	355
	73.17	32.37	9.27	
Jerry Patterson	11	82	397	490
	4.47	21.58	70.77	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	55	175	112	342
	22.36	46.05	19.96	
Total	246	380	561	1187
	20.72	32.01	47.26	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Leticia Van de Putte	179 76.17	41 34.75	78 61.42	24 21.05	9 7.20	20 11.05	3 1.20	354
Jerry Patterson	10 4.26	17 14.41	9 7.09	27 23.68	89 71.20	121 66.85	210 84.00	483
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	46 19.57	60 50.85	40 31.50	63 55.26	27 21.60	40 22.10	37 14.80	313
Total	235 20.43	118 10.26	127 11.04	114 9.91	125 10.87	181 15.74	250 21.74	1150

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Leticia Van de Putte	298 62.34	24 21.05	32 5.76	354
Jerry Patterson	35 7.32	27 23.68	420 75.54	482
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	145 30.33	63 55.26	104 18.71	312
Total	478 41.64	114 9.93	556 48.43	1148

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Leticia Van de Putte	17 6.88	299 67.04	19 7.60	21 8.50	356
Jerry Patterson	185 74.90	37 8.30	186 74.40	83 33.60	491
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	45 18.22	110 24.66	45 18.00	143 57.89	343
Total	247 20.76	446 37.48	250 21.01	247 20.76	1190

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Leticia Van de Putte	21 20.79	75 26.04	98 29.79	38 34.55	85 34.98	38 31.67	355
Jerry Patterson	36	110	145	40	109	51	491

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.64	38.19	44.07	36.36	44.86	42.50	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	44	103	86	32	49	31	345
	43.56	35.76	26.14	29.09	20.16	25.83	
Total	101	288	329	110	243	120	1191
	8.48	24.18	27.62	9.24	20.40	10.08	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Leticia Van de Putte	46	66	46	80	118	356
	28.22	25.68	29.68	25.24	39.46	
Jerry Patterson	77	130	62	135	86	490
	47.24	50.58	40.00	42.59	28.76	
Haven't thought about it enough to have	40	61	47	102	95	345
	24.54	23.74	30.32	32.18	31.77	
Total	163	257	155	317	299	1191
	13.69	21.58	13.01	26.62	25.10	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Leticia Van de Putte	186 25.69	75 48.39	82 30.71	5 29.41		2 66.67	7 41.18	1 11.11	0 0.00	358
Jerry Patterson	387 53.45	16 10.32	73 27.34	3 17.65		1 33.33	5 29.41	6 66.67	1 100.00	492
Haven't thought about	151 20.86	64 41.29	112 41.95	9 52.94		0 0.00	5 29.41	2 22.22	0 0.00	343
Total	724 60.69	155 12.99	267 22.38	17 1.42		3 0.25	17 1.42	9 0.75	1 0.08	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Domestic Partnership	Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single			
Leticia Van de Putte	162 26.05	3 20.00	35 30.17	10 17.86	116 38.03		29 39.73	355
Jerry Patterson	304 48.87	2 13.33	47 40.52	36 64.29	79 25.90		23 31.51	491
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	156 25.08	10 66.67	34 29.31	10 17.86	110 36.07		21 28.77	341
Total		622	15	116	56	305	73	1187

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.40 1.26 9.77 4.72 25.70 6.15

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      145     211     356
                        26.17    33.23
-----
Jerry Patterson          267     223     490
                        48.19    35.12
-----
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion      142     201     343
                        25.63    31.65
-----
Total                    554     635    1189
                        46.59    53.41
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      112     182     61     355
                        32.65    31.76    22.34
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Jerry Patterson	123	231	137	491
	35.86	40.31	50.18	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	108	160	75	343
	31.49	27.92	27.47	
Total	343	573	273	1189
	28.85	48.19	22.96	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Leticia Van de Putte	75	110	38	55	77	355
	32.89	28.21	34.55	45.83	22.65	
Jerry Patterson	94	166	42	33	155	490
	41.23	42.56	38.18	27.50	45.59	
Haven't thought about it enough to ha	59	114	30	32	108	343
	25.88	29.23	27.27	26.67	31.76	
Total	228	390	110	120	340	1188
	19.19	32.83	9.26	10.10	28.62	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Leticia Van de Putte	76 34.23	85 27.60	142 31.42	52 25.12	355
Jerry Patterson	55 24.77	118 38.31	202 44.69	116 56.04	491
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	91 40.99	105 34.09	108 23.89	39 18.84	343
Total	222 18.67	308 25.90	452 38.02	207 17.41	1189

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q23D. 2014 Lieutenant Governor Election Vote Choice: Van de Putte vs. Staples

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7								Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.		
Leticia Van de Putte	33 71.74	72 80.90	73 65.77	123 32.28	26 12.50	7 3.03	12 9.45	346	
Todd Staples	4 8.70	2 2.25	9 8.11	74 19.42	121 58.17	179 77.49	99 77.95	488	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Haven't thought about it enoug	9	15	29	184	61	45	16	359
	19.57	16.85	26.13	48.29	29.33	19.48	12.60	
Total	46	89	111	381	208	231	127	1193
	3.86	7.46	9.30	31.94	17.44	19.36	10.65	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Leticia Van de Putte	179	123	45	347
	72.47	32.28	7.96	
Todd Staples	15	74	399	488
	6.07	19.42	70.62	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	53	184	121	358
	21.46	48.29	21.42	
Total	247	381	565	1193
	20.70	31.94	47.36	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Leticia Van de Putte	168 70.59	41 34.75	85 67.46	23 20.35	10 8.00	11 6.08	7 2.79	345
Todd Staples	18 7.56	16 13.56	2 1.59	28 24.78	91 72.80	122 67.40	204 81.27	481
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	52 21.85	61 51.69	39 30.95	62 54.87	24 19.20	48 26.52	40 15.94	326
Total	238 20.66	118 10.24	126 10.94	113 9.81	125 10.85	181 15.71	251 21.79	1152

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Leticia Van de Putte	294 61.00	23 20.35	27 4.86	344
Todd Staples	36 7.47	28 24.78	416 74.82	480
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	152 31.54	62 54.87	113 20.32	327
Total	482 41.88	113 9.82	556 48.31	1151

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Leticia Van de Putte	20 8.06	296 66.37	11 4.42	20 8.03	347
Todd Staples	178 71.77	36 8.07	192 77.11	80 32.13	486
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	50 20.16	114 25.56	46 18.47	149 59.84	359
Total	248 20.81	446 37.42	249 20.89	249 20.89	1192

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Leticia Van de Putte	14 13.33	77 26.55	97 29.57	30 27.52	90 37.19	39 32.50	347
Todd Staples	33	118	141	44	99	53	488

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	31.43	40.69	42.99	40.37	40.91	44.17	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	58	95	90	35	53	28	359
	55.24	32.76	27.44	32.11	21.90	23.33	
Total	105	290	328	109	242	120	1194
	8.79	24.29	27.47	9.13	20.27	10.05	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Leticia Van de Putte	43	62	37	80	125	347
	25.44	24.22	24.03	25.48	41.67	
Todd Staples	77	117	67	135	91	487
	45.56	45.70	43.51	42.99	30.33	
Haven't thought about it enough to have	49	77	50	99	84	359
	28.99	30.08	32.47	31.53	28.00	
Total	169	256	154	314	300	1193
	14.17	21.46	12.91	26.32	25.15	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Leticia Van de Putte	178 24.59	74 47.44	81 29.89	4 25.00		2 66.67	8 47.06	1 11.11	0 0.00	348
Todd Staples	378 52.21	19 12.18	74 27.31	5 31.25		1 33.33	4 23.53	7 77.78	1 100.00	489
Haven't thought about	168 23.20	63 40.38	116 42.80	7 43.75		0 0.00	5 29.41	1 11.11	0 0.00	360
Total	724 60.48	156 13.03	271 22.64	16 1.34		3 0.25	17 1.42	9 0.75	1 0.08	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Domestic Partnership	Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single			
Leticia Van de Putte	161 25.84	3 18.75	36 30.77	9 15.79	102 33.33		36 49.32	347
Todd Staples	304 48.80	2 12.50	46 39.32	37 64.91	80 26.14		19 26.03	488
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	158 25.36	11 68.75	35 29.91	11 19.30	124 40.52		18 24.66	357
Total		623	16	117	57	306	73	1192

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.27 1.34 9.82 4.78 25.67 6.12

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      148     200     348
                        26.43    31.45
-----
Todd Staples              264     225     489
                        47.14    35.38
-----
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion      148     211     359
                        26.43    33.18
-----
Total                    560     636    1196
                        46.82    53.18
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Leticia Van de Putte      119     174     54     347
                        34.80    30.05    19.78
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Todd Staples	118	237	133	488
	34.50	40.93	48.72	
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	105	168	86	359
	30.70	29.02	31.50	
Total	342	579	273	1194
	28.64	48.49	22.86	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Leticia Van de Putte	78	108	36	58	68	348
	33.91	27.41	32.73	47.93	19.94	
Todd Staples	94	158	42	35	160	489
	40.87	40.10	38.18	28.93	46.92	
Haven't thought about it enough to ha	58	128	32	28	113	359
	25.22	32.49	29.09	23.14	33.14	
Total	230	394	110	121	341	1196
	19.23	32.94	9.20	10.12	28.51	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Leticia Van de Putte	75 33.78	84 27.36	137 29.91	51 24.64	347
Todd Staples	52 23.42	106 34.53	212 46.29	118 57.00	488
Haven't thought about it enough to have an opinion	95 42.79	117 38.11	109 23.80	38 18.36	359
Total	222 18.59	307 25.71	458 38.36	207 17.34	1194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q24. Tea Party Support

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Republican candidate	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 4.55	67 17.45	58 27.49	80 34.63	39 30.95	249
Democratic candidate	39 84.78	81 90.00	88 80.00	172 44.79	32 15.17	14 6.06	21 16.67	447

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Tea Party candidate	2 4.35	2 2.22	5 4.55	42 10.94	58 27.49	91 39.39	53 42.06	253
Don't know	5 10.87	7 7.78	12 10.91	103 26.82	63 29.86	46 19.91	13 10.32	249
Total	46 3.84	90 7.51	110 9.18	384 32.05	211 17.61	231 19.28	126 10.52	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Republican candidate	6 2.43	67 17.45	178 31.34	251
Democratic candidate	208 84.21	172 44.79	67 11.80	447
Tea Party candidate	8 3.24	42 10.94	201 35.39	251
Don't know	25 10.12	103 26.82	122 21.48	250
Total	247 20.60	384 32.03	568 47.37	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID7
           StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem   Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Republican candidate      0      2      1      8      24      81      128      244
                          0.00    1.71    0.79    6.96   19.35   44.26   50.79
-----
Democratic candidate    227     86     94     22      0      8      3      440
                          94.98   73.50   74.02  19.13    0.00    4.37    1.19
-----
Tea Party candidate      4      8      2     34     65     48     89     250
                          1.67    6.84    1.57  29.57   52.42   26.23   35.32
-----
Don't know                8     21     30     51     35     46     32     223
                          3.35   17.95   23.62  44.35   28.23   25.14   12.70
-----
Total                    239    117    127    115    124    183    252    1157
                          20.66  10.11  10.98   9.94  10.72  15.82  21.78
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID3
           Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Republican candidate      3      8      233      244
                          0.62    6.96   41.68
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Democratic candidate	408	22	11	441
	84.47	19.13	1.97	

Tea Party candidate	13	34	202	249
	2.69	29.57	36.14	

Don't know	59	51	113	223
	12.22	44.35	20.21	

Total	483	115	559	1157
	41.75	9.94	48.31	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====					
	Q24				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total

Republican candidate	250	0	0	0	250
	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Democratic candidate	0	448	0	0	448
	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	

Tea Party candidate	0	0	251	0	251
	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	

Don't know	0	0	0	251	251
	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	

Total	250	448	251	251	1200
	20.83	37.33	20.92	20.92	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q          No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Republican candidate    20           70           73          19         50          18         250
                        19.05                23.97                22.12        17.12        20.41        15.13
-----
Democratic candidate    36           99          125          48         97          43         448
                        34.29                33.90                37.88        43.24        39.59        36.13
-----
Tea Party candidate     18           54           68          27         56          29         252
                        17.14                18.49                20.61        24.32        22.86        24.37
-----
Don't know              31           69           64          17         42          29         252
                        29.52                23.63                19.39        15.32        17.14        24.37
-----
Total                   105          292          330          111         245          119        1202
                        8.74                24.29                27.45         9.23        20.38         9.90
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q          More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Republican candidate	45 26.47	62 24.12	33 21.43	72 22.64	38 12.62	250
Democratic candidate	54 31.76	89 34.63	46 29.87	114 35.85	145 48.17	448
Tea Party candidate	28 16.47	67 26.07	38 24.68	62 19.50	56 18.60	251
Don't know	43 25.29	39 15.18	37 24.03	70 22.01	62 20.60	251
Total	170 14.17	257 21.42	154 12.83	318 26.50	301 25.08	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Republican candidate	183 25.14	2 1.28	59 21.69	4 25.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 10.00	0 0.00	250
Democratic candidate	208 28.57	120 76.92	107 39.34	3 18.75	1 50.00	7 43.75	1 10.00	0 0.00	447
Tea Party candidate	190 26.10	6 3.85	45 16.54	3 18.75	1 50.00	2 12.50	5 50.00	1 100.00	253
Don't know	147 20.19	28 17.95	61 22.43	6 37.50	0 0.00	6 37.50	3 30.00	0 0.00	251

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	728	156	272	16		2	16	10		1	1201
	60.62	12.99	22.65	1.33		0.17	1.33	0.83		0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Republican candidate	142 22.65	2 13.33	17 14.41	14 24.14	64 20.78	11 14.86	250
Democratic candidate	185 29.51	4 26.67	52 44.07	13 22.41	152 49.35	42 56.76	448
Tea Party candidate	164 26.16	6 40.00	17 14.41	15 25.86	42 13.64	8 10.81	252
Don't know	136 21.69	3 20.00	32 27.12	16 27.59	50 16.23	13 17.57	250
Total	627 52.25	15 1.25	118 9.83	58 4.83	308 25.67	74 6.17	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Republican candidate	110 19.61	140 21.94	250
Democratic candidate	188 33.51	259 40.60	447
Tea Party candidate	146 26.02	105 16.46	251
Don't know	117 20.86	134 21.00	251
Total	561 46.79	638 53.21	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Republican candidate	65 18.95	103 17.73	81 29.67	249
Democratic candidate	139 40.52	224 38.55	84 30.77	447
Tea Party candidate	61 17.78	139 23.92	50 18.32	250

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	78	115	58	251
	22.74	19.79	21.25	
Total	343	581	273	1197
	28.65	48.54	22.81	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Republican candidate	35	79	21	23	91	249
	15.22	20.00	19.27	19.17	26.53	
Democratic candidate	92	145	40	66	104	447
	40.00	36.71	36.70	55.00	30.32	
Tea Party candidate	59	73	20	16	83	251
	25.65	18.48	18.35	13.33	24.20	
Don't know	44	98	28	15	65	250
	19.13	24.81	25.69	12.50	18.95	
Total	230	395	109	120	343	1197
	19.21	33.00	9.11	10.03	28.65	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q              AGEG
              18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Republican candidate    49    77    83    41    250
                       21.97  25.00  18.00  19.71
-----
Democratic candidate    93   126   165    63    447
                       41.70  40.91  35.79  30.29
-----
Tea Party candidate     26    58   111    56    251
                       11.66  18.83  24.08  26.92
-----
Don't know              55    47   102    48    252
                       24.66  15.26  22.13  23.08
-----
Total                   223   308   461   208   1200
                       18.58  25.67  38.42  17.33
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q25. Tea Party Influence

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Too much influence	33 71.74	76 85.39	83 74.77	144 37.60	38 18.10	24 10.39	16 12.70	414
Too little influence	5 10.87	8 8.99	6 5.41	58 15.14	82 39.05	132 57.14	63 50.00	354

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The right amount of influence	4 8.70	1 1.12	9 8.11	75 19.58	48 22.86	48 20.78	34 26.98	219
Don't know	4 8.70	4 4.49	13 11.71	106 27.68	42 20.00	27 11.69	13 10.32	209
Total	46 3.85	89 7.44	111 9.28	383 32.02	210 17.56	231 19.31	126 10.54	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Too much influence	193 78.14	144 37.60	79 13.93	416
Too little influence	19 7.69	58 15.14	276 48.68	353
The right amount of influence	14 5.67	75 19.58	130 22.93	219
Don't know	21 8.50	106 27.68	82 14.46	209
Total	247 20.63	383 32.00	567 47.37	1197

Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID7
           StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem   Ind   LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Too much influence      166      51      95      39      10      28      26      415
                       69.46    43.59    74.80   34.21    8.13    15.22    10.28
-----
Too little influence     21      17      10      45      71      63     122     349
                       8.79    14.53    7.87   39.47   57.72   34.24    48.22
-----
The right amount of influence  14      16      12      9      29      57      72     209
                       5.86    13.68    9.45    7.89   23.58   30.98    28.46
-----
Don't know              38      33      10      21      13      36      33     184
                       15.90   28.21    7.87   18.42   10.57   19.57    13.04
-----
Total                   239     117     127     114     123     184     253    1157
                       20.66   10.11   10.98    9.85   10.63   15.90    21.87
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID3
           Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Too much influence      312          39          64          415
                       64.60        34.21        11.43
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Too little influence	48 9.94	45 39.47	255 45.54	348
The right amount of influence	42 8.70	9 7.89	158 28.21	209
Don't know	81 16.77	21 18.42	83 14.82	185
Total	483 41.75	114 9.85	560 48.40	1157

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

===== Q24						
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	
Too much influence	56 22.40	303 67.63	4 1.59	52 20.88	415	
Too little influence	56 22.40	46 10.27	190 75.70	62 24.90	354	
The right amount of influence	88 35.20	39 8.71	50 19.92	41 16.47	218	
Don't know	50 20.00	60 13.39	7 2.79	94 37.75	211	
Total	250 20.87	448 37.40	251 20.95	249 20.78	1198	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q          No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Too much influence          33          74          110          42          98          60          417
                          31.43          25.43          33.43          38.53          39.84          50.42
-----
Too little influence          20          87          102          37          72          35          353
                          19.05          29.90          31.00          33.94          29.27          29.41
-----
The right amount of influence          20          52          57          20          53          16          218
                          19.05          17.87          17.33          18.35          21.54          13.45
-----
Don't know          32          78          60          10          23          8          211
                          30.48          26.80          18.24          9.17          9.35          6.72
-----
Total          105          291          329          109          246          119          1199
                          8.76          24.27          27.44          9.09          20.52          9.92
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q          More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Too much influence	56 32.75	73 28.52	48 30.97	103 32.49	136 45.33	416
Too little influence	46 26.90	91 35.55	47 30.32	96 30.28	74 24.67	354
The right amount of influence	40 23.39	51 19.92	34 21.94	55 17.35	38 12.67	218
Don't know	29 16.96	41 16.02	26 16.77	63 19.87	52 17.33	211
Total	171 14.26	256 21.35	155 12.93	317 26.44	300 25.02	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Too much influence	236 32.51	84 54.19	82 30.15	6 37.50	1 50.00	6 35.29	2 22.22	0 0.00		417
Too little influence	244 33.61	24 15.48	71 26.10	6 37.50	0 0.00	3 17.65	5 55.56	1 100.00		354
The right amount of i	145 19.97	16 10.32	48 17.65	3 18.75	1 50.00	4 23.53	0 0.00	0 0.00		217
Don't know	101 13.91	31 20.00	71 26.10	1 6.25	0 0.00	4 23.53	2 22.22	0 0.00		210

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	726	155	272	16		2	17	9		1	1198
	60.60	12.94	22.70	1.34		0.17	1.42	0.75		0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Too much influence	203 32.32	2 13.33	40 34.19	9 15.79	136 44.30	26 35.14	416
Too little influence	214 34.08	7 46.67	33 28.21	19 33.33	67 21.82	13 17.57	353
The right amount of influence	126 20.06	2 13.33	16 13.68	10 17.54	47 15.31	17 22.97	218
Don't know	85 13.54	4 26.67	28 23.93	19 33.33	57 18.57	18 24.32	211
Total	628 52.42	15 1.25	117 9.77	57 4.76	307 25.63	74 6.18	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Too much influence	192 34.16	224 35.11	416
Too little influence	209 37.19	145 22.73	354
The right amount of influence	102 18.15	117 18.34	219
Don't know	59 10.50	152 23.82	211
Total	562 46.83	638 53.17	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Too much influence	116 33.72	220 37.80	80 29.30	416
Too little influence	94 27.33	180 30.93	80 29.30	354
The right amount of influence	58 16.86	103 17.70	57 20.88	218

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	76	79	56	211
	22.09	13.57	20.51	
Total	344	582	273	1199
	28.69	48.54	22.77	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Too much influence	81 34.91	149 37.72	36 33.03	57 47.11	93 27.11	416
Too little influence	80 34.48	115 29.11	29 26.61	18 14.88	112 32.65	354
The right amount of influence	37 15.95	69 17.47	20 18.35	23 19.01	70 20.41	219
Don't know	34 14.66	62 15.70	24 22.02	23 19.01	68 19.83	211
Total	232 19.33	395 32.92	109 9.08	121 10.08	343 28.58	1200

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Too much influence      80    106    167    63    416
                    35.87  34.53  36.30  30.29
-----
Too little influence    55     68    156    75    354
                    24.66  22.15  33.91  36.06
-----
The right amount of influence  36     72     77    32    217
                    16.14  23.45  16.74  15.38
-----
Don't know              52     61     60    38    211
                    23.32  19.87  13.04  18.27
-----
Total                   223    307    460    208   1198
                    18.61  25.63  38.40  17.36
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political Figure Favorability Ratings

Q26. Ted Cruz Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	3 6.38	1 1.11	4 3.60	32 8.36	76 36.19	139 60.70	88 71.54	343
Somewhat favorable	1	2	2	45	52	47	19	168

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.13	2.22	1.80	11.75	24.76	20.52	15.45	
Neither favorable nor unfavora	5 10.64	7 7.78	7 6.31	72 18.80	16 7.62	9 3.93	6 4.88	122
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	3 3.33	10 9.01	39 10.18	27 12.86	12 5.24	2 1.63	93
Very unfavorable	37 78.72	72 80.00	78 70.27	121 31.59	24 11.43	11 4.80	1 0.81	344
Don't know / No opinion	1 2.13	5 5.56	10 9.01	74 19.32	15 7.14	11 4.80	7 5.69	123
Total	47 3.94	90 7.54	111 9.30	383 32.10	210 17.60	229 19.20	123 10.31	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	8 3.24	32 8.36	303 53.91	343
Somewhat favorable	5 2.02	45 11.75	118 21.00	168
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	18 7.29	72 18.80	31 5.52	121

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	13	39	41	93
	5.26	10.18	7.30	

Very unfavorable	187	121	36	344
	75.71	31.59	6.41	

Don't know / No opinion	16	74	33	123
	6.48	19.32	5.87	

Total	247	383	562	1192
	20.72	32.13	47.15	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	16	4	8	19	72	71	152	342
	6.67	3.39	6.45	16.67	58.06	38.59	60.56	

Somewhat favorable	16	10	4	21	30	33	51	165
	6.67	8.47	3.23	18.42	24.19	17.93	20.32	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	26	20	5	19	9	26	12	117
	10.83	16.95	4.03	16.67	7.26	14.13	4.78	

Somewhat unfavorable	13	14	5	11	3	32	12	90
	5.42	11.86	4.03	9.65	2.42	17.39	4.78	

Very unfavorable	148	49	95	29	4	9	7	341
	61.67	41.53	76.61	25.44	3.23	4.89	2.79	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	21	21	7	15	6	13	17	100
	8.75	17.80	5.65	13.16	4.84	7.07	6.77	
Total	240	118	124	114	124	184	251	1155
	20.78	10.22	10.74	9.87	10.74	15.93	21.73	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	28	19	295	342
	5.82	16.67	52.68	
Somewhat favorable	30	21	114	165
	6.24	18.42	20.36	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	51	19	48	118
	10.60	16.67	8.57	
Somewhat unfavorable	32	11	47	90
	6.65	9.65	8.39	
Very unfavorable	291	29	20	340
	60.50	25.44	3.57	
Don't know / No opinion	49	15	36	100
	10.19	13.16	6.43	
Total	481	114	560	1155

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

41.65 9.87 48.48

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate   Democratic candidate   Tea Party candidate   Don't know   Total
-----
Very favorable           82                25                172                64            343
                        33.47                5.58                68.53                25.81
-----
Somewhat favorable      66                26                43                32            167
                        26.94                5.80                17.13                12.90
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  34                43                19                25            121
                        13.88                9.60                7.57                10.08
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   35                35                6                17            93
                        14.29                7.81                2.39                6.85
-----
Very unfavorable       11                282                4                47            344
                        4.49                62.95                1.59                18.95
-----
Don't know / No opinion  17                37                7                63            124
                        6.94                8.26                2.79                25.40
-----
Total                   245                448                251                248            1192
                        20.55                37.58                21.06                20.81
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|              Count |
|-----|
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Very favorable      21           83           90           36           76           37           343
                   20.00          28.52          27.52          33.03          31.40          30.58
-----
Somewhat favorable    9           45           53           14           31           16           168
                   8.57          15.46          16.21          12.84          12.81          13.22
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  21           32           39           12           15           3           122
                   20.00          11.00          11.93          11.01           6.20           2.48
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  16           33           20           9           7           9           94
                   15.24          11.34           6.12           8.26           2.89           7.44
-----
Very unfavorable     16           57           92           31           95           53           344
                   15.24          19.59          28.13          28.44          39.26          43.80
-----
Don't know / No opinion  22           41           33           7           18           3           124
                   20.95          14.09          10.09           6.42           7.44           2.48
-----
Total                105          291           327           109           242           121          1195
                   8.79          24.35          27.36           9.12          20.25          10.13
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

attend

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Very favorable	49 30.06	97 37.89	40 25.81	92 28.93	64 21.40	342
Somewhat favorable	33 20.25	37 14.45	31 20.00	45 14.15	23 7.69	169
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	20 12.27	24 9.38	22 14.19	25 7.86	30 10.03	121
Somewhat unfavorable	10 6.13	28 10.94	12 7.74	27 8.49	17 5.69	94
Very unfavorable	38 23.31	48 18.75	28 18.06	91 28.62	137 45.82	342
Don't know / No opinion	13 7.98	22 8.59	22 14.19	38 11.95	28 9.36	123
Total	163 13.69	256 21.49	155 13.01	318 26.70	299 25.10	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	260 35.96	9 5.84	65 23.81	2 12.50	0 0.00	2 12.50	5 55.56		0 0.00	343

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	111	7	41	4	1	3	1	1	169
	15.35	4.55	15.02	25.00	33.33	18.75	11.11	100.00	
Neither favorable nor	54	32	32	2	0	2	0	0	122
	7.47	20.78	11.72	12.50	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	51	23	18	1	0	0	1	0	94
	7.05	14.94	6.59	6.25	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.00	
Very unfavorable	197	61	70	6	2	7	1	0	344
	27.25	39.61	25.64	37.50	66.67	43.75	11.11	0.00	
Don't know / No opini	50	22	47	1	0	2	1	0	123
	6.92	14.29	17.22	6.25	0.00	12.50	11.11	0.00	
Total	723	154	273	16	3	16	9	1	1195
	60.50	12.89	22.85	1.34	0.25	1.34	0.75	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital							Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership		
Very favorable	213	0	27	28	61	13	342	
	33.86	0.00	23.08	49.12	20.20	18.84		
Somewhat favorable	97	5	19	6	34	6	167	
	15.42	33.33	16.24	10.53	11.26	8.70		
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	46	3	9	5	45	12	120	
	7.31	20.00	7.69	8.77	14.90	17.39		

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	42	1	15	3	27	6	94
	6.68	6.67	12.82	5.26	8.94	8.70	
Very unfavorable	178	3	37	10	91	24	343
	28.30	20.00	31.62	17.54	30.13	34.78	
Don't know / No opinion	53	3	10	5	44	8	123
	8.43	20.00	8.55	8.77	14.57	11.59	
Total	629	15	117	57	302	69	1189
	52.90	1.26	9.84	4.79	25.40	5.80	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	202	141	343
	36.20	22.24	
Somewhat favorable	78	89	167
	13.98	14.04	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	46	76	122
	8.24	11.99	
Somewhat unfavorable	53	40	93
	9.50	6.31	
Very unfavorable	148	195	343

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

26.52 30.76

Don't know / No opinion	31	93	124
	5.56	14.67	
Total	558	634	1192
	46.81	53.19	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

locate

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	85	169	89	343
	24.71	29.39	32.36	
Somewhat favorable	46	84	38	168
	13.37	14.61	13.82	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	42	45	35	122
	12.21	7.83	12.73	
Somewhat unfavorable	16	49	29	94
	4.65	8.52	10.55	
Very unfavorable	104	187	52	343
	30.23	32.52	18.91	
Don't know / No opinion	51	41	32	124
	14.83	7.13	11.64	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	575	275	1194
	28.81	48.16	23.03	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|    Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Very favorable          64          117          27          23          112          343
                        28.07          29.77          24.77          19.17          32.65
-----
Somewhat favorable     31          51          22          17          47          168
                        13.60          12.98          20.18          14.17          13.70
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  30          27          9          9          46          121
                        13.16          6.87          8.26          7.50          13.41
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   12          46          6          10          20          94
                        5.26          11.70          5.50          8.33          5.83
-----
Very unfavorable       69          122          29          53          70          343
                        30.26          31.04          26.61          44.17          20.41
-----
Don't know / No opinion  22          30          16          8          48          124
                        9.65          7.63          14.68          6.67          13.99
-----
Total                  228          393          109          120          343          1193
                        19.11          32.94          9.14          10.06          28.75
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

```

=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          34    69   144    97   344
                    15.38  22.62  31.24  46.63
-----
Somewhat favorable     36    39    68    24   167
                    16.29  12.79  14.75  11.54
-----
Neither favorable nor  33    41    37    11   122
unfavorable           14.93  13.44  8.03   5.29
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   15    36    36     8    95
                    6.79  11.80  7.81   3.85
-----
Very unfavorable       58    74   153    59   344
                    26.24  24.26  33.19  28.37
-----
Don't know / No opinion  45    46    23     9   123
                    20.36  15.08  4.99   4.33
-----
Total                 221   305   461   208  1195
                    18.49  25.52  38.58  17.41
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q27. John Cornyn Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	4 8.51	0 0.00	2 1.82	31 8.18	26 12.38	40 17.62	42 33.33	145
Somewhat favorable	0 0.00	3 3.33	10 9.09	55 14.51	57 27.14	95 41.85	35 27.78	255

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	8 17.02	8 8.89	16 14.55	94 24.80	35 16.67	31 13.66	13 10.32	205
Somewhat unfavorable	3 6.38	11 12.22	23 20.91	50 13.19	33 15.71	31 13.66	14 11.11	165
Very unfavorable	29 61.70	62 68.89	36 32.73	50 13.19	19 9.05	12 5.29	12 9.52	220
Don't know / No opinion	3 6.38	6 6.67	23 20.91	99 26.12	40 19.05	18 7.93	10 7.94	199
Total	47 3.95	90 7.57	110 9.25	379 31.88	210 17.66	227 19.09	126 10.60	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	5 2.04	31 8.18	108 19.12	144
Somewhat favorable	13 5.31	55 14.51	187 33.10	255
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	32 13.06	94 24.80	79 13.98	205
Somewhat unfavorable	37 15.10	50 13.19	79 13.98	166

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	126	50	43	219
	51.43	13.19	7.61	
Don't know / No opinion	32	99	69	200
	13.06	26.12	12.21	
Total	245	379	565	1189
	20.61	31.88	47.52	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	16	3	4	5	12	31	69	140
	6.84	2.56	3.17	4.35	10.00	16.85	27.27	
Somewhat favorable	13	10	6	9	52	65	96	251
	5.56	8.55	4.76	7.83	43.33	35.33	37.94	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	36	36	16	38	17	33	24	200
	15.38	30.77	12.70	33.04	14.17	17.93	9.49	
Somewhat unfavorable	29	20	24	26	23	8	35	165
	12.39	17.09	19.05	22.61	19.17	4.35	13.83	
Very unfavorable	100	16	56	14	7	14	12	219
	42.74	13.68	44.44	12.17	5.83	7.61	4.74	
Don't know / No opinion	40	32	20	23	9	33	17	174

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	17.09	27.35	15.87	20.00	7.50	17.93	6.72	
Total	234	117	126	115	120	184	253	1149
	20.37	10.18	10.97	10.01	10.44	16.01	22.02	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	23	5	112	140
	4.81	4.35	20.14	
Somewhat favorable	30	9	213	252
	6.28	7.83	38.31	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	88	38	74	200
	18.41	33.04	13.31	
Somewhat unfavorable	73	26	65	164
	15.27	22.61	11.69	
Very unfavorable	172	14	33	219
	35.98	12.17	5.94	
Don't know / No opinion	92	23	59	174
	19.25	20.00	10.61	
Total	478	115	556	1149
	41.60	10.01	48.39	

```

=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	70 28.11	20 4.52	34 13.71	20 8.10	144
Somewhat favorable	85 34.14	30 6.79	80 32.26	58 23.48	253
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	46 18.47	77 17.42	40 16.13	41 16.60	204
Somewhat unfavorable	15 6.02	70 15.84	55 22.18	25 10.12	165
Very unfavorable	3 1.20	172 38.91	25 10.08	19 7.69	219
Don't know / No opinion	30 12.05	73 16.52	14 5.65	84 34.01	201
Total	249 20.99	442 37.27	248 20.91	247 20.83	1186

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	5 4.85	41 14.14	34 10.40	10 9.09	37 15.16	17 14.29	144
Somewhat favorable	20 19.42	57 19.66	75 22.94	25 22.73	55 22.54	22 18.49	254
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	27 26.21	65 22.41	55 16.82	15 13.64	29 11.89	16 13.45	207
Somewhat unfavorable	8 7.77	31 10.69	47 14.37	26 23.64	34 13.93	20 16.81	166
Very unfavorable	7 6.80	35 12.07	56 17.13	22 20.00	67 27.46	33 27.73	220
Don't know / No opinion	36 34.95	61 21.03	60 18.35	12 10.91	22 9.02	11 9.24	202
Total	103 8.63	290 24.31	327 27.41	110 9.22	244 20.45	119 9.97	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	26 15.38	49 19.37	17 11.11	36 11.43	15 5.07	143
Somewhat favorable	46 27.22	61 24.11	37 24.18	62 19.68	49 16.55	255
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	35 20.71	45 17.79	28 18.30	52 16.51	45 15.20	205
Somewhat unfavorable	25 14.79	32 12.65	17 11.11	52 16.51	38 12.84	164
Very unfavorable	12 7.10	31 12.25	28 18.30	57 18.10	91 30.74	219
Don't know / No opinion	25 14.79	35 13.83	26 16.99	56 17.78	58 19.59	200
Total	169 14.25	253 21.33	153 12.90	315 26.56	296 24.96	1186

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Very favorable		107 14.80	5 3.31	26 9.63	2 12.50	1 33.33	1 5.88	1 12.50	0 0.00	143
Somewhat favorable		185 25.59	10 6.62	54 20.00	3 18.75	0 0.00	1 5.88	2 25.00	1 100.00	256

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	101	38	61	3	0	1	0	0	204
	13.97	25.17	22.59	18.75	0.00	5.88	0.00	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	116	17	24	3	0	3	3	0	166
	16.04	11.26	8.89	18.75	0.00	17.65	37.50	0.00	
Very unfavorable	136	38	36	1	2	5	1	0	219
	18.81	25.17	13.33	6.25	66.67	29.41	12.50	0.00	
Don't know / No opini	78	43	69	4	0	6	1	0	201
	10.79	28.48	25.56	25.00	0.00	35.29	12.50	0.00	
Total	723	151	270	16	3	17	8	1	1189
	60.81	12.70	22.71	1.35	0.25	1.43	0.67	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	78	1	8	17	30	10	144
	12.52	5.88	6.90	29.82	9.80	14.08	
Somewhat favorable	144	1	33	12	55	9	254
	23.11	5.88	28.45	21.05	17.97	12.68	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	102	5	14	11	64	11	207
	16.37	29.41	12.07	19.30	20.92	15.49	
Somewhat unfavorable	94	4	18	7	32	11	166

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.09	23.53	15.52	12.28	10.46	15.49	
Very unfavorable	119	1	20	6	55	18	219
	19.10	5.88	17.24	10.53	17.97	25.35	
Don't know / No opinion	86	5	23	4	70	12	200
	13.80	29.41	19.83	7.02	22.88	16.90	
Total	623	17	116	57	306	71	1190
	52.35	1.43	9.75	4.79	25.71	5.97	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	69	75	144
	12.39	11.89	
Somewhat favorable	133	121	254
	23.88	19.18	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	91	114	205
	16.34	18.07	
Somewhat unfavorable	92	73	165
	16.52	11.57	
Very unfavorable	104	115	219
	18.67	18.23	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	68	133	201
	12.21	21.08	

Total	557	631	1188
	46.89	53.11	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Very favorable	46	55	43	144
	13.45	9.53	16.04	

Somewhat favorable	71	118	65	254
	20.76	20.45	24.25	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	54	109	42	205
	15.79	18.89	15.67	

Somewhat unfavorable	29	100	36	165
	8.48	17.33	13.43	

Very unfavorable	66	122	31	219
	19.30	21.14	11.57	

Don't know / No opinion	76	73	51	200
	22.22	12.65	19.03	

Total	342	577	268	1187
	28.81	48.61	22.58	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q METRO
Houston Area Dallas-Fort Worth Area San Antonio Area Austin Area Another Part of TX Total
-----
Very favorable 31 51 16 8 38 144
13.48 13.11 14.95 6.61 11.21
-----
Somewhat favorable 45 77 24 21 87 254
19.57 19.79 22.43 17.36 25.66
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable 34 63 16 23 69 205
14.78 16.20 14.95 19.01 20.35
-----
Somewhat unfavorable 43 55 14 13 39 164
18.70 14.14 13.08 10.74 11.50
-----
Very unfavorable 48 83 16 34 38 219
20.87 21.34 14.95 28.10 11.21
-----
Don't know / No opinion 29 60 21 22 68 200
12.61 15.42 19.63 18.18 20.06
-----
Total 230 389 107 121 339 1186
19.39 32.80 9.02 10.20 28.58
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          12    42    49    41   144
                    5.38  13.86  10.75  19.71
-----
Somewhat favorable     39    46   115    55   255
                    17.49  15.18  25.22  26.44
-----
Neither favorable nor  45    68    68    24   205
unfavorable           20.18  22.44  14.91  11.54
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   26    32    73    34   165
                    11.66  10.56  16.01  16.35
-----
Very unfavorable       28    48   110    34   220
                    12.56  15.84  24.12  16.35
-----
Don't know / No opinion 73    67    41    20   201
                    32.74  22.11  8.99   9.62
-----
Total                 223   303   456   208  1190
                    18.74  25.46  38.32  17.48
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q28. Rick Perry Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	3 6.38	1 1.11	2 1.82	44 11.49	47 22.27	77 33.33	73 58.40	247
Somewhat favorable	1 2.13	4 4.44	5 4.55	64 16.71	61 28.91	106 45.89	35 28.00	276

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	1 2.13	3 3.33	4 3.64	57 14.88	41 19.43	19 8.23	2 1.60	127
Somewhat unfavorable	2 4.26	6 6.67	23 20.91	60 15.67	18 8.53	10 4.33	5 4.00	124
Very unfavorable	38 80.85	75 83.33	70 63.64	122 31.85	33 15.64	16 6.93	5 4.00	359
Don't know / No Opinion	2 4.26	1 1.11	6 5.45	36 9.40	11 5.21	3 1.30	5 4.00	64
Total	47 3.93	90 7.52	110 9.19	383 32.00	211 17.63	231 19.30	125 10.44	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	6 2.43	44 11.49	196 34.51	246
Somewhat favorable	11 4.45	64 16.71	202 35.56	277
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	8 3.24	57 14.88	63 11.09	128
Somewhat unfavorable	31 12.55	60 15.67	33 5.81	124

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	182	122	55	359
	73.68	31.85	9.68	
Don't know / No Opinion	9	36	19	64
	3.64	9.40	3.35	
Total	247	383	568	1198
	20.62	31.97	47.41	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	15	8	8	8	31	61	109	240
	6.33	6.84	6.35	6.96	24.80	33.52	43.08	
Somewhat favorable	18	13	9	16	52	58	108	274
	7.59	11.11	7.14	13.91	41.60	31.87	42.69	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	17	28	3	22	20	23	9	122
	7.17	23.93	2.38	19.13	16.00	12.64	3.56	
Somewhat unfavorable	25	13	24	22	11	15	10	120
	10.55	11.11	19.05	19.13	8.80	8.24	3.95	
Very unfavorable	153	46	80	38	5	21	12	355
	64.56	39.32	63.49	33.04	4.00	11.54	4.74	
Don't know / No Opinion	9	9	2	9	6	4	5	44

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.80	7.69	1.59	7.83	4.80	2.20	1.98	
Total	237	117	126	115	125	182	253	1155
	20.52	10.13	10.91	9.96	10.82	15.76	21.90	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Very favorable	31	8	202	241
	6.43	6.96	36.01	
Somewhat favorable	40	16	218	274
	8.30	13.91	38.86	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	48	22	52	122
	9.96	19.13	9.27	
Somewhat unfavorable	62	22	36	120
	12.86	19.13	6.42	
Very unfavorable	280	38	38	356
	58.09	33.04	6.77	
Don't know / No Opinion	21	9	15	45
	4.36	7.83	2.67	
Total	482	115	561	1158
	41.62	9.93	48.45	

```

=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	95 37.85	25 5.59	90 36.14	37 14.68	247
Somewhat favorable	100 39.84	31 6.94	82 32.93	64 25.40	277
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	19 7.57	39 8.72	35 14.06	35 13.89	128
Somewhat unfavorable	18 7.17	64 14.32	18 7.23	24 9.52	124
Very unfavorable	13 5.18	275 61.52	21 8.43	49 19.44	358
Don't know / No Opinion	6 2.39	13 2.91	3 1.20	43 17.06	65
Total	251 20.93	447 37.28	249 20.77	252 21.02	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	28 26.92	52 18.06	71 21.58	22 20.00	47 19.18	26 21.67	246
Somewhat favorable	11 10.58	74 25.69	83 25.23	22 20.00	62 25.31	25 20.83	277
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	16 15.38	38 13.19	27 8.21	12 10.91	19 7.76	15 12.50	127
Somewhat unfavorable	12 11.54	32 11.11	34 10.33	11 10.00	25 10.20	9 7.50	123
Very unfavorable	26 25.00	70 24.31	93 28.27	39 35.45	86 35.10	44 36.67	358
Don't know / No Opinion	11 10.58	22 7.64	21 6.38	4 3.64	6 2.45	1 0.83	65
Total	104 8.70	288 24.08	329 27.51	110 9.20	245 20.48	120 10.03	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	42 24.71	81 31.40	29 18.95	56 17.61	38 12.67	246
Somewhat favorable	50 29.41	63 24.42	40 26.14	83 26.10	42 14.00	278
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	16 9.41	30 11.63	17 11.11	35 11.01	29 9.67	127
Somewhat unfavorable	18 10.59	19 7.36	11 7.19	33 10.38	44 14.67	125
Very unfavorable	39 22.94	55 21.32	44 28.76	93 29.25	128 42.67	359
Don't know / No Opinion	5 2.94	10 3.88	12 7.84	18 5.66	19 6.33	64
Total	170 14.18	258 21.52	153 12.76	318 26.52	300 25.02	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	174 23.97	11 7.10	51 18.82	4 26.67	1 33.33	2 12.50	2 22.22		1 100.00	246
Somewhat favorable	182 25.07	16 10.32	64 23.62	7 46.67	0 0.00	3 18.75	4 44.44		0 0.00	276

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	72	19	32	2	0	1	1	0	127
	9.92	12.26	11.81	13.33	0.00	6.25	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	71	23	28	0	0	1	0	0	123
	9.78	14.84	10.33	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	
Very unfavorable	207	66	73	2	2	7	2	0	359
	28.51	42.58	26.94	13.33	66.67	43.75	22.22	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	20	20	23	0	0	2	0	0	65
	2.75	12.90	8.49	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	
Total	726	155	271	15	3	16	9	1	1196
	60.70	12.96	22.66	1.25	0.25	1.34	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	140	1	21	18	54	11	245
	22.33	6.67	18.10	31.58	17.70	15.07	
Somewhat favorable	166	2	30	11	55	13	277
	26.48	13.33	25.86	19.30	18.03	17.81	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	65	2	8	8	34	9	126
	10.37	13.33	6.90	14.04	11.15	12.33	
Somewhat unfavorable	63	3	12	3	35	8	124

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	10.05	20.00	10.34	5.26	11.48	10.96	
Very unfavorable	169	5	41	14	103	26	358
	26.95	33.33	35.34	24.56	33.77	35.62	
Don't know / No Opinion	24	2	4	3	24	6	63
	3.83	13.33	3.45	5.26	7.87	8.22	
Total	627	15	116	57	305	73	1193
	52.56	1.26	9.72	4.78	25.57	6.12	

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	125	121	246
	22.32	19.00	
Somewhat favorable	138	139	277
	24.64	21.82	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	67	61	128
	11.96	9.58	
Somewhat unfavorable	64	60	124
	11.43	9.42	
Very unfavorable	151	207	358
	26.96	32.50	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	15	49	64
	2.68	7.69	

Total	560	637	1197
	46.78	53.22	

=====			
Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

	=====			
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Very favorable	70	105	71	246
	20.35	18.04	26.01	

Somewhat favorable	74	135	69	278
	21.51	23.20	25.27	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	27	64	37	128
	7.85	11.00	13.55	

Somewhat unfavorable	35	67	23	125
	10.17	11.51	8.42	

Very unfavorable	106	190	62	358
	30.81	32.65	22.71	

Don't know / No Opinion	32	21	11	64
	9.30	3.61	4.03	

Total	344	582	273	1199
	28.69	48.54	22.77	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	52 22.41	87 22.08	15 13.76	21 17.65	71 20.76	246
Somewhat favorable	51 21.98	87 22.08	30 27.52	18 15.13	92 26.90	278
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	25 10.78	31 7.87	11 10.09	10 8.40	50 14.62	127
Somewhat unfavorable	24 10.34	38 9.64	12 11.01	13 10.92	36 10.53	123
Very unfavorable	67 28.88	130 32.99	29 26.61	54 45.38	78 22.81	358
Don't know / No Opinion	13 5.60	21 5.33	12 11.01	3 2.52	15 4.39	64
Total	232 19.40	394 32.94	109 9.11	119 9.95	342 28.60	1196

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          27    66    89    64   246
                    12.16  21.43  19.31  30.92
-----
Somewhat favorable     41    69   119    49   278
                    18.47  22.40  25.81  23.67
-----
Neither favorable nor  25    47    44    12   128
unfavorable           11.26  15.26  9.54   5.80
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   34    25    44    21   124
                    15.32   8.12   9.54  10.14
-----
Very unfavorable      63    80   159    56   358
                    28.38  25.97  34.49  27.05
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 32    21     6     5    64
                    14.41   6.82   1.30   2.42
-----
Total                 222   308   461   207  1198
                    18.53  25.71  38.48  17.28
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q29. Greg Abbott Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	5 10.87	1 1.18	2 1.82	36 9.42	57 27.14	101 43.53	70 56.00	272
Somewhat favorable	1 2.17	4 4.71	9 8.18	57 14.92	68 32.38	89 38.36	36 28.80	264

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	7 15.22	4 4.71	9 8.18	105 27.49	26 12.38	17 7.33	8 6.40	176
Somewhat unfavorable	1 2.17	9 10.59	30 27.27	34 8.90	23 10.95	4 1.72	2 1.60	103
Very unfavorable	28 60.87	56 65.88	41 37.27	57 14.92	4 1.90	3 1.29	0 0.00	189
Don't know / No Opinion	4 8.70	11 12.94	19 17.27	93 24.35	32 15.24	18 7.76	9 7.20	186
Total	46 3.87	85 7.14	110 9.24	382 32.10	210 17.65	232 19.50	125 10.50	1190

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	8 3.29	36 9.42	228 40.28	272
Somewhat favorable	14 5.76	57 14.92	192 33.92	263
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	21 8.64	105 27.49	51 9.01	177
Somewhat unfavorable	41 16.87	34 8.90	29 5.12	104

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	125	57	7	189
	51.44	14.92	1.24	
Don't know / No Opinion	34	93	59	186
	13.99	24.35	10.42	
Total	243	382	566	1191
	20.40	32.07	47.52	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	16	8	6	12	49	58	117	266
	6.72	6.72	4.80	10.43	39.52	31.87	46.61	
Somewhat favorable	23	16	6	22	50	52	92	261
	9.66	13.45	4.80	19.13	40.32	28.57	36.65	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34	23	14	30	13	40	18	172
	14.29	19.33	11.20	26.09	10.48	21.98	7.17	
Somewhat unfavorable	31	17	32	13	3	5	3	104
	13.03	14.29	25.60	11.30	2.42	2.75	1.20	
Very unfavorable	102	16	51	16	0	1	3	189
	42.86	13.45	40.80	13.91	0.00	0.55	1.20	
Don't know / No Opinion	32	39	16	22	9	26	18	162

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.45	32.77	12.80	19.13	7.26	14.29	7.17	
Total	238	119	125	115	124	182	251	1154
	20.62	10.31	10.83	9.97	10.75	15.77	21.75	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
PID3
Democrats    Independents    Republicans    Total
-----
Very favorable
           30           12           224           266
           6.25           10.43           40.29
-----
Somewhat favorable
           44           22           194           260
           9.17           19.13           34.89
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable
           71           30           71           172
           14.79           26.09           12.77
-----
Somewhat unfavorable
           80           13           10           103
           16.67           11.30           1.80
-----
Very unfavorable
           168           16           5           189
           35.00           13.91           0.90
-----
Don't know / No Opinion
           87           22           52           161
           18.12           19.13           9.35
-----
Total
           480           115           556           1151
           41.70           9.99           48.31
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	94 37.60	26 5.83	116 46.03	36 14.63	272
Somewhat favorable	82 32.80	41 9.19	76 30.16	63 25.61	262
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	40 16.00	70 15.70	36 14.29	32 13.01	178
Somewhat unfavorable	8 3.20	73 16.37	9 3.57	14 5.69	104
Very unfavorable	1 0.40	168 37.67	4 1.59	17 6.91	190
Don't know / No Opinion	25 10.00	68 15.25	11 4.37	84 34.15	188
Total	250 20.94	446 37.35	252 21.11	246 20.60	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	21 20.00	58 20.00	63 19.27	28 25.45	69 28.16	34 29.31	273
Somewhat favorable	19 18.10	70 24.14	81 24.77	21 19.09	48 19.59	24 20.69	263
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	28 26.67	50 17.24	49 14.98	20 18.18	26 10.61	4 3.45	177
Somewhat unfavorable	2 1.90	23 7.93	30 9.17	15 13.64	23 9.39	11 9.48	104
Very unfavorable	5 4.76	34 11.72	54 16.51	16 14.55	55 22.45	27 23.28	191
Don't know / No Opinion	30 28.57	55 18.97	50 15.29	10 9.09	24 9.80	16 13.79	185
Total	105 8.80	290 24.31	327 27.41	110 9.22	245 20.54	116 9.72	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	48 28.40	81 31.40	29 18.95	73 23.17	42 14.09	273
Somewhat favorable	43 25.44	57 22.09	34 22.22	74 23.49	53 17.79	261
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	26 15.38	38 14.73	39 25.49	39 12.38	36 12.08	178
Somewhat unfavorable	21 12.43	17 6.59	10 6.54	27 8.57	29 9.73	104
Very unfavorable	7 4.14	28 10.85	15 9.80	54 17.14	86 28.86	190
Don't know / No Opinion	24 14.20	37 14.34	26 16.99	48 15.24	52 17.45	187
Total	169 14.17	258 21.63	153 12.82	315 26.40	298 24.98	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	202 27.86	11 7.05	50 18.66	2 12.50	1 33.33	2 11.76	4 50.00	0 0.00		272
Somewhat favorable	180 24.83	22 14.10	50 18.66	6 37.50	0 0.00	3 17.65	2 25.00	1 100.00		264

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	85	35	55	1	0	2	1	0	179
	11.72	22.44	20.52	6.25	0.00	11.76	12.50	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	60	23	18	1	1	1	0	0	104
	8.28	14.74	6.72	6.25	33.33	5.88	0.00	0.00	
Very unfavorable	119	34	28	2	1	5	0	0	189
	16.41	21.79	10.45	12.50	33.33	29.41	0.00	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	79	31	67	4	0	4	1	0	186
	10.90	19.87	25.00	25.00	0.00	23.53	12.50	0.00	
Total	725	156	268	16	3	17	8	1	1194
	60.72	13.07	22.45	1.34	0.25	1.42	0.67	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	165	1	19	17	53	15	270
	26.48	6.67	16.38	29.31	17.32	20.55	
Somewhat favorable	159	3	26	20	49	7	264
	25.52	20.00	22.41	34.48	16.01	9.59	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	72	4	21	7	62	10	176
	11.56	26.67	18.10	12.07	20.26	13.70	
Somewhat unfavorable	49	4	11	4	31	6	105

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.87	26.67	9.48	6.90	10.13	8.22	
Very unfavorable	88	0	24	7	51	19	189
	14.13	0.00	20.69	12.07	16.67	26.03	
Don't know / No Opinion	90	3	15	3	60	16	187
	14.45	20.00	12.93	5.17	19.61	21.92	
Total	623	15	116	58	306	73	1191
	52.31	1.26	9.74	4.87	25.69	6.13	

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
Q

	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	134	138	272
	23.93	21.84	
Somewhat favorable	136	126	262
	24.29	19.94	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	87	91	178
	15.54	14.40	
Somewhat unfavorable	49	55	104
	8.75	8.70	
Very unfavorable	91	98	189
	16.25	15.51	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	63	124	187
	11.25	19.62	

Total	560	632	1192
	46.98	53.02	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Very favorable	74	126	71	271
	21.83	21.69	26.10	

Somewhat favorable	61	136	66	263
	17.99	23.41	24.26	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	51	84	43	178
	15.04	14.46	15.81	

Somewhat unfavorable	28	49	27	104
	8.26	8.43	9.93	

Very unfavorable	67	101	21	189
	19.76	17.38	7.72	

Don't know / No Opinion	58	85	44	187
	17.11	14.63	16.18	

Total	339	581	272	1192
	28.44	48.74	22.82	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q METRO
Houston Area Dallas-Fort Worth Area San Antonio Area Austin Area Another Part of TX Total
-----
Very favorable 58 76 21 24 93 272
25.11 19.39 19.44 20.00 27.19
-----
Somewhat favorable 51 90 23 22 76 262
22.08 22.96 21.30 18.33 22.22
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable 28 63 19 10 59 179
12.12 16.07 17.59 8.33 17.25
-----
Somewhat unfavorable 25 37 5 9 27 103
10.82 9.44 4.63 7.50 7.89
-----
Very unfavorable 40 69 13 40 28 190
17.32 17.60 12.04 33.33 8.19
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 29 57 27 15 59 187
12.55 14.54 25.00 12.50 17.25
-----
Total 231 392 108 120 342 1193
19.36 32.86 9.05 10.06 28.67
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	18 8.18	61 19.93	124 26.96	69 33.17	272
Somewhat favorable	47 21.36	51 16.67	110 23.91	55 26.44	263
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	36 16.36	71 23.20	55 11.96	17 8.17	179
Somewhat unfavorable	30 13.64	16 5.23	35 7.61	23 11.06	104
Very unfavorable	31 14.09	44 14.38	89 19.35	25 12.02	189
Don't know / No Opinion	58 26.36	63 20.59	47 10.22	19 9.13	187
Total	220 18.43	306 25.63	460 38.53	208 17.42	1194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30. Wendy Davis Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	32 69.57	61 67.78	46 41.07	64 16.75	13 6.22	9 3.90	19 15.20	244
Somewhat favorable	8 17.39	18 20.00	34 30.36	94 24.61	26 12.44	8 3.46	6 4.80	194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	3 6.52	7 7.78	12 10.71	82 21.47	22 10.53	22 9.52	9 7.20	157
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	1 1.11	1 0.89	21 5.50	35 16.75	29 12.55	3 2.40	90
Very unfavorable	2 4.35	0 0.00	2 1.79	31 8.12	81 38.76	143 61.90	77 61.60	336
Don't know / No Opinion	1 2.17	3 3.33	17 15.18	90 23.56	32 15.31	20 8.66	11 8.80	174
Total	46 3.85	90 7.53	112 9.37	382 31.97	209 17.49	231 19.33	125 10.46	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	139 56.50	64 16.75	41 7.24	244
Somewhat favorable	60 24.39	94 24.61	40 7.07	194
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	21 8.54	82 21.47	53 9.36	156
Somewhat unfavorable	2 0.81	21 5.50	67 11.84	90

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	3	31	302	336
	1.22	8.12	53.36	
Don't know / No Opinion	21	90	63	174
	8.54	23.56	11.13	
Total	246	382	566	1194
	20.60	31.99	47.40	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	137	22	50	7	3	10	10	239
	57.81	18.64	39.37	6.14	2.44	5.49	3.97	
Somewhat favorable	50	36	40	34	5	21	6	192
	21.10	30.51	31.50	29.82	4.07	11.54	2.38	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	20	23	20	18	12	28	30	151
	8.44	19.49	15.75	15.79	9.76	15.38	11.90	
Somewhat unfavorable	2	5	5	10	13	20	30	85
	0.84	4.24	3.94	8.77	10.57	10.99	11.90	
Very unfavorable	2	7	0	20	79	71	154	333
	0.84	5.93	0.00	17.54	64.23	39.01	61.11	
Don't know / No Opinion	26	25	12	25	11	32	22	153

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	10.97	21.19	9.45	21.93	8.94	17.58	8.73	
Total	237	118	127	114	123	182	252	1153
	20.56	10.23	11.01	9.89	10.67	15.78	21.86	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Very favorable	210	7	23	240
	43.48	6.14	4.12	
Somewhat favorable	126	34	32	192
	26.09	29.82	5.73	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	63	18	69	150
	13.04	15.79	12.37	
Somewhat unfavorable	12	10	64	86
	2.48	8.77	11.47	
Very unfavorable	10	20	305	335
	2.07	17.54	54.66	
Don't know / No Opinion	62	25	65	152
	12.84	21.93	11.65	
Total	483	114	558	1155
	41.82	9.87	48.31	

```

=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	23 9.20	210 47.19	4 1.60	7 2.82	244
Somewhat favorable	21 8.40	118 26.52	17 6.80	38 15.32	194
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	39 15.60	60 13.48	20 8.00	37 14.92	156
Somewhat unfavorable	38 15.20	10 2.25	25 10.00	16 6.45	89
Very unfavorable	90 36.00	7 1.57	168 67.20	70 28.23	335
Don't know / No Opinion	39 15.60	40 8.99	16 6.40	80 32.26	175
Total	250 20.96	445 37.30	250 20.96	248 20.79	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	13 12.50	47 16.26	66 20.12	22 20.00	69 28.28	28 23.53	245
Somewhat favorable	31 29.81	41 14.19	52 15.85	17 15.45	31 12.70	23 19.33	195
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	15 14.42	39 13.49	47 14.33	24 21.82	27 11.07	3 2.52	155
Somewhat unfavorable	3 2.88	25 8.65	19 5.79	5 4.55	24 9.84	12 10.08	88
Very unfavorable	17 16.35	76 26.30	97 29.57	25 22.73	76 31.15	45 37.82	336
Don't know / No Opinion	25 24.04	61 21.11	47 14.33	17 15.45	17 6.97	8 6.72	175
Total	104 8.71	289 24.20	328 27.47	110 9.21	244 20.44	119 9.97	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	23 13.61	55 21.40	21 13.64	60 18.93	85 28.62	244
Somewhat favorable	27 15.98	30 11.67	24 15.58	60 18.93	53 17.85	194
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	25 14.79	34 13.23	29 18.83	37 11.67	31 10.44	156
Somewhat unfavorable	22 13.02	27 10.51	14 9.09	18 5.68	9 3.03	90
Very unfavorable	48 28.40	78 30.35	40 25.97	101 31.86	68 22.90	335
Don't know / No Opinion	24 14.20	33 12.84	26 16.88	41 12.93	51 17.17	175
Total	169 14.15	257 21.52	154 12.90	317 26.55	297 24.87	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	141 19.50	45 29.03	54 19.85	1 5.88	0 0.00	4 23.53	0 0.00		0 0.00	245
Somewhat favorable	90 12.45	42 27.10	55 20.22	3 17.65	1 50.00	2 11.76	1 11.11		0 0.00	194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	78	31	39	4	0	3	1	0	156
	10.79	20.00	14.34	23.53	0.00	17.65	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	54	10	21	1	0	2	2	0	90
	7.47	6.45	7.72	5.88	0.00	11.76	22.22	0.00	
Very unfavorable	279	2	42	4	1	3	5	0	336
	38.59	1.29	15.44	23.53	50.00	17.65	55.56	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	81	25	61	4	0	3	0	1	175
	11.20	16.13	22.43	23.53	0.00	17.65	0.00	100.00	
Total	723	155	272	17	2	17	9	1	1196
	60.45	12.96	22.74	1.42	0.17	1.42	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	102	1	21	6	82	33	245
	16.32	6.67	17.80	10.34	26.89	44.59	
Somewhat favorable	92	0	21	7	65	10	195
	14.72	0.00	17.80	12.07	21.31	13.51	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	63	2	25	11	45	9	155
	10.08	13.33	21.19	18.97	14.75	12.16	
Somewhat unfavorable	61	1	7	3	13	4	89

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	9.76	6.67	5.93	5.17	4.26	5.41	
Very unfavorable	230	2	26	25	44	10	337
	36.80	13.33	22.03	43.10	14.43	13.51	
Don't know / No Opinion	77	9	18	6	56	8	174
	12.32	60.00	15.25	10.34	18.36	10.81	
Total	625	15	118	58	305	74	1195
	52.30	1.26	9.87	4.85	25.52	6.19	

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	105	140	245
	18.75	22.05	
Somewhat favorable	92	102	194
	16.43	16.06	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	62	93	155
	11.07	14.65	
Somewhat unfavorable	50	39	89
	8.93	6.14	
Very unfavorable	187	150	337
	33.39	23.62	

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	64	111	175
	11.43	17.48	

Total	560	635	1195
	46.86	53.14	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Very favorable	82	128	36	246
	23.91	22.11	13.24	

Somewhat favorable	58	92	43	193
	16.91	15.89	15.81	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	52	72	31	155
	15.16	12.44	11.40	

Somewhat unfavorable	26	43	20	89
	7.58	7.43	7.35	

Very unfavorable	62	181	93	336
	18.08	31.26	34.19	

Don't know / No Opinion	63	63	49	175
	18.37	10.88	18.01	

Total	343	579	272	1194
	28.73	48.49	22.78	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Very favorable           47           97           22           37           42           245
                        20.35           24.62           20.37           31.09           12.32
-----
Somewhat favorable       37           61           11           24           61           194
                        16.02           15.48           10.19           20.17           17.89
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable   38           53           15           11           38           155
                        16.45           13.45           13.89           9.24           11.14
-----
Somewhat unfavorable     16           22           11           9           30           88
                        6.93           5.58           10.19           7.56           8.80
-----
Very unfavorable         64           113           30           25           105           337
                        27.71           28.68           27.78           21.01           30.79
-----
Don't know / No Opinion   29           48           19           13           65           174
                        12.55           12.18           17.59           10.92           19.06
-----
Total                     231           394           108           119           341           1193
                        19.36           33.03           9.05           9.97           28.58
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          44    73    89    38   244
                    19.91  23.78  19.35  18.18
-----
Somewhat favorable     53    46    68    29   196
                    23.98  14.98  14.78  13.88
-----
Neither favorable nor  44    45    50    16   155
unfavorable           19.91  14.66  10.87  7.66
-----
Somewhat unfavorable    9    26    36    19    90
                    4.07    8.47    7.83    9.09
-----
Very unfavorable      12    61   172    92   337
                    5.43   19.87  37.39  44.02
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 59    56    45    15   175
                    26.70  18.24  9.78   7.18
-----
Total                 221   307   460   209  1197
                    18.46  25.65  38.43  17.46
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q31. Leticia Van de Putte Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

	Count	Column Percent
--	-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	22 47.83	29 32.95	21 19.09	32 8.38	8 3.79	5 2.16	15 12.00	132
Somewhat favorable	10 21.74	33 37.50	31 28.18	76 19.90	23 10.90	12 5.19	5 4.00	190

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	7 15.22	10 11.36	22 20.00	95 24.87	35 16.59	27 11.69	11 8.80	207
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	1 1.14	4 3.64	25 6.54	36 17.06	31 13.42	16 12.80	113
Very unfavorable	2 4.35	0 0.00	4 3.64	14 3.66	33 15.64	57 24.68	48 38.40	158
Don't know / No Opinion	5 10.87	15 17.05	28 25.45	140 36.65	76 36.02	99 42.86	30 24.00	393
Total	46 3.86	88 7.38	110 9.22	382 32.02	211 17.69	231 19.36	125 10.48	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	73 29.67	32 8.38	28 4.93	133
Somewhat favorable	73 29.67	76 19.90	41 7.22	190
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	38 15.45	95 24.87	74 13.03	207
Somewhat unfavorable	6 2.44	25 6.54	83 14.61	114

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	7	14	137	158
	2.85	3.66	24.12	
Don't know / No Opinion	49	140	205	394
	19.92	36.65	36.09	
Total	246	382	568	1196
	20.57	31.94	47.49	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	83	11	21	4	4	6	4	133
	34.87	9.32	16.67	3.45	3.28	3.26	1.59	
Somewhat favorable	73	29	39	11	5	13	18	188
	30.67	24.58	30.95	9.48	4.10	7.07	7.14	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	29	21	33	38	18	32	30	201
	12.18	17.80	26.19	32.76	14.75	17.39	11.90	
Somewhat unfavorable	5	2	3	10	25	35	33	113
	2.10	1.69	2.38	8.62	20.49	19.02	13.10	
Very unfavorable	4	5	1	7	28	38	70	153
	1.68	4.24	0.79	6.03	22.95	20.65	27.78	
Don't know / No Opinion	44	50	29	46	42	60	97	368

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	18.49	42.37	23.02	39.66	34.43	32.61	38.49	
Total	238	118	126	116	122	184	252	1156
	20.59	10.21	10.90	10.03	10.55	15.92	21.80	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	115	4	14	133
	23.86	3.45	2.51	
Somewhat favorable	141	11	36	188
	29.25	9.48	6.45	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	83	38	80	201
	17.22	32.76	14.34	
Somewhat unfavorable	10	10	94	114
	2.07	8.62	16.85	
Very unfavorable	10	7	136	153
	2.07	6.03	24.37	
Don't know / No Opinion	123	46	198	367
	25.52	39.66	35.48	
Total	482	116	558	1156
	41.70	10.03	48.27	

```

=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	12 4.80	115 25.84	2 0.80	3 1.20	132
Somewhat favorable	25 10.00	141 31.69	10 4.02	13 5.20	189
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	38 15.20	79 17.75	39 15.66	49 19.60	205
Somewhat unfavorable	47 18.80	9 2.02	33 13.25	25 10.00	114
Very unfavorable	53 21.20	9 2.02	81 32.53	15 6.00	158
Don't know / No Opinion	75 30.00	92 20.67	84 33.73	145 58.00	396
Total	250 20.94	445 37.27	249 20.85	250 20.94	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	9 8.74	32 11.00	29 8.87	17 15.45	34 13.88	12 10.17	133
Somewhat favorable	6 5.83	45 15.46	59 18.04	20 18.18	38 15.51	21 17.80	189
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	25 24.27	50 17.18	59 18.04	30 27.27	30 12.24	13 11.02	207
Somewhat unfavorable	15 14.56	19 6.53	35 10.70	4 3.64	29 11.84	11 9.32	113
Very unfavorable	9 8.74	33 11.34	39 11.93	17 15.45	41 16.73	18 15.25	157
Don't know / No Opinion	39 37.86	112 38.49	106 32.42	22 20.00	73 29.80	43 36.44	395
Total	103 8.63	291 24.37	327 27.39	110 9.21	245 20.52	118 9.88	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	15 8.82	37 14.45	13 8.39	26 8.18	42 14.14	133
Somewhat favorable	22 12.94	32 12.50	26 16.77	53 16.67	57 19.19	190
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	37 21.76	44 17.19	31 20.00	51 16.04	44 14.81	207
Somewhat unfavorable	17 10.00	23 8.98	16 10.32	36 11.32	21 7.07	113
Very unfavorable	28 16.47	37 14.45	17 10.97	46 14.47	30 10.10	158
Don't know / No Opinion	51 30.00	83 32.42	52 33.55	106 33.33	103 34.68	395
Total	170 14.21	256 21.40	155 12.96	318 26.59	297 24.83	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	60 8.29	24 15.69	45 16.54	1 5.88	0 0.00	2 12.50	0 0.00		0 0.00	132
Somewhat favorable	104 14.36	37 24.18	41 15.07	3 17.65	1 50.00	4 25.00	1 10.00		0 0.00	191

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	107	30	59	5	0	3	2	0	206
	14.78	19.61	21.69	29.41	0.00	18.75	20.00	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	82	7	24	0	0	0	0	0	113
	11.33	4.58	8.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Very unfavorable	139	3	12	0	1	0	1	1	157
	19.20	1.96	4.41	0.00	50.00	0.00	10.00	100.00	
Don't know / No Opini	232	52	91	8	0	7	6	0	396
	32.04	33.99	33.46	47.06	0.00	43.75	60.00	0.00	
Total	724	153	272	17	2	16	10	1	1195
	60.59	12.80	22.76	1.42	0.17	1.34	0.84	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	54	1	9	3	53	14	134	
	8.59	6.25	7.69	5.26	17.49	19.18		
Somewhat favorable	90	1	28	4	53	13	189	
	14.31	6.25	23.93	7.02	17.49	17.81		
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	93	7	19	9	63	16	207	
	14.79	43.75	16.24	15.79	20.79	21.92		
Somewhat unfavorable	78	1	7	11	13	4	114	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	12.40	6.25	5.98	19.30	4.29	5.48	
Very unfavorable	97	0	16	18	17	9	157
	15.42	0.00	13.68	31.58	5.61	12.33	
Don't know / No Opinion	217	6	38	12	104	17	394
	34.50	37.50	32.48	21.05	34.32	23.29	
Total	629	16	117	57	303	73	1195
	52.64	1.34	9.79	4.77	25.36	6.11	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	57	76	133
	10.18	11.95	
Somewhat favorable	94	96	190
	16.79	15.09	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	87	120	207
	15.54	18.87	
Somewhat unfavorable	57	56	113
	10.18	8.81	
Very unfavorable	91	67	158
	16.25	10.53	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	174	221	395
	31.07	34.75	

Total	560	636	1196
	46.82	53.18	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Very favorable	53	60	20	133
	15.45	10.36	7.30	

Somewhat favorable	58	108	24	190
	16.91	18.65	8.76	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	51	97	59	207
	14.87	16.75	21.53	

Somewhat unfavorable	38	57	19	114
	11.08	9.84	6.93	

Very unfavorable	31	78	49	158
	9.04	13.47	17.88	

Don't know / No Opinion	112	179	103	394
	32.65	30.92	37.59	

Total	343	579	274	1196
	28.68	48.41	22.91	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q METRO
Houston Area Dallas-Fort Worth Area San Antonio Area Austin Area Another Part of TX Total
-----
Very favorable 29 41 16 21 25 132
12.66 10.43 14.81 17.50 7.29
-----
Somewhat favorable 37 66 20 25 41 189
16.16 16.79 18.52 20.83 11.95
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable 40 69 16 17 65 207
17.47 17.56 14.81 14.17 18.95
-----
Somewhat unfavorable 24 38 8 15 28 113
10.48 9.67 7.41 12.50 8.16
-----
Very unfavorable 30 49 23 5 51 158
13.10 12.47 21.30 4.17 14.87
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 69 130 25 37 133 394
30.13 33.08 23.15 30.83 38.78
-----
Total 229 393 108 120 343 1193
19.20 32.94 9.05 10.06 28.75
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	31 14.09	35 11.40	53 11.55	12 5.80	131
Somewhat favorable	41 18.64	48 15.64	77 16.78	24 11.59	190
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	37 16.82	66 21.50	82 17.86	22 10.63	207
Somewhat unfavorable	17 7.73	24 7.82	37 8.06	35 16.91	113
Very unfavorable	6 2.73	33 10.75	75 16.34	43 20.77	157
Don't know / No Opinion	88 40.00	101 32.90	135 29.41	71 34.30	395
Total	220 18.44	307 25.73	459 38.47	207 17.35	1193

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q32. David Dewhurst Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	3 6.38	0 0.00	2 1.82	17 4.43	21 9.95	32 13.85	41 32.28	116
Somewhat favorable	1 2.13	2 2.27	5 4.55	59 15.36	49 23.22	100 43.29	34 26.77	250

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	4	10	15	107	70	39	20	265
	8.51	11.36	13.64	27.86	33.18	16.88	15.75	
Somewhat unfavorable	4	20	35	42	22	27	12	162
	8.51	22.73	31.82	10.94	10.43	11.69	9.45	
Very unfavorable	29	41	32	48	20	11	6	187
	61.70	46.59	29.09	12.50	9.48	4.76	4.72	
Don't know / No Opinion	6	15	21	111	29	22	14	218
	12.77	17.05	19.09	28.91	13.74	9.52	11.02	
Total	47	88	110	384	211	231	127	1198
	3.92	7.35	9.18	32.05	17.61	19.28	10.60	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative	
Very favorable	5	17	94	116
	2.04	4.43	16.55	
Somewhat favorable	9	59	183	251
	3.67	15.36	32.22	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	29	107	128	264
	11.84	27.86	22.54	
Somewhat unfavorable	59	42	62	163
	24.08	10.94	10.92	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	102	48	36	186
	41.63	12.50	6.34	
Don't know / No Opinion	41	111	65	217
	16.73	28.91	11.44	
Total	245	384	568	1197
	20.47	32.08	47.45	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	16	2	4	5	14	20	51	112
	6.67	1.72	3.15	4.35	11.29	10.87	20.16	
Somewhat favorable	10	11	7	10	45	62	103	248
	4.17	9.48	5.51	8.70	36.29	33.70	40.71	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	46	30	24	35	33	45	44	257
	19.17	25.86	18.90	30.43	26.61	24.46	17.39	
Somewhat unfavorable	36	22	39	15	18	14	19	163
	15.00	18.97	30.71	13.04	14.52	7.61	7.51	
Very unfavorable	98	12	33	11	3	13	15	185
	40.83	10.34	25.98	9.57	2.42	7.07	5.93	
Don't know / No Opinion	34	39	20	39	11	30	21	194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	14.17	33.62	15.75	33.91	8.87	16.30	8.30	
Total	240	116	127	115	124	184	253	1159
	20.71	10.01	10.96	9.92	10.70	15.88	21.83	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Very favorable	22	5	85	112
	4.56	4.35	15.18	
Somewhat favorable	29	10	210	249
	6.02	8.70	37.50	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	99	35	121	255
	20.54	30.43	21.61	
Somewhat unfavorable	96	15	51	162
	19.92	13.04	9.11	
Very unfavorable	143	11	32	186
	29.67	9.57	5.71	
Don't know / No Opinion	93	39	61	193
	19.29	33.91	10.89	
Total	482	115	560	1157
	41.66	9.94	48.40	

```

=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	54 21.77	20 4.47	30 11.95	11 4.40	115
Somewhat favorable	100 40.32	25 5.59	75 29.88	51 20.40	251
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	50 20.16	91 20.36	69 27.49	54 21.60	264
Somewhat unfavorable	6 2.42	93 20.81	41 16.33	22 8.80	162
Very unfavorable	8 3.23	143 31.99	13 5.18	22 8.80	186
Don't know / No Opinion	30 12.10	75 16.78	23 9.16	90 36.00	218
Total	248 20.74	447 37.37	251 20.99	250 20.90	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	6 5.66	33 11.34	34 10.37	8 7.27	22 8.94	12 10.08	115
Somewhat favorable	13 12.26	63 21.65	76 23.17	20 18.18	62 25.20	17 14.29	251
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34 32.08	73 25.09	66 20.12	25 22.73	47 19.11	20 16.81	265
Somewhat unfavorable	7 6.60	23 7.90	43 13.11	20 18.18	43 17.48	28 23.53	164
Very unfavorable	10 9.43	31 10.65	56 17.07	19 17.27	44 17.89	26 21.85	186
Don't know / No Opinion	36 33.96	68 23.37	53 16.16	18 16.36	28 11.38	16 13.45	219
Total	106 8.83	291 24.25	328 27.33	110 9.17	246 20.50	119 9.92	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	21 12.28	43 16.73	8 5.19	32 10.13	12 4.00	116
Somewhat favorable	53 30.99	53 20.62	33 21.43	70 22.15	42 14.00	251
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	44 25.73	69 26.85	45 29.22	59 18.67	48 16.00	265
Somewhat unfavorable	18 10.53	23 8.95	24 15.58	46 14.56	51 17.00	162
Very unfavorable	14 8.19	22 8.56	17 11.04	49 15.51	84 28.00	186
Don't know / No Opinion	21 12.28	47 18.29	27 17.53	60 18.99	63 21.00	218
Total	171 14.27	257 21.45	154 12.85	316 26.38	300 25.04	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	83 11.46	5 3.23	24 8.82	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 22.22	0 0.00	0	116
Somewhat favorable	183 25.28	9 5.81	51 18.75	3 18.75	0 0.00	2 11.76	1 11.11	1 100.00	1	250

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	134	48	72	4	0	4	1	0	263
	18.51	30.97	26.47	25.00	0.00	23.53	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	116	18	20	3	2	3	2	0	164
	16.02	11.61	7.35	18.75	100.00	17.65	22.22	0.00	
Very unfavorable	117	39	26	0	0	2	1	0	185
	16.16	25.16	9.56	0.00	0.00	11.76	11.11	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	91	36	79	4	0	6	2	0	218
	12.57	23.23	29.04	25.00	0.00	35.29	22.22	0.00	
Total	724	155	272	16	2	17	9	1	1196
	60.54	12.96	22.74	1.34	0.17	1.42	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	63	1	6	8	28	9	115
	10.03	6.25	5.17	13.79	9.18	12.50	
Somewhat favorable	142	2	26	19	50	11	250
	22.61	12.50	22.41	32.76	16.39	15.28	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	142	5	22	13	67	15	264
	22.61	31.25	18.97	22.41	21.97	20.83	
Somewhat unfavorable	91	0	17	6	38	12	164

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	14.49	0.00	14.66	10.34	12.46	16.67	
Very unfavorable	99	0	25	5	45	11	185
	15.76	0.00	21.55	8.62	14.75	15.28	
Don't know / No Opinion	91	8	20	7	77	14	217
	14.49	50.00	17.24	12.07	25.25	19.44	
Total	628	16	116	58	305	72	1195
	52.55	1.34	9.71	4.85	25.52	6.03	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	53	62	115
	9.48	9.72	
Somewhat favorable	124	126	250
	22.18	19.75	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	121	144	265
	21.65	22.57	
Somewhat unfavorable	86	77	163
	15.38	12.07	
Very unfavorable	91	94	185
	16.28	14.73	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	84	135	219
	15.03	21.16	

Total	559	638	1197
	46.70	53.30	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 locate

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	28	58	30	116
	8.16	9.97	11.03	
Somewhat favorable	82	96	72	250
	23.91	16.49	26.47	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	55	142	68	265
	16.03	24.40	25.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	48	83	32	163
	13.99	14.26	11.76	
Very unfavorable	54	119	13	186
	15.74	20.45	4.78	
Don't know / No Opinion	76	84	57	217
	22.16	14.43	20.96	
Total	343	582	272	1197
	28.65	48.62	22.72	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q METRO
Houston Area Dallas-Fort Worth Area San Antonio Area Austin Area Another Part of TX Total
-----
Very favorable 26 47 6 4 32 115
11.21 11.93 5.50 3.36 9.36
-----
Somewhat favorable 48 63 33 23 83 250
20.69 15.99 30.28 19.33 24.27
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable 47 87 24 20 87 265
20.26 22.08 22.02 16.81 25.44
-----
Somewhat unfavorable 42 54 4 25 38 163
18.10 13.71 3.67 21.01 11.11
-----
Very unfavorable 41 76 16 31 21 185
17.67 19.29 14.68 26.05 6.14
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 28 67 26 16 81 218
12.07 17.01 23.85 13.45 23.68
-----
Total 232 394 109 119 342 1196
19.40 32.94 9.11 9.95 28.60
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          12    40    41    22   115
                    5.41  12.99  8.89  10.63
-----
Somewhat favorable     42    47   105    56   250
                    18.92  15.26  22.78  27.05
-----
Neither favorable nor  40    79   103    43   265
unfavorable           18.02  25.65  22.34  20.77
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   28    26    75    34   163
                    12.61  8.44  16.27  16.43
-----
Very unfavorable      25    44    90    28   187
                    11.26  14.29  19.52  13.53
-----
Don't know / No      75    72    47    24   218
Opinion              33.78  23.38  10.20  11.59
-----
Total                 222   308   461   207  1198
                    18.53  25.71  38.48  17.28
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	3 6.52	14 15.56	17 15.32	113 29.43	55 26.19	55 23.91	19 15.08	276
Somewhat unfavorable	2 4.35	6 6.67	14 12.61	36 9.38	26 12.38	4 1.74	5 3.97	93
Very unfavorable	23 50.00	22 24.44	29 26.13	32 8.33	3 1.43	4 1.74	4 3.17	117
Don't know / No Opinion	14 30.43	46 51.11	43 38.74	149 38.80	62 29.52	62 26.96	22 17.46	398
Total	46 3.84	90 7.52	111 9.27	384 32.08	210 17.54	230 19.21	126 10.53	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative	
Very favorable	5 2.02	12 3.12	104 18.34	121
Somewhat favorable	9 3.64	42 10.94	140 24.69	191
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34 13.77	113 29.43	130 22.93	277
Somewhat unfavorable	22 8.91	36 9.38	35 6.17	93

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	74	32	11	117
	29.96	8.33	1.94	
Don't know / No Opinion	103	149	147	399
	41.70	38.80	25.93	
Total	247	384	567	1198
	20.62	32.05	47.33	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	14	2	4	2	25	16	53	116
	5.88	1.71	3.20	1.74	20.16	8.70	21.12	
Somewhat favorable	11	10	4	15	32	54	63	189
	4.62	8.55	3.20	13.04	25.81	29.35	25.10	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	40	28	26	30	33	57	53	267
	16.81	23.93	20.80	26.09	26.61	30.98	21.12	
Somewhat unfavorable	16	12	17	14	7	13	14	93
	6.72	10.26	13.60	12.17	5.65	7.07	5.58	
Very unfavorable	56	8	32	7	2	1	9	115
	23.53	6.84	25.60	6.09	1.61	0.54	3.59	
Don't know / No Opinion	101	57	42	47	25	43	59	374

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	42.44	48.72	33.60	40.87	20.16	23.37	23.51	
Total	238	117	125	115	124	184	251	1154
	20.62	10.14	10.83	9.97	10.75	15.94	21.75	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
PID3
Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Very favorable
           20           2           94      116
           4.15           1.74           16.79
-----
Somewhat favorable
           26           15           149     190
           5.39           13.04           26.61
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable
           95           30           143     268
           19.71           26.09           25.54
-----
Somewhat unfavorable
           45           14           34      93
           9.34           12.17           6.07
-----
Very unfavorable
           96           7           13     116
           19.92           6.09           2.32
-----
Don't know / No Opinion
           200           47           127     374
           41.49           40.87           22.68
-----
Total
           482           115           560    1157
           41.66           9.94           48.40
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	35 14.06	17 3.81	58 23.11	10 3.98	120
Somewhat favorable	72 28.92	22 4.93	61 24.30	36 14.34	191
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	51 20.48	99 22.20	69 27.49	58 23.11	277
Somewhat unfavorable	21 8.43	41 9.19	21 8.37	9 3.59	92
Very unfavorable	6 2.41	97 21.75	6 2.39	9 3.59	118
Don't know / No Opinion	64 25.70	170 38.12	36 14.34	129 51.39	399
Total	249 20.80	446 37.26	251 20.97	251 20.97	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	6 5.71	28 9.62	30 9.12	15 13.64	22 8.94	20 16.81	121
Somewhat favorable	16 15.24	52 17.87	51 15.50	19 17.27	43 17.48	10 8.40	191
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	28 26.67	80 27.49	73 22.19	25 22.73	53 21.54	18 15.13	277
Somewhat unfavorable	6 5.71	19 6.53	30 9.12	16 14.55	16 6.50	7 5.88	94
Very unfavorable	4 3.81	14 4.81	28 8.51	10 9.09	37 15.04	25 21.01	118
Don't know / No Opinion	45 42.86	98 33.68	117 35.56	25 22.73	75 30.49	39 32.77	399
Total	105 8.75	291 24.25	329 27.42	110 9.17	246 20.50	119 9.92	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	28 16.47	45 17.58	10 6.41	20 6.29	18 6.00	121
Somewhat favorable	27 15.88	57 22.27	27 17.31	40 12.58	40 13.33	191
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	46 27.06	55 21.48	39 25.00	86 27.04	51 17.00	277
Somewhat unfavorable	15 8.82	14 5.47	21 13.46	24 7.55	19 6.33	93
Very unfavorable	6 3.53	16 6.25	7 4.49	40 12.58	49 16.33	118
Don't know / No Opinion	48 28.24	69 26.95	52 33.33	108 33.96	123 41.00	400
Total	170 14.17	256 21.33	156 13.00	318 26.50	300 25.00	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	94 12.98	5 3.23	19 6.99	1 5.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 11.11		0 0.00	120
Somewhat favorable	138 19.06	11 7.10	34 12.50	4 23.53	0 0.00	1 5.88	3 33.33		1 100.00	192

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	152	46	73	3	0	3	1	0	278
	20.99	29.68	26.84	17.65	0.00	17.65	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	56	13	17	1	1	3	1	0	92
	7.73	8.39	6.25	5.88	33.33	17.65	11.11	0.00	
Very unfavorable	73	25	16	1	2	0	0	0	117
	10.08	16.13	5.88	5.88	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	211	55	113	7	0	10	3	0	399
	29.14	35.48	41.54	41.18	0.00	58.82	33.33	0.00	
Total	724	155	272	17	3	17	9	1	1198
	60.43	12.94	22.70	1.42	0.25	1.42	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	67	0	9	8	28	8	120	
	10.69	0.00	7.76	14.29	9.15	10.96		
Somewhat favorable	120	0	19	11	33	9	192	
	19.14	0.00	16.38	19.64	10.78	12.33		
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	137	5	31	19	65	18	275	
	21.85	33.33	26.72	33.93	21.24	24.66		
Somewhat unfavorable	48	4	9	1	27	3	92	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.66	26.67	7.76	1.79	8.82	4.11	
Very unfavorable	58	0	11	5	36	7	117
	9.25	0.00	9.48	8.93	11.76	9.59	
Don't know / No Opinion	197	6	37	12	117	28	397
	31.42	40.00	31.90	21.43	38.24	38.36	
Total	627	15	116	56	306	73	1193
	52.56	1.26	9.72	4.69	25.65	6.12	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Very favorable           79     42    121
                        14.03    6.59
-----
Somewhat favorable      108     83    191
                        19.18   13.03
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  123    155    278
                        21.85   24.33
-----
Somewhat unfavorable     52     41     93
                        9.24    6.44
-----
Very unfavorable        49     68    117
                        8.70   10.68
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	152	248	400
	27.00	38.93	

Total	563	637	1200
	46.92	53.08	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 locate

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	39	62	20	121
	11.34	10.69	7.35	
Somewhat favorable	55	83	52	190
	15.99	14.31	19.12	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	64	149	64	277
	18.60	25.69	23.53	
Somewhat unfavorable	29	38	26	93
	8.43	6.55	9.56	
Very unfavorable	42	66	9	117
	12.21	11.38	3.31	
Don't know / No Opinion	115	182	101	398
	33.43	31.38	37.13	
Total	344	580	272	1196
	28.76	48.49	22.74	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q METRO
Houston Area Dallas-Fort Worth Area San Antonio Area Austin Area Another Part of TX Total
-----
Very favorable 35 34 13 9 30 121
15.22 8.63 11.82 7.50 8.77
-----
Somewhat favorable 42 66 11 13 58 190
18.26 16.75 10.00 10.83 16.96
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable 40 108 20 18 91 277
17.39 27.41 18.18 15.00 26.61
-----
Somewhat unfavorable 32 26 12 4 19 93
13.91 6.60 10.91 3.33 5.56
-----
Very unfavorable 35 36 6 26 14 117
15.22 9.14 5.45 21.67 4.09
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 46 124 48 50 130 398
20.00 31.47 43.64 41.67 38.01
-----
Total 230 394 110 120 342 1196
19.23 32.94 9.20 10.03 28.60
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable      15    34    43    29   121
                   6.73  11.04  9.31  14.08
-----
Somewhat favorable  31    41    85    34   191
                   13.90  13.31  18.40  16.50
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  39    80   101    57   277
                   17.49  25.97  21.86  27.67
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  24    18    38    13    93
                   10.76  5.84  8.23  6.31
-----
Very unfavorable   18    20    62    17   117
                   8.07  6.49  13.42  8.25
-----
Don't know / No Opinion  96   115   133    56   400
                   43.05  37.34  28.79  27.18
-----
Total              223   308   462   206  1199
                   18.60  25.69  38.53  17.18
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q34. Todd Staples Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	4 8.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	11 2.88	13 6.16	15 6.49	32 25.40	75
Somewhat favorable	0 0.00	1 1.11	4 3.64	26 6.81	46 21.80	78 33.77	41 32.54	196

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	7 15.22	12 13.33	23 20.91	113 29.58	65 30.81	52 22.51	28 22.22	300
Somewhat unfavorable	3 6.52	15 16.67	10 9.09	29 7.59	14 6.64	4 1.73	2 1.59	77
Very unfavorable	21 45.65	18 20.00	24 21.82	28 7.33	3 1.42	2 0.87	0 0.00	96
Don't know / No Opinion	11 23.91	44 48.89	49 44.55	175 45.81	70 33.18	80 34.63	23 18.25	452
Total	46 3.85	90 7.53	110 9.20	382 31.94	211 17.64	231 19.31	126 10.54	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	4 1.63	11 2.88	59 10.42	74
Somewhat favorable	5 2.03	26 6.81	165 29.15	196
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	41 16.67	113 29.58	145 25.62	299
Somewhat unfavorable	28 11.38	29 7.59	20 3.53	77

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	63	28	5	96
	25.61	7.33	0.88	
Don't know / No Opinion	105	175	172	452
	42.68	45.81	30.39	
Total	246	382	566	1194
	20.60	31.99	47.40	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	16	1	4	1	10	9	29	70
	6.69	0.85	3.17	0.88	8.20	4.86	11.55	
Somewhat favorable	11	10	3	8	32	50	81	195
	4.60	8.55	2.38	7.02	26.23	27.03	32.27	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	40	30	29	34	39	60	61	293
	16.74	25.64	23.02	29.82	31.97	32.43	24.30	
Somewhat unfavorable	19	8	18	13	5	7	7	77
	7.95	6.84	14.29	11.40	4.10	3.78	2.79	
Very unfavorable	53	10	25	2	0	6	0	96
	22.18	8.55	19.84	1.75	0.00	3.24	0.00	
Don't know / No Opinion	100	58	47	56	36	53	73	423

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	41.84	49.57	37.30	49.12	29.51	28.65	29.08	
Total	239	117	126	114	122	185	251	1154
	20.71	10.14	10.92	9.88	10.57	16.03	21.75	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
PID3
Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Very favorable
           21             1             48           70
           4.36           0.88           8.62
-----
Somewhat favorable
           24             8            163          195
           4.98           7.02          29.26
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable
           99             34            160          293
           20.54          29.82          28.73
-----
Somewhat unfavorable
           45             13             19           77
           9.34           11.40           3.41
-----
Very unfavorable
           88             2              6           96
           18.26           1.75           1.08
-----
Don't know / No Opinion
           205             56            161          422
           42.53          49.12          28.90
-----
Total
           482             114            557         1153
           41.80           9.89           48.31
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	25 10.04	19 4.26	23 9.24	7 2.80	74
Somewhat favorable	61 24.50	29 6.50	70 28.11	36 14.40	196
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	71 28.51	87 19.51	85 34.14	55 22.00	298
Somewhat unfavorable	15 6.02	48 10.76	8 3.21	7 2.80	78
Very unfavorable	4 1.61	88 19.73	3 1.20	1 0.40	96
Don't know / No Opinion	73 29.32	175 39.24	60 24.10	144 57.60	452
Total	249 20.85	446 37.35	249 20.85	250 20.94	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	3 2.94	20 6.90	10 3.05	9 8.11	20 8.13	11 9.32	73
Somewhat favorable	10 9.80	41 14.14	54 16.46	28 25.23	45 18.29	18 15.25	196
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	29 28.43	85 29.31	84 25.61	21 18.92	60 24.39	21 17.80	300
Somewhat unfavorable	6 5.88	22 7.59	15 4.57	11 9.91	20 8.13	3 2.54	77
Very unfavorable	9 8.82	17 5.86	22 6.71	10 9.01	22 8.94	16 13.56	96
Don't know / No Opinion	45 44.12	105 36.21	143 43.60	32 28.83	79 32.11	49 41.53	453
Total	102 8.54	290 24.27	328 27.45	111 9.29	246 20.59	118 9.87	1195

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	20 11.83	32 12.45	4 2.58	8 2.52	11 3.72	75
Somewhat favorable	32 18.93	52 20.23	18 11.61	61 19.24	33 11.15	196
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	55 32.54	58 22.57	57 36.77	70 22.08	59 19.93	299
Somewhat unfavorable	3 1.78	16 6.23	12 7.74	25 7.89	21 7.09	77
Very unfavorable	7 4.14	15 5.84	7 4.52	27 8.52	39 13.18	95
Don't know / No Opinion	52 30.77	84 32.68	57 36.77	126 39.75	133 44.93	452
Total	169 14.15	257 21.52	155 12.98	317 26.55	296 24.79	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	55 7.58	8 5.26	10 3.69	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00		0 0.00	74
Somewhat favorable	156 21.49	12 7.89	21 7.75	1 6.25	1 50.00	2 12.50	3 33.33		1 100.00	197

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	163	41	85	5	0	2	2	0	298
	22.45	26.97	31.37	31.25	0.00	12.50	22.22	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	43	11	19	0	0	3	1	0	77
	5.92	7.24	7.01	0.00	0.00	18.75	11.11	0.00	
Very unfavorable	60	20	15	0	0	0	0	0	95
	8.26	13.16	5.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	249	60	121	9	1	9	3	0	452
	34.30	39.47	44.65	56.25	50.00	56.25	33.33	0.00	
Total	726	152	271	16	2	16	9	1	1193
	60.85	12.74	22.72	1.34	0.17	1.34	0.75	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	42	0	4	3	17	8	74
	6.68	0.00	3.42	5.26	5.63	10.96	
Somewhat favorable	117	0	28	9	38	5	197
	18.60	0.00	23.93	15.79	12.58	6.85	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	157	4	23	18	78	19	299
	24.96	26.67	19.66	31.58	25.83	26.03	
Somewhat unfavorable	34	0	11	2	27	4	78

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	5.41	0.00	9.40	3.51	8.94	5.48	
Very unfavorable	48	0	7	2	30	9	96
	7.63	0.00	5.98	3.51	9.93	12.33	
Don't know / No Opinion	231	11	44	23	112	28	449
	36.72	73.33	37.61	40.35	37.09	38.36	
Total	629	15	117	57	302	73	1193
	52.72	1.26	9.81	4.78	25.31	6.12	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	41	33	74
	7.32	5.19	
Somewhat favorable	113	84	197
	20.18	13.21	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	142	157	299
	25.36	24.69	
Somewhat unfavorable	46	32	78
	8.21	5.03	
Very unfavorable	43	53	96
	7.68	8.33	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	175	277	452
	31.25	43.55	

Total	560	636	1196
	46.82	53.18	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 locate

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	19	41	14	74
	5.59	7.06	5.11	
Somewhat favorable	58	95	44	197
	17.06	16.35	16.06	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	77	156	66	299
	22.65	26.85	24.09	
Somewhat unfavorable	24	27	26	77
	7.06	4.65	9.49	
Very unfavorable	26	56	14	96
	7.65	9.64	5.11	
Don't know / No Opinion	136	206	110	452
	40.00	35.46	40.15	
Total	340	581	274	1195
	28.45	48.62	22.93	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q METRO
Houston Area Dallas-Fort Worth Area San Antonio Area Austin Area Another Part of TX Total
-----
Very favorable 12 24 3 11 24 74
5.17 6.12 2.73 9.09 7.04
-----
Somewhat favorable 37 83 8 8 61 197
15.95 21.17 7.27 6.61 17.89
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable 73 87 31 18 91 300
31.47 22.19 28.18 14.88 26.69
-----
Somewhat unfavorable 14 26 8 11 19 78
6.03 6.63 7.27 9.09 5.57
-----
Very unfavorable 19 35 3 22 17 96
8.19 8.93 2.73 18.18 4.99
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 77 137 57 51 129 451
33.19 34.95 51.82 42.15 37.83
-----
Total 232 392 110 121 341 1196
19.40 32.78 9.20 10.12 28.51
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          7    22    28    17    74
                    3.18   7.14   6.07   8.21
-----
Somewhat favorable     30    46    84    37   197
                    13.64  14.94  18.22  17.87
-----
Neither favorable nor  51    78   118    53   300
unfavorable           23.18  25.32  25.60  25.60
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   22    15    28    12    77
                    10.00   4.87   6.07   5.80
-----
Very unfavorable       15    22    47    12    96
                    6.82   7.14  10.20   5.80
-----
Don't know / No Opinion  95   125   156    76   452
                    43.18  40.58  33.84  36.71
-----
Total                  220   308   461   207  1196
                    18.39  25.75  38.55  17.31
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q35. Jerry Patterson Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

	Count	Column Percent
--	-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	3 6.52	0 0.00	1 0.90	11 2.88	7 3.32	15 6.49	24 19.05	61
Somewhat favorable	0 0.00	1 1.12	3 2.70	29 7.59	51 24.17	70 30.30	39 30.95	193

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	6 13.04	16 17.98	12 10.81	126 32.98	69 32.70	57 24.68	28 22.22	314
Somewhat unfavorable	2 4.35	8 8.99	11 9.91	21 5.50	6 2.84	2 0.87	2 1.59	52
Very unfavorable	16 34.78	11 12.36	16 14.41	25 6.54	3 1.42	3 1.30	0 0.00	74
Don't know / No Opinion	19 41.30	53 59.55	68 61.26	170 44.50	75 35.55	84 36.36	33 26.19	502
Total	46 3.85	89 7.44	111 9.28	382 31.94	211 17.64	231 19.31	126 10.54	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	4 1.63	11 2.88	45 7.92	60
Somewhat favorable	4 1.63	29 7.59	161 28.35	194
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34 13.82	126 32.98	153 26.94	313
Somewhat unfavorable	22 8.94	21 5.50	11 1.94	54

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	43	25	6	74
	17.48	6.54	1.06	
Don't know / No Opinion	139	170	192	501
	56.50	44.50	33.80	
Total	246	382	568	1196
	20.57	31.94	47.49	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	11	0	4	2	13	2	24	56
	4.62	0.00	3.17	1.77	10.40	1.09	9.49	
Somewhat favorable	8	6	4	13	31	57	73	192
	3.36	5.13	3.17	11.50	24.80	30.98	28.85	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	51	36	22	34	37	63	63	306
	21.43	30.77	17.46	30.09	29.60	34.24	24.90	
Somewhat unfavorable	12	7	18	4	3	2	5	51
	5.04	5.98	14.29	3.54	2.40	1.09	1.98	
Very unfavorable	33	5	26	1	0	8	1	74
	13.87	4.27	20.63	0.88	0.00	4.35	0.40	
Don't know / No Opinion	123	63	52	59	41	52	87	477

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	51.68	53.85	41.27	52.21	32.80	28.26	34.39	
Total	238	117	126	113	125	184	253	1156
	20.59	10.12	10.90	9.78	10.81	15.92	21.89	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	15 3.11	2 1.77	38 6.77	55
Somewhat favorable	19 3.93	13 11.50	161 28.70	193
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	109 22.57	34 30.09	164 29.23	307
Somewhat unfavorable	38 7.87	4 3.54	10 1.78	52
Very unfavorable	64 13.25	1 0.88	9 1.60	74
Don't know / No Opinion	238 49.28	59 52.21	179 31.91	476
Total	483 41.75	113 9.77	561 48.49	1157

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	24 9.60	13 2.91	20 7.97	2 0.80	59
Somewhat favorable	62 24.80	25 5.61	77 30.68	31 12.45	195
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	76 30.40	106 23.77	76 30.28	56 22.49	314
Somewhat unfavorable	4 1.60	35 7.85	6 2.39	8 3.21	53
Very unfavorable	5 2.00	63 14.13	4 1.59	2 0.80	74
Don't know / No Opinion	79 31.60	204 45.74	68 27.09	150 60.24	501
Total	250 20.90	446 37.29	251 20.99	249 20.82	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	5 4.81	14 4.83	15 4.55	9 8.11	13 5.31	4 3.36	60
Somewhat favorable	18 17.31	41 14.14	53 16.06	24 21.62	40 16.33	19 15.97	195
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	24 23.08	90 31.03	86 26.06	28 25.23	62 25.31	24 20.17	314
Somewhat unfavorable	1 0.96	13 4.48	10 3.03	7 6.31	17 6.94	6 5.04	54
Very unfavorable	5 4.81	9 3.10	22 6.67	8 7.21	16 6.53	14 11.76	74
Don't know / No Opinion	51 49.04	123 42.41	144 43.64	35 31.53	97 39.59	52 43.70	502
Total	104 8.67	290 24.19	330 27.52	111 9.26	245 20.43	119 9.92	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	16 9.36	20 7.78	3 1.96	11 3.47	9 3.01	59
Somewhat favorable	27 15.79	53 20.62	27 17.65	58 18.30	30 10.03	195
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	49 28.65	73 28.40	48 31.37	74 23.34	70 23.41	314
Somewhat unfavorable	5 2.92	7 2.72	9 5.88	15 4.73	17 5.69	53
Very unfavorable	3 1.75	13 5.06	6 3.92	20 6.31	32 10.70	74
Don't know / No Opinion	71 41.52	91 35.41	60 39.22	139 43.85	141 47.16	502
Total	171 14.29	257 21.47	153 12.78	317 26.48	299 24.98	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	40 5.52	2 1.28	17 6.20	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00		0 0.00	60
Somewhat favorable	138 19.03	13 8.33	37 13.50	1 6.25	1 33.33	0 0.00	4 44.44		1 100.00	195

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	181	48	74	6	0	4	1	0	314
	24.97	30.77	27.01	37.50	0.00	28.57	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	36	8	6	0	0	2	1	0	53
	4.97	5.13	2.19	0.00	0.00	14.29	11.11	0.00	
Very unfavorable	49	13	10	0	2	0	0	0	74
	6.76	8.33	3.65	0.00	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	281	72	130	8	0	8	3	0	502
	38.76	46.15	47.45	50.00	0.00	57.14	33.33	0.00	
Total	725	156	274	16	3	14	9	1	1198
	60.52	13.02	22.87	1.34	0.25	1.17	0.75	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	29	1	3	1	18	8	60	
	4.62	6.25	2.56	1.79	5.90	10.96		
Somewhat favorable	112	1	19	16	42	4	194	
	17.83	6.25	16.24	28.57	13.77	5.48		
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	165	8	32	16	73	19	313	
	26.27	50.00	27.35	28.57	23.93	26.03		
Somewhat unfavorable	33	1	4	1	10	4	53	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	5.25	6.25	3.42	1.79	3.28	5.48	
Very unfavorable	30	0	8	3	26	6	73
	4.78	0.00	6.84	5.36	8.52	8.22	
Don't know / No Opinion	259	5	51	19	136	32	502
	41.24	31.25	43.59	33.93	44.59	43.84	
Total	628	16	117	56	305	73	1195
	52.55	1.34	9.79	4.69	25.52	6.11	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	42	18	60
	7.47	2.83	
Somewhat favorable	115	80	195
	20.46	12.58	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	151	163	314
	26.87	25.63	
Somewhat unfavorable	23	30	53
	4.09	4.72	
Very unfavorable	40	34	74
	7.12	5.35	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	191	311	502
	33.99	48.90	

Total	562	636	1198
	46.91	53.09	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 locate

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	18	30	12	60
	5.26	5.16	4.40	
Somewhat favorable	59	91	44	194
	17.25	15.66	16.12	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	80	163	71	314
	23.39	28.06	26.01	
Somewhat unfavorable	13	26	13	52
	3.80	4.48	4.76	
Very unfavorable	21	42	11	74
	6.14	7.23	4.03	
Don't know / No Opinion	151	229	122	502
	44.15	39.41	44.69	
Total	342	581	273	1196
	28.60	48.58	22.83	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Very favorable           20           10           8           8           14           60
                        8.73           2.54           7.41           6.72           4.08
-----
Somewhat favorable       33           78           7           10           65           193
                        14.41          19.85           6.48           8.40           18.95
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  76           97           29           21           90           313
                        33.19          24.68          26.85          17.65          26.24
-----
Somewhat unfavorable     17           15           3           6           10           51
                        7.42           3.82           2.78           5.04           2.92
-----
Very unfavorable         13           30           5           15           11           74
                        5.68           7.63           4.63          12.61           3.21
-----
Don't know / No Opinion   70           163          56           59           153          501
                        30.57          41.48          51.85          49.58          44.61
-----
Total                    229           393           108           119           343          1192
                        19.21          32.97           9.06           9.98           28.78
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable          14    18    15    12    59
                    6.28   5.84   3.27   5.80
-----
Somewhat favorable     33    44    77    41   195
                    14.80  14.29  16.78  19.81
-----
Neither favorable nor  48    84   130    52   314
unfavorable           21.52 27.27 28.32 25.12
-----
Somewhat unfavorable    9    10    24    9    52
                    4.04   3.25   5.23   4.35
-----
Very unfavorable       15    18    35    7    75
                    6.73   5.84   7.63   3.38
-----
Don't know / No Opinion 104   134   178    86   502
                    46.64 43.51 38.78 41.55
-----
Total                  223   308   459   207  1197
                    18.63 25.73 38.35 17.29
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Policy Questions

Q36A. Support for Federal Government Actions on Economic Issues

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Strongly support	19 40.43	26 28.89	16 14.68	27 7.09	9 4.33	5 2.17	16 12.80	118
Somewhat support	17	43	54	124	45	23	16	322

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	36.17	47.78	49.54	32.55	21.63	10.00	12.80	
Somewhat oppose	4	9	25	89	72	71	13	283
	8.51	10.00	22.94	23.36	34.62	30.87	10.40	
Strongly oppose	4	5	5	82	71	118	74	359
	8.51	5.56	4.59	21.52	34.13	51.30	59.20	
Don't know / No opinion	3	7	9	59	11	13	6	108
	6.38	7.78	8.26	15.49	5.29	5.65	4.80	
Total	47	90	109	381	208	230	125	1190
	3.95	7.56	9.16	32.02	17.48	19.33	10.50	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Strongly support	60	27	31	118
	24.49	7.09	5.48	
Somewhat support	113	124	85	322
	46.12	32.55	15.02	
Somewhat oppose	39	89	157	285
	15.92	23.36	27.74	
Strongly oppose	14	82	263	359
	5.71	21.52	46.47	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	19	59	30	108
	7.76	15.49	5.30	

Total	245	381	566	1192
	20.55	31.96	47.48	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                PID7
                StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Strongly support    63      6      17      7      2      11      7      113
                   26.47   5.08   13.39   6.19   1.61   6.01   2.80
-----
Somewhat support   99     50     60     25     20     37     26     317
                   41.60  42.37  47.24  22.12  16.13  20.22  10.40
-----
Somewhat oppose    33     24     30     33     33     59     61     273
                   13.87  20.34  23.62  29.20  26.61  32.24  24.40
-----
Strongly oppose    20     18     13     34     66     62    145     358
                   8.40   15.25  10.24  30.09  53.23  33.88  58.00
-----
Don't know / No opinion  23     20      7     14      3     14     11      92
                   9.66  16.95   5.51  12.39   2.42   7.65   4.40
-----
Total              238    118    127    113    124    183    250    1153
                   20.64  10.23  11.01   9.80  10.75  15.87  21.68
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Strongly support      86           7           20          113
                    17.81        6.19        3.59
-----
Somewhat support     209          25          83          317
                    43.27        22.12       14.90
-----
Somewhat oppose      87           33          153         273
                    18.01        29.20       27.47
-----
Strongly oppose      51           34          273         358
                    10.56        30.09       49.01
-----
Don't know / No opinion  50           14           28          92
                    10.35        12.39        5.03
-----
Total                483          113          557        1153
                    41.89        9.80         48.31
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly support	24 9.64	83 18.69	4 1.59	7 2.81	118
Somewhat support	47 18.88	209 47.07	21 8.37	45 18.07	322
Somewhat oppose	69 27.71	78 17.57	65 25.90	74 29.72	286
Strongly oppose	90 36.14	41 9.23	155 61.75	73 29.32	359
Don't know / No opinion	19 7.63	33 7.43	6 2.39	50 20.08	108
Total	249 20.87	444 37.22	251 21.04	249 20.87	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly support	8 7.55	17 5.88	37 11.31	12 11.01	33 13.41	11 9.17	118
Somewhat support	18 16.98	77 26.64	97 29.66	30 27.52	68 27.64	34 28.33	324
Somewhat oppose	32 30.19	65 22.49	72 22.02	28 25.69	65 26.42	24 20.00	286

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly oppose	29 27.36	92 31.83	95 29.05	34 31.19	64 26.02	46 38.33	360
Don't know / No opinion	19 17.92	38 13.15	26 7.95	5 4.59	16 6.50	5 4.17	109
Total	106 8.86	289 24.14	327 27.32	109 9.11	246 20.55	120 10.03	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Strongly support	20 11.70	24 9.41	7 4.61	29 9.18	37 12.37	117
Somewhat support	42 24.56	59 23.14	38 25.00	95 30.06	89 29.77	323
Somewhat oppose	45 26.32	70 27.45	43 28.29	73 23.10	54 18.06	285
Strongly oppose	50 29.24	80 31.37	52 34.21	86 27.22	91 30.43	359
Don't know / No opinion	14 8.19	22 8.63	12 7.89	33 10.44	28 9.36	109
Total	171	255	152	316	299	1193

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

14.33 21.37 12.74 26.49 25.06

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American / Amer   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern / Del o   Total
-----
Strongly support           70      21      24      2           0      1      0           0      118
                          9.71  13.55   8.79  13.33           0.00  5.88   0.00           0.00
-----
Somewhat support          173      52      85      4           1      4      3           1      323
                          23.99  33.55  31.14  26.67          33.33  23.53  33.33          100.00
-----
Somewhat oppose           165      43      63      8           0      4      1           0      284
                          22.88  27.74  23.08  53.33           0.00  23.53  11.11           0.00
-----
Strongly oppose           278      17      53      1           2      6      3           0      360
                          38.56  10.97  19.41   6.67          66.67  35.29  33.33           0.00
-----
Don't know / No opini      35      22      48      0           0      2      2           0      109
                          4.85  14.19  17.58   0.00           0.00  11.76  22.22           0.00
-----
Total                       721     155     273     15           3     17     9           1     1194
                          60.39  12.98  22.86   1.26          0.25   1.42   0.75           0.08
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Strongly support	53 8.51	0 0.00	10 8.47	2 3.45	42 13.68	11 14.86	118
Somewhat support	155 24.88	4 26.67	28 23.73	11 18.97	86 28.01	39 52.70	323
Somewhat oppose	145 23.27	3 20.00	44 37.29	14 24.14	74 24.10	5 6.76	285
Strongly oppose	219 35.15	7 46.67	28 23.73	25 43.10	71 23.13	10 13.51	360
Don't know / No opinion	51 8.19	1 6.67	8 6.78	6 10.34	34 11.07	9 12.16	109
Total	623 52.13	15 1.26	118 9.87	58 4.85	307 25.69	74 6.19	1195

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Strongly support	64 11.43	54 8.52	118
Somewhat support	151	172	323

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	26.96	27.13	

Somewhat oppose	130	155	285
	23.21	24.45	

Strongly oppose	190	169	359
	33.93	26.66	

Don't know / No opinion	25	84	109
	4.46	13.25	

Total	560	634	1194
	46.90	53.10	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Strongly support	38	60	20	118
	11.11	10.36	7.38	

Somewhat support	103	160	59	322
	30.12	27.63	21.77	

Somewhat oppose	73	141	71	285
	21.35	24.35	26.20	

Strongly oppose	83	175	101	359
	24.27	30.22	37.27	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	45	43	20	108
	13.16	7.43	7.38	

Total	342	579	271	1192
	28.69	48.57	22.73	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Strongly support	34	42	6	15	21	118
	14.66	10.69	5.61	12.50	6.12	
Somewhat support	62	104	32	41	84	323
	26.72	26.46	29.91	34.17	24.49	
Somewhat oppose	46	97	26	28	89	286
	19.83	24.68	24.30	23.33	25.95	
Strongly oppose	72	115	32	24	116	359
	31.03	29.26	29.91	20.00	33.82	
Don't know / No opinion	18	35	11	12	33	109
	7.76	8.91	10.28	10.00	9.62	
Total	232	393	107	120	343	1195
	19.41	32.89	8.95	10.04	28.70	

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
Column Percent	

```

=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Strongly support    29    42    35    11    117
                   13.00  13.68  7.63  5.37
-----
Somewhat support   66    85   131    41    323
                   29.60  27.69  28.54  20.00
-----
Somewhat oppose    62    63   118    43    286
                   27.80  20.52  25.71  20.98
-----
Strongly oppose    33    78   149    99    359
                   14.80  25.41  32.46  48.29
-----
Don't know / No opinion  33    39    26    11    109
                   14.80  12.70  5.66  5.37
-----
Total              223   307   459   205   1194
                   18.68  25.71  38.44  17.17
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q36B. Support for Federal Government Actions on Economic Issues

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               |
|               |
|               |
|-----|

```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Strongly support	20 43.48	31 34.44	17 15.32	23 6.05	10 4.76	2 0.87	14 11.20	117
Somewhat support	16 34.78	36 40.00	53 47.75	103 27.11	37 17.62	14 6.06	10 8.00	269

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	3 6.52	10 11.11	21 18.92	110 28.95	61 29.05	59 25.54	14 11.20	278
Strongly oppose	4 8.70	5 5.56	10 9.01	79 20.79	89 42.38	141 61.04	81 64.80	409
Don't know / No opinion	3 6.52	8 8.89	10 9.01	65 17.11	13 6.19	15 6.49	6 4.80	120
Total	46 3.86	90 7.54	111 9.30	380 31.85	210 17.60	231 19.36	125 10.48	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Strongly support	69 27.94	23 6.05	26 4.59	118
Somewhat support	105 42.51	103 27.11	62 10.93	270
Somewhat oppose	33 13.36	110 28.95	135 23.81	278
Strongly oppose	20 8.10	79 20.79	310 54.67	409
Don't know / No opinion	20 8.10	65 17.11	34 6.00	119

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	247	380	567	1194
	20.69	31.83	47.49	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Strongly support	61 25.74	8 6.78	24 19.05	9 7.96	1 0.81	7 3.83	3 1.20	113
Somewhat support	94 39.66	45 38.14	52 41.27	25 22.12	8 6.45	27 14.75	15 5.98	266
Somewhat oppose	37 15.61	25 21.19	27 21.43	23 20.35	36 29.03	62 33.88	57 22.71	267
Strongly oppose	22 9.28	15 12.71	13 10.32	41 36.28	76 61.29	69 37.70	166 66.14	402
Don't know / No opinion	23 9.70	25 21.19	10 7.94	15 13.27	3 2.42	18 9.84	10 3.98	104
Total	237 20.57	118 10.24	126 10.94	113 9.81	124 10.76	183 15.89	251 21.79	1152

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Strongly support      94           9           10          113
                    19.50        7.96        1.79
-----
Somewhat support     191          25           51          267
                    39.63        22.12       9.14
-----
Somewhat oppose      89           23          154          266
                    18.46        20.35       27.60
-----
Strongly oppose      50           41          311          402
                    10.37        36.28       55.73
-----
Don't know / No opinion 58           15           32          105
                    12.03        13.27        5.73
-----
Total                482          113          558         1153
                    41.80        9.80         48.40
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      13           94           4           6          117
                    5.28        21.08        1.59        2.40
-----
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat support	35 14.23	180 40.36	17 6.77	38 15.20	270
Somewhat oppose	67 27.24	83 18.61	54 21.51	73 29.20	277
Strongly oppose	110 44.72	49 10.99	172 68.53	78 31.20	409
Don't know / No opinion	21 8.54	40 8.97	4 1.59	55 22.00	120
Total	246 20.62	446 37.38	251 21.04	250 20.96	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly support	9 8.49	25 8.62	24 7.32	10 9.26	36 14.69	14 11.76	118
Somewhat support	19 17.92	51 17.59	84 25.61	26 24.07	64 26.12	26 21.85	270
Somewhat oppose	32 30.19	65 22.41	78 23.78	29 26.85	51 20.82	24 20.17	279
Strongly oppose	24	112	109	37	79	49	410

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	22.64	38.62	33.23	34.26	32.24	41.18	
Don't know / No opinion	22	37	33	6	15	6	119
	20.75	12.76	10.06	5.56	6.12	5.04	
Total	106	290	328	108	245	119	1196
	8.86	24.25	27.42	9.03	20.48	9.95	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Strongly support	15	27	6	28	42	118
	8.82	10.67	3.90	8.83	14.00	
Somewhat support	39	42	30	80	79	270
	22.94	16.60	19.48	25.24	26.33	
Somewhat oppose	37	64	46	74	57	278
	21.76	25.30	29.87	23.34	19.00	
Strongly oppose	65	97	56	101	89	408
	38.24	38.34	36.36	31.86	29.67	
Don't know / No opinion	14	23	16	34	33	120
	8.24	9.09	10.39	10.73	11.00	
Total	170	253	154	317	300	1194
	14.24	21.19	12.90	26.55	25.13	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American / Amer   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern / Del o   Total
-----
Strongly support           77      20      19      1           0      1      0           0      118
                          10.65  12.90   7.01   6.25           0.00  6.25   0.00           0.00
-----
Somewhat support          138      48      73      3           2      4      3           0      271
                          19.09  30.97  26.94  18.75          66.67  25.00  33.33           0.00
-----
Somewhat oppose           158      42      64      9           0      2      1           1      277
                          21.85  27.10  23.62  56.25           0.00  12.50  11.11          100.00
-----
Strongly oppose           313      21      62      2           1      7      3           0      409
                          43.29  13.55  22.88  12.50          33.33  43.75  33.33           0.00
-----
Don't know / No opini      37      24      53      1           0      2      2           0      119
                          5.12  15.48  19.56   6.25           0.00  12.50  22.22           0.00
-----
Total                       723     155     271     16           3     16     9           1     1194
                          60.55  12.98  22.70   1.34           0.25  1.34   0.75           0.08
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	50 8.03	0 0.00	9 7.69	0 0.00	37 12.17	20 27.40	116
Somewhat support	135 21.67	3 20.00	22 18.80	9 15.79	75 24.67	26 35.62	270
Somewhat oppose	137 21.99	3 20.00	42 35.90	14 24.56	71 23.36	10 13.70	277
Strongly oppose	246 39.49	8 53.33	37 31.62	27 47.37	79 25.99	11 15.07	408
Don't know / No opinion	55 8.83	1 6.67	7 5.98	7 12.28	42 13.82	6 8.22	118
Total	623 52.40	15 1.26	117 9.84	57 4.79	304 25.57	73 6.14	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Strongly support	56 9.98	61 9.64	117
Somewhat support	128 22.82	142 22.43	270

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	128	150	278
	22.82	23.70	

Strongly oppose	218	191	409
	38.86	30.17	

Don't know / No opinion	31	89	120
	5.53	14.06	

Total	561	633	1194
	46.98	53.02	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Strongly support	44	57	16	117
	12.79	9.86	5.88	

Somewhat support	89	133	49	271
	25.87	23.01	18.01	

Somewhat oppose	80	122	76	278
	23.26	21.11	27.94	

Strongly oppose	83	220	106	409
	24.13	38.06	38.97	

Don't know / No opinion	48	46	25	119
	13.95	7.96	9.19	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	578	272	1194
	28.81	48.41	22.78	

Cell Contents				
	Count			
	Column Percent			

Q	METRO						Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX		
Strongly support	33 14.41	30 7.63	9 8.41	26 21.67	19 5.54	117	
Somewhat support	48 20.96	98 24.94	26 24.30	25 20.83	73 21.28	270	
Somewhat oppose	44 19.21	95 24.17	27 25.23	28 23.33	83 24.20	277	
Strongly oppose	87 37.99	130 33.08	34 31.78	26 21.67	132 38.48	409	
Don't know / No opinion	17 7.42	40 10.18	11 10.28	15 12.50	36 10.50	119	
Total	229 19.21	393 32.97	107 8.98	120 10.07	343 28.78	1192	

Cell Contents				
	Count			
	Column Percent			

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Strongly support	22 9.87	45 14.71	39 8.50	11 5.34	117
Somewhat support	57 25.56	69 22.55	110 23.97	35 16.99	271
Somewhat oppose	62 27.80	71 23.20	98 21.35	47 22.82	278
Strongly oppose	40 17.94	82 26.80	183 39.87	104 50.49	409
Don't know / No opinion	42 18.83	39 12.75	29 6.32	9 4.37	119
Total	223 18.68	306 25.63	459 38.44	206 17.25	1194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q37A. Support for State Government Actions on Economic Issues

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Strongly support	7 15.22	4 4.44	8 7.27	40 10.44	26 12.32	50 21.65	49 39.20	184
Somewhat support	7 15.22	21 23.33	38 34.55	111 28.98	118 55.92	129 55.84	36 28.80	460

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	14 30.43	34 37.78	29 26.36	99 25.85	41 19.43	24 10.39	15 12.00	256
Strongly oppose	15 32.61	22 24.44	19 17.27	60 15.67	7 3.32	12 5.19	17 13.60	152
Don't know / No opinion	3 6.52	9 10.00	16 14.55	73 19.06	19 9.00	16 6.93	8 6.40	144
Total	46 3.85	90 7.53	110 9.20	383 32.02	211 17.64	231 19.31	125 10.45	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Strongly support	19 7.72	40 10.44	125 22.08	184
Somewhat support	66 26.83	111 28.98	282 49.82	459
Somewhat oppose	77 31.30	99 25.85	80 14.13	256
Strongly oppose	56 22.76	60 15.67	36 6.36	152
Don't know / No opinion	28 11.38	73 19.06	43 7.60	144

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	246	383	566	1195
	20.59	32.05	47.36	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Strongly support	28	13	12	11	28	33	56	181
	11.76	11.02	9.52	9.48	22.58	18.13	22.31	
Somewhat support	56	48	36	41	71	86	114	452
	23.53	40.68	28.57	35.34	57.26	47.25	45.42	
Somewhat oppose	66	24	44	26	17	38	30	245
	27.73	20.34	34.92	22.41	13.71	20.88	11.95	
Strongly oppose	52	11	25	20	4	9	31	152
	21.85	9.32	19.84	17.24	3.23	4.95	12.35	
Don't know / No opinion	36	22	9	18	4	16	20	125
	15.13	18.64	7.14	15.52	3.23	8.79	7.97	
Total	238	118	126	116	124	182	251	1155
	20.61	10.22	10.91	10.04	10.74	15.76	21.73	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Strongly support      53           11           117         181
                    11.00          9.48          21.01
-----
Somewhat support     140           41           271         452
                    29.05          35.34          48.65
-----
Somewhat oppose      134           26           85          245
                    27.80          22.41          15.26
-----
Strongly oppose       87           20           44          151
                    18.05          17.24           7.90
-----
Don't know / No opinion  68           18           40          126
                    14.11          15.52           7.18
-----
Total                 482           116           557        1155
                    41.73          10.04          48.23
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      57           50           45           32         184
                    22.89          11.21          17.93          12.85
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat support	99 39.76	126 28.25	135 53.78	100 40.16	460
Somewhat oppose	34 13.65	133 29.82	48 19.12	40 16.06	255
Strongly oppose	33 13.25	87 19.51	14 5.58	17 6.83	151
Don't know / No opinion	26 10.44	50 11.21	9 3.59	60 24.10	145
Total	249 20.84	446 37.32	251 21.00	249 20.84	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly support	14 13.21	40 13.75	41 12.54	17 15.45	46 18.85	27 22.50	185
Somewhat support	14 13.21	116 39.86	132 40.37	44 40.00	115 47.13	40 33.33	461
Somewhat oppose	32 30.19	51 17.53	78 23.85	24 21.82	45 18.44	26 21.67	256
Strongly oppose	18	38	44	15	18	19	152

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	16.98	13.06	13.46	13.64	7.38	15.83	
Don't know / No opinion	28	46	32	10	20	8	144
	26.42	15.81	9.79	9.09	8.20	6.67	
Total	106	291	327	110	244	120	1198
	8.85	24.29	27.30	9.18	20.37	10.02	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
Strongly support           39           51                20                30           45           185
                           22.81        19.84                13.07        9.46        15.00
-----
Somewhat support           60           103                59                133          105           460
                           35.09        40.08                38.56        41.96        35.00
-----
Somewhat oppose           39           44                33                85           56           257
                           22.81        17.12                21.57        26.81        18.67
-----
Strongly oppose           11           25                28                30           58           152
                           6.43         9.73                18.30        9.46        19.33
-----
Don't know / No opinion     22           34                13                39           36           144
                           12.87        13.23                8.50         12.30        12.00
-----
Total                       171          257                153                317          300           1198
                           14.27        21.45                12.77        26.46        25.04
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Strongly support		122 16.80	18 11.61	40 14.76	3 18.75	1 33.33	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 100.00	185
Somewhat support		312 42.98	41 26.45	88 32.47	8 50.00	0 0.00	6 35.29	5 62.50	0 0.00	460
Somewhat oppose		141 19.42	39 25.16	64 23.62	5 31.25	1 33.33	7 41.18	0 0.00	0 0.00	257
Strongly oppose		94 12.95	22 14.19	32 11.81	0 0.00	1 33.33	2 11.76	1 12.50	0 0.00	152
Don't know / No opini		57 7.85	35 22.58	47 17.34	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 11.76	2 25.00	0 0.00	143
Total		726 60.65	155 12.95	271 22.64	16 1.34	3 0.25	17 1.42	8 0.67	1 0.08	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	98 15.63	0 0.00	13 11.21	13 22.81	51 16.72	10 13.51	185
Somewhat support	275 43.86	4 26.67	46 39.66	22 38.60	86 28.20	27 36.49	460
Somewhat oppose	119 18.98	2 13.33	31 26.72	6 10.53	81 26.56	17 22.97	256
Strongly oppose	65 10.37	8 53.33	13 11.21	6 10.53	52 17.05	7 9.46	151
Don't know / No opinion	70 11.16	1 6.67	13 11.21	10 17.54	35 11.48	13 17.57	142
Total	627 52.51	15 1.26	116 9.72	57 4.77	305 25.54	74 6.20	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Strongly support	117 20.82	67 10.55	184
Somewhat support	234 41.64	227 35.75	461

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	107	149	256
	19.04	23.46	

Strongly oppose	67	85	152
	11.92	13.39	

Don't know / No opinion	37	107	144
	6.58	16.85	

Total	562	635	1197
	46.95	53.05	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====

```

	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly support	48	87	49	184
	14.08	14.97	17.95	

Somewhat support	129	238	93	460
	37.83	40.96	34.07	

Somewhat oppose	67	127	62	256
	19.65	21.86	22.71	

Strongly oppose	47	70	35	152
	13.78	12.05	12.82	

Don't know / No opinion	50	59	34	143
	14.66	10.15	12.45	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	341	581	273	1195
	28.54	48.62	22.85	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Strongly support	38 16.45	69 17.56	12 10.91	19 15.70	48 13.99	186
Somewhat support	94 40.69	151 38.42	42 38.18	35 28.93	139 40.52	461
Somewhat oppose	45 19.48	86 21.88	24 21.82	34 28.10	67 19.53	256
Strongly oppose	31 13.42	42 10.69	16 14.55	19 15.70	44 12.83	152
Don't know / No opinion	23 9.96	45 11.45	16 14.55	14 11.57	45 13.12	143
Total	231 19.28	393 32.80	110 9.18	121 10.10	343 28.63	1198

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Strongly support      28    60    49    47    184
                    12.67  19.61  10.63  22.60
-----
Somewhat support     75    95   209    80    459
                    33.94  31.05  45.34  38.46
-----
Somewhat oppose      63    70   100    24    257
                    28.51  22.88  21.69  11.54
-----
Strongly oppose      22    35    64    31    152
                    9.95  11.44  13.88  14.90
-----
Don't know / No opinion  33    46    39    26    144
                    14.93  15.03   8.46  12.50
-----
Total                221   306   461   208   1196
                    18.48  25.59  38.55  17.39
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q37B. Support for State Government Actions on Economic Issues

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Strongly support	6 13.33	5 5.56	6 5.41	20 5.26	20 9.48	35 15.09	36 28.57	128
Somewhat support	5 11.11	15 16.67	21 18.92	93 24.47	93 44.08	99 42.67	37 29.37	363

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	9 20.00	19 21.11	29 26.13	117 30.79	54 25.59	51 21.98	22 17.46	301
Strongly oppose	23 51.11	42 46.67	47 42.34	76 20.00	22 10.43	27 11.64	22 17.46	259
Don't know / No opinion	2 4.44	9 10.00	8 7.21	74 19.47	22 10.43	20 8.62	9 7.14	144
Total	45 3.77	90 7.53	111 9.29	380 31.80	211 17.66	232 19.41	126 10.54	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Strongly support	17 6.88	20 5.26	91 16.02	128
Somewhat support	41 16.60	93 24.47	230 40.49	364
Somewhat oppose	57 23.08	117 30.79	126 22.18	300
Strongly oppose	113 45.75	76 20.00	70 12.32	259
Don't know / No opinion	19 7.69	74 19.47	51 8.98	144

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	247	380	568	1195
	20.67	31.80	47.53	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Strongly support	25	5	9	9	13	20	41	122
	10.50	4.24	7.14	7.83	10.48	11.05	16.21	
Somewhat support	51	26	20	29	64	72	97	359
	21.43	22.03	15.87	25.22	51.61	39.78	38.34	
Somewhat oppose	47	37	39	31	31	55	51	291
	19.75	31.36	30.95	26.96	25.00	30.39	20.16	
Strongly oppose	84	22	52	29	12	14	42	255
	35.29	18.64	41.27	25.22	9.68	7.73	16.60	
Don't know / No opinion	31	28	6	17	4	20	22	128
	13.03	23.73	4.76	14.78	3.23	11.05	8.70	
Total	238	118	126	115	124	181	253	1155
	20.61	10.22	10.91	9.96	10.74	15.67	21.90	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Strongly support      38           9           74          121
                    7.92          7.83          13.24
-----
Somewhat support     97          29          233          359
                    20.21         25.22         41.68
-----
Somewhat oppose     123          31          137          291
                    25.62         26.96         24.51
-----
Strongly oppose     158          29           69          256
                    32.92         25.22         12.34
-----
Don't know / No opinion  64          17           46          127
                    13.33         14.78           8.23
-----
Total                480          115          559          1154
                    41.59         9.97          48.44
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      40           35           31           21          127
                    16.00         7.85          12.30         8.40

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat support	83 33.20	89 19.96	110 43.65	82 32.80	364
Somewhat oppose	61 24.40	115 25.78	62 24.60	63 25.20	301
Strongly oppose	35 14.00	159 35.65	39 15.48	28 11.20	261
Don't know / No opinion	31 12.40	48 10.76	10 3.97	56 22.40	145
Total	250 20.87	446 37.23	252 21.04	250 20.87	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly support	11 10.48	28 9.62	30 9.15	17 15.60	31 12.70	10 8.40	127
Somewhat support	28 26.67	85 29.21	105 32.01	35 32.11	70 28.69	40 33.61	363
Somewhat oppose	31 29.52	70 24.05	84 25.61	21 19.27	68 27.87	27 22.69	301
Strongly oppose	9	56	75	25	57	37	259

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.57	19.24	22.87	22.94	23.36	31.09	
Don't know / No opinion	26	52	34	11	18	5	146
	24.76	17.87	10.37	10.09	7.38	4.20	
Total	105	291	328	109	244	119	1196
	8.78	24.33	27.42	9.11	20.40	9.95	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
Strongly support           35           42                10                14           26           127
                           20.59        16.41                6.54                4.43        8.64
-----
Somewhat support          53           86                48                105          71           363
                           31.18        33.59                31.37                33.23       23.59
-----
Somewhat oppose           47           55                45                85           69           301
                           27.65        21.48                29.41                26.90       22.92
-----
Strongly oppose           20           38                34                71           97           260
                           11.76        14.84                22.22                22.47       32.23
-----
Don't know / No opinion     15           35                16                41           38           145
                           8.82         13.67                10.46                12.97       12.62
-----
Total                     170          256                153                316          301          1196
                           14.21        21.40                12.79                26.42       25.17
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American / Amer   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern / Del o   Total
-----
Strongly support           85     17     23     1           1     0     0           0     127
                           11.71  10.90   8.52   6.25           50.00  0.00  0.00           0.00
-----
Somewhat support          237     36     77     5           0     3     4           1     363
                           32.64  23.08  28.52  31.25           0.00  18.75  44.44           100.00
-----
Somewhat oppose           167     44     74     8           1     5     2           0     301
                           23.00  28.21  27.41  50.00           50.00  31.25  22.22           0.00
-----
Strongly oppose           183     31     38     2           0     5     1           0     260
                           25.21  19.87  14.07  12.50           0.00  31.25  11.11           0.00
-----
Don't know / No opini     54     28     58     0           0     3     2           0     145
                           7.44  17.95  21.48  0.00           0.00  18.75  22.22           0.00
-----
Total                       726     156     270     16           2     16     9           1     1196
                           60.70  13.04  22.58  1.34           0.17  1.34  0.75           0.08
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	59 9.39	0 0.00	14 12.07	7 12.28	38 12.42	9 12.33	127
Somewhat support	211 33.60	2 12.50	41 35.34	20 35.09	71 23.20	19 26.03	364
Somewhat oppose	162 25.80	5 31.25	25 21.55	13 22.81	87 28.43	9 12.33	301
Strongly oppose	132 21.02	8 50.00	23 19.83	6 10.53	68 22.22	23 31.51	260
Don't know / No opinion	64 10.19	1 6.25	13 11.21	11 19.30	42 13.73	13 17.81	144
Total	628 52.51	16 1.34	116 9.70	57 4.77	306 25.59	73 6.10	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Strongly support	78 13.88	49 7.72	127
Somewhat support	189 33.63	174 27.40	363

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	140	161	301
	24.91	25.35	

Strongly oppose	114	146	260
	20.28	22.99	

Don't know / No opinion	41	105	146
	7.30	16.54	

Total	562	635	1197
	46.95	53.05	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly support	42	62	23	127
	12.24	10.69	8.39	

Somewhat support	100	174	90	364
	29.15	30.00	32.85	

Somewhat oppose	85	147	69	301
	24.78	25.34	25.18	

Strongly oppose	69	139	52	260
	20.12	23.97	18.98	

Don't know / No opinion	47	58	40	145
	13.70	10.00	14.60	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	343	580	274	1197
	28.65	48.45	22.89	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           METRO
           Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Strongly support           33           42           8           12           31           126
                           14.35          10.66          7.34          10.08          9.06
-----
Somewhat support           74           128           24           27           111           364
                           32.17          32.49          22.02          22.69          32.46
-----
Somewhat oppose           50           108           30           29           83           300
                           21.74          27.41          27.52          24.37          24.27
-----
Strongly oppose           55           75           25           39           65           259
                           23.91          19.04          22.94          32.77          19.01
-----
Don't know / No opinion           18           41           22           12           52           145
                           7.83           10.41          20.18          10.08          15.20
-----
Total           230           394           109           119           342           1194
                           19.26          33.00          9.13           9.97          28.64
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Strongly support	16 7.21	42 13.64	39 8.52	29 14.01	126
Somewhat support	71 31.98	77 25.00	152 33.19	64 30.92	364
Somewhat oppose	57 25.68	86 27.92	120 26.20	39 18.84	302
Strongly oppose	44 19.82	50 16.23	112 24.45	52 25.12	258
Don't know / No opinion	34 15.32	53 17.21	35 7.64	23 11.11	145
Total	222 18.58	308 25.77	458 38.33	207 17.32	1195

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38A. Education Policy Effectiveness: Creating a School Voucher Program

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	8 17.02	11 12.36	5 4.55	74 19.47	71 33.81	78 33.77	55 44.00	302
Somewhat effective	4 8.51	13 14.61	27 24.55	116 30.53	57 27.14	72 31.17	21 16.80	310

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	5 10.64	19 21.35	26 23.64	72 18.95	42 20.00	22 9.52	25 20.00	211
Not at all effective	21 44.68	30 33.71	34 30.91	38 10.00	17 8.10	22 9.52	13 10.40	175
Don't know	9 19.15	16 17.98	18 16.36	80 21.05	23 10.95	37 16.02	11 8.80	194
Total	47 3.94	89 7.47	110 9.23	380 31.88	210 17.62	231 19.38	125 10.49	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely effective	24 9.76	74 19.47	204 35.92	302
Somewhat effective	44 17.89	116 30.53	150 26.41	310
Not very effective	51 20.73	72 18.95	90 15.85	213
Not at all effective	85 34.55	38 10.00	53 9.33	176
Don't know	42 17.07	80 21.05	71 12.50	193

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	246	380	568	1194
	20.60	31.83	47.57	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	52	19	16	23	56	42	83	291
	21.85	16.24	12.70	20.18	45.16	22.83	33.20	
Somewhat effective	39	31	32	32	40	59	68	301
	16.39	26.50	25.40	28.07	32.26	32.07	27.20	
Not very effective	46	21	21	16	14	38	47	203
	19.33	17.95	16.67	14.04	11.29	20.65	18.80	
Not at all effective	67	12	38	14	5	21	19	176
	28.15	10.26	30.16	12.28	4.03	11.41	7.60	
Don't know	34	34	19	29	9	24	33	182
	14.29	29.06	15.08	25.44	7.26	13.04	13.20	
Total	238	117	126	114	124	184	250	1153
	20.64	10.15	10.93	9.89	10.75	15.96	21.68	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      87           23           182         292
                        18.09          20.18          32.62
-----
Somewhat effective      101          32           167         300
                        21.00          28.07          29.93
-----
Not very effective      88           16           99          203
                        18.30          14.04          17.74
-----
Not at all effective    118          14           44          176
                        24.53          12.28           7.89
-----
Don't know              87           29           66          182
                        18.09          25.44          11.83
-----
Total                   481          114          558         1153
                        41.72           9.89          48.40
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective      59           74           109           59          301
                        23.69          16.70          43.43          23.60

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	69 27.71	98 22.12	78 31.08	64 25.60	309
Not very effective	60 24.10	82 18.51	33 13.15	38 15.20	213
Not at all effective	25 10.04	118 26.64	10 3.98	23 9.20	176
Don't know	36 14.46	71 16.03	21 8.37	66 26.40	194
Total	249 20.87	443 37.13	251 21.04	250 20.96	1193

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	31 30.69	70 24.05	82 24.92	23 21.10	62 25.51	35 29.41	303
Somewhat effective	28 27.72	84 28.87	68 20.67	37 33.94	68 27.98	24 20.17	309
Not very effective	18 17.82	52 17.87	70 21.28	15 13.76	37 15.23	20 16.81	212
Not at all effective	2	26	57	13	55	23	176

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.98	8.93	17.33	11.93	22.63	19.33	
Don't know	22	59	52	21	21	17	192
	21.78	20.27	15.81	19.27	8.64	14.29	
Total	101	291	329	109	243	119	1192
	8.47	24.41	27.60	9.14	20.39	9.98	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	43	78	38	79	64	302
	25.44	30.35	24.68	25.24	21.33	
Somewhat effective	46	67	52	80	64	309
	27.22	26.07	33.77	25.56	21.33	
Not very effective	27	47	33	71	35	213
	15.98	18.29	21.43	22.68	11.67	
Not at all effective	22	34	16	35	69	176
	13.02	13.23	10.39	11.18	23.00	
Don't know	31	31	15	48	68	193
	18.34	12.06	9.74	15.34	22.67	
Total	169	257	154	313	300	1193
	14.17	21.54	12.91	26.24	25.15	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective		198 27.50	41 26.28	48 17.65	4 26.67	1 50.00	5 29.41	4 50.00	0 0.00	301
Somewhat effective		173 24.03	44 28.21	88 32.35	2 13.33	0 0.00	1 5.88	1 12.50	0 0.00	309
Not very effective		115 15.97	22 14.10	68 25.00	2 13.33	1 50.00	4 23.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	212
Not at all effective		125 17.36	23 14.74	21 7.72	2 13.33	0 0.00	3 17.65	1 12.50	1 100.00	176
Don't know		109 15.14	26 16.67	47 17.28	5 33.33	0 0.00	4 23.53	2 25.00	0 0.00	193
Total		720 60.45	156 13.10	272 22.84	15 1.26	2 0.17	17 1.43	8 0.67	1 0.08	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	178 28.43	3 18.75	14 12.07	16 28.57	67 22.04	25 33.78	303
Somewhat effective	144 23.00	2 12.50	47 40.52	21 37.50	74 24.34	19 25.68	307
Not very effective	107 17.09	3 18.75	13 11.21	10 17.86	68 22.37	13 17.57	214
Not at all effective	97 15.50	1 6.25	26 22.41	3 5.36	40 13.16	8 10.81	175
Don't know	100 15.97	7 43.75	16 13.79	6 10.71	55 18.09	9 12.16	193
Total	626 52.52	16 1.34	116 9.73	56 4.70	304 25.50	74 6.21	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	160 28.73	143 22.41	303
Somewhat effective	155 27.83	155 24.29	310

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	92	121	213
	16.52	18.97	

Not at all effective	82	94	176
	14.72	14.73	

Don't know	68	125	193
	12.21	19.59	

Total	557	638	1195
	46.61	53.39	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely effective	91	147	64	302
	26.61	25.26	23.79	

Somewhat effective	84	164	62	310
	24.56	28.18	23.05	

Not very effective	61	93	59	213
	17.84	15.98	21.93	

Not at all effective	53	90	33	176
	15.50	15.46	12.27	

Don't know	53	88	51	192
	15.50	15.12	18.96	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	342	582	269	1193
	28.67	48.78	22.55	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Extremely effective	78 33.91	85 21.52	31 28.44	22 18.33	86 25.37	302
Somewhat effective	55 23.91	99 25.06	31 28.44	28 23.33	97 28.61	310
Not very effective	45 19.57	67 16.96	17 15.60	27 22.50	57 16.81	213
Not at all effective	24 10.43	76 19.24	12 11.01	27 22.50	36 10.62	175
Don't know	28 12.17	68 17.22	18 16.51	16 13.33	63 18.58	193
Total	230 19.28	395 33.11	109 9.14	120 10.06	339 28.42	1193

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEQ
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    52    67   129   54   302
                    23.74  21.75  28.10  25.96
-----
Somewhat effective    51    94   109   55   309
                    23.29  30.52  23.75  26.44
-----
Not very effective    49    47    84   33   213
                    22.37  15.26  18.30  15.87
-----
Not at all effective   27    44    74   31   176
                    12.33  14.29  16.12  14.90
-----
Don't know            40    56    63   35   194
                    18.26  18.18  13.73  16.83
-----
Total                 219   308   459   208  1194
                    18.34  25.80  38.44  17.42
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38B. Education Policy Effectiveness: Expanding the Number of Charter Schools

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	7 15.56	10 10.99	14 12.61	68 17.89	44 20.85	49 21.30	38 30.40	230
Somewhat effective	8 17.78	12 13.19	26 23.42	116 30.53	78 36.97	84 36.52	49 39.20	373

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	6 13.33	32 35.16	29 26.13	91 23.95	44 20.85	52 22.61	13 10.40	267
Not at all effective	19 42.22	25 27.47	25 22.52	42 11.05	28 13.27	12 5.22	8 6.40	159
Don't know	5 11.11	12 13.19	17 15.32	63 16.58	17 8.06	33 14.35	17 13.60	164
Total	45 3.77	91 7.63	111 9.30	380 31.85	211 17.69	230 19.28	125 10.48	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely effective	31 12.60	68 17.89	130 23.01	229
Somewhat effective	46 18.70	116 30.53	212 37.52	374
Not very effective	66 26.83	91 23.95	108 19.12	265
Not at all effective	69 28.05	42 11.05	48 8.50	159
Don't know	34 13.82	63 16.58	67 11.86	164

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	246	380	565	1191
	20.65	31.91	47.44	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	56	18	14	16	34	34	54	226
	23.33	15.25	11.20	13.91	27.20	18.58	21.60	
Somewhat effective	58	35	23	44	56	58	85	359
	24.17	29.66	18.40	38.26	44.80	31.69	34.00	
Not very effective	45	28	38	16	20	54	55	256
	18.75	23.73	30.40	13.91	16.00	29.51	22.00	
Not at all effective	53	12	33	16	5	20	20	159
	22.08	10.17	26.40	13.91	4.00	10.93	8.00	
Don't know	28	25	17	23	10	17	36	156
	11.67	21.19	13.60	20.00	8.00	9.29	14.40	
Total	240	118	125	115	125	183	250	1156
	20.76	10.21	10.81	9.95	10.81	15.83	21.63	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      87          16          121         224
                        18.05         13.91         21.72
-----
Somewhat effective      116          44          199         359
                        24.07         38.26         35.73
-----
Not very effective      111          16          129         256
                        23.03         13.91         23.16
-----
Not at all effective     98          16           46         160
                        20.33         13.91          8.26
-----
Don't know              70          23           62         155
                        14.52         20.00         11.13
-----
Total                   482         115          557        1154
                        41.77         9.97         48.27
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective      40          83          70          36         229
                        16.13         18.74         28.11         14.40
-----
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	74 29.84	103 23.25	108 43.37	90 36.00	375
Not very effective	79 31.85	109 24.60	41 16.47	35 14.00	264
Not at all effective	21 8.47	90 20.32	16 6.43	31 12.40	158
Don't know	34 13.71	58 13.09	14 5.62	58 23.20	164
Total	248 20.84	443 37.23	249 20.92	250 21.01	1190

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	20 19.61	68 23.61	50 15.24	19 17.27	48 19.59	24 19.83	229
Somewhat effective	37 36.27	84 29.17	97 29.57	37 33.64	86 35.10	34 28.10	375
Not very effective	26 25.49	57 19.79	73 22.26	25 22.73	56 22.86	29 23.97	266
Not at all effective	9	18	56	15	37	24	159

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.82	6.25	17.07	13.64	15.10	19.83	
Don't know	10	61	52	14	18	10	165
	9.80	21.18	15.85	12.73	7.35	8.26	
Total	102	288	328	110	245	121	1194
	8.54	24.12	27.47	9.21	20.52	10.13	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	31 18.34	64 24.90	22 14.47	56 17.89	56 18.67	229
Somewhat effective	54 31.95	88 34.24	47 30.92	108 34.50	77 25.67	374
Not very effective	40 23.67	49 19.07	47 30.92	67 21.41	62 20.67	265
Not at all effective	17 10.06	34 13.23	18 11.84	41 13.10	49 16.33	159
Don't know	27 15.98	22 8.56	18 11.84	41 13.10	56 18.67	164
Total	169 14.19	257 21.58	152 12.76	313 26.28	300 25.19	1191

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective		140 19.42	34 21.94	43 15.99	3 18.75	0 0.00	5 31.25	4 44.44	0 0.00	229
Somewhat effective		222 30.79	48 30.97	94 34.94	5 31.25	0 0.00	3 18.75	1 11.11	0 0.00	373
Not very effective		152 21.08	31 20.00	73 27.14	2 12.50	2 100.00	5 31.25	1 11.11	0 0.00	266
Not at all effective		108 14.98	20 12.90	25 9.29	1 6.25	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 11.11	1 100.00	157
Don't know		99 13.73	22 14.19	34 12.64	5 31.25	0 0.00	2 12.50	2 22.22	0 0.00	164
Total		721 60.64	155 13.04	269 22.62	16 1.35	2 0.17	16 1.35	9 0.76	1 0.08	1189

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	116 18.53	1 6.67	21 17.80	12 20.69	58 19.33	21 28.38	229
Somewhat effective	204 32.59	2 13.33	33 27.97	26 44.83	85 28.33	23 31.08	373
Not very effective	129 20.61	4 26.67	31 26.27	11 18.97	73 24.33	18 24.32	266
Not at all effective	93 14.86	5 33.33	17 14.41	2 3.45	36 12.00	6 8.11	159
Don't know	84 13.42	3 20.00	16 13.56	7 12.07	48 16.00	6 8.11	164
Total	626 52.56	15 1.26	118 9.91	58 4.87	300 25.19	74 6.21	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	110 19.89	118 18.50	228
Somewhat effective	197 35.62	178 27.90	375

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	123	142	265
	22.24	22.26	

Not at all effective	66	93	159
	11.93	14.58	

Don't know	57	107	164
	10.31	16.77	

Total	553	638	1191
	46.43	53.57	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely effective	67	122	39	228
	19.65	21.03	14.50	

Somewhat effective	90	202	82	374
	26.39	34.83	30.48	

Not very effective	96	96	73	265
	28.15	16.55	27.14	

Not at all effective	40	88	31	159
	11.73	15.17	11.52	

Don't know	48	72	44	164
	14.08	12.41	16.36	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	341	580	269	1190
	28.66	48.74	22.61	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Extremely effective      50           79           21           27           52           229
                        21.65        20.00        19.44        22.31        15.43
-----
Somewhat effective      79           129          32           24           110          374
                        34.20        32.66        29.63        19.83        32.64
-----
Not very effective      49           70           31           25           91           266
                        21.21        17.72        28.70        20.66        27.00
-----
Not at all effective    27           61           12           29           30           159
                        11.69        15.44        11.11        23.97        8.90
-----
Don't know              26           56           12           16           54           164
                        11.26        14.18        11.11        13.22        16.02
-----
Total                   231          395          108          121          337          1192
                        19.38        33.14        9.06         10.15        28.27
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    38    58    84    49    229
                      17.35  18.83  18.30  23.79
-----
Somewhat effective    72    94   150    58    374
                      32.88  30.52  32.68  28.16
-----
Not very effective    54    69   103    40    266
                      24.66  22.40  22.44  19.42
-----
Not at all effective   25    43    64    27    159
                      11.42  13.96  13.94  13.11
-----
Don't know            30    44    58    32    164
                      13.70  14.29  12.64  15.53
-----
Total                 219   308   459   206   1192
                      18.37  25.84  38.51  17.28
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38C. Education Policy Effectiveness: Increasing the Pay of Public School Teachers

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Extremely effective	31 67.39	56 63.64	58 52.73	169 44.24	56 26.67	45 19.57	34 27.64	449
Somewhat effective	8 17.39	27 30.68	43 39.09	139 36.39	89 42.38	95 41.30	30 24.39	431

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	2 4.35	2 2.27	5 4.55	32 8.38	37 17.62	50 21.74	29 23.58	157
Not at all effective	2 4.35	0 0.00	2 1.82	17 4.45	21 10.00	26 11.30	25 20.33	93
Don't know	3 6.52	3 3.41	2 1.82	25 6.54	7 3.33	14 6.09	5 4.07	59
Total	46 3.87	88 7.40	110 9.25	382 32.13	210 17.66	230 19.34	123 10.34	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely effective	146 59.59	169 44.24	135 23.98	450
Somewhat effective	79 32.24	139 36.39	214 38.01	432
Not very effective	8 3.27	32 8.38	116 20.60	156
Not at all effective	4 1.63	17 4.45	72 12.79	93
Don't know	8 3.27	25 6.54	26 4.62	59

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	245	382	563	1190
	20.59	32.10	47.31	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	135	51	72	37	24	65	55	439
	56.96	43.59	57.60	32.17	19.51	35.91	21.91	
Somewhat effective	74	48	39	36	36	80	101	414
	31.22	41.03	31.20	31.30	29.27	44.20	40.24	
Not very effective	9	13	3	21	36	22	48	152
	3.80	11.11	2.40	18.26	29.27	12.15	19.12	
Not at all effective	9	2	5	13	20	11	32	92
	3.80	1.71	4.00	11.30	16.26	6.08	12.75	
Don't know	10	3	6	8	7	3	15	52
	4.22	2.56	4.80	6.96	5.69	1.66	5.98	
Total	237	117	125	115	123	181	251	1149
	20.63	10.18	10.88	10.01	10.70	15.75	21.85	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      259           37           144         440
                        53.73          32.17          25.95
-----
Somewhat effective      161           36           217         414
                        33.40          31.30          39.10
-----
Not very effective      26            21           106         153
                        5.39           18.26          19.10
-----
Not at all effective    16            13            63           92
                        3.32           11.30          11.35
-----
Don't know              20             8            25           53
                        4.15           6.96           4.50
-----
Total                   482           115           555        1152
                        41.84          9.98           48.18
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective      93           255           37           66         451
                        37.35          57.17          14.86          26.51
-----
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	93 37.35	140 31.39	93 37.35	106 42.57	432
Not very effective	39 15.66	21 4.71	68 27.31	28 11.24	156
Not at all effective	13 5.22	19 4.26	42 16.87	21 8.43	95
Don't know	11 4.42	11 2.47	9 3.61	28 11.24	59
Total	249 20.87	446 37.38	249 20.87	249 20.87	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	42 40.38	103 35.40	106 32.42	48 44.04	103 42.39	49 41.18	451
Somewhat effective	45 43.27	94 32.30	126 38.53	34 31.19	88 36.21	45 37.82	432
Not very effective	11 10.58	45 15.46	45 13.76	15 13.76	28 11.52	14 11.76	158
Not at all effective	2	29	29	7	18	9	94

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.92	9.97	8.87	6.42	7.41	7.56	
Don't know	4	20	21	5	6	2	58
	3.85	6.87	6.42	4.59	2.47	1.68	
Total	104	291	327	109	243	119	1193
	8.72	24.39	27.41	9.14	20.37	9.97	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	56 33.14	102 40.00	53 34.64	119 37.54	121 41.02	451
Somewhat effective	67 39.64	83 32.55	63 41.18	120 37.85	98 33.22	431
Not very effective	19 11.24	32 12.55	20 13.07	50 15.77	34 11.53	155
Not at all effective	13 7.69	29 11.37	14 9.15	17 5.36	20 6.78	93
Don't know	14 8.28	9 3.53	3 1.96	11 3.47	22 7.46	59
Total	169 14.21	255 21.45	153 12.87	317 26.66	295 24.81	1189

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective	245 33.93	79 50.97	110 40.44	6 37.50	2 100.00	7 43.75	1 11.11	0 0.00	450
Somewhat effective	276 38.23	43 27.74	104 38.24	3 18.75	0 0.00	3 18.75	1 11.11	1 100.00	431
Not very effective	114 15.79	13 8.39	21 7.72	4 25.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	4 44.44	0 0.00	157
Not at all effective	52 7.20	11 7.10	25 9.19	2 12.50	0 0.00	3 18.75	2 22.22	0 0.00	95
Don't know	35 4.85	9 5.81	12 4.41	1 6.25	0 0.00	2 12.50	1 11.11	0 0.00	60
Total	722 60.52	155 12.99	272 22.80	16 1.34	2 0.17	16 1.34	9 0.75	1 0.08	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	219 35.04	3 20.00	34 29.31	16 28.57	139 45.72	40 54.05	451
Somewhat effective	219 35.04	8 53.33	53 45.69	21 37.50	106 34.87	23 31.08	430
Not very effective	101 16.16	3 20.00	11 9.48	10 17.86	26 8.55	5 6.76	156
Not at all effective	55 8.80	0 0.00	12 10.34	6 10.71	19 6.25	2 2.70	94
Don't know	31 4.96	1 6.67	6 5.17	3 5.36	14 4.61	4 5.41	59
Total	625 52.52	15 1.26	116 9.75	56 4.71	304 25.55	74 6.22	1190

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	163 29.37	288 45.21	451
Somewhat effective	220 39.64	213 33.44	433

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	90	66	156
	16.22	10.36	

Not at all effective	62	31	93
	11.17	4.87	

Don't know	20	39	59
	3.60	6.12	

Total	555	637	1192
	46.56	53.44	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely effective	142	219	90	451
	41.40	38.02	32.97	

Somewhat effective	104	213	115	432
	30.32	36.98	42.12	

Not very effective	44	74	38	156
	12.83	12.85	13.92	

Not at all effective	37	40	17	94
	10.79	6.94	6.23	

Don't know	16	30	13	59
	4.66	5.21	4.76	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	343	576	273	1192
	28.78	48.32	22.90	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Extremely effective	83 36.40	158 40.31	32 29.09	60 50.85	117 34.01	450
Somewhat effective	82 35.96	136 34.69	44 40.00	30 25.42	140 40.70	432
Not very effective	37 16.23	37 9.44	18 16.36	12 10.17	52 15.12	156
Not at all effective	15 6.58	45 11.48	7 6.36	10 8.47	17 4.94	94
Don't know	11 4.82	16 4.08	9 8.18	6 5.08	18 5.23	60
Total	228 19.13	392 32.89	110 9.23	118 9.90	344 28.86	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q              AGEG
              18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    89    131    175    56    451
                    40.27  42.53  38.29  27.18
-----
Somewhat effective    86    101    159    86    432
                    38.91  32.79  34.79  41.75
-----
Not very effective    24     30     66    37    157
                    10.86   9.74  14.44  17.96
-----
Not at all effective   11     28     37    17     93
                    4.98   9.09   8.10   8.25
-----
Don't know            11     18     20    10     59
                    4.98   5.84   4.38   4.85
-----
Total                 221    308    457    206   1192
                    18.54  25.84  38.34  17.28
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38D. Education Policy Effectiveness: Increasing Funding for the Public School System

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	32 68.09	63 70.79	60 53.57	186 48.44	65 30.66	41 17.75	31 25.00	478
Somewhat effective	4 8.51	22 24.72	44 39.29	112 29.17	61 28.77	72 31.17	20 16.13	335

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	5 10.64	2 2.25	2 1.79	36 9.38	54 25.47	65 28.14	36 29.03	200
Not at all effective	2 4.26	0 0.00	4 3.57	23 5.99	26 12.26	43 18.61	33 26.61	131
Don't know	4 8.51	2 2.25	2 1.79	27 7.03	6 2.83	10 4.33	4 3.23	55
Total	47 3.92	89 7.42	112 9.34	384 32.03	212 17.68	231 19.27	124 10.34	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Extremely effective	155 63.01	186 48.44	137 24.20	478
Somewhat effective	69 28.05	112 29.17	152 26.86	333
Not very effective	8 3.25	36 9.38	155 27.39	199
Not at all effective	6 2.44	23 5.99	102 18.02	131
Don't know	8 3.25	27 7.03	20 3.53	55

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	246	384	566	1196
	20.57	32.11	47.32	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	161 67.08	65 55.08	67 53.17	37 32.17	19 15.45	70 38.04	45 18.00	464
Somewhat effective	55 22.92	40 33.90	42 33.33	28 24.35	26 21.14	59 32.07	68 27.20	318
Not very effective	4 1.67	5 4.24	8 6.35	26 22.61	42 34.15	29 15.76	81 32.40	195
Not at all effective	7 2.92	4 3.39	3 2.38	15 13.04	33 26.83	23 12.50	45 18.00	130
Don't know	13 5.42	4 3.39	6 4.76	9 7.83	3 2.44	3 1.63	11 4.40	49
Total	240 20.76	118 10.21	126 10.90	115 9.95	123 10.64	184 15.92	250 21.63	1156

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      294           37           135         466
                        60.74          32.17          24.15
-----
Somewhat effective      137           28           153         318
                        28.31          24.35          27.37
-----
Not very effective      17            26           152         195
                        3.51          22.61          27.19
-----
Not at all effective    14            15           101         130
                        2.89          13.04          18.07
-----
Don't know              22            9            18          49
                        4.55          7.83           3.22
-----
Total                   484           115           559        1158
                        41.80          9.93          48.27
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective      93           279           39           69         480
                        37.35          62.42          15.60          27.49
-----
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	78 31.33	127 28.41	63 25.20	64 25.50	332
Not very effective	54 21.69	16 3.58	72 28.80	58 23.11	200
Not at all effective	17 6.83	13 2.91	70 28.00	30 11.95	130
Don't know	7 2.81	12 2.68	6 2.40	30 11.95	55
Total	249 20.80	447 37.34	250 20.89	251 20.97	1197

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	49 47.12	114 39.31	124 37.69	52 47.27	94 38.37	46 38.33	479
Somewhat effective	32 30.77	79 27.24	93 28.27	29 26.36	69 28.16	31 25.83	333
Not very effective	11 10.58	41 14.14	67 20.36	11 10.00	44 17.96	27 22.50	201
Not at all effective	8	36	27	13	32	14	130

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.69	12.41	8.21	11.82	13.06	11.67	
Don't know	4	20	18	5	6	2	55
	3.85	6.90	5.47	4.55	2.45	1.67	
Total	104	290	329	110	245	120	1198
	8.68	24.21	27.46	9.18	20.45	10.02	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	63 37.06	110 42.80	58 37.66	110 34.48	139 46.49	480
Somewhat effective	54 31.76	55 21.40	46 29.87	105 32.92	74 24.75	334
Not very effective	22 12.94	46 17.90	30 19.48	65 20.38	36 12.04	199
Not at all effective	20 11.76	38 14.79	17 11.04	26 8.15	30 10.03	131
Don't know	11 6.47	8 3.11	3 1.95	13 4.08	20 6.69	55
Total	170 14.18	257 21.43	154 12.84	319 26.61	299 24.94	1199

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective		249 34.30	85 54.49	129 47.43	4 23.53	3 100.00	8 50.00	2 20.00	0 0.00	480
Somewhat effective		199 27.41	47 30.13	78 28.68	7 41.18	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 10.00	0 0.00	333
Not very effective		159 21.90	7 4.49	28 10.29	3 17.65	0 0.00	2 12.50	1 10.00	1 100.00	201
Not at all effective		88 12.12	7 4.49	25 9.19	3 17.65	0 0.00	3 18.75	5 50.00	0 0.00	131
Don't know		31 4.27	10 6.41	12 4.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	1 10.00	0 0.00	56
Total		726 60.45	156 12.99	272 22.65	17 1.42	3 0.25	16 1.33	10 0.83	1 0.08	1201

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	223 35.62	10 62.50	41 35.04	17 29.31	143 46.58	46 63.01	480
Somewhat effective	164 26.20	3 18.75	46 39.32	15 25.86	90 29.32	14 19.18	332
Not very effective	133 21.25	0 0.00	12 10.26	12 20.69	36 11.73	7 9.59	200
Not at all effective	79 12.62	1 6.25	13 11.11	11 18.97	22 7.17	4 5.48	130
Don't know	27 4.31	2 12.50	5 4.27	3 5.17	16 5.21	2 2.74	55
Total	626 52.30	16 1.34	117 9.77	58 4.85	307 25.65	73 6.10	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	178 31.73	301 47.10	479
Somewhat effective	161 28.70	172 26.92	333

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	116	85	201
	20.68	13.30	

Not at all effective	90	41	131
	16.04	6.42	

Don't know	16	40	56
	2.85	6.26	

Total	561	639	1200
	46.75	53.25	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely effective	165	213	101	479
	47.83	36.66	37.13	

Somewhat effective	75	175	83	333
	21.74	30.12	30.51	

Not very effective	50	107	43	200
	14.49	18.42	15.81	

Not at all effective	43	58	30	131
	12.46	9.98	11.03	

Don't know	12	28	15	55
	3.48	4.82	5.51	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	345	581	272	1198
	28.80	48.50	22.70	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Extremely effective	80 34.78	156 39.39	42 38.53	57 47.90	145 42.27	480
Somewhat effective	56 24.35	112 28.28	33 30.28	33 27.73	98 28.57	332
Not very effective	62 26.96	66 16.67	15 13.76	11 9.24	46 13.41	200
Not at all effective	23 10.00	47 11.87	12 11.01	12 10.08	36 10.50	130
Don't know	9 3.91	15 3.79	7 6.42	6 5.04	18 5.25	55
Total	230 19.21	396 33.08	109 9.11	119 9.94	343 28.65	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    100   155   174   50   479
                    45.05  50.49  37.83  24.04
-----
Somewhat effective     75    72   119   66   332
                    33.78  23.45  25.87  31.73
-----
Not very effective     26    39    79   56   200
                    11.71  12.70  17.17  26.92
-----
Not at all effective   12    25    67   27   131
                    5.41   8.14  14.57  12.98
-----
Don't know             9    16    21    9    55
                    4.05   5.21   4.57   4.33
-----
Total                  222   307   460   208  1197
                    18.55  25.65  38.43  17.38
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38E. Education Policy Effectiveness: Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	22 48.89	41 45.05	47 42.34	142 36.98	71 33.81	35 15.22	37 30.58	395
Somewhat effective	15 33.33	41 45.05	51 45.95	139 36.20	100 47.62	123 53.48	42 34.71	511

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	3 6.67	5 5.49	6 5.41	51 13.28	22 10.48	42 18.26	19 15.70	148
Not at all effective	2 4.44	0 0.00	3 2.70	20 5.21	12 5.71	16 6.96	13 10.74	66
Don't know	3 6.67	4 4.40	4 3.60	32 8.33	5 2.38	14 6.09	10 8.26	72
Total	45 3.78	91 7.63	111 9.31	384 32.21	210 17.62	230 19.30	121 10.15	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely effective	110 44.53	142 36.98	144 25.58	396
Somewhat effective	107 43.32	139 36.20	265 47.07	511
Not very effective	14 5.67	51 13.28	83 14.74	148
Not at all effective	5 2.02	20 5.21	42 7.46	67
Don't know	11 4.45	32 8.33	29 5.15	72

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	247	384	563	1194
	20.69	32.16	47.15	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	110	44	58	39	26	45	64	386
	46.03	37.29	46.40	34.21	20.97	24.46	25.50	
Somewhat effective	93	53	53	32	56	102	105	494
	38.91	44.92	42.40	28.07	45.16	55.43	41.83	
Not very effective	17	9	10	19	26	26	38	145
	7.11	7.63	8.00	16.67	20.97	14.13	15.14	
Not at all effective	6	6	1	10	12	6	26	67
	2.51	5.08	0.80	8.77	9.68	3.26	10.36	
Don't know	13	6	3	14	4	5	18	63
	5.44	5.08	2.40	12.28	3.23	2.72	7.17	
Total	239	118	125	114	124	184	251	1155
	20.69	10.22	10.82	9.87	10.74	15.93	21.73	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      212           39           134       385
                        43.89          34.21          24.01
-----
Somewhat effective      200           32           263       495
                        41.41          28.07          47.13
-----
Not very effective      36            19           90        145
                        7.45           16.67          16.13
-----
Not at all effective    13            10           44         67
                        2.69           8.77           7.89
-----
Don't know              22            14           27         63
                        4.55          12.28           4.84
-----
Total                   483           114          558       1155
                        41.82          9.87           48.31
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective      76           202           49           69       396
                        30.89          45.19          19.68          27.60
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	115 46.75	184 41.16	110 44.18	102 40.80	511
Not very effective	34 13.82	33 7.38	48 19.28	32 12.80	147
Not at all effective	9 3.66	11 2.46	31 12.45	16 6.40	67
Don't know	12 4.88	17 3.80	11 4.42	31 12.40	71
Total	246 20.64	447 37.50	249 20.89	250 20.97	1192

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	35 33.65	92 31.72	93 28.35	42 38.18	86 35.68	48 40.34	396
Somewhat effective	44 42.31	125 43.10	143 43.60	46 41.82	101 41.91	51 42.86	510
Not very effective	20 19.23	33 11.38	47 14.33	8 7.27	26 10.79	14 11.76	148
Not at all effective	3	10	21	7	22	4	67

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.88	3.45	6.40	6.36	9.13	3.36	
Don't know	2	30	24	7	6	2	71
	1.92	10.34	7.32	6.36	2.49	1.68	
Total	104	290	328	110	241	119	1192
	8.72	24.33	27.52	9.23	20.22	9.98	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	41 24.85	96 37.21	51 33.12	105 33.12	103 34.56	396
Somewhat effective	88 53.33	88 34.11	66 42.86	140 44.16	129 43.29	511
Not very effective	19 11.52	38 14.73	21 13.64	39 12.30	30 10.07	147
Not at all effective	6 3.64	27 10.47	5 3.25	17 5.36	12 4.03	67
Don't know	11 6.67	9 3.49	11 7.14	16 5.05	24 8.05	71
Total	165 13.84	258 21.64	154 12.92	317 26.59	298 25.00	1192

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective	211 29.26	78 50.32	91 33.33	4 25.00	3 100.00	7 41.18	3 33.33	0 0.00	397
Somewhat effective	323 44.80	47 30.32	125 45.79	9 56.25	0 0.00	3 17.65	4 44.44	1 100.00	512
Not very effective	103 14.29	15 9.68	23 8.42	3 18.75	0 0.00	3 17.65	1 11.11	0 0.00	148
Not at all effective	43 5.96	4 2.58	18 6.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 5.88	1 11.11	0 0.00	67
Don't know	41 5.69	11 7.10	16 5.86	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 17.65	0 0.00	0 0.00	71
Total	721 60.33	155 12.97	273 22.85	16 1.34	3 0.25	17 1.42	9 0.75	1 0.08	1195

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	201 32.16	3 20.00	33 27.97	16 28.07	117 38.11	26 37.68	396
Somewhat effective	270 43.20	3 20.00	58 49.15	25 43.86	118 38.44	35 50.72	509
Not very effective	87 13.92	3 20.00	13 11.02	10 17.54	29 9.45	6 8.70	148
Not at all effective	34 5.44	4 26.67	8 6.78	4 7.02	17 5.54	0 0.00	67
Don't know	33 5.28	2 13.33	6 5.08	2 3.51	26 8.47	2 2.90	71
Total	625 52.48	15 1.26	118 9.91	57 4.79	307 25.78	69 5.79	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	158 28.42	238 37.25	396
Somewhat effective	260 46.76	252 39.44	512

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	76	72	148
	13.67	11.27	

Not at all effective	42	25	67
	7.55	3.91	

Don't know	20	52	72
	3.60	8.14	

Total	556	639	1195
	46.53	53.47	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely effective	121	201	75	397
	35.17	34.78	27.57	

Somewhat effective	130	256	126	512
	37.79	44.29	46.32	

Not very effective	42	69	37	148
	12.21	11.94	13.60	

Not at all effective	25	27	14	66
	7.27	4.67	5.15	

Don't know	26	25	20	71
	7.56	4.33	7.35	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	578	272	1194
	28.81	48.41	22.78	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Extremely effective	74 32.74	135 34.09	33 30.28	43 35.83	111 32.55	396
Somewhat effective	100 44.25	162 40.91	42 38.53	55 45.83	153 44.87	512
Not very effective	30 13.27	48 12.12	19 17.43	15 12.50	35 10.26	147
Not at all effective	11 4.87	29 7.32	8 7.34	2 1.67	16 4.69	66
Don't know	11 4.87	22 5.56	7 6.42	5 4.17	26 7.62	71
Total	226 18.96	396 33.22	109 9.14	120 10.07	341 28.61	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    71    109    163    53    396
                    31.84  35.74  35.43  25.73
-----
Somewhat effective    95    123    195    98    511
                    42.60  40.33  42.39  47.57
-----
Not very effective    20     37     60    31    148
                    8.97  12.13  13.04  15.05
-----
Not at all effective   17     15     23    12     67
                    7.62   4.92   5.00   5.83
-----
Don't know            20     21     19    12     72
                    8.97   6.89   4.13   5.83
-----
Total                 223    305    460    206   1194
                    18.68  25.54  38.53  17.25
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38F. Education Policy Effectiveness: Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	18 39.13	42 47.73	33 29.73	131 34.20	63 30.00	54 23.48	47 37.30	388
Somewhat effective	13 28.26	33 37.50	43 38.74	115 30.03	63 30.00	84 36.52	30 23.81	381

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	5 10.87	6 6.82	20 18.02	55 14.36	54 25.71	46 20.00	24 19.05	210
Not at all effective	3 6.52	3 3.41	6 5.41	45 11.75	21 10.00	28 12.17	18 14.29	124
Don't know	7 15.22	4 4.55	9 8.11	37 9.66	9 4.29	18 7.83	7 5.56	91
Total	46 3.85	88 7.37	111 9.30	383 32.08	210 17.59	230 19.26	126 10.55	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely effective	94 38.21	131 34.20	164 28.98	389
Somewhat effective	89 36.18	115 30.03	177 31.27	381
Not very effective	31 12.60	55 14.36	124 21.91	210
Not at all effective	12 4.88	45 11.75	67 11.84	124
Don't know	20 8.13	37 9.66	34 6.01	91

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	246	383	566	1195
	20.59	32.05	47.36	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	100	37	52	37	29	46	77	378
	41.84	31.36	41.27	32.17	23.39	25.00	30.68	
Somewhat effective	68	26	49	37	50	76	66	372
	28.45	22.03	38.89	32.17	40.32	41.30	26.29	
Not very effective	35	24	13	14	20	39	60	205
	14.64	20.34	10.32	12.17	16.13	21.20	23.90	
Not at all effective	14	20	5	16	17	12	34	118
	5.86	16.95	3.97	13.91	13.71	6.52	13.55	
Don't know	22	11	7	11	8	11	14	84
	9.21	9.32	5.56	9.57	6.45	5.98	5.58	
Total	239	118	126	115	124	184	251	1157
	20.66	10.20	10.89	9.94	10.72	15.90	21.69	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      188           37           152          377
                        38.92          32.17          27.24
-----
Somewhat effective      143           37           191          371
                        29.61          32.17          34.23
-----
Not very effective       73           14           119          206
                        15.11          12.17          21.33
-----
Not at all effective     39           16            63          118
                        8.07           13.91          11.29
-----
Don't know              40           11            33           84
                        8.28           9.57           5.91
-----
Total                   483          115           558         1156
                        41.78          9.95          48.27
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective           72           177           75           66          390
                        28.92          39.60          30.00          26.29
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	78 31.33	149 33.33	83 33.20	71 28.29	381
Not very effective	58 23.29	59 13.20	54 21.60	40 15.94	211
Not at all effective	30 12.05	34 7.61	28 11.20	33 13.15	125
Don't know	11 4.42	28 6.26	10 4.00	41 16.33	90
Total	249 20.80	447 37.34	250 20.89	251 20.97	1197

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	38 35.85	92 31.62	90 27.44	34 31.19	85 34.69	50 41.67	389
Somewhat effective	35 33.02	86 29.55	106 32.32	43 39.45	84 34.29	29 24.17	383
Not very effective	13 12.26	50 17.18	61 18.60	16 14.68	46 18.78	25 20.83	211
Not at all effective	14	37	38	8	19	9	125

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.21	12.71	11.59	7.34	7.76	7.50	
Don't know	6	26	33	8	11	7	91
	5.66	8.93	10.06	7.34	4.49	5.83	
Total	106	291	328	109	245	120	1199
	8.84	24.27	27.36	9.09	20.43	10.01	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	64	83	47	108	88	390
	37.43	32.42	30.52	33.96	29.33	
Somewhat effective	45	82	57	109	90	383
	26.32	32.03	37.01	34.28	30.00	
Not very effective	28	56	19	55	53	211
	16.37	21.88	12.34	17.30	17.67	
Not at all effective	19	27	23	24	31	124
	11.11	10.55	14.94	7.55	10.33	
Don't know	15	8	8	22	38	91
	8.77	3.12	5.19	6.92	12.67	
Total	171	256	154	318	300	1199
	14.26	21.35	12.84	26.52	25.02	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective	228 31.40	65 41.94	81 29.67	3 17.65	1 50.00	8 50.00	2 22.22	1 100.00	389
Somewhat effective	240 33.06	44 28.39	83 30.40	5 29.41	1 50.00	6 37.50	4 44.44	0 0.00	383
Not very effective	136 18.73	24 15.48	46 16.85	3 17.65	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 11.11	0 0.00	210
Not at all effective	72 9.92	10 6.45	40 14.65	2 11.76	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 22.22	0 0.00	126
Don't know	50 6.89	12 7.74	23 8.42	4 23.53	0 0.00	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	91
Total	726 60.55	155 12.93	273 22.77	17 1.42	2 0.17	16 1.33	9 0.75	1 0.08	1199

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	210 33.49	7 46.67	26 22.41	17 29.82	96 31.48	33 44.59	389
Somewhat effective	193 30.78	3 20.00	38 32.76	24 42.11	108 35.41	15 20.27	381
Not very effective	128 20.41	2 13.33	28 24.14	11 19.30	29 9.51	11 14.86	209
Not at all effective	51 8.13	1 6.67	18 15.52	1 1.75	45 14.75	9 12.16	125
Don't know	45 7.18	2 13.33	6 5.17	4 7.02	27 8.85	6 8.11	90
Total	627 52.51	15 1.26	116 9.72	57 4.77	305 25.54	74 6.20	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	133 23.75	256 40.06	389
Somewhat effective	191 34.11	191 29.89	382

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	118	93	211
	21.07	14.55	

Not at all effective	89	37	126
	15.89	5.79	

Don't know	29	62	91
	5.18	9.70	

Total	560	639	1199
	46.71	53.29	

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely effective	121	195	73	389
	35.17	33.62	26.74	

Somewhat effective	99	190	93	382
	28.78	32.76	34.07	

Not very effective	50	101	60	211
	14.53	17.41	21.98	

Not at all effective	40	55	30	125
	11.63	9.48	10.99	

Don't know	34	39	17	90
	9.88	6.72	6.23	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	580	273	1197
	28.74	48.45	22.81	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Extremely effective	64 27.83	137 34.68	33 30.28	35 29.41	120 34.88	389
Somewhat effective	80 34.78	109 27.59	43 39.45	45 37.82	104 30.23	381
Not very effective	38 16.52	73 18.48	14 12.84	24 20.17	61 17.73	210
Not at all effective	30 13.04	43 10.89	11 10.09	6 5.04	36 10.47	126
Don't know	18 7.83	33 8.35	8 7.34	9 7.56	23 6.69	91
Total	230 19.21	395 33.00	109 9.11	119 9.94	344 28.74	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q              AGEG
              18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    73    115    161    41    390
                    32.74  37.34  35.00  19.81
-----
Somewhat effective    70     99    140    73    382
                    31.39  32.14  30.43  35.27
-----
Not very effective    29     48     71    63    211
                    13.00  15.58  15.43  30.43
-----
Not at all effective   31     27     48    18    124
                    13.90   8.77  10.43   8.70
-----
Don't know            20     19     40    12     91
                    8.97   6.17   8.70   5.80
-----
Total                 223    308    460    207   1198
                    18.61  25.71  38.40  17.28
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q38G. Education Policy Effectiveness: Increasing opportunities for online learning

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	14 30.43	20 22.73	30 27.78	128 33.33	50 23.70	49 21.30	45 35.71	336
Somewhat effective	11 23.91	47 53.41	38 35.19	141 36.72	100 47.39	107 46.52	34 26.98	478

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	9	12	19	53	37	36	30	196
	19.57	13.64	17.59	13.80	17.54	15.65	23.81	
Not at all effective	9	3	11	23	13	11	11	81
	19.57	3.41	10.19	5.99	6.16	4.78	8.73	
Don't know	3	6	10	39	11	27	6	102
	6.52	6.82	9.26	10.16	5.21	11.74	4.76	
Total	46	88	108	384	211	230	126	1193
	3.86	7.38	9.05	32.19	17.69	19.28	10.56	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 Q

	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Extremely effective	65	128	143	336
	26.64	33.33	25.35	
Somewhat effective	97	141	240	478
	39.75	36.72	42.55	
Not very effective	40	53	102	195
	16.39	13.80	18.09	
Not at all effective	23	23	35	81
	9.43	5.99	6.21	
Don't know	19	39	44	102
	7.79	10.16	7.80	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	244	384	564	1192
	20.47	32.21	47.32	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Extremely effective	70	36	43	30	34	45	65	323
	29.29	30.25	34.68	26.09	27.87	24.46	26.00	
Somewhat effective	103	48	37	42	46	90	95	461
	43.10	40.34	29.84	36.52	37.70	48.91	38.00	
Not very effective	37	18	19	16	25	21	56	192
	15.48	15.13	15.32	13.91	20.49	11.41	22.40	
Not at all effective	11	7	16	14	8	12	13	81
	4.60	5.88	12.90	12.17	6.56	6.52	5.20	
Don't know	18	10	9	13	9	16	21	96
	7.53	8.40	7.26	11.30	7.38	8.70	8.40	
Total	239	119	124	115	122	184	250	1153
	20.73	10.32	10.75	9.97	10.58	15.96	21.68	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Extremely effective      149           30           144         323
                        30.91          26.09          25.85
-----
Somewhat effective      189           42           231         462
                        39.21          36.52          41.47
-----
Not very effective       74           16           102         192
                        15.35          13.91          18.31
-----
Not at all effective     34           14            34           82
                        7.05           12.17           6.10
-----
Don't know              36           13            46           95
                        7.47           11.30           8.26
-----
Total                   482          115           557        1154
                        41.77          9.97          48.27
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective      86           140           47           64         337
                        34.54          31.39          18.88          25.60
-----
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat effective	78 31.33	186 41.70	126 50.60	88 35.20	478
Not very effective	41 16.47	61 13.68	50 20.08	43 17.20	195
Not at all effective	22 8.84	27 6.05	13 5.22	20 8.00	82
Don't know	22 8.84	32 7.17	13 5.22	35 14.00	102
Total	249 20.85	446 37.35	249 20.85	250 20.94	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely effective	24 23.08	86 29.55	101 31.17	39 36.11	59 24.18	26 21.67	335
Somewhat effective	42 40.38	121 41.58	130 40.12	38 35.19	100 40.98	47 39.17	478
Not very effective	21 20.19	41 14.09	46 14.20	11 10.19	46 18.85	30 25.00	195
Not at all effective	3	15	15	14	23	12	82

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.88	5.15	4.63	12.96	9.43	10.00	
Don't know	14	28	32	6	16	5	101
	13.46	9.62	9.88	5.56	6.56	4.17	
Total	104	291	324	108	244	120	1191
	8.73	24.43	27.20	9.07	20.49	10.08	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely effective	38	80	37	94	87	336
	22.49	31.25	24.03	29.56	29.49	
Somewhat effective	66	102	68	126	116	478
	39.05	39.84	44.16	39.62	39.32	
Not very effective	29	42	31	52	40	194
	17.16	16.41	20.13	16.35	13.56	
Not at all effective	20	15	5	23	18	81
	11.83	5.86	3.25	7.23	6.10	
Don't know	16	17	13	23	34	103
	9.47	6.64	8.44	7.23	11.53	
Total	169	256	154	318	295	1192
	14.18	21.48	12.92	26.68	24.75	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Extremely effective	188 26.00	59 38.31	74 27.31	5 31.25	2 100.00	6 37.50	2 22.22	0 0.00	336
Somewhat effective	308 42.60	51 33.12	108 39.85	5 31.25	0 0.00	4 25.00	2 22.22	1 100.00	479
Not very effective	126 17.43	15 9.74	47 17.34	3 18.75	0 0.00	2 12.50	2 22.22	0 0.00	195
Not at all effective	46 6.36	14 9.09	16 5.90	3 18.75	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	80
Don't know	55 7.61	15 9.74	26 9.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	3 33.33	0 0.00	102
Total	723 60.65	154 12.92	271 22.73	16 1.34	2 0.17	16 1.34	9 0.76	1 0.08	1192

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	166 26.60	6 37.50	30 25.86	12 20.34	90 29.51	32 44.44	336
Somewhat effective	229 36.70	5 31.25	56 48.28	33 55.93	126 41.31	28 38.89	477
Not very effective	118 18.91	2 12.50	13 11.21	11 18.64	43 14.10	8 11.11	195
Not at all effective	51 8.17	1 6.25	6 5.17	1 1.69	22 7.21	1 1.39	82
Don't know	60 9.62	2 12.50	11 9.48	2 3.39	24 7.87	3 4.17	102
Total	624 52.35	16 1.34	116 9.73	59 4.95	305 25.59	72 6.04	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Extremely effective	128 22.94	208 32.70	336
Somewhat effective	246 44.09	233 36.64	479

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very effective	103	92	195
	18.46	14.47	

Not at all effective	47	35	82
	8.42	5.50	

Don't know	34	68	102
	6.09	10.69	

Total	558	636	1194
	46.73	53.27	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====				
	locate			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely effective	107	154	75	336
	31.20	26.74	27.57	

Somewhat effective	130	232	116	478
	37.90	40.28	42.65	

Not very effective	51	109	35	195
	14.87	18.92	12.87	

Not at all effective	24	42	15	81
	7.00	7.29	5.51	

Don't know	31	39	31	101
	9.04	6.77	11.40	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	343	576	272	1191
	28.80	48.36	22.84	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Extremely effective	60 26.09	127 32.40	30 27.78	32 26.67	87 25.36	336
Somewhat effective	96 41.74	147 37.50	44 40.74	48 40.00	144 41.98	479
Not very effective	46 20.00	56 14.29	17 15.74	24 20.00	52 15.16	195
Not at all effective	14 6.09	39 9.95	7 6.48	5 4.17	17 4.96	82
Don't know	14 6.09	23 5.87	10 9.26	11 9.17	43 12.54	101
Total	230 19.28	392 32.86	108 9.05	120 10.06	343 28.75	1193

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Extremely effective    75    102    121    38    336
                    33.78  33.12  26.54  18.36
-----
Somewhat effective    88    101    177    112    478
                    39.64  32.79  38.82  54.11
-----
Not very effective    24     48     99     25    196
                    10.81  15.58  21.71  12.08
-----
Not at all effective   17     32     24     8     81
                    7.66  10.39  5.26   3.86
-----
Don't know            18     25     35     24    102
                    8.11   8.12   7.68  11.59
-----
Total                 222    308    456    207    1193
                    18.61  25.82  38.22  17.35
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q39. MOST Effective Education Policy Proposal

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Creating a school voucher prog	2 4.35	2 2.25	0 0.00	18 4.72	42 20.10	74 32.03	33 26.40	171
Expanding the number of charte	1 2.17	2 2.25	5 4.50	25 6.56	19 9.09	20 8.66	16 12.80	88

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing the pay of public s	7 15.22	17 19.10	18 16.22	56 14.70	31 14.83	25 10.82	12 9.60	166
Increasing funding for the pub	22 47.83	43 48.31	37 33.33	129 33.86	42 20.10	37 16.02	20 16.00	330
Providing more incentives for	6 13.04	5 5.62	16 14.41	39 10.24	21 10.05	25 10.82	11 8.80	123
Reducing the number of standar	5 10.87	18 20.22	21 18.92	72 18.90	36 17.22	29 12.55	22 17.60	203
Increasing opportunities for o	3 6.52	2 2.25	14 12.61	42 11.02	18 8.61	21 9.09	11 8.80	111
Total	46 3.86	89 7.47	111 9.31	381 31.96	209 17.53	231 19.38	125 10.49	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Creating a school voucher program	4 1.63	18 4.72	149 26.33	171
Expanding the number of charter schools	8 3.27	25 6.56	55 9.72	88
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	42 17.14	56 14.70	68 12.01	166

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing funding for the public school system	101 41.22	129 33.86	99 17.49	329
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	27 11.02	39 10.24	57 10.07	123
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	44 17.96	72 18.90	88 15.55	204
Increasing opportunities for online learning	19 7.76	42 11.02	50 8.83	111
Total	245 20.55	381 31.96	566 47.48	1192

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Creating a school voucher program	3 1.26	4 3.39	3 2.40	11 9.65	49 40.16	27 14.67	73 29.20	170
Expanding the number of charter schools	12 5.04	8 6.78	4 3.20	15 13.16	14 11.48	12 6.52	21 8.40	86
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	42 17.65	18 15.25	24 19.20	8 7.02	7 5.74	33 17.93	22 8.80	154
Increasing funding for the public school system	100	43	39	27	13	49	50	321

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	42.02	36.44	31.20	23.68	10.66	26.63	20.00	
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a	20	17	13	18	15	16	22	121
	8.40	14.41	10.40	15.79	12.30	8.70	8.80	
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	51	20	30	13	17	26	43	200
	21.43	16.95	24.00	11.40	13.93	14.13	17.20	
Increasing opportunities for online learning	10	8	12	22	7	21	19	99
	4.20	6.78	9.60	19.30	5.74	11.41	7.60	
Total	238	118	125	114	122	184	250	1151
	20.68	10.25	10.86	9.90	10.60	15.99	21.72	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Creating a school voucher program	10	11	149	170
	2.07	9.65	26.70	
Expanding the number of charter schools	24	15	48	87
	4.98	13.16	8.60	
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	84	8	62	154
	17.43	7.02	11.11	
Increasing funding for the public school system	183	27	112	322
	37.97	23.68	20.07	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	50 10.37	18 15.79	53 9.50	121
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	101 20.95	13 11.40	87 15.59	201
Increasing opportunities for online learning	30 6.22	22 19.30	47 8.42	99
Total	482 41.77	114 9.88	558 48.35	1154

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Creating a school voucher program	34 13.65	3 0.67	93 37.65	41 16.47	171
Expanding the number of charter schools	10 4.02	28 6.28	36 14.57	14 5.62	88
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	41 16.47	75 16.82	10 4.05	40 16.06	166
Increasing funding for the public school system	82 32.93	177 39.69	26 10.53	43 17.27	328
Providing more incentives for individuals to choos	27 10.84	52 11.66	13 5.26	32 12.85	124

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Reducing the number of standardized tests students	29 11.65	86 19.28	51 20.65	38 15.26	204
Increasing opportunities for online learning	26 10.44	25 5.61	18 7.29	41 16.47	110
Total	249 20.91	446 37.45	247 20.74	249 20.91	1191

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Creating a school voucher program	11 10.48	34 11.76	53 16.21	9 8.26	43 17.55	22 18.64	172
Expanding the number of charter schools	6 5.71	30 10.38	24 7.34	5 4.59	14 5.71	10 8.47	89
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	11 10.48	42 14.53	42 12.84	16 14.68	33 13.47	21 17.80	165
Increasing funding for the public school system	43 40.95	80 27.68	83 25.38	29 26.61	63 25.71	30 25.42	328
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose tea	5 4.76	33 11.42	39 11.93	14 12.84	29 11.84	4 3.39	124
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must	13	43	61	16	44	27	204

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	12.38	14.88	18.65	14.68	17.96	22.88	
Increasing opportunities for online learning	16	27	25	20	19	4	111
	15.24	9.34	7.65	18.35	7.76	3.39	
Total	105	289	327	109	245	118	1193
	8.80	24.22	27.41	9.14	20.54	9.89	

Cell Contents	
Count	
Column Percent	

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Creating a school voucher program	20	43	23	48	38	172
	11.83	16.73	15.03	15.24	12.75	
Expanding the number of charter schools	8	20	7	24	31	90
	4.73	7.78	4.58	7.62	10.40	
Increasing the pay of public school teach	27	42	16	41	39	165
	15.98	16.34	10.46	13.02	13.09	
Increasing funding for the public school	40	63	40	91	94	328
	23.67	24.51	26.14	28.89	31.54	
Providing more incentives for individual	16	20	21	37	30	124
	9.47	7.78	13.73	11.75	10.07	
Reducing the number of standardized test	43	53	37	46	25	204
	25.44	20.62	24.18	14.60	8.39	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing opportunities for online lear	15 8.88	16 6.23	9 5.88	28 8.89	41 13.76	109
Total	169 14.18	257 21.56	153 12.84	315 26.43	298 25.00	1192

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Creating a school vou	144 19.92	5 3.25	13 4.81	3 17.65	0 0.00	1 5.88	5 50.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	171
Expanding the number	58 8.02	9 5.84	18 6.67	1 5.88	0 0.00	3 17.65	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	89
Increasing the pay of	96 13.28	29 18.83	35 12.96	3 17.65	1 33.33	2 11.76	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	166
Increasing funding fo	189 26.14	46 29.87	85 31.48	2 11.76	0 0.00	4 23.53	2 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	328
Providing more incent	66 9.13	24 15.58	27 10.00	3 17.65	2 66.67	1 5.88	2 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	125
Reducing the number o	111 15.35	28 18.18	58 21.48	2 11.76	0 0.00	5 29.41	1 10.00	1 100.00	1 100.00	206
Increasing opportunit	59 8.16	13 8.44	34 12.59	3 17.65	0 0.00	1 5.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	110

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	723	154	270	17	3	17	10	1	1195
	60.50	12.89	22.59	1.42	0.25	1.42	0.84	0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Creating a school voucher program	129 20.64	0 0.00	7 6.03	10 18.18	20 6.56	6 8.22	172
Expanding the number of charter schools	49 7.84	1 6.67	8 6.90	7 12.73	20 6.56	3 4.11	88
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	83 13.28	1 6.67	23 19.83	6 10.91	33 10.82	19 26.03	165
Increasing funding for the public school system	149 23.84	3 20.00	29 25.00	13 23.64	114 37.38	19 26.03	327
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teac	60 9.60	1 6.67	14 12.07	6 10.91	34 11.15	9 12.33	124
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must	112 17.92	2 13.33	17 14.66	7 12.73	55 18.03	11 15.07	204
Increasing opportunities for online learning	43 6.88	7 46.67	18 15.52	6 10.91	29 9.51	6 8.22	109
Total	625	15	116	55	305	73	1189

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.57 1.26 9.76 4.63 25.65 6.14

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|
=====

```

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Creating a school voucher program	118 21.15	54 8.49	172
Expanding the number of charter schools	41 7.35	48 7.55	89
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	84 15.05	82 12.89	166
Increasing funding for the public school system	134 24.01	194 30.50	328
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	73 13.08	51 8.02	124
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	54 9.68	151 23.74	205
Increasing opportunities for online learning	54 9.68	56 8.81	110
Total	558 46.73	636 53.27	1194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Creating a school voucher program	41 11.95	92 15.92	39 14.34	172
Expanding the number of charter schools	19 5.54	56 9.69	14 5.15	89
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	36 10.50	85 14.71	44 16.18	165
Increasing funding for the public school system	106 30.90	142 24.57	80 29.41	328
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	37 10.79	63 10.90	24 8.82	124
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	53 15.45	102 17.65	49 18.01	204
Increasing opportunities for online learning	51 14.87	38 6.57	22 8.09	111
Total	343 28.75	578 48.45	272 22.80	1193

Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
METRO
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Creating a school voucher program      40           56           16           15           44           171
                                       17.32        14.25        14.81        12.50        12.87
-----
Expanding the number of charter schoo   17           38           4            12           18           89
                                       7.36         9.67         3.70        10.00         5.26
-----
Increasing the pay of public school t   30           51           15           25           45           166
                                       12.99        12.98        13.89        20.83        13.16
-----
Increasing funding for the public sch   49           110          31           34           105          329
                                       21.21        27.99        28.70        28.33        30.70
-----
Providing more incentives for individ   37           47           8            4            28           124
                                       16.02        11.96        7.41         3.33         8.19
-----
Reducing the number of standardized t   38           57           26           18           65           204
                                       16.45        14.50        24.07        15.00        19.01
-----
Increasing opportunities for online l   20           34           8            12           37           111
                                       8.66         8.65         7.41        10.00        10.82
-----
Total      231           393           108           120           342          1194
                                       19.35        32.91        9.05        10.05        28.64
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Creating a school voucher program	11 4.91	27 8.82	82 17.90	51 24.76	171
Expanding the number of charter schools	12 5.36	26 8.50	31 6.77	20 9.71	89
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	33 14.73	31 10.13	66 14.41	36 17.48	166
Increasing funding for the public school system	73 32.59	112 36.60	96 20.96	48 23.30	329
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	23 10.27	25 8.17	54 11.79	22 10.68	124
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	36 16.07	60 19.61	88 19.21	20 9.71	204
Increasing opportunities for online learning	36 16.07	25 8.17	41 8.95	9 4.37	111
Total	224 18.76	306 25.63	458 38.36	206 17.25	1194

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q40. Texas Road Quality

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Excellent	4 8.70	1 1.11	3 2.73	19 4.96	16 7.62	40 17.24	26 20.47	109
Good	19 41.30	59 65.56	58 52.73	200 52.22	134 63.81	155 66.81	78 61.42	703

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very good	17 36.96	27 30.00	33 30.00	106 27.68	53 25.24	30 12.93	19 14.96	285
Terrible	5 10.87	2 2.22	13 11.82	45 11.75	7 3.33	3 1.29	3 2.36	78
Don't know / No opinion	1 2.17	1 1.11	3 2.73	13 3.39	0 0.00	4 1.72	1 0.79	23
Total	46 3.84	90 7.51	110 9.18	383 31.97	210 17.53	232 19.37	127 10.60	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Excellent	9 3.64	19 4.96	82 14.46	110
Good	136 55.06	200 52.22	366 64.55	702
Not very good	78 31.58	106 27.68	102 17.99	286
Terrible	19 7.69	45 11.75	12 2.12	76
Don't know / No opinion	5 2.02	13 3.39	5 0.88	23

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	247	383	567	1197
	20.63	32.00	47.37	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Excellent	18	4	11	3	21	18	33	108
	7.50	3.39	8.73	2.63	16.94	9.84	13.10	
Good	121	73	70	64	82	107	170	687
	50.42	61.86	55.56	56.14	66.13	58.47	67.46	
Not very good	60	30	37	33	19	46	40	265
	25.00	25.42	29.37	28.95	15.32	25.14	15.87	
Terrible	33	9	7	11	2	9	4	75
	13.75	7.63	5.56	9.65	1.61	4.92	1.59	
Don't know / No opinion	8	2	1	3	0	3	5	22
	3.33	1.69	0.79	2.63	0.00	1.64	1.98	
Total	240	118	126	114	124	183	252	1157
	20.74	10.20	10.89	9.85	10.72	15.82	21.78	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Excellent                32             3             72          107
                        6.63            2.63          12.83
-----
Good                    264            64            359          687
                        54.66           56.14          63.99
-----
Not very good           127            33            106          266
                        26.29           28.95          18.89
-----
Terrible                49             11             16           76
                        10.14           9.65            2.85
-----
Don't know / No opinion  11             3              8           22
                        2.28            2.63            1.43
-----
Total                   483            114            561         1158
                        41.71           9.84           48.45
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q24
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Excellent                23             30             41             16          110
                        9.20            6.70          16.33           6.35
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Good	155 62.00	236 52.68	167 66.53	145 57.54	703
Not very good	55 22.00	130 29.02	33 13.15	68 26.98	286
Terrible	11 4.40	44 9.82	7 2.79	15 5.95	77
Don't know / No opinion	6 2.40	8 1.79	3 1.20	8 3.17	25
Total	250 20.82	448 37.30	251 20.90	252 20.98	1201

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Excellent	3 2.83	28 9.59	29 8.81	13 11.82	28 11.38	9 7.50	110
Good	58 54.72	174 59.59	193 58.66	61 55.45	148 60.16	70 58.33	704
Not very good	31 29.25	54 18.49	79 24.01	31 28.18	57 23.17	35 29.17	287
Terrible	14	28	17	3	11	5	78

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.21	9.59	5.17	2.73	4.47	4.17	
Don't know / No opinion	0	8	11	2	2	1	24
	0.00	2.74	3.34	1.82	0.81	0.83	
Total	106	292	329	110	246	120	1203
	8.81	24.27	27.35	9.14	20.45	9.98	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Excellent	24	33	12	21	21	111
	14.12	12.79	7.79	6.60	6.98	
Good	106	158	93	179	166	702
	62.35	61.24	60.39	56.29	55.15	
Not very good	28	35	36	100	87	286
	16.47	13.57	23.38	31.45	28.90	
Terrible	10	28	10	10	19	77
	5.88	10.85	6.49	3.14	6.31	
Don't know / No opinion	2	4	3	8	8	25
	1.18	1.55	1.95	2.52	2.66	
Total	170	258	154	318	301	1201
	14.15	21.48	12.82	26.48	25.06	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Excellent		66 9.08	12 7.69	26 9.56	2 12.50	2 100.00	0 0.00	2 20.00	0 0.00	110
Good		444 61.07	74 47.44	162 59.56	6 37.50	0 0.00	11 64.71	6 60.00	1 100.00	704
Not very good		167 22.97	45 28.85	61 22.43	7 43.75	0 0.00	5 29.41	2 20.00	0 0.00	287
Terrible		37 5.09	20 12.82	18 6.62	1 6.25	0 0.00	1 5.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	77
Don't know / No opini		13 1.79	5 3.21	5 1.84	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	23
Total		727 60.53	156 12.99	272 22.65	16 1.33	2 0.17	17 1.42	10 0.83	1 0.08	1201

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Excellent	59 9.39	0 0.00	2 1.71	7 12.28	31 10.13	11 14.86	110
Good	390 62.10	7 43.75	72 61.54	38 66.67	158 51.63	38 51.35	703
Not very good	135 21.50	7 43.75	30 25.64	8 14.04	83 27.12	22 29.73	285
Terrible	37 5.89	2 12.50	11 9.40	3 5.26	21 6.86	2 2.70	76
Don't know / No opinion	7 1.11	0 0.00	2 1.71	1 1.75	13 4.25	1 1.35	24
Total	628 52.42	16 1.34	117 9.77	57 4.76	306 25.54	74 6.18	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Excellent	63 11.23	47 7.37	110
Good	332 59.18	370 57.99	702

=====

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very good	138 24.60	148 23.20	286

Terrible	22 3.92	55 8.62	77

Don't know / No opinion	6 1.07	18 2.82	24

Total	561 46.79	638 53.21	1199

=====

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

=====

Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Excellent	29 8.41	51 8.76	30 10.95	110
Good	194 56.23	350 60.14	158 57.66	702
Not very good	91 26.38	142 24.40	54 19.71	287
Terrible	25 7.25	30 5.15	22 8.03	77
Don't know / No opinion	6 1.74	9 1.55	10 3.65	25

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	345	582	274	1201
	28.73	48.46	22.81	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Excellent	25 10.78	26 6.58	7 6.36	17 14.17	35 10.20	110
Good	130 56.03	227 57.47	68 61.82	64 53.33	214 62.39	703
Not very good	57 24.57	116 29.37	25 22.73	28 23.33	60 17.49	286
Terrible	18 7.76	19 4.81	7 6.36	10 8.33	23 6.71	77
Don't know / No opinion	2 0.86	7 1.77	3 2.73	1 0.83	11 3.21	24
Total	232 19.33	395 32.92	110 9.17	120 10.00	343 28.58	1200

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Excellent	20 8.93	30 9.74	31 6.71	30 14.35	111
Good	126 56.25	188 61.04	272 58.87	117 55.98	703
Not very good	59 26.34	67 21.75	117 25.32	44 21.05	287
Terrible	10 4.46	14 4.55	37 8.01	16 7.66	77
Don't know / No opinion	9 4.02	9 2.92	5 1.08	2 0.96	25
Total	224 18.62	308 25.60	462 38.40	209 17.37	1203

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q41. Voter ID Law Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	5 10.87	9 10.00	18 16.36	141 36.62	150 71.43	182 78.45	106 84.13	611
Somewhat favorable	3 6.52	12 13.33	21 19.09	86 22.34	18 8.57	33 14.22	11 8.73	184

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	5 10.87	6 6.67	11 10.00	57 14.81	14 6.67	9 3.88	5 3.97	107
Somewhat unfavorable	8 17.39	12 13.33	15 13.64	24 6.23	15 7.14	3 1.29	0 0.00	77
Very unfavorable	24 52.17	50 55.56	38 34.55	61 15.84	11 5.24	2 0.86	2 1.59	188
Don't know / No Opinion	1 2.17	1 1.11	7 6.36	16 4.16	2 0.95	3 1.29	2 1.59	32
Total	46 3.84	90 7.51	110 9.17	385 32.11	210 17.51	232 19.35	126 10.51	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	32 12.96	141 36.62	439 77.29	612
Somewhat favorable	36 14.57	86 22.34	62 10.92	184
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	22 8.91	57 14.81	27 4.75	106
Somewhat unfavorable	35 14.17	24 6.23	18 3.17	77

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	113	61	15	189
	45.75	15.84	2.64	
Don't know / No Opinion	9	16	7	32
	3.64	4.16	1.23	
Total	247	385	568	1200
	20.58	32.08	47.33	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	59	32	22	50	111	124	203	601
	24.48	26.89	17.46	43.48	88.80	67.39	80.24	
Somewhat favorable	39	25	22	30	7	31	28	182
	16.18	21.01	17.46	26.09	5.60	16.85	11.07	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	25	22	12	9	4	15	11	98
	10.37	18.49	9.52	7.83	3.20	8.15	4.35	
Somewhat unfavorable	21	8	22	9	0	9	2	71
	8.71	6.72	17.46	7.83	0.00	4.89	0.79	
Very unfavorable	91	25	46	15	3	4	5	189
	37.76	21.01	36.51	13.04	2.40	2.17	1.98	
Don't know / No Opinion	6	7	2	2	0	1	4	22

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.49	5.88	1.59	1.74	0.00	0.54	1.58	
Total	241	119	126	115	125	184	253	1163
	20.72	10.23	10.83	9.89	10.75	15.82	21.75	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
PID3
Democrats   Independents   Republicans   Total
-----
Very favorable
           113           50           437           600
           23.35           43.48           78.04
-----
Somewhat favorable
           85           30           66           181
           17.56           26.09           11.79
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable
           59           9           30           98
           12.19           7.83           5.36
-----
Somewhat unfavorable
           51           9           10           70
           10.54           7.83           1.79
-----
Very unfavorable
           162           15           11           188
           33.47           13.04           1.96
-----
Don't know / No Opinion
           14           2           6           22
           2.89           1.74           1.07
-----
Total
           484           115           560           1159
           41.76           9.92           48.32
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	166 66.14	95 21.21	215 86.00	136 54.18	612
Somewhat favorable	42 16.73	84 18.75	24 9.60	34 13.55	184
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	19 7.57	53 11.83	8 3.20	27 10.76	107
Somewhat unfavorable	11 4.38	45 10.04	1 0.40	20 7.97	77
Very unfavorable	8 3.19	163 36.38	1 0.40	16 6.37	188
Don't know / No Opinion	5 1.99	8 1.79	1 0.40	18 7.17	32
Total	251 20.92	448 37.33	250 20.83	251 20.92	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	46 43.81	170 58.42	163 49.54	52 47.27	122 49.80	59 49.17	612
Somewhat favorable	24 22.86	45 15.46	47 14.29	21 19.09	33 13.47	15 12.50	185
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	15 14.29	26 8.93	39 11.85	12 10.91	9 3.67	5 4.17	106
Somewhat unfavorable	6 5.71	9 3.09	18 5.47	6 5.45	26 10.61	12 10.00	77
Very unfavorable	11 10.48	29 9.97	52 15.81	17 15.45	52 21.22	28 23.33	189
Don't know / No Opinion	3 2.86	12 4.12	10 3.04	2 1.82	3 1.22	1 0.83	31
Total	105 8.75	291 24.25	329 27.42	110 9.17	245 20.42	120 10.00	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	95 55.88	157 60.85	82 53.25	160 50.16	119 39.40	613
Somewhat favorable	33 19.41	43 16.67	27 17.53	42 13.17	40 13.25	185
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	14 8.24	15 5.81	13 8.44	31 9.72	34 11.26	107
Somewhat unfavorable	8 4.71	9 3.49	16 10.39	24 7.52	20 6.62	77
Very unfavorable	17 10.00	30 11.63	14 9.09	51 15.99	77 25.50	189
Don't know / No Opinion	3 1.76	4 1.55	2 1.30	11 3.45	12 3.97	32
Total	170 14.13	258 21.45	154 12.80	319 26.52	302 25.10	1203

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	425 58.38	45 28.85	117 42.86	8 53.33	2 100.00	8 47.06	7 77.78		1 100.00	613
Somewhat favorable	90 12.36	35 22.44	53 19.41	5 33.33	0 0.00	1 5.88	1 11.11		0 0.00	185

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

613

Neither favorable nor	48	20	35	1	0	2	0	0	106
	6.59	12.82	12.82	6.67	0.00	11.76	0.00	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	43	15	19	0	0	1	0	0	78
	5.91	9.62	6.96	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00	0.00	
Very unfavorable	108	36	38	1	0	4	1	0	188
	14.84	23.08	13.92	6.67	0.00	23.53	11.11	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	14	5	11	0	0	1	0	0	31
	1.92	3.21	4.03	0.00	0.00	5.88	0.00	0.00	
Total	728	156	273	15	2	17	9	1	1201
	60.62	12.99	22.73	1.25	0.17	1.42	0.75	0.08	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	382 60.93	5 29.41	41 35.04	35 62.50	115 37.58	34 45.95	612
Somewhat favorable	62 9.89	5 29.41	29 24.79	6 10.71	75 24.51	8 10.81	185
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	50 7.97	3 17.65	10 8.55	5 8.93	31 10.13	7 9.46	106
Somewhat unfavorable	31	1	15	2	24	3	76

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	4.94	5.88	12.82	3.57	7.84	4.05	
Very unfavorable	94	3	19	4	52	17	189
	14.99	17.65	16.24	7.14	16.99	22.97	
Don't know / No Opinion	8	0	3	4	9	5	29
	1.28	0.00	2.56	7.14	2.94	6.76	
Total	627	17	117	56	306	74	1197
	52.38	1.42	9.77	4.68	25.56	6.18	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Very favorable           293     319     612
                        52.14   50.00
-----
Somewhat favorable           93     93     186
                        16.55   14.58
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable           47     59     106
                        8.36    9.25
-----
Somewhat unfavorable           37     40     77
                        6.58    6.27
-----
Very unfavorable           87     101     188
                        15.48   15.83
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	5	26	31
	0.89	4.08	

Total	562	638	1200
	46.83	53.17	

```

=====
      Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
      locate
Q      Urban  Suburban  Rural  Total
-----
Very favorable      150      308      154      612
                   43.60      53.01      56.41
-----
Somewhat favorable    64       83       38      185
                   18.60      14.29      13.92
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable    31       44       31      106
                   9.01       7.57      11.36
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   29       35       12       76
                   8.43       6.02       4.40
-----
Very unfavorable      61       97       30      188
                   17.73      16.70      10.99
-----
Don't know / No Opinion    9       14       8       31
                   2.62       2.41       2.93
-----
Total                344      581      273     1198
                   28.71      48.50      22.79

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	122 52.81	189 47.73	57 14.55	47 11.67	196 56.98	611
Somewhat favorable	35 15.15	59 14.90	16 4.55	14 11.67	62 18.02	186
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	17 7.36	42 10.61	7 6.36	14 11.67	27 7.85	107
Somewhat unfavorable	17 7.36	34 8.59	8 7.27	7 5.83	11 3.20	77
Very unfavorable	36 15.58	62 15.66	17 15.45	37 30.83	37 10.76	189
Don't know / No Opinion	4 1.73	10 2.53	5 4.55	1 0.83	11 3.20	31
Total	231 19.23	396 32.97	110 9.16	120 9.99	344 28.64	1201

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	70 31.11	146 47.40	262 56.83	135 64.90	613
Somewhat favorable	61 27.11	47 15.26	61 13.23	16 7.69	185
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	33 14.67	28 9.09	28 6.07	17 8.17	106
Somewhat unfavorable	18 8.00	22 7.14	27 5.86	10 4.81	77
Very unfavorable	32 14.22	55 17.86	76 16.49	26 12.50	189
Don't know / No Opinion	11 4.89	10 3.25	7 1.52	4 1.92	32
Total	225 18.72	308 25.62	461 38.35	208 17.30	1202

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q42. Comprehensive Immigration Reform Support

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Strongly support	27 58.70	52 58.43	51 45.95	106 27.68	30 14.22	10 4.33	28 22.40	304
Somewhat support	10 21.74	21 23.60	30 27.03	103 26.89	60 28.44	58 25.11	12 9.60	294

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	0 0.00	7 7.87	11 9.91	72 18.80	53 25.12	51 22.08	14 11.20	208
Strongly oppose	3 6.52	7 7.87	10 9.01	67 17.49	64 30.33	109 47.19	66 52.80	326
Don't know	6 13.04	2 2.25	9 8.11	35 9.14	4 1.90	3 1.30	5 4.00	64
Total	46 3.85	89 7.44	111 9.28	383 32.02	211 17.64	231 19.31	125 10.45	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q

	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Strongly support	131 53.04	106 27.68	69 12.19	306
Somewhat support	61 24.70	103 26.89	130 22.97	294
Somewhat oppose	18 7.29	72 18.80	117 20.67	207
Strongly oppose	20 8.10	67 17.49	238 42.05	325
Don't know	17 6.88	35 9.14	12 2.12	64

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	247	383	566	1196
	20.65	32.02	47.32	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Strongly support	119	26	64	26	11	33	17	296
	50.00	22.03	50.79	22.61	8.80	17.93	6.77	
Somewhat support	56	39	31	28	27	57	54	292
	23.53	33.05	24.60	24.35	21.60	30.98	21.51	
Somewhat oppose	30	24	9	11	20	36	66	196
	12.61	20.34	7.14	9.57	16.00	19.57	26.29	
Strongly oppose	20	20	14	38	66	55	107	320
	8.40	16.95	11.11	33.04	52.80	29.89	42.63	
Don't know	13	9	8	12	1	3	7	53
	5.46	7.63	6.35	10.43	0.80	1.63	2.79	
Total	238	118	126	115	125	184	251	1157
	20.57	10.20	10.89	9.94	10.80	15.90	21.69	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
                PID3
Q                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Strongly support      209           26           62          297
                    43.36          22.61          11.07
-----
Somewhat support     126           28          138          292
                    26.14          24.35          24.64
-----
Somewhat oppose      63           11          121          195
                    13.07          9.57           21.61
-----
Strongly oppose      54           38          228          320
                    11.20          33.04          40.71
-----
Don't know           30           12           11           53
                    6.22          10.43           1.96
-----
Total                 482          115          560         1157
                    41.66          9.94          48.40
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                Q24
Q                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      41           201           24           41          307
                    16.33          44.97          9.56          16.33

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat support	79 31.47	120 26.85	37 14.74	58 23.11	294
Somewhat oppose	57 22.71	62 13.87	38 15.14	51 20.32	208
Strongly oppose	70 27.89	41 9.17	146 58.17	70 27.89	327
Don't know	4 1.59	23 5.15	6 2.39	31 12.35	64
Total	251 20.92	447 37.25	251 20.92	251 20.92	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Strongly support	28 26.67	51 17.59	88 26.59	34 30.91	75 30.49	31 26.05	307	
Somewhat support	7 6.67	66 22.76	99 29.91	29 26.36	67 27.24	28 23.53	296	
Somewhat oppose	39 37.14	43 14.83	52 15.71	11 10.00	39 15.85	25 21.01	209	
Strongly oppose	23	104	75	29	59	35	325	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	21.90	35.86	22.66	26.36	23.98	29.41	
Don't know	8	26	17	7	6	0	64
	7.62	8.97	5.14	6.36	2.44	0.00	
Total	105	290	331	110	246	119	1201
	8.74	24.15	27.56	9.16	20.48	9.91	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q      More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
Strongly support      41      57      43      75      91      307
                    24.12    22.18      27.92    23.58    30.43
-----
Somewhat support     43      73      34      81      65      296
                    25.29    28.40    22.08    25.47    21.74
-----
Somewhat oppose     28      42      25      75      37      207
                    16.47    16.34    16.23    23.58    12.37
-----
Strongly oppose     48      69      45      75      88      325
                    28.24    26.85    29.22    23.58    29.43
-----
Don't know          10      16      7      12      18      63
                    5.88     6.23     4.55     3.77     6.02
-----
Total              170     257     154     318     299     1198
                    14.19    21.45    12.85    26.54    24.96
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American / Americ   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern / Del ori   Total
-----
Strongly support           158     47     90     4           2     5     1           0     307
                           21.73  30.52  33.09  25.00           66.67  31.25  11.11           0.00
-----
Somewhat support           172     44     70     5           0     3     0           0     294
                           23.66  28.57  25.74  31.25           0.00  18.75  0.00           0.00
-----
Somewhat oppose           122     24     53     3           0     4     1           0     207
                           16.78  15.58  19.49  18.75           0.00  25.00  11.11           0.00
-----
Strongly oppose           249     21     40     4           1     4     7           1     327
                           34.25  13.64  14.71  25.00           33.33  25.00  77.78           100.00
-----
Don't know                 26     18     19     0           0     0     0           0     63
                           3.58  11.69  6.99  0.00           0.00  0.00  0.00           0.00
-----
Total                       727     154     272     16           3     16     9           1     1198
                           60.68  12.85  22.70  1.34           0.25  1.34  0.75           0.08
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
marital

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	126 20.10	6 40.00	16 13.68	10 17.24	115 37.58	32 43.84	305
Somewhat support	162 25.84	2 13.33	45 38.46	10 17.24	63 20.59	13 17.81	295
Somewhat oppose	104 16.59	1 6.67	18 15.38	12 20.69	63 20.59	9 12.33	207
Strongly oppose	212 33.81	5 33.33	34 29.06	20 34.48	44 14.38	11 15.07	326
Don't know	23 3.67	1 6.67	4 3.42	6 10.34	21 6.86	8 10.96	63
Total	627 52.42	15 1.25	117 9.78	58 4.85	306 25.59	73 6.10	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
gender

Q	Male	Female	Total
Strongly support	139 24.82	167 26.18	306
Somewhat support	151 26.96	144 22.57	295

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat oppose	91 16.25	117 18.34	208

Strongly oppose	166 29.64	160 25.08	326

Don't know	13 2.32	50 7.84	63

Total	560 46.74	638 53.26	1198

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====

Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly support	97 28.20	151 26.03	59 21.69	307

Somewhat support	98 28.49	135 23.28	61 22.43	294

Somewhat oppose	48 13.95	99 17.07	60 22.06	207

Strongly oppose	82 23.84	167 28.79	76 27.94	325

Don't know	19 5.52	28 4.83	16 5.88	63

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	580	272	1196
	28.76	48.49	22.74	

Cell Contents				
	Count			
	Column Percent			

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Strongly support	72 31.03	93 23.54	21 19.44	50 42.37	71 20.76	307
Somewhat support	50 21.55	110 27.85	31 28.70	32 27.12	71 20.76	294
Somewhat oppose	26 11.21	73 18.48	25 23.15	17 14.41	66 19.30	207
Strongly oppose	73 31.47	97 24.56	24 22.22	16 13.56	115 33.63	325
Don't know	11 4.74	22 5.57	7 6.48	3 2.54	19 5.56	62
Total	232 19.41	395 33.05	108 9.04	118 9.87	342 28.62	1195

Cell Contents				
	Count			
	Column Percent			

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          AGEG
          18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Strongly support    86    93    92    34    305
                   38.57  30.29  20.00  16.43
-----
Somewhat support   51    84   108    52    295
                   22.87  27.36  23.48  25.12
-----
Somewhat oppose    42    40    85    41    208
                   18.83  13.03  18.48  19.81
-----
Strongly oppose    22    72   162    70    326
                   9.87  23.45  35.22  33.82
-----
Don't know         22    18    13    10    63
                   9.87  5.86  2.83  4.83
-----
Total              223   307   460   207   1197
                   18.63  25.65  38.43  17.29
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q43. Immigration Law Enforcement: State or Federal Responsibility

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
State and local authorities	6 12.77	15 16.85	26 23.42	141 36.72	125 59.52	155 66.81	93 73.81	561
Federal government	32 68.09	64 71.91	70 63.06	159 41.41	68 32.38	66 28.45	24 19.05	483

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	9	10	15	84	17	11	9	155
	19.15	11.24	13.51	21.88	8.10	4.74	7.14	

Total	47	89	111	384	210	232	126	1199
	3.92	7.42	9.26	32.03	17.51	19.35	10.51	
=====								

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
State and local authorities	47	141	373	561
	19.03	36.72	65.78	
Federal government	166	159	158	483
	67.21	41.41	27.87	
Don't know / No opinion	34	84	36	154
	13.77	21.88	6.35	
Total	247	384	567	1198
	20.62	32.05	47.33	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
State and local authorities	69 28.87	40 33.90	28 22.22	50 43.48	83 66.94	107 58.79	171 67.59	548
Federal government	142 59.41	52 44.07	82 65.08	42 36.52	33 26.61	58 31.87	68 26.88	477
Don't know / No opinion	28 11.72	26 22.03	16 12.70	23 20.00	8 6.45	17 9.34	14 5.53	132
Total	239 20.66	118 10.20	126 10.89	115 9.94	124 10.72	182 15.73	253 21.87	1157

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
State and local authorities	137 28.36	50 43.48	361 64.70	548
Federal government	276 57.14	42 36.52	158 28.32	476
Don't know / No opinion	70 14.49	23 20.00	39 6.99	132
Total	483 41.78	115 9.95	558 48.27	1156

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
State and local authorities	144 57.60	124 27.68	179 71.60	115 46.18	562
Federal government	90 36.00	265 59.15	58 23.20	69 27.71	482
Don't know / No opinion	16 6.40	59 13.17	13 5.20	65 26.10	153
Total	250 20.89	448 37.43	250 20.89	249 20.80	1197

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
State and local authorities	44 41.90	153 52.58	162 49.54	56 50.91	96 39.18	51 42.50	562
Federal government	39	79	136	38	132	59	483

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	37.14	27.15	41.59	34.55	53.88	49.17	
Don't know / No opinion	22	59	29	16	17	10	153
	20.95	20.27	8.87	14.55	6.94	8.33	
Total	105	291	327	110	245	120	1198
	8.76	24.29	27.30	9.18	20.45	10.02	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
State and local authorities	93	126	83	138	121	561
	54.71	49.03	53.90	43.53	40.33	
Federal government	64	106	49	137	127	483
	37.65	41.25	31.82	43.22	42.33	
Don't know / No opinion	13	25	22	42	52	154
	7.65	9.73	14.29	13.25	17.33	
Total	170	257	154	317	300	1198
	14.19	21.45	12.85	26.46	25.04	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total	
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o			
State and local autho	376	49	115	8		2	7	4		0	561
	51.86	31.41	42.28	47.06		100.00	41.18	44.44		0.00	
Federal government	281	75	110	8		0	6	3		1	484
	38.76	48.08	40.44	47.06		0.00	35.29	33.33		100.00	
Don't know / No opini	68	32	47	1		0	4	2		0	154
	9.38	20.51	17.28	5.88		0.00	23.53	22.22		0.00	
Total	725	156	272	17		2	17	9		1	1199
	60.47	13.01	22.69	1.42		0.17	1.42	0.75		0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
State and local authorities	309	7	50	35	134	26	561
	49.36	43.75	42.74	60.34	43.65	35.62	
Federal government	255	5	52	15	123	34	484
	40.73	31.25	44.44	25.86	40.07	46.58	
Don't know / No opinion	62	4	15	8	50	13	152
	9.90	25.00	12.82	13.79	16.29	17.81	
Total	626	16	117	58	307	73	1197

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.30 1.34 9.77 4.85 25.65 6.10

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
State and local authorities    256    306    562
                               45.63   48.04
-----
Federal government            263    220    483
                               46.88   34.54
-----
Don't know / No opinion       42     111    153
                               7.49   17.43
-----
Total                        561    637   1198
                               46.83   53.17
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                locate
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
State and local authorities    137     280     145    562
                               39.83   48.28   52.92
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Federal government	153	241	90	484
	44.48	41.55	32.85	
Don't know / No opinion	54	59	39	152
	15.70	10.17	14.23	
Total	344	580	274	1198
	28.71	48.41	22.87	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
State and local authorities	114	178	43	38	189	562
	49.35	45.06	39.45	31.67	55.10	
Federal government	97	157	49	72	108	483
	41.99	39.75	44.95	60.00	31.49	
Don't know / No opinion	20	60	17	10	46	153
	8.66	15.19	15.60	8.33	13.41	
Total	231	395	109	120	343	1198
	19.28	32.97	9.10	10.02	28.63	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
State and local authorities      90   124   232   117   563
                                40.36  40.26  50.22  56.52
-----
Federal government               88   144   180   72   484
                                39.46  46.75  38.96  34.78
-----
Don't know / No opinion          45    40    50    18   153
                                20.18  12.99  10.82   8.70
-----
Total                           223   308   462   207  1200
                                18.58  25.67  38.50  17.25
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q44. In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
In-state tuition	28 59.57	62 69.66	66 60.00	172 44.79	66 31.43	48 20.78	32 25.20	474
Out-of-state-tuition	9 19.15	18 20.22	33 30.00	144 37.50	115 54.76	156 67.53	84 66.14	559

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	10 21.28	9 10.11	11 10.00	68 17.71	29 13.81	27 11.69	11 8.66	165
Total	47 3.92	89 7.43	110 9.18	384 32.05	210 17.53	231 19.28	127 10.60	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative	
In-state tuition	156 63.41	172 44.79	146 25.75	474
Out-of-state-tuition	60 24.39	144 37.50	354 62.43	558
Don't know / No opinion	30 12.20	68 17.71	67 11.82	165
Total	246 20.55	384 32.08	567 47.37	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
In-state tuition	134 56.07	50 42.74	79 63.20	45 39.13	30 24.19	67 36.81	60 23.81	465
Out-of-state-tuition	68 28.45	45 38.46	35 28.00	57 49.57	82 66.13	92 50.55	166 65.87	545
Don't know / No opinion	37 15.48	22 18.80	11 8.80	13 11.30	12 9.68	23 12.64	26 10.32	144
Total	239 20.71	117 10.14	125 10.83	115 9.97	124 10.75	182 15.77	252 21.84	1154

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
In-state tuition	264 54.55	45 39.13	158 28.26	467
Out-of-state-tuition	149 30.79	57 49.57	340 60.82	546
Don't know / No opinion	71 14.67	13 11.30	61 10.91	145
Total	484 41.80	115 9.93	559 48.27	1158

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
Q24
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
In-state tuition           84                247                52                92          475
                          33.73            55.13            20.72            36.80
-----
Out-of-state-tuition      141                143                170                103          557
                          56.63            31.92            67.73            41.20
-----
Don't know / No opinion    24                 58                 29                 55          166
                          9.64            12.95            11.55            22.00
-----
Total                     249                448                251                250          1198
                          20.78            37.40            20.95            20.87
=====

```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS  High school graduate  Some college  2-year  4-year  Post-grad  Total
-----
In-state tuition    39                104                137                36        106         52          474
                   37.14            35.86            41.64            32.73     43.27     43.70
-----
Out-of-state-tuition  48                126                155                50        125         54          558
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	45.71	43.45	47.11	45.45	51.02	45.38	
Don't know / No opinion	18	60	37	24	14	13	166
	17.14	20.69	11.25	21.82	5.71	10.92	
Total	105	290	329	110	245	119	1198
	8.76	24.21	27.46	9.18	20.45	9.93	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
In-state tuition	74	97	66	122	116	475
	43.53	37.60	42.58	38.49	38.67	
Out-of-state-tuition	71	130	65	159	133	558
	41.76	50.39	41.94	50.16	44.33	
Don't know / No opinion	25	31	24	36	51	167
	14.71	12.02	15.48	11.36	17.00	
Total	170	258	155	317	300	1200
	14.17	21.50	12.92	26.42	25.00	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
In-state tuition	249 34.34	67 42.95	137 50.37	9 56.25		2 66.67	10 62.50	1 11.11	0 0.00	475
Out-of-state-tuition	388 53.52	60 38.46	90 33.09	6 37.50		1 33.33	5 31.25	7 77.78	1 100.00	558
Don't know / No opini	88 12.14	29 18.59	45 16.54	1 6.25		0 0.00	1 6.25	1 11.11	0 0.00	165
Total	725 60.52	156 13.02	272 22.70	16 1.34		3 0.25	16 1.34	9 0.75	1 0.08	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
In-state tuition	211 33.65	6 37.50	39 33.05	23 40.35	156 50.98	40 54.79	475
Out-of-state-tuition	326 51.99	9 56.25	60 50.85	25 43.86	116 37.91	22 30.14	558
Don't know / No opinion	90 14.35	1 6.25	19 16.10	9 15.79	34 11.11	11 15.07	164
Total	627	16	118	57	306	73	1197

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

52.38 1.34 9.86 4.76 25.56 6.10

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          gender
          Male   Female   Total
-----
In-state tuition      229     245     474
                   40.82    38.46
-----
Out-of-state-tuition  259     299     558
                   46.17    46.94
-----
Don't know / No opinion  73      93     166
                   13.01    14.60
-----
Total                561     637    1198
                   46.83    53.17
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          locate
          Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
In-state tuition      158     220     96     474
                   45.93    37.93    35.04
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Out-of-state-tuition	129	293	137	559
	37.50	50.52	50.00	
Don't know / No opinion	57	67	41	165
	16.57	11.55	14.96	
Total	344	580	274	1198
	28.71	48.41	22.87	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO						Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX		
In-state tuition	103	160	41	54	116	474	
	44.59	40.40	38.32	45.00	33.82		
Out-of-state-tuition	102	182	40	52	182	558	
	44.16	45.96	37.38	43.33	53.06		
Don't know / No opinion	26	54	26	14	45	165	
	11.26	13.64	24.30	11.67	13.12		
Total	231	396	107	120	343	1197	
	19.30	33.08	8.94	10.03	28.65		

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q              AGEG
              18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
In-state tuition      130    113    155    75    473
                    58.56  36.81  33.62  36.23
-----
Out-of-state-tuition    58    154    238    108    558
                    26.13  50.16  51.63  52.17
-----
Don't know / No opinion   34     40     68     24    166
                    15.32  13.03  14.75  11.59
-----
Total                 222    307    461    207    1197
                    18.55  25.65  38.51  17.29
=====
```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q45. Abortion Opinion

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
By law, abortion should never	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 8.04	43 11.20	21 10.00	30 12.99	56 44.44	159
The law should permit abortion only	5 10.87	6 6.74	13 11.61	87 22.66	90 42.86	105 45.45	39 30.95	345

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Thlawshouldpermitabortionforre	4 8.70	8 8.99	12 10.71	63 16.41	41 19.52	57 24.68	13 10.32	198
Bylaw,awomanshouldalwaysbeable	35 76.09	74 83.15	74 66.07	164 42.71	51 24.29	34 14.72	7 5.56	439
Don't know	2 4.35	1 1.12	4 3.57	27 7.03	7 3.33	5 2.16	11 8.73	57
Total	46 3.84	89 7.43	112 9.35	384 32.05	210 17.53	231 19.28	126 10.52	1198

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	9 3.64	43 11.20	108 18.98	160
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	24 9.72	87 22.66	234 41.12	345
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the	24 9.72	63 16.41	112 19.68	199
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	183 74.09	164 42.71	92 16.17	439
Don't know	7 2.83	27 7.03	23 4.04	57

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	247	384	569	1200
	20.58	32.00	47.42	

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	20	10	7	9	15	24	74	159
	8.33	8.47	5.56	7.83	12.10	13.04	29.48	
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's	44	28	13	39	47	61	93	325
	18.33	23.73	10.32	33.91	37.90	33.15	37.05	
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's	21	23	13	12	34	42	47	192
	8.75	19.49	10.32	10.43	27.42	22.83	18.73	
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of person	144	48	91	48	25	52	24	432
	60.00	40.68	72.22	41.74	20.16	28.26	9.56	
Don't know	11	9	2	7	3	5	13	50
	4.58	7.63	1.59	6.09	2.42	2.72	5.18	
Total	240	118	126	115	124	184	251	1158
	20.73	10.19	10.88	9.93	10.71	15.89	21.68	

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	PID3			
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	37 7.64	9 7.83	113 20.18	159
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	85 17.56	39 33.91	202 36.07	326
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the	57 11.78	12 10.43	123 21.96	192
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	283 58.47	48 41.74	101 18.04	432
Don't know	22 4.55	7 6.09	21 3.75	50
Total	484 41.76	115 9.92	560 48.32	1159

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	67 26.80	29 6.49	44 17.46	21 8.40	161

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest	93 37.20	68 15.21	95 37.70	88 35.20	344
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest	33 13.20	59 13.20	58 23.02	47 18.80	197
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion	51 20.40	272 60.85	45 17.86	71 28.40	439
Don't know	6 2.40	19 4.25	10 3.97	23 9.20	58
Total	250 20.85	447 37.28	252 21.02	250 20.85	1199

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent
 =====

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	22 21.15	48 16.44	31 9.45	14 12.61	34 13.77	11 9.17	160
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when	29 27.88	95 32.53	101 30.79	28 25.23	68 27.53	25 20.83	346
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or drug	32 30.77	42 14.38	46 14.02	17 15.32	35 14.17	26 21.67	198
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter	14	88	130	49	102	56	439

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013 652
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.46	30.14	39.63	44.14	41.30	46.67	
Don't know	7	19	20	3	8	2	59
	6.73	6.51	6.10	2.70	3.24	1.67	
Total	104	292	328	111	247	120	1202
	8.65	24.29	27.29	9.23	20.55	9.98	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
By law, abortion should never be permitt	49	65	12	22	12	160
	28.82	25.19	7.74	6.92	4.00	
The law should permit abortion only in case of fra	59	92	56	89	49	345
	34.71	35.66	36.13	27.99	16.33	
Th law should permit abortion for reasons othr th	29	36	30	59	44	198
	17.06	13.95	19.35	18.55	14.67	
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an	26	50	49	131	183	439
	15.29	19.38	31.61	41.19	61.00	
Don't know	7	15	8	17	12	59
	4.12	5.81	5.16	5.35	4.00	
Total	170	258	155	318	300	1201
	14.15	21.48	12.91	26.48	24.98	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
By law, abortion shou		104	15	34	3	0	1	3	1	161
		14.33	9.55	12.45	18.75	0.00	5.88	33.33	100.00	
The law should permit abo		210	34	92	4	0	4	2	0	346
		28.93	21.66	33.70	25.00	0.00	23.53	22.22	0.00	
The law should permit abor		121	21	46	4	2	4	1	0	199
		16.67	13.38	16.85	25.00	66.67	23.53	11.11	0.00	
By law, a woman should alw		263	75	85	5	1	7	2	0	438
		36.23	47.77	31.14	31.25	33.33	41.18	22.22	0.00	
Don't know		28	12	16	0	0	1	1	0	58
		3.86	7.64	5.86	0.00	0.00	5.88	11.11	0.00	
Total		726	157	273	16	3	17	9	1	1202
		60.40	13.06	22.71	1.33	0.25	1.41	0.75	0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

marital

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	87 13.90	3 18.75	11 9.48	13 22.81	40 13.03	6 8.22	160
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the	204 32.59	4 25.00	35 30.17	8 14.04	81 26.38	11 15.07	343
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger	112 17.89	2 12.50	20 17.24	11 19.30	42 13.68	11 15.07	198
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter	198 31.63	6 37.50	48 41.38	14 24.56	127 41.37	45 61.64	438
Don't know	25 3.99	1 6.25	2 1.72	11 19.30	17 5.54	0 0.00	56
Total	626 52.38	16 1.34	116 9.71	57 4.77	307 25.69	73 6.11	1195

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	56 9.98	104 16.30	160
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	177 31.55	168 26.33	345

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Thlawshouldpermitabortionforreasnsotrthnrp,incst,ordngrtthwmn'slf,btonlyaftrthn	121 21.57	77 12.07	198
Bylaw,awomanshouldalwaysbeabletoobtainanabortionasamatterofpersonalchoice.	184 32.80	254 39.81	438
Don't know	23 4.10	35 5.49	58
Total	561 46.79	638 53.21	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	locate			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	41 11.92	76 13.06	43 15.69	160
Thelawshouldpermitabortiononlyincaseofrape,incestorwhenthewoman'slifeisindanger.	107 31.10	157 26.98	82 29.93	346
Thlawshouldpermitabortionforreasnsotrthnrp,incst,ordngrtthwmn'slf,btonlyaftrthn	36 10.47	99 17.01	63 22.99	198
Bylaw,awomanshouldalwaysbeabletoobtainanabortionasamatterofpersonalchoice.	143 41.57	219 37.63	76 27.74	438
Don't know	17 4.94	31 5.33	10 3.65	58

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	582	274	1200
	28.67	48.50	22.83	

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
By law, abortion should never be perm	25 10.82	57 14.43	11 10.00	5 4.20	62 18.13	160
The law should permit abortion only in case o	67 29.00	106 26.84	25 22.73	27 22.69	119 34.80	344
Th law should permit abortion for reasns oth	37 16.02	56 14.18	18 16.36	21 17.65	65 19.01	197
By law, a woman should always be able to obtai	87 37.66	153 38.73	51 46.36	63 52.94	84 24.56	438
Don't know	15 6.49	23 5.82	5 4.55	3 2.52	12 3.51	58
Total	231 19.30	395 33.00	110 9.19	119 9.94	342 28.57	1197

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	32 14.29	51 16.56	53 11.50	24 11.65	160
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	69 30.80	77 25.00	140 30.37	58 28.16	344
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the	32 14.29	48 15.58	67 14.53	52 25.24	199
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	80 35.71	117 37.99	181 39.26	60 29.13	438
Don't know	11 4.91	15 4.87	20 4.34	12 5.83	58
Total	224 18.68	308 25.69	461 38.45	206 17.18	1199

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q46. Marijuana Possession Opinion

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Marijuana possession should no	7 14.89	2 2.22	3 2.73	73 19.06	53 25.24	69 29.74	67 53.17	274
Marijuana possession should be	6 12.77	14 15.56	23 20.91	117 30.55	63 30.00	82 35.34	34 26.98	339

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Possession of small amounts of	13	55	63	128	69	42	16	386
	27.66	61.11	57.27	33.42	32.86	18.10	12.70	
Possession of any amount of ma	21	19	21	65	25	39	9	199
	44.68	21.11	19.09	16.97	11.90	16.81	7.14	
Total	47	90	110	383	210	232	126	1198
	3.92	7.51	9.18	31.97	17.53	19.37	10.52	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	11	73	188	272
	4.49	19.06	33.16	
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	43	117	179	339
	17.55	30.55	31.57	
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	131	128	127	386
	53.47	33.42	22.40	
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	60	65	73	198
	24.49	16.97	12.87	
Total	245	383	567	1195
	20.50	32.05	47.45	

Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	44 18.49	17 14.53	5 3.97	21 18.42	28 22.22	47 25.54	107 42.46	269
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	51 21.43	49 41.88	28 22.22	36 31.58	41 32.54	59 32.07	62 24.60	326
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should b	96 40.34	35 29.91	59 46.83	38 33.33	35 27.78	60 32.61	53 21.03	376
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be l	47 19.75	16 13.68	34 26.98	19 16.67	22 17.46	18 9.78	30 11.90	186
Total	238 20.57	117 10.11	126 10.89	114 9.85	126 10.89	184 15.90	252 21.78	1157

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	66 13.69	21 18.42	181 32.32	268

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	128	36	162	326
	26.56	31.58	28.93	

Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	191	38	147	376
	39.63	33.33	26.25	

Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	97	19	70	186
	20.12	16.67	12.50	

Total	482	114	560	1156
	41.70	9.86	48.44	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

=====					
	Q24				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any	91	61	72	49	273
	36.40	13.65	28.69	19.68	

Marijuana possession should be legal for medical p	70	110	78	82	340
	28.00	24.61	31.08	32.93	

Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any p	72	189	62	64	387
	28.80	42.28	24.70	25.70	

Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purp	17	87	39	54	197
	6.80	19.46	15.54	21.69	

Total	250	447	251	249	1197
	20.89	37.34	20.97	20.80	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q          No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circ      18           86           82          23          46          18          273
                    17.31           29.55           25.00         21.10         18.70         15.13
-----
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purpos      37           93           73          37          67          32          339
                    35.58           31.96           22.26         33.94         27.24         26.89
-----
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpos      31           78          111          33          86          48          387
                    29.81           26.80           33.84         30.28         34.96         40.34
-----
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose s      18           34           62          16          47          21          198
                    17.31           11.68           18.90         14.68         19.11         17.65
-----
Total                    104           291           328          109          246          119          1197
                    8.69           24.31           27.40         9.11         20.55         9.94
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
attend
Q          More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Marijuana possession should not be legal	56 32.94	85 33.20	35 22.73	62 19.56	35 11.67	273
Marijuana possession should be legal for	72 42.35	70 27.34	52 33.77	82 25.87	63 21.00	339
Possession of small amounts of marijuana	26 15.29	58 22.66	48 31.17	134 42.27	121 40.33	387
Possession of any amount of marijuana fo	16 9.41	43 16.80	19 12.34	39 12.30	81 27.00	198
Total	170 14.20	256 21.39	154 12.87	317 26.48	300 25.06	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o	Total
Marijuana possession	158 21.79	29 18.71	75 27.78	4 25.00	0 0.00	2 11.76	4 40.00	1 100.00	273
Marijuana possession	175 24.14	57 36.77	93 34.44	7 43.75	0 0.00	4 23.53	3 30.00	0 0.00	339
Possession of small a	267 36.83	43 27.74	61 22.59	3 18.75	2 66.67	8 47.06	3 30.00	0 0.00	387
Possession of any amo	125 17.24	26 16.77	41 15.19	2 12.50	1 33.33	3 17.65	0 0.00	0 0.00	198

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	725	155	270	16	3	17	10	1	1197
	60.57	12.95	22.56	1.34	0.25	1.42	0.84	0.08	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circu	143 22.81	3 20.00	26 22.03	14 25.00	77 25.16	10 13.51	273
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purpose	182 29.03	4 26.67	32 27.12	19 33.93	88 28.76	16 21.62	341
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose	225 35.89	7 46.67	32 27.12	16 28.57	78 25.49	28 37.84	386
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose sh	77 12.28	1 6.67	28 23.73	7 12.50	63 20.59	20 27.03	196
Total	627 52.42	15 1.25	118 9.87	56 4.68	306 25.59	74 6.19	1196

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	125 22.28	148 23.23	273
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	144 25.67	195 30.61	339
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	183 32.62	204 32.03	387
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	109 19.43	90 14.13	199
Total	561 46.83	637 53.17	1198

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	80 23.32	115 19.83	78 28.57	273
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	92 26.82	166 28.62	81 29.67	339
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	100 29.15	207 35.69	79 28.94	386

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	71	92	35	198
	20.70	15.86	12.82	
Total	343	580	273	1196
	28.68	48.49	22.83	

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	METRO Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Marijuana possession should not be le	50 21.55	82 20.71	20 18.69	14 11.67	107 31.29	273
Marijuana possession should be legal	62 26.72	108 27.27	37 34.58	24 20.00	109 31.87	340
Possession of small amounts of mariju	87 37.50	136 34.34	30 28.04	54 45.00	79 23.10	386
Possession of any amount of marijuana	33 14.22	70 17.68	20 18.69	28 23.33	47 13.74	198
Total	232 19.38	396 33.08	107 8.94	120 10.03	342 28.57	1197

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	47 21.17	80 26.06	82 17.79	63 30.73	272
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	50 22.52	92 29.97	129 27.98	68 33.17	339
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	63 28.38	93 30.29	174 37.74	56 27.32	386
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	62 27.93	42 13.68	76 16.49	18 8.78	198
Total	222 18.58	307 25.69	461 38.58	205 17.15	1195

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q47. Affordable Care Act Favorability

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	
Column Percent	

Q	LIBCON7							
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Very favorable	22 47.83	33 37.08	41 36.94	57 14.84	11 5.21	6 2.60	16 12.60	186
Somewhat favorable	12 26.09	35 39.33	37 33.33	72 18.75	22 10.43	17 7.36	5 3.94	200

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavora	3 6.52	12 13.48	12 10.81	66 17.19	10 4.74	5 2.16	3 2.36	111
Somewhat unfavorable	3 6.52	5 5.62	4 3.60	35 9.11	23 10.90	12 5.19	1 0.79	83
Very unfavorable	4 8.70	4 4.49	11 9.91	133 34.64	144 68.25	191 82.68	97 76.38	584
Don't know / No Opinion	2 4.35	0 0.00	6 5.41	21 5.47	1 0.47	0 0.00	5 3.94	35
Total	46 3.84	89 7.42	111 9.26	384 32.03	211 17.60	231 19.27	127 10.59	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	95 38.62	57 14.84	33 5.81	185
Somewhat favorable	84 34.15	72 18.75	43 7.57	199
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	27 10.98	66 17.19	18 3.17	111
Somewhat unfavorable	12 4.88	35 9.11	36 6.34	83

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	19	133	432	584
	7.72	34.64	76.06	
Don't know / No Opinion	9	21	6	36
	3.66	5.47	1.06	
Total	246	384	568	1198
	20.53	32.05	47.41	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	116	13	34	6	4	5	5	183
	48.54	11.11	27.20	5.17	3.20	2.72	1.98	
Somewhat favorable	73	38	47	18	2	14	3	195
	30.54	32.48	37.60	15.52	1.60	7.61	1.19	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	21	22	20	15	4	14	14	110
	8.79	18.80	16.00	12.93	3.20	7.61	5.56	
Somewhat unfavorable	11	18	6	8	4	22	10	79
	4.60	15.38	4.80	6.90	3.20	11.96	3.97	
Very unfavorable	12	22	16	60	111	128	219	568
	5.02	18.80	12.80	51.72	88.80	69.57	86.90	
Don't know / No Opinion	6	4	2	9	0	1	1	23

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.51	3.42	1.60	7.76	0.00	0.54	0.40	
Total	239	117	125	116	125	184	252	1158
	20.64	10.10	10.79	10.02	10.79	15.89	21.76	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	163 33.82	6 5.17	14 2.50	183
Somewhat favorable	158 32.78	18 15.52	19 3.39	195
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	63 13.07	15 12.93	31 5.54	109
Somewhat unfavorable	35 7.26	8 6.90	36 6.43	79
Very unfavorable	51 10.58	60 51.72	458 81.79	569
Don't know / No Opinion	12 2.49	9 7.76	2 0.36	23
Total	482 41.62	116 10.02	560 48.36	1158

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	10 4.00	161 35.94	0 0.00	14 5.60	185
Somewhat favorable	15 6.00	147 32.81	8 3.19	30 12.00	200
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	22 8.80	63 14.06	1 0.40	24 9.60	110
Somewhat unfavorable	26 10.40	33 7.37	5 1.99	18 7.20	82
Very unfavorable	176 70.40	36 8.04	235 93.63	139 55.60	586
Don't know / No Opinion	1 0.40	8 1.79	2 0.80	25 10.00	36
Total	250 20.85	448 37.36	251 20.93	250 20.85	1199

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	7 6.67	30 10.27	62 18.84	18 16.36	48 19.59	21 17.50	186
Somewhat favorable	20 19.05	39 13.36	48 14.59	28 25.45	37 15.10	29 24.17	201
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	11 10.48	36 12.33	29 8.81	10 9.09	18 7.35	8 6.67	112
Somewhat unfavorable	14 13.33	14 4.79	24 7.29	5 4.55	18 7.35	7 5.83	82
Very unfavorable	50 47.62	154 52.74	158 48.02	45 40.91	123 50.20	55 45.83	585
Don't know / No Opinion	3 2.86	19 6.51	8 2.43	4 3.64	1 0.41	0 0.00	35
Total	105 8.74	292 24.31	329 27.39	110 9.16	245 20.40	120 9.99	1201

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	attend					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	28 16.57	38 14.79	15 9.74	44 13.84	61 20.20	186
Somewhat favorable	31 18.34	38 14.79	20 12.99	60 18.87	51 16.89	200
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	8 4.73	20 7.78	23 14.94	24 7.55	37 12.25	112
Somewhat unfavorable	14 8.28	15 5.84	8 5.19	29 9.12	16 5.30	82
Very unfavorable	87 51.48	140 54.47	80 51.95	153 48.11	124 41.06	584
Don't know / No Opinion	1 0.59	6 2.33	8 5.19	8 2.52	13 4.30	36
Total	169 14.08	257 21.42	154 12.83	318 26.50	302 25.17	1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	86 11.81	54 34.84	36 13.19	3 20.00	0 0.00	5 29.41	1 12.50		0 0.00	185
Somewhat favorable	99 13.60	45 29.03	48 17.58	4 26.67	1 50.00	2 11.76	0 0.00		0 0.00	199

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor	48	20	39	2	0	3	0	0	112
	6.59	12.90	14.29	13.33	0.00	17.65	0.00	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	42	11	26	1	0	1	1	0	82
	5.77	7.10	9.52	6.67	0.00	5.88	12.50	0.00	
Very unfavorable	442	14	111	5	1	6	6	1	586
	60.71	9.03	40.66	33.33	50.00	35.29	75.00	100.00	
Don't know / No Opini	11	11	13	0	0	0	0	0	35
	1.51	7.10	4.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	728	155	273	15	2	17	8	1	1199
	60.72	12.93	22.77	1.25	0.17	1.42	0.67	0.08	

=====
Cell Contents
=====

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	83	0	17	5	65	16	186
	13.22	0.00	14.53	8.62	21.17	21.92	
Somewhat favorable	88	2	27	6	62	15	200
	14.01	12.50	23.08	10.34	20.20	20.55	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	43	1	13	4	41	9	111
	6.85	6.25	11.11	6.90	13.36	12.33	
Somewhat unfavorable	41	1	7	2	29	4	84

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	6.53	6.25	5.98	3.45	9.45	5.48	
Very unfavorable	365	12	51	39	94	24	585
	58.12	75.00	43.59	67.24	30.62	32.88	
Don't know / No Opinion	8	0	2	2	16	5	33
	1.27	0.00	1.71	3.45	5.21	6.85	
Total	628	16	117	58	307	73	1199
	52.38	1.33	9.76	4.84	25.60	6.09	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
gender
Male   Female   Total
-----
Very favorable
       72     113     185
      12.83   17.71
-----
Somewhat favorable
       92     108     200
      16.40   16.93
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable
       62      49     111
      11.05    7.68
-----
Somewhat unfavorable
       38      44      82
       6.77    6.90
-----
Very unfavorable
      287     298     585
      51.16   46.71
-----

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	10	26	36
	1.78	4.08	

Total	561	638	1199
	46.79	53.21	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
locate
Q           Urban    Suburban    Rural    Total
-----
Very favorable           56         100         30         186
                        16.23        17.21        11.03
-----
Somewhat favorable      63         114         23         200
                        18.26        19.62         8.46
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  46         37         28         111
                        13.33         6.37        10.29
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   29         31         22         82
                        8.41         5.34         8.09
-----
Very unfavorable       137        287         160         584
                        39.71        49.40        58.82
-----
Don't know / No Opinion  14         12          9         35
                        4.06         2.07         3.31
-----
Total                   345        581         272        1198
                        28.80        48.50        22.70

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	44 19.05	61 15.40	13 11.93	27 22.50	40 11.66	185
Somewhat favorable	46 19.91	69 17.42	19 17.43	28 23.33	37 10.79	199
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	20 8.66	35 8.84	12 11.01	17 14.17	28 8.16	112
Somewhat unfavorable	13 5.63	39 9.85	7 6.42	4 3.33	19 5.54	82
Very unfavorable	103 44.59	183 46.21	50 45.87	42 35.00	208 60.64	586
Don't know / No Opinion	5 2.16	9 2.27	8 7.34	2 1.67	11 3.21	35
Total	231 19.27	396 33.03	109 9.09	120 10.01	343 28.61	1199

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

=====					
Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	37	51	73	24	185
	16.59	16.56	15.84	11.59	
Somewhat favorable	51	57	64	28	200
	22.87	18.51	13.88	13.53	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	26	42	31	13	112
	11.66	13.64	6.72	6.28	
Somewhat unfavorable	21	21	36	5	83
	9.42	6.82	7.81	2.42	
Very unfavorable	69	132	250	134	585
	30.94	42.86	54.23	64.73	
Don't know / No Opinion	19	5	7	3	34
	8.52	1.62	1.52	1.45	
Total	223	308	461	207	1199
	18.60	25.69	38.45	17.26	
=====					

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Immigration Reform

Q48. Hydraulic Fracturing Knowledge

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	3 6.52	1 1.11	1 0.90	12 3.12	21 10.00	41 17.83	43 34.13	122
Somewhat favorable	1	1	7	42	43	64	33	191

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.17	1.11	6.31	10.94	20.48	27.83	26.19	
Neither favorable nor unfavora	3	14	17	113	55	55	19	276
	6.52	15.56	15.32	29.43	26.19	23.91	15.08	
Somewhat unfavorable	2	6	14	36	26	4	5	93
	4.35	6.67	12.61	9.38	12.38	1.74	3.97	
Very unfavorable	23	22	29	32	3	4	4	117
	50.00	24.44	26.13	8.33	1.43	1.74	3.17	
Don't know / No Opinion	14	46	43	149	62	62	22	398
	30.43	51.11	38.74	38.80	29.52	26.96	17.46	
Total	46	90	111	384	210	230	126	1197
	3.84	7.52	9.27	32.08	17.54	19.21	10.53	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Very favorable	5	12	104	121
	2.02	3.12	18.34	
Somewhat favorable	9	42	140	191
	3.64	10.94	24.69	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34	113	130	277
	13.77	29.43	22.93	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	22	36	35	93
	8.91	9.38	6.17	

Very unfavorable	74	32	11	117
	29.96	8.33	1.94	

Don't know / No Opinion	103	149	147	399
	41.70	38.80	25.93	

Total	247	384	567	1198
	20.62	32.05	47.33	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	14	2	4	2	25	16	53	116
	5.88	1.71	3.20	1.74	20.16	8.70	21.12	

Somewhat favorable	11	10	4	15	32	54	63	189
	4.62	8.55	3.20	13.04	25.81	29.35	25.10	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	40	28	26	30	33	57	53	267
	16.81	23.93	20.80	26.09	26.61	30.98	21.12	

Somewhat unfavorable	16	12	17	14	7	13	14	93
	6.72	10.26	13.60	12.17	5.65	7.07	5.58	

Very unfavorable	56	8	32	7	2	1	9	115
	23.53	6.84	25.60	6.09	1.61	0.54	3.59	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No Opinion	101	57	42	47	25	43	59	374
	42.44	48.72	33.60	40.87	20.16	23.37	23.51	
Total	238	117	125	115	124	184	251	1154
	20.62	10.14	10.83	9.97	10.75	15.94	21.75	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	20	2	94	116
	4.15	1.74	16.79	
Somewhat favorable	26	15	149	190
	5.39	13.04	26.61	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	95	30	143	268
	19.71	26.09	25.54	
Somewhat unfavorable	45	14	34	93
	9.34	12.17	6.07	
Very unfavorable	96	7	13	116
	19.92	6.09	2.32	
Don't know / No Opinion	200	47	127	374
	41.49	40.87	22.68	
Total	482	115	560	1157

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

41.66 9.94 48.40

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|
=====

```

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Very favorable	35 14.06	17 3.81	58 23.11	10 3.98	120
Somewhat favorable	72 28.92	22 4.93	61 24.30	36 14.34	191
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	51 20.48	99 22.20	69 27.49	58 23.11	277
Somewhat unfavorable	21 8.43	41 9.19	21 8.37	9 3.59	92
Very unfavorable	6 2.41	97 21.75	6 2.39	9 3.59	118
Don't know / No Opinion	64 25.70	170 38.12	36 14.34	129 51.39	399
Total	249 20.80	446 37.26	251 20.97	251 20.97	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|-----|
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Very favorable      6           28           30          15         22          20         121
                   5.71          9.62          9.12        13.64        8.94        16.81
-----
Somewhat favorable  16           52           51          19         43          10         191
                   15.24         17.87         15.50        17.27        17.48         8.40
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  28           80           73          25         53          18         277
                   26.67         27.49         22.19        22.73        21.54        15.13
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  6           19           30          16         16           7          94
                   5.71          6.53          9.12        14.55         6.50         5.88
-----
Very unfavorable    4           14           28          10         37          25         118
                   3.81          4.81          8.51         9.09        15.04        21.01
-----
Don't know / No Opinion  45           98          117          25          75          39         399
                   42.86         33.68         35.56        22.73        30.49        32.77
-----
Total               105          291          329          110         246          119        1200
                   8.75         24.25         27.42         9.17        20.50         9.92
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

attend

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Very favorable	28 16.47	45 17.58	10 6.41	20 6.29	18 6.00	121
Somewhat favorable	27 15.88	57 22.27	27 17.31	40 12.58	40 13.33	191
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	46 27.06	55 21.48	39 25.00	86 27.04	51 17.00	277
Somewhat unfavorable	15 8.82	14 5.47	21 13.46	24 7.55	19 6.33	93
Very unfavorable	6 3.53	16 6.25	7 4.49	40 12.58	49 16.33	118
Don't know / No Opinion	48 28.24	69 26.95	52 33.33	108 33.96	123 41.00	400
Total	170 14.17	256 21.33	156 13.00	318 26.50	300 25.00	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Very favorable	94 12.98	5 3.23	19 6.99	1 5.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 11.11		0 0.00	120

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	138	11	34	4	0	1	3	1	192
	19.06	7.10	12.50	23.53	0.00	5.88	33.33	100.00	
Neither favorable nor	152	46	73	3	0	3	1	0	278
	20.99	29.68	26.84	17.65	0.00	17.65	11.11	0.00	
Somewhat unfavorable	56	13	17	1	1	3	1	0	92
	7.73	8.39	6.25	5.88	33.33	17.65	11.11	0.00	
Very unfavorable	73	25	16	1	2	0	0	0	117
	10.08	16.13	5.88	5.88	66.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Don't know / No Opini	211	55	113	7	0	10	3	0	399
	29.14	35.48	41.54	41.18	0.00	58.82	33.33	0.00	
Total	724	155	272	17	3	17	9	1	1198
	60.43	12.94	22.70	1.42	0.25	1.42	0.75	0.08	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	67	0	9	8	28	8	120
	10.69	0.00	7.76	14.29	9.15	10.96	
Somewhat favorable	120	0	19	11	33	9	192
	19.14	0.00	16.38	19.64	10.78	12.33	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	137	5	31	19	65	18	275
	21.85	33.33	26.72	33.93	21.24	24.66	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	48	4	9	1	27	3	92
	7.66	26.67	7.76	1.79	8.82	4.11	
Very unfavorable	58	0	11	5	36	7	117
	9.25	0.00	9.48	8.93	11.76	9.59	
Don't know / No Opinion	197	6	37	12	117	28	397
	31.42	40.00	31.90	21.43	38.24	38.36	
Total	627	15	116	56	306	73	1193
	52.56	1.26	9.72	4.69	25.65	6.12	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	79	42	121
	14.03	6.59	
Somewhat favorable	108	83	191
	19.18	13.03	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	123	155	278
	21.85	24.33	
Somewhat unfavorable	52	41	93
	9.24	6.44	
Very unfavorable	49	68	117

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.70	10.68	
Don't know / No Opinion	152	248	400
	27.00	38.93	
Total	563	637	1200
	46.92	53.08	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	locate			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	39	62	20	121
	11.34	10.69	7.35	
Somewhat favorable	55	83	52	190
	15.99	14.31	19.12	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	64	149	64	277
	18.60	25.69	23.53	
Somewhat unfavorable	29	38	26	93
	8.43	6.55	9.56	
Very unfavorable	42	66	9	117
	12.21	11.38	3.31	
Don't know / No Opinion	115	182	101	398
	33.43	31.38	37.13	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	344	580	272	1196
	28.76	48.49	22.74	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	35 15.22	34 8.63	13 11.82	9 7.50	30 8.77	121
Somewhat favorable	42 18.26	66 16.75	11 10.00	13 10.83	58 16.96	190
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	40 17.39	108 27.41	20 18.18	18 15.00	91 26.61	277
Somewhat unfavorable	32 13.91	26 6.60	12 10.91	4 3.33	19 5.56	93
Very unfavorable	35 15.22	36 9.14	6 5.45	26 21.67	14 4.09	117
Don't know / No Opinion	46 20.00	124 31.47	48 43.64	50 41.67	130 38.01	398
Total	230 19.23	394 32.94	110 9.20	120 10.03	342 28.60	1196

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

```

=====
Q                AGEG
                18-29  30-44  45-64  65+  Total
-----
Very favorable      15    34    43    29   121
                   6.73  11.04  9.31  14.08
-----
Somewhat favorable  31    41    85    34   191
                   13.90  13.31  18.40  16.50
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  39    80   101    57   277
                   17.49  25.97  21.86  27.67
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  24    18    38    13    93
                   10.76  5.84   8.23  6.31
-----
Very unfavorable   18    20    62    17   117
                   8.07   6.49  13.42  8.25
-----
Don't know / No Opinion  96   115   133    56   400
                   43.05  37.34  28.79  27.18
-----
Total              223   308   462   206  1199
                   18.60  25.69  38.53  17.18
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q48B. Hydraulic Fracturing Costs/Benefits

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 3):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, METRO, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

The following objects are masked from UTCT (position 4):

AGE, AGEG, attend, birthyr, caseid, child, educ, endtime, evang, faminc, gender, hispanic, home, IDEOL, IDTXA, IDTXB, import, info1, info2, info3, langpref, libcon, LIBCON7, literal, locate, LV, marital, metro, MOVE, native1, native2, ONEDU, pid3, PID3, pid7, PID7, Q1, Q10, Q11, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q14A, Q14F, Q15, Q15A, Q15F, Q16, Q16A, Q16F, Q17, Q17A, Q17F, Q18, Q18A, Q18F, Q19, Q19A, Q19F, Q2, Q20, Q20A, Q20F, Q21, Q22, Q23A, Q23B, Q23C, Q23D, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q29, Q3, Q30, Q31, Q32, Q33, Q34, Q35, Q36A, Q36B, Q37A, Q37B, Q38A, Q38B, Q38C, Q38D, Q38E, Q38F, Q38G, Q39, Q4, Q40, Q41, Q42, Q43, Q44, Q45, Q46, Q47, Q48, Q48B, Q5, Q6, Q7, Q8, Q9, race, RACE.0, RACE.1, RACE.2, race.t, RACE1, race2, relig, schoolm.1, schoolm.2, schoolm.3, schoolm.4, starttime, STATEFROM, weight, YEAR, zipcode

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|              Count |
|              Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Yes	4 11.76	8 10.26	13 14.94	75 33.63	114 69.09	150 73.89	74 69.16	438
No	28 82.35	61 78.21	54 62.07	85 38.12	25 15.15	21 10.34	16 14.95	290

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know / No opinion	2	9	20	63	26	32	17	169
	5.88	11.54	22.99	28.25	15.76	15.76	15.89	

Total	34	78	87	223	165	203	107	897
	3.79	8.70	9.70	24.86	18.39	22.63	11.93	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                                IDEOL
Q                                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Yes                                26          75          338          439
                                12.87       33.63       71.16
-----
No                                144         85          62          291
                                71.29       38.12       13.05
-----
Don't know / No opinion            32          63          75          170
                                15.84       28.25       15.79
-----
Total                              202         223         475          900
                                22.44       24.78       52.78
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Yes	30 18.29	20 27.40	21 20.59	30 36.14	87 79.82	89 65.44	154 71.30	431
No	106 64.63	30 41.10	65 63.73	30 36.14	6 5.50	24 17.65	28 12.96	289
Don't know / No opinion	28 17.07	23 31.51	16 15.69	23 27.71	16 14.68	23 16.91	34 15.74	163
Total	164 18.57	73 8.27	102 11.55	83 9.40	109 12.34	136 15.40	216 24.46	883

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Yes	71 20.94	30 36.14	330 71.74	431
No	201 59.29	30 36.14	58 12.61	289
Don't know / No opinion	67 19.76	23 27.71	72 15.65	162
Total	339 38.44	83 9.41	460 52.15	882

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

```
=====
```

Q	Q24				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Yes	117 63.93	66 20.25	181 81.53	74 44.05	438
No	35 19.13	198 60.74	21 9.46	36 21.43	290
Don't know / No opinion	31 16.94	62 19.02	20 9.01	58 34.52	171
Total	183 20.36	326 36.26	222 24.69	168 18.69	899

```
=====
```

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

```
=====
```

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Yes	15 28.85	91 46.91	117 48.55	44 48.35	119 55.87	53 49.07	439
No	19	54	73	36	66	41	289

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	36.54	27.84	30.29	39.56	30.99	37.96	
Don't know / No opinion	18	49	51	11	28	14	171
	34.62	25.26	21.16	12.09	13.15	12.96	
Total	52	194	241	91	213	108	899
	5.78	21.58	26.81	10.12	23.69	12.01	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	attend	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Yes		61	114	57	122	85	439
		49.19	61.29	54.29	50.00	35.12	
No		33	42	30	69	117	291
		26.61	22.58	28.57	28.28	48.35	
Don't know / No opinion		30	30	18	53	40	171
		24.19	16.13	17.14	21.72	16.53	
Total		124	186	105	244	242	901
		13.76	20.64	11.65	27.08	26.86	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American / Amer	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern / Del o		
Yes	344 54.09	20 22.73	57 39.58	6 66.67		2 66.67	4 33.33	7 87.50	0 NaN	440
No	183 28.77	42 47.73	57 39.58	0 0.00		1 33.33	7 58.33	0 0.00	0 NaN	290
Don't know / No opini	109 17.14	26 29.55	30 20.83	3 33.33		0 0.00	1 8.33	1 12.50	0 NaN	170
Total	636 70.67	88 9.78	144 16.00	9 1.00		3 0.33	12 1.33	8 0.89	0 0.00	900

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	marital						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Yes	282 55.08	2 22.22	41 46.07	26 52.00	62 34.25	26 44.83	439
No	138 26.95	5 55.56	32 35.96	11 22.00	85 46.96	18 31.03	289
Don't know / No opinion	92 17.97	2 22.22	16 17.98	13 26.00	34 18.78	14 24.14	171
Total	512	9	89	50	181	58	899

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

56.95 1.00 9.90 5.56 20.13 6.45

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          gender
          Male   Female   Total
-----
Yes          279     160     439
          60.78    36.28
-----
No           114     177     291
          24.84    40.14
-----
Don't know / No opinion    66     104     170
          14.38    23.58
-----
Total        459     441     900
          51.00    49.00
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          locate
          Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Yes          107     233     99     439
          45.53    50.11    50.00
=====

```

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

No	85	151	54	290
	36.17	32.47	27.27	
Don't know / No opinion	43	81	45	169
	18.30	17.42	22.73	
Total	235	465	198	898
	26.17	51.78	22.05	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	METRO					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Yes	104	139	39	30	128	440
	59.43	44.55	45.35	32.26	54.47	
No	45	115	24	43	63	290
	25.71	36.86	27.91	46.24	26.81	
Don't know / No opinion	26	58	23	20	44	171
	14.86	18.59	26.74	21.51	18.72	
Total	175	312	86	93	235	901
	19.42	34.63	9.54	10.32	26.08	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Yes	40 32.79	99 46.70	188 48.70	113 62.78	440
No	55 45.08	80 37.74	125 32.38	30 16.67	290
Don't know / No opinion	27 22.13	33 15.57	73 18.91	37 20.56	170
Total	122 13.56	212 23.56	386 42.89	180 20.00	900

Field Dates: February 7 to February 17, 2013

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample