

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Table of Contents

Question 2. Political Interest	5
Q3. Vote Frequency	10
Q4. MIP (National)	16
Q5. MIP (Texas)	33
Q6. Retrospective Assessment, Obama.....	52
Q7. Retrospective Assessments, Congress.....	57
Q8. Rick Perry Approval	63
Q9. Country Direction.....	69
Q10. National Economy.....	73
Q11. Texas Direction.....	79
Q12. Personal Economic Evaluations.....	83
Q13. Texas Economy Compared to a Year Ago.....	89
Q14. Children’s Future	95
Q15. National Economy, Better off/Worse Off	100
Q16. Money Owed on Credit Cards.....	104
Q17. Presidential Vote Choice	110
Q18. Senate Vote Choice	115
Q19. Railroad Commission Vote Choice	120
Q20. Incumbent State Representative Support	126

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q21. 2014 Lt. Governor Primary	130
Q21A. 2014 Rick Perry Support.....	138
Q22. Tea Party Support.....	142
Q23. Tea Party Influence	147
Q24. Favorability: Ted Cruz.....	152
Q25. Favorability: Paul Sadler	158
Q26. Favorability: Barack Obama	163
Q27. Favorability: Mitt Romney	169
Q28. Favorability: Joe Biden.....	175
Q29. Favorability: Paul Ryan.....	181
Q30A. Vote Determinant: Religious Faith.....	187
Q30B. Vote Determinant: Issue Positions.....	192
Q30C. Vote Determinant: Character	196
Q30D. Vote Determinant: Party	201
Q30E. Vote Determinant: Political Record	207
Q30A2. Vote Determinant.....	211
Q31. Favorability: Rick Perry	216
Q32. Favorability: Greg Abbot	222
Q33. Texas as a Model	228
Q33A. State Government: Efficiency	234
Q33B. State Government: Dependence on Federal government	239

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q33C1. State Government: Pensions 1.....	244
Q33C2. State Government: Pensions 2.....	249
Q34A. State Government: Corrupt/Honest	254
Q34B. State Government: Careful/Careless	258
Q34C. State Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs.....	262
Q34D. State Government: Divided/Can Work together	266
Q35A. Federal Government: Corrupt/Honest	270
Q35B. Federal Government: Careful/Careless.....	275
Q35C. Federal Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs.....	279
Q35D. Federal Government: Divided/Can Work together.....	283
Q37. Most trusted branch of federal government.....	288
Q38. Voter ID	293
Q39. Gay Marriage	297
Q40. In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants	301
Q41A. Police Enforcement of Immigration Laws	306
Q41B. Immigrants and Taxes.....	312
Q41C. Immigration Control	317
Q41D. Immigrants Enrich Texas	323
Q42. K-12 Education Quality.....	329
Q43. K-12 Education Spending.....	335
Q44. Public University Spending	339

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q45. Anti-Tax Pledges	344
Q46. Voting Rights Act Attitude.....	348
Q47. Frequency of Contact with Non-English Speakers	352
Q49A. Politicians should follow advice of scientists.....	357
Q49B. Gut instinct as good as the advice of scientists	363
Q49C. Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence.....	368
Q49D. Scientists/Academics are not hostile to people of faith	373
Q49E. Scientists/Academics are not concerned about moral implications of research.....	378
Q49F. University professors are liberal.....	384
Q49G. Economists are conservative	389
Q49H. Scientists are liberal.....	394

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Question 2. Political Interest

-> tabulation of Q2 by libcon

Political interest	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Extremely interested	30 83.33	45 63.38	41 55.41	84 39.44	53 48.18	126 76.36	98 79.67	477 60.23
Somewhat interested	5 13.89	22 30.99	30 40.54	95 44.60	45 40.91	35 21.21	23 18.70	255 32.20
Not very interested	1 2.78	3 4.23	2 2.70	23 10.80	7 6.36	2 1.21	0 0.00	38 4.80
Not at all interested	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.35	8 3.76	5 4.55	2 1.21	2 1.63	18 2.27
Don't know	0 0.00	1 1.41	0 0.00	3 1.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.51
Total	36 100.00	71 100.00	74 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by pid7

Political interest	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Extremely interested	110 58.51	21 36.84	34 55.74	35 55.56	89 72.36	23 35.38	155 74.88	4 22.22	471 60.23
Somewhat interested	67 35.64	31 54.39	22 36.07	14 22.22	31 25.20	28 43.08	47 22.71	10 55.56	250 31.97
Not very interested	8 4.26	3 5.26	3 4.92	8 12.70	2 1.63	10 15.38	3 1.45	1 5.56	38 4.86
Not at all interested	2 1.06	2 3.51	1 1.64	5 7.94	1 0.81	3 4.62	2 0.97	3 16.67	19 2.43
Don't know	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	4

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.53	0.00	1.64	1.59	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.51
Total	188	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by Q22

Political interest	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Extremely interested	73	169	141	97	480
	58.40	59.09	78.77	46.63	60.15
Somewhat interested	45	104	36	72	257
	36.00	36.36	20.11	34.62	32.21
Not very interested	2	10	2	24	38
	1.60	3.50	1.12	11.54	4.76
Not at all interested	5	2	0	12	19
	4.00	0.70	0.00	5.77	2.38
Don't know	0	1	0	3	4
	0.00	0.35	0.00	1.44	0.50
Total	125	286	179	208	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by educ

Political interest	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely interested	16	120	135	47	113	49	480
	59.26	52.40	61.36	53.41	69.33	69.01	60.15
Somewhat interested	9	83	70	34	40	21	257
	33.33	36.24	31.82	38.64	24.54	29.58	32.21
Not very interested	0	16	10	5	6	1	38
	0.00	6.99	4.55	5.68	3.68	1.41	4.76
Not at all interested	2	7	4	2	4	0	19
	7.41	3.06	1.82	2.27	2.45	0.00	2.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
	0.00	1.31	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Total	27	229	220	88	163	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by attend

Political interest	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Extremely interested	85	90	60	144	96	475
	59.03	56.60	63.16	64.86	56.14	60.05
Somewhat interested	50	61	30	58	56	255
	34.72	38.36	31.58	26.13	32.75	32.24
Not very interested	4	5	3	13	13	38
	2.78	3.14	3.16	5.86	7.60	4.80
Not at all interested	5	3	2	5	4	19
	3.47	1.89	2.11	2.25	2.34	2.40
Don't know	0	0	0	2	2	4
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	1.17	0.51
Total	144	159	95	222	171	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by race

Political interest	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Extremely interested	318	54	83	4	3	5	13	0	480
	61.87	48.65	63.85	80.00	50.00	31.25	86.67	0.00	60.15
Somewhat interested	156	48	39	0	2	10	1	1	257
	30.35	43.24	30.00	0.00	33.33	62.50	6.67	100.00	32.21
Not very interested	28	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	38
	5.45	4.50	3.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76
Not at all interested	10	3	3	1	0	1	1	0	19
	1.95	2.70	2.31	20.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	2.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	2 0.39	1 0.90	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
Total	514 100.00	111 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by marstat

Political interest	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Extremely interested	319 64.57	6 50.00	58 55.77	21 58.33	52 46.43	23 58.97	479 60.10
Somewhat interested	146 29.55	6 50.00	34 32.69	13 36.11	47 41.96	11 28.21	257 32.25
Not very interested	19 3.85	0 0.00	8 7.69	0 0.00	6 5.36	5 12.82	38 4.77
Not at all interested	9 1.82	0 0.00	3 2.88	1 2.78	6 5.36	0 0.00	19 2.38
Don't know	1 0.20	0 0.00	1 0.96	1 2.78	1 0.89	0 0.00	4 0.50
Total	494 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by gender

Political interest	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Extremely interested	268 72.04	212 49.77	480 60.15
Somewhat interested	94 25.27	163 38.26	257 32.21
Not very interested	6 1.61	32 7.51	38 4.76
Not at all interested	4	15	19

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	1.08	3.52	2.38
Don't know	0	4	4
	0.00	0.94	0.50
Total	372	426	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by locate

Political interest	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely interested	116	269	94	479
	56.59	64.82	53.11	60.10
Somewhat interested	68	120	69	257
	33.17	28.92	38.98	32.25
Not very interested	11	19	8	38
	5.37	4.58	4.52	4.77
Not at all interested	9	5	5	19
	4.39	1.20	2.82	2.38
Don't know	1	2	1	4
	0.49	0.48	0.56	0.50
Total	205	415	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by metro

Political interest	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Extremely interested	95	152	53	49	128	477
	61.69	65.24	67.09	59.04	52.03	60.00
Somewhat interested	51	62	23	32	89	257
	33.12	26.61	29.11	38.55	36.18	32.33
Not very interested	6	13	2	2	15	38
	3.90	5.58	2.53	2.41	6.10	4.78
Not at all interested	1	5	1	0	12	19

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.65	2.15	1.27	0.00	4.88	2.39
Don't know	1 0.65	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.81	4 0.50
Total	154 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	795 100.00

Q3. Vote Frequency

-> tabulation of Q3 by libcon

Political interest	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Every election	12 32.43	23 32.39	23 30.67	60 28.17	32 29.09	71 43.03	69 56.56	290 36.57
Almost every election	19 51.35	32 45.07	32 42.67	68 31.92	52 47.27	71 43.03	44 36.07	318 40.10
About half	2 5.41	5 7.04	9 12.00	27 12.68	12 10.91	10 6.06	6 4.92	71 8.95
One or two	4 10.81	7 9.86	6 8.00	34 15.96	5 4.55	6 3.64	2 1.64	64 8.07
None	0 0.00	4 5.63	5 6.67	18 8.45	8 7.27	7 4.24	1 0.82	43 5.42
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 2.82	1 0.91	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 0.88
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	122 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by pid7

Texas Vote Freq	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Party ID Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Every election	65 34.21	10 17.54	20 32.79	15 23.81	53 43.09	19 29.23	102 49.28	2 11.11	286 36.48

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Almost every election	83 43.68	27 47.37	22 36.07	21 33.33	51 41.46	28 43.08	79 38.16	5 27.78	316 40.31
About half	18 9.47	5 8.77	6 9.84	9 14.29	8 6.50	8 12.31	15 7.25	1 5.56	70 8.93
One or two	19 10.00	8 14.04	6 9.84	7 11.11	7 5.69	5 7.69	5 2.42	5 27.78	62 7.91
None	5 2.63	7 12.28	7 11.48	7 11.11	3 2.44	4 6.15	6 2.90	4 22.22	43 5.48
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 6.35	1 0.81	1 1.54	0 0.00	1 5.56	7 0.89
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by Q22

Texas Vote Freq	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Every election	54 43.20	95 32.99	84 47.19	60 28.85	293 36.67
Almost every election	51 40.80	125 43.40	68 38.20	77 37.02	321 40.18
About half	10 8.00	24 8.33	14 7.87	23 11.06	71 8.89
One or two	4 3.20	30 10.42	7 3.93	23 11.06	64 8.01
None	6 4.80	13 4.51	5 2.81	19 9.13	43 5.38
Don't know	0 0.00	1 0.35	0 0.00	6 2.88	7 0.88
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	178 100.00	208 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q3 by educ

Texas Vote Freq	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Every election	5 18.52	73 31.74	80 36.36	30 34.48	70 42.68	35 49.30	293 36.67
Almost every election	14 51.85	95 41.30	89 40.45	31 35.63	66 40.24	26 36.62	321 40.18
About half	1 3.70	16 6.96	19 8.64	12 13.79	17 10.37	6 8.45	71 8.89
One or two	4 14.81	25 10.87	17 7.73	7 8.05	8 4.88	3 4.23	64 8.01
None	3 11.11	15 6.52	15 6.82	7 8.05	2 1.22	1 1.41	43 5.38
Don't know	0 0.00	6 2.61	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	7 0.88
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by attend

Texas Vote Freq	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Every election	52 36.11	71 44.65	39 41.05	73 32.88	53 30.81	288 36.36
Almost every election	64 44.44	59 37.11	31 32.63	96 43.24	70 40.70	320 40.40
About half	12 8.33	16 10.06	13 13.68	15 6.76	14 8.14	70 8.84
One or two	9 6.25	9 5.66	8 8.42	21 9.46	17 9.88	64 8.08
None	6 4.17	3 1.89	4 4.21	15 6.76	15 8.72	43 5.43
Don't know	1	1	0	2	3	7

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.69	0.63	0.00	0.90	1.74	0.88
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by race

Texas Vote Freq	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Every election	202	35	42	4	2	3	5	0	293
	39.30	31.25	32.31	80.00	33.33	18.75	33.33	0.00	36.67
Almost every election	205	42	57	0	1	7	9	0	321
	39.88	37.50	43.85	0.00	16.67	43.75	60.00	0.00	40.18
About half	43	12	14	0	0	1	0	1	71
	8.37	10.71	10.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	100.00	8.89
One or two	32	16	10	0	2	3	1	0	64
	6.23	14.29	7.69	0.00	33.33	18.75	6.67	0.00	8.01
None	27	7	6	1	1	1	0	0	43
	5.25	6.25	4.62	20.00	16.67	6.25	0.00	0.00	5.38
Don't know	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	7
	0.97	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.88
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by marstat

Texas Vote Freq	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Every election	203	4	37	10	32	7	293
	40.93	33.33	35.92	27.78	28.57	17.95	36.72
Almost every election	198	4	43	19	40	16	320
	39.92	33.33	41.75	52.78	35.71	41.03	40.10
About half	43	1	7	1	13	6	71
	8.67	8.33	6.80	2.78	11.61	15.38	8.90
One or two	30	0	10	5	13	6	64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	6.05	0.00	9.71	13.89	11.61	15.38	8.02
None	18 3.63	3 25.00	5 4.85	1 2.78	12 10.71	4 10.26	43 5.39
Don't know	4 0.81	0 0.00	1 0.97	0 0.00	2 1.79	0 0.00	7 0.88
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by gender

Texas Vote Freq	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Every election	158 42.47	135 31.62	293 36.67
Almost every election	155 41.67	166 38.88	321 40.18
About half	24 6.45	47 11.01	71 8.89
One or two	20 5.38	44 10.30	64 8.01
None	15 4.03	28 6.56	43 5.38
Don't know	0 0.00	7 1.64	7 0.88
Total	372 100.00	427 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by locate

Texas Vote Freq	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Every election	81 39.32	145 34.94	67 37.85	293 36.72
Almost every election	76	176	69	321

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	36.89	42.41	38.98	40.23
About half	15 7.28	44 10.60	11 6.21	70 8.77
One or two	17 8.25	30 7.23	17 9.60	64 8.02
None	14 6.80	17 4.10	12 6.78	43 5.39
Don't know	3 1.46	3 0.72	1 0.56	7 0.88
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by metro

Texas Vote Freq	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Every election	58 37.18	79 33.91	33 41.77	34 41.46	88 35.77	292 36.68
Almost every election	70 44.87	93 39.91	32 40.51	31 37.80	93 37.80	319 40.08
About half	15 9.62	23 9.87	8 10.13	7 8.54	18 7.32	71 8.92
One or two	6 3.85	23 9.87	4 5.06	4 4.88	27 10.98	64 8.04
None	6 3.85	14 6.01	1 1.27	6 7.32	16 6.50	43 5.40
Don't know	1 0.64	1 0.43	1 1.27	0 0.00	4 1.63	7 0.88
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	82 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q4. MIP (National)

. tab2 Q4 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q4 by libcon

Most Important Problem	Ideology						Ext. Con.	Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		
The economy	9 24.32	18 25.35	21 28.00	58 27.23	35 31.82	74 44.85	26 21.14	241 30.35
Federal spending/nati	0 0.00	1 1.41	5 6.67	27 12.68	25 22.73	40 24.24	36 29.27	134 16.88
Unemployment/jobs	7 18.92	14 19.72	8 10.67	47 22.07	10 9.09	14 8.48	15 12.20	115 14.48
Political corruption/	2 5.41	6 8.45	3 4.00	9 4.23	14 12.73	14 8.48	12 9.76	60 7.56
Health care	3 8.11	7 9.86	3 4.00	17 7.98	3 2.73	2 1.21	9 7.32	44 5.54
Moral decline	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.33	2 0.94	5 4.55	11 6.67	6 4.88	25 3.15
Partisan gridlock	2 5.41	15 21.13	16 21.33	8 3.76	2 1.82	1 0.61	1 0.81	45 5.67
Income inequality	3 8.11	1 1.41	5 6.67	4 1.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.64
Gas prices	2 5.41	1 1.41	2 2.67	8 3.76	2 1.82	0 0.00	0 0.00	15 1.89
Education	4 10.81	0 0.00	2 2.67	6 2.82	2 1.82	0 0.00	1 0.81	15 1.89
Social welfare progra	0 0.00	1 1.41	1 1.33	4 1.88	4 3.64	1 0.61	1 0.81	12 1.51
Immigration	1 2.70	1 1.41	1 1.33	5 2.35	0 0.00	4 2.42	1 0.81	13 1.64
Taxes	0 0.00	3 4.23	2 2.67	2 0.94	2 1.82	0 0.00	2 1.63	11 1.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Energy	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	4
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.91	1.21	0.00	0.50
Gay marriage	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	5
	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.91	0.00	1.63	0.63
Environment	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4
	2.70	1.41	1.33	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
The media	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.38
Border security	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.63
National security/terrorism	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	7
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	0.91	1.21	0.81	0.88
Abortion	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	6
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.94	0.00	0.00	2.44	0.76
Crime and drugs	1	0	0	5	2	0	1	9
	2.70	0.00	0.00	2.35	1.82	0.00	0.81	1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
	0.00	2.82	1.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.13
Voting system	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3
	0.00	0.00	2.67	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by pid7

Most Important Problem	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
The economy	46	18	12	15	42	24	78	2	237
	24.21	31.58	19.67	23.81	34.15	36.92	37.68	11.11	30.23

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Federal spending/nati	5 2.63	9 15.79	4 6.56	10 15.87	33 26.83	19 29.23	48 23.19	5 27.78	133 16.96
Unemployment/jobs	39 20.53	8 14.04	8 13.11	14 22.22	8 6.50	7 10.77	27 13.04	4 22.22	115 14.67
Political corruption/	10 5.26	3 5.26	3 4.92	8 12.70	17 13.82	3 4.62	14 6.76	0 0.00	58 7.40
Health care	17 8.95	3 5.26	4 6.56	4 6.35	6 4.88	1 1.54	7 3.38	2 11.11	44 5.61
Moral decline	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.64	2 3.17	3 2.44	5 7.69	13 6.28	0 0.00	24 3.06
Partisan gridlock	27 14.21	4 7.02	9 14.75	2 3.17	1 0.81	1 1.54	1 0.48	0 0.00	45 5.74
Income inequality	8 4.21	2 3.51	2 3.28	1 1.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.66
Gas prices	6 3.16	2 3.51	4 6.56	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.66
Education	3 1.58	3 5.26	6 9.84	1 1.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.48	1 5.56	15 1.91
Social welfare progra	2 1.05	1 1.75	0 0.00	1 1.59	1 0.81	2 3.08	3 1.45	2 11.11	12 1.53
Immigration	3 1.58	1 1.75	3 4.92	0 0.00	3 2.44	0 0.00	3 1.45	0 0.00	13 1.66
Taxes	6 3.16	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.44	1 1.54	1 0.48	0 0.00	11 1.40
Energy	1 0.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.63	0 0.00	1 0.48	0 0.00	4 0.51
Gay marriage	1 0.53	1 1.75	0 0.00	2 3.17	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.48	0 0.00	5 0.64
Environment	1 0.53	1 1.75	2 3.28	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.51
The media	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.64	1 1.59	1 0.81	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Border security	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	1.45	5.56	0.64
National security/ter	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	7
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63	1.54	1.45	5.56	0.89
Abortion	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	6
	0.53	0.00	0.00	3.17	0.00	0.00	1.45	0.00	0.77
Crime and drugs	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	9
	3.16	1.75	3.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.15
Afghanistan/Pakistan	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	1.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Voting system	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	1.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by Q22

Most Important Problem	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
The economy	40	73	58	72	243
	32.00	25.35	32.40	34.62	30.38
Federal spending/nati	24	10	55	47	136
	19.20	3.47	30.73	22.60	17.00
Unemployment/jobs	23	53	19	20	115
	18.40	18.40	10.61	9.62	14.37
Political corruption/	6	19	21	15	61
	4.80	6.60	11.73	7.21	7.62
Health care	9	25	2	8	44
	7.20	8.68	1.12	3.85	5.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Moral decline	7 5.60	1 0.35	9 5.03	9 4.33	26 3.25
Partisan gridlock	0 0.00	39 13.54	0 0.00	6 2.88	45 5.62
Income inequality	0 0.00	13 4.51	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.62
Gas prices	1 0.80	10 3.47	0 0.00	4 1.92	15 1.88
Education	1 0.80	10 3.47	1 0.56	3 1.44	15 1.88
Social welfare progra	2 1.60	3 1.04	2 1.12	5 2.40	12 1.50
Immigration	1 0.80	5 1.74	4 2.23	3 1.44	13 1.62
Taxes	1 0.80	6 2.08	2 1.12	2 0.96	11 1.38
Energy	2 1.60	1 0.35	1 0.56	0 0.00	4 0.50
Gay marriage	1 0.80	1 0.35	0 0.00	3 1.44	5 0.62
Environment	0 0.00	4 1.39	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
The media	1 0.80	1 0.35	0 0.00	1 0.48	3 0.38
Border security	2 1.60	0 0.00	1 0.56	2 0.96	5 0.62
National security/ter	3 2.40	0 0.00	1 0.56	3 1.44	7 0.88
Abortion	0 0.00	1 0.35	3 1.68	2 0.96	6 0.75
Crime and drugs	0 0.00	6 2.08	0 0.00	3 1.44	9 1.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	3	0	0	3
	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.12
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	1
	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12
Voting system	0	3	0	0	3
	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by educ

Most Important Problem	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The economy	6	76	58	24	57	22	243
	22.22	33.04	26.36	27.27	34.76	30.99	30.38
Federal spending/nati	1	41	31	10	41	12	136
	3.70	17.83	14.09	11.36	25.00	16.90	17.00
Unemployment/jobs	5	36	38	11	15	10	115
	18.52	15.65	17.27	12.50	9.15	14.08	14.37
Political corruption/	2	16	26	8	6	3	61
	7.41	6.96	11.82	9.09	3.66	4.23	7.62
Health care	4	17	10	3	8	2	44
	14.81	7.39	4.55	3.41	4.88	2.82	5.50
Moral decline	2	9	6	3	3	3	26
	7.41	3.91	2.73	3.41	1.83	4.23	3.25
Partisan gridlock	2	8	17	3	7	8	45
	7.41	3.48	7.73	3.41	4.27	11.27	5.62
Income inequality	0	1	5	1	5	1	13
	0.00	0.43	2.27	1.14	3.05	1.41	1.62
Gas prices	1	3	5	4	2	0	15
	3.70	1.30	2.27	4.55	1.22	0.00	1.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Education	0 0.00	2 0.87	3 1.36	1 1.14	7 4.27	2 2.82	15 1.88
Social welfare progra	0 0.00	6 2.61	2 0.91	2 2.27	1 0.61	1 1.41	12 1.50
Immigration	1 3.70	2 0.87	3 1.36	5 5.68	2 1.22	0 0.00	13 1.62
Taxes	0 0.00	4 1.74	0 0.00	4 4.55	0 0.00	3 4.23	11 1.38
Energy	0 0.00	1 0.43	2 0.91	1 1.14	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
Gay marriage	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 0.45	1 1.14	2 1.22	0 0.00	5 0.62
Environment	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 0.45	0 0.00	1 0.61	1 1.41	4 0.50
The media	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.45	0 0.00	2 1.22	0 0.00	3 0.38
Border security	1 3.70	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	2 2.82	5 0.62
National security/ter	0 0.00	1 0.43	3 1.36	2 2.27	1 0.61	0 0.00	7 0.88
Abortion	1 3.70	1 0.43	2 0.91	1 1.14	1 0.61	0 0.00	6 0.75
Crime and drugs	1 3.70	1 0.43	4 1.82	3 3.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 1.12
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0 0.00	2 0.87	1 0.45	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Iraq	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	1 0.12
Foreign trade	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	1 0.12
Voting system	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.45	1 1.14	0 0.00	1 1.41	3 0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by attend

Most Important Problem	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
The economy	29 20.14	59 37.11	33 34.74	69 30.94	50 29.07	240 30.26
Federal spending/nati	29 20.14	26 16.35	17 17.89	37 16.59	26 15.12	135 17.02
Unemployment/jobs	20 13.89	26 16.35	8 8.42	30 13.45	31 18.02	115 14.50
Political corruption/	12 8.33	13 8.18	7 7.37	15 6.73	13 7.56	60 7.57
Health care	11 7.64	5 3.14	4 4.21	11 4.93	13 7.56	44 5.55
Moral decline	14 9.72	4 2.52	3 3.16	4 1.79	1 0.58	26 3.28
Partisan gridlock	5 3.47	5 3.14	7 7.37	17 7.62	10 5.81	44 5.55
Income inequality	2 1.39	0 0.00	1 1.05	4 1.79	6 3.49	13 1.64
Gas prices	3 2.08	6 3.77	0 0.00	5 2.24	1 0.58	15 1.89
Education	0 0.00	3 1.89	0 0.00	3 1.35	9 5.23	15 1.89
Social welfare progra	1 0.69	3 1.89	2 2.11	4 1.79	2 1.16	12 1.51
Immigration	1 0.69	1 0.63	4 4.21	3 1.35	3 1.74	12 1.51
Taxes	2 1.39	3 1.89	0 0.00	5 2.24	1 0.58	11 1.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Energy	1 0.69	1 0.63	1 1.05	1 0.45	0 0.00	4 0.50
Gay marriage	4 2.78	1 0.63	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 2.11	1 0.45	1 0.58	4 0.50
The media	1 0.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.90	0 0.00	3 0.38
Border security	1 0.69	0 0.00	2 2.11	1 0.45	1 0.58	5 0.63
National security/ter	1 0.69	1 0.63	1 1.05	3 1.35	1 0.58	7 0.88
Abortion	4 2.78	1 0.63	0 0.00	1 0.45	0 0.00	6 0.76
Crime and drugs	2 1.39	1 0.63	2 2.11	3 1.35	1 0.58	9 1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 1.35	0 0.00	3 0.38
Iraq	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.58	1 0.13
Foreign trade	1 0.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Voting system	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.05	1 0.45	1 0.58	3 0.38
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by race

Most Important Problem	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
The economy	166 32.23	27 24.11	37 28.46	2 40.00	0 0.00	8 50.00	2 13.33	1 100.00	243 30.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Federal spending/nati	110 21.36	3 2.68	13 10.00	0 0.00	2 33.33	2 12.50	6 40.00	0 0.00	136 17.00
Unemployment/jobs	65 12.62	23 20.54	24 18.46	0 0.00	2 33.33	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	115 14.37
Political corruption/	39 7.57	7 6.25	11 8.46	0 0.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	2 13.33	0 0.00	61 7.62
Health care	24 4.66	9 8.04	9 6.92	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	44 5.50
Moral decline	21 4.08	1 0.89	4 3.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	26 3.25
Partisan gridlock	24 4.66	13 11.61	8 6.15	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	45 5.62
Income inequality	8 1.55	3 2.68	2 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.62
Gas prices	4 0.78	7 6.25	4 3.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	15 1.88
Education	9 1.75	3 2.68	1 0.77	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	15 1.88
Social welfare progra	10 1.94	0 0.00	2 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	12 1.50
Immigration	6 1.17	3 2.68	2 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	13 1.62
Taxes	3 0.58	1 0.89	6 4.62	1 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	11 1.38
Energy	2 0.39	0 0.00	2 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
Gay marriage	2 0.39	2 1.79	1 0.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.62
Environment	4 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
The media	2 0.39	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	3 0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Border security	3 0.58	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	5 0.62
National security/ter	6 1.17	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 0.88
Abortion	5 0.97	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 0.75
Crime and drugs	1 0.19	5 4.46	3 2.31	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 1.12
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0 0.00	2 1.79	1 0.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Iraq	0 0.00	1 0.89	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.12
Foreign trade	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.12
Voting system	1 0.19	2 1.79	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by marstat

Most Important Problem	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
The economy	157 31.65	3 25.00	21 20.19	12 33.33	40 35.71	9 23.08	242 30.29
Federal spending/nati	92 18.55	2 16.67	13 12.50	7 19.44	19 16.96	3 7.69	136 17.02
Unemployment/jobs	63 12.70	3 25.00	19 18.27	4 11.11	19 16.96	7 17.95	115 14.39
Political corruption/	38 7.66	1 8.33	9 8.65	5 13.89	5 4.46	3 7.69	61 7.63
Health care	27 5.44	0 0.00	8 7.69	4 11.11	2 1.79	3 7.69	44 5.51

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Moral decline	20	0	4	0	1	1	26
	4.03	0.00	3.85	0.00	0.89	2.56	3.25
Partisan gridlock	25	0	13	1	3	3	45
	5.04	0.00	12.50	2.78	2.68	7.69	5.63
Income inequality	7	0	1	0	4	1	13
	1.41	0.00	0.96	0.00	3.57	2.56	1.63
Gas prices	6	0	6	0	2	1	15
	1.21	0.00	5.77	0.00	1.79	2.56	1.88
Education	8	1	1	0	4	1	15
	1.61	8.33	0.96	0.00	3.57	2.56	1.88
Social welfare progra	8	1	1	0	1	1	12
	1.61	8.33	0.96	0.00	0.89	2.56	1.50
Immigration	10	0	0	1	1	1	13
	2.02	0.00	0.00	2.78	0.89	2.56	1.63
Taxes	7	0	3	0	1	0	11
	1.41	0.00	2.88	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.38
Energy	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.50
Gay marriage	4	0	0	0	1	0	5
	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
Environment	2	0	0	0	1	1	4
	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	2.56	0.50
The media	1	0	1	0	1	0	3
	0.20	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.38
Border security	3	0	1	0	1	0	5
	0.60	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
National security/ter	3	1	2	0	0	1	7
	0.60	8.33	1.92	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.88
Abortion	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	1.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
Crime and drugs	2	0	1	1	3	2	9
	0.40	0.00	0.96	2.78	2.68	5.13	1.13

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Afghanistan/Pakistan	1 0.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.79	0 0.00	3 0.38
Iraq	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.89	0 0.00	1 0.13
Foreign trade	1 0.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Voting system	2 0.40	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 2.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by gender

Most Important Problem	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
The economy	106 28.42	137 32.08	243 30.38
Federal spending/nati	85 22.79	51 11.94	136 17.00
Unemployment/jobs	47 12.60	68 15.93	115 14.37
Political corruption/	31 8.31	30 7.03	61 7.62
Health care	15 4.02	29 6.79	44 5.50
Moral decline	13 3.49	13 3.04	26 3.25
Partisan gridlock	25 6.70	20 4.68	45 5.62
Income inequality	6 1.61	7 1.64	13 1.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Gas prices	4 1.07	11 2.58	15 1.88
Education	7 1.88	8 1.87	15 1.88
Social welfare progra	3 0.80	9 2.11	12 1.50
Immigration	5 1.34	8 1.87	13 1.62
Taxes	4 1.07	7 1.64	11 1.38
Energy	3 0.80	1 0.23	4 0.50
Gay marriage	2 0.54	3 0.70	5 0.62
Environment	1 0.27	3 0.70	4 0.50
The media	1 0.27	2 0.47	3 0.38
Border security	2 0.54	3 0.70	5 0.62
National security/ter	3 0.80	4 0.94	7 0.88
Abortion	4 1.07	2 0.47	6 0.75
Crime and drugs	3 0.80	6 1.41	9 1.12
Afghanistan/Pakistan	2 0.54	1 0.23	3 0.38
Iraq	0 0.00	1 0.23	1 0.12
Foreign trade	0 0.00	1 0.23	1 0.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Voting system	1	2	3
	0.27	0.47	0.38
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by locate

Most Important Problem	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
The economy	59	133	50	242
	28.64	32.05	28.09	30.29
Federal spending/nati	24	73	39	136
	11.65	17.59	21.91	17.02
Unemployment/jobs	39	56	20	115
	18.93	13.49	11.24	14.39
Political corruption/	8	34	19	61
	3.88	8.19	10.67	7.63
Health care	11	22	11	44
	5.34	5.30	6.18	5.51
Moral decline	4	14	8	26
	1.94	3.37	4.49	3.25
Partisan gridlock	16	20	9	45
	7.77	4.82	5.06	5.63
Income inequality	6	6	1	13
	2.91	1.45	0.56	1.63
Gas prices	6	7	2	15
	2.91	1.69	1.12	1.88
Education	6	7	2	15
	2.91	1.69	1.12	1.88
Social welfare progra	2	5	5	12
	0.97	1.20	2.81	1.50
Immigration	4	6	3	13
	1.94	1.45	1.69	1.63

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Taxes	3 1.46	7 1.69	1 0.56	11 1.38
Energy	1 0.49	2 0.48	1 0.56	4 0.50
Gay marriage	1 0.49	1 0.24	3 1.69	5 0.63
Environment	1 0.49	2 0.48	1 0.56	4 0.50
The media	0 0.00	2 0.48	1 0.56	3 0.38
Border security	2 0.97	3 0.72	0 0.00	5 0.63
National security/ter	2 0.97	4 0.96	1 0.56	7 0.88
Abortion	2 0.97	3 0.72	1 0.56	6 0.75
Crime and drugs	4 1.94	5 1.20	0 0.00	9 1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	2 0.97	1 0.24	0 0.00	3 0.38
Iraq	1 0.49	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Foreign trade	1 0.49	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Voting system	1 0.49	2 0.48	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by metro

Most Important Problem	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The economy	42 26.92	73 31.33	23 29.11	24 28.92	79 32.11	241 30.24
Federal spending/nati	30 19.23	41 17.60	14 17.72	14 16.87	37 15.04	136 17.06
Unemployment/jobs	22 14.10	34 14.59	10 12.66	12 14.46	36 14.63	114 14.30
Political corruption/	11 7.05	16 6.87	5 6.33	6 7.23	23 9.35	61 7.65
Health care	13 8.33	11 4.72	3 3.80	5 6.02	12 4.88	44 5.52
Moral decline	5 3.21	7 3.00	2 2.53	3 3.61	9 3.66	26 3.26
Partisan gridlock	13 8.33	16 6.87	3 3.80	4 4.82	9 3.66	45 5.65
Income inequality	6 3.85	1 0.43	1 1.27	3 3.61	2 0.81	13 1.63
Gas prices	2 1.28	3 1.29	2 2.53	2 2.41	6 2.44	15 1.88
Education	0 0.00	4 1.72	3 3.80	4 4.82	4 1.63	15 1.88
Social welfare progra	1 0.64	3 1.29	0 0.00	1 1.20	7 2.85	12 1.51
Immigration	2 1.28	5 2.15	1 1.27	0 0.00	5 2.03	13 1.63
Taxes	2 1.28	2 0.86	3 3.80	2 2.41	2 0.81	11 1.38
Energy	1 0.64	0 0.00	1 1.27	0 0.00	2 0.81	4 0.50
Gay marriage	3 1.92	0 0.00	1 1.27	0 0.00	1 0.41	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	2 0.86	1 1.27	1 1.20	0 0.00	4 0.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The media	0 0.00	2 0.86	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.41	3 0.38
Border security	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 1.27	1 1.20	2 0.81	5 0.63
National security/ter	1 0.64	3 1.29	2 2.53	0 0.00	1 0.41	7 0.88
Abortion	0 0.00	3 1.29	1 1.27	1 1.20	1 0.41	6 0.75
Crime and drugs	1 0.64	3 1.29	1 1.27	0 0.00	4 1.63	9 1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.27	0 0.00	2 0.81	3 0.38
Iraq	0 0.00	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Foreign trade	1 0.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Voting system	0 0.00	2 0.86	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.41	3 0.38
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q5. MIP (Texas)

. tab2 Q5 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q5 by libcon

Most Important problem, Texas	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Immigration	1 2.70	2 2.82	2 2.67	21 9.95	25 22.73	37 22.56	29 23.58	117 14.79
The economy	3 8.11	3 4.23	4 5.33	24 11.37	14 12.73	22 13.41	14 11.38	84 10.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Unemployment/jobs	3 8.11	7 9.86	2 2.67	26 12.32	10 9.09	10 6.10	7 5.69	65 8.22
Border security	1 2.70	0 0.00	4 5.33	21 9.95	15 13.64	42 25.61	38 30.89	121 15.30
Education	6 16.22	10 14.08	16 21.33	21 9.95	9 8.18	12 7.32	5 4.07	79 9.99
Political corruption/	7 18.92	16 22.54	17 22.67	19 9.00	8 7.27	1 0.61	5 4.07	73 9.23
State budget cuts	3 8.11	12 16.90	6 8.00	11 5.21	0 0.00	4 2.44	0 0.00	36 4.55
Gas prices	0 0.00	2 2.82	3 4.00	10 4.74	6 5.45	5 3.05	2 1.63	28 3.54
Health care	1 2.70	6 8.45	8 10.67	14 6.64	1 0.91	1 0.61	1 0.81	32 4.05
State government spen	3 8.11	1 1.41	1 1.33	5 2.37	2 1.82	1 0.61	2 1.63	15 1.90
Crime and drugs	2 5.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	9 4.27	4 3.64	1 0.61	2 1.63	18 2.28
Moral decline	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.33	2 0.95	4 3.64	7 4.27	3 2.44	17 2.15
Taxes	0 0.00	2 2.82	2 2.67	5 2.37	1 0.91	3 1.83	0 0.00	13 1.64
Water supply	1 2.70	0 0.00	1 1.33	5 2.37	1 0.91	3 1.83	2 1.63	13 1.64
Gay marriage	2 5.41	1 1.41	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	1 0.61	1 0.81	6 0.76
Energy	0 0.00	1 1.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.73	1 0.61	0 0.00	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	2 2.82	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	1 2.70	2 2.82	1 1.33	0 0.00	2 1.82	2 1.22	0 0.00	8 1.01

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Abortion	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	7
	0.00	0.00	1.33	1.90	0.00	0.00	1.63	0.88
Social welfare progra	0	1	2	5	3	6	2	19
	0.00	1.41	2.67	2.37	2.73	3.66	1.63	2.40
Insurance rates	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	5
	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.00	2.44	0.63
The media	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.61	2.44	0.63
Voting system	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	6
	0.00	0.00	1.33	1.42	0.00	1.22	0.00	0.76
Redistricting	3	2	2	1	1	2	0	11
	8.11	2.82	2.67	0.47	0.91	1.22	0.00	1.39
Utility rates	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	1.63	0.38
Total	37	71	75	211	110	164	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by pid7

Most Important problem, Texas	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Immigration	5	4	3	9	34	18	44	1	118
	2.65	7.02	4.92	14.29	28.10	27.69	21.26	5.56	15.11
The economy	19	6	3	3	10	10	30	3	84
	10.05	10.53	4.92	4.76	8.26	15.38	14.49	16.67	10.76
Unemployment/jobs	19	4	3	7	6	9	12	3	63
	10.05	7.02	4.92	11.11	4.96	13.85	5.80	16.67	8.07
Border security	7	2	1	11	31	10	54	2	118
	3.70	3.51	1.64	17.46	25.62	15.38	26.09	11.11	15.11
Education	26	8	15	4	9	4	10	2	78
	13.76	14.04	24.59	6.35	7.44	6.15	4.83	11.11	9.99

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Political corruption/	34 17.99	9 15.79	17 27.87	5 7.94	3 2.48	0 0.00	3 1.45	0 0.00	71 9.09
State budget cuts	19 10.05	5 8.77	2 3.28	5 7.94	0 0.00	1 1.54	2 0.97	1 5.56	35 4.48
Gas prices	7 3.70	6 10.53	3 4.92	2 3.17	2 1.65	1 1.54	8 3.86	0 0.00	29 3.71
Health care	14 7.41	6 10.53	4 6.56	2 3.17	3 2.48	0 0.00	1 0.48	2 11.11	32 4.10
State government spen	4 2.12	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 4.76	3 2.48	0 0.00	2 0.97	2 11.11	14 1.79
Crime and drugs	6 3.17	2 3.51	2 3.28	2 3.17	1 0.83	0 0.00	5 2.42	0 0.00	18 2.30
Moral decline	1 0.53	0 0.00	1 1.64	0 0.00	4 3.31	3 4.62	8 3.86	0 0.00	17 2.18
Taxes	3 1.59	2 3.51	1 1.64	3 4.76	2 1.65	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	13 1.66
Water supply	1 0.53	1 1.75	1 1.64	1 1.59	2 1.65	2 3.08	4 1.93	0 0.00	12 1.54
Gay marriage	1 0.53	0 0.00	1 1.64	1 1.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.97	1 5.56	6 0.77
Energy	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.48	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	5 0.64
Environment	1 0.53	0 0.00	1 1.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 5.56	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	4 2.12	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.65	1 1.54	1 0.48	0 0.00	8 1.02
Abortion	1 0.53	0 0.00	1 1.64	2 3.17	0 0.00	1 1.54	2 0.97	0 0.00	7 0.90
Social welfare progra	4 2.12	1 1.75	1 1.64	1 1.59	2 1.65	3 4.62	6 2.90	0 0.00	18 2.30
Insurance rates	2 1.06	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.83	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	5 0.64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The media	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.59	2 1.65	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	5 0.64
Voting system	4 2.12	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	6 0.77
Redistricting	6 3.17	0 0.00	1 1.64	1 1.59	1 0.83	1 1.54	1 0.48	0 0.00	11 1.41
Utility rates	0 0.00	1 1.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.26
Electoral fraud	1 0.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	121 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	781 100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by Q22

Most Important problem, Texas	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Immigration	26 20.80	8 2.79	48 26.97	36 17.39	118 14.81
The economy	19 15.20	24 8.36	13 7.30	28 13.53	84 10.54
Unemployment/jobs	13 10.40	25 8.71	11 6.18	16 7.73	65 8.16
Border security	23 18.40	9 3.14	52 29.21	40 19.32	124 15.56
Education	10 8.00	48 16.72	9 5.06	12 5.80	79 9.91
Political corruption/	1 0.80	62 21.60	5 2.81	5 2.42	73 9.16
State budget cuts	3 2.40	22 7.67	1 0.56	10 4.83	36 4.52
Gas prices	6 4.80	12 4.18	2 1.12	9 4.35	29 3.64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Health care	2 1.60	25 8.71	1 0.56	5 2.42	33 4.14
State government spen	2 1.60	5 1.74	1 0.56	7 3.38	15 1.88
Crime and drugs	5 4.00	7 2.44	0 0.00	6 2.90	18 2.26
Moral decline	1 0.80	2 0.70	8 4.49	6 2.90	17 2.13
Taxes	1 0.80	5 1.74	2 1.12	5 2.42	13 1.63
Water supply	2 1.60	3 1.05	5 2.81	3 1.45	13 1.63
Gay marriage	1 0.80	1 0.35	1 0.56	3 1.45	6 0.75
Energy	1 0.80	0 0.00	2 1.12	2 0.97	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	2 0.70	0 0.00	1 0.48	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	2 1.60	3 1.05	2 1.12	1 0.48	8 1.00
Abortion	0 0.00	3 1.05	2 1.12	2 0.97	7 0.88
Social welfare progra	2 1.60	5 1.74	7 3.93	5 2.42	19 2.38
Insurance rates	1 0.80	2 0.70	0 0.00	2 0.97	5 0.63
The media	2 1.60	1 0.35	2 1.12	0 0.00	5 0.63
Voting system	1 0.80	4 1.39	1 0.56	0 0.00	6 0.75
Redistricting	1 0.80	7 2.44	1 0.56	2 0.97	11 1.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Utility rates	0 0.00	1 0.35	0 0.00	1 0.48	2 0.25
Electoral fraud	0 0.00	1 0.35	2 1.12	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	125 100.00	287 100.00	178 100.00	207 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by educ

Most Important problem, Texas	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Immigration	2 7.69	39 16.96	27 12.33	13 14.77	24 14.63	13 18.57	118 14.81
The economy	5 19.23	27 11.74	22 10.05	11 12.50	12 7.32	7 10.00	84 10.54
Unemployment/jobs	4 15.38	19 8.26	20 9.13	7 7.95	12 7.32	3 4.29	65 8.16
Border security	3 11.54	41 17.83	32 14.61	13 14.77	25 15.24	10 14.29	124 15.56
Education	1 3.85	12 5.22	24 10.96	2 2.27	28 17.07	12 17.14	79 9.91
Political corruption/	1 3.85	12 5.22	33 15.07	7 7.95	10 6.10	10 14.29	73 9.16
State budget cuts	0 0.00	4 1.74	11 5.02	4 4.55	13 7.93	4 5.71	36 4.52
Gas prices	0 0.00	11 4.78	11 5.02	3 3.41	4 2.44	0 0.00	29 3.64
Health care	4 15.38	15 6.52	8 3.65	1 1.14	5 3.05	0 0.00	33 4.14
State government spen	1 3.85	7 3.04	2 0.91	1 1.14	4 2.44	0 0.00	15 1.88
Crime and drugs	1 3.85	8 3.48	2 0.91	4 4.55	3 1.83	0 0.00	18 2.26

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Moral decline	0	1	6	3	5	2	17
	0.00	0.43	2.74	3.41	3.05	2.86	2.13
Taxes	0	6	4	2	1	0	13
	0.00	2.61	1.83	2.27	0.61	0.00	1.63
Water supply	0	5	3	2	3	0	13
	0.00	2.17	1.37	2.27	1.83	0.00	1.63
Gay marriage	1	1	1	1	2	0	6
	3.85	0.43	0.46	1.14	1.22	0.00	0.75
Energy	0	1	1	2	0	1	5
	0.00	0.43	0.46	2.27	0.00	1.43	0.63
Environment	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
	3.85	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.38
Transportation/roads/	0	1	2	4	1	0	8
	0.00	0.43	0.91	4.55	0.61	0.00	1.00
Abortion	1	1	3	0	1	1	7
	3.85	0.43	1.37	0.00	0.61	1.43	0.88
Social welfare progra	1	11	1	2	2	2	19
	3.85	4.78	0.46	2.27	1.22	2.86	2.38
Insurance rates	0	1	0	1	3	0	5
	0.00	0.43	0.00	1.14	1.83	0.00	0.63
The media	0	2	0	0	1	2	5
	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.61	2.86	0.63
Voting system	0	1	2	1	0	2	6
	0.00	0.43	0.91	1.14	0.00	2.86	0.75
Redistricting	0	1	3	4	2	1	11
	0.00	0.43	1.37	4.55	1.22	1.43	1.38
Utility rates	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
	0.00	0.43	0.46	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.38
Total	26	230	219	88	164	70	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q5 by attend

Most Important problem, Texas	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Immigration	19 13.29	33 20.75	19 20.43	27 12.11	20 11.63	118 14.94
The economy	12 8.39	21 13.21	11 11.83	20 8.97	19 11.05	83 10.51
Unemployment/jobs	12 8.39	13 8.18	9 9.68	15 6.73	15 8.72	64 8.10
Border security	20 13.99	28 17.61	11 11.83	40 17.94	22 12.79	121 15.32
Education	11 7.69	10 6.29	10 10.75	27 12.11	21 12.21	79 10.00
Political corruption/	11 7.69	13 8.18	7 7.53	20 8.97	22 12.79	73 9.24
State budget cuts	3 2.10	6 3.77	4 4.30	14 6.28	9 5.23	36 4.56
Gas prices	6 4.20	5 3.14	2 2.15	11 4.93	5 2.91	29 3.67
Health care	9 6.29	6 3.77	2 2.15	11 4.93	5 2.91	33 4.18
State government spen	4 2.80	1 0.63	1 1.08	3 1.35	6 3.49	15 1.90
Crime and drugs	1 0.70	1 0.63	1 1.08	11 4.93	4 2.33	18 2.28
Moral decline	10 6.99	4 2.52	2 2.15	1 0.45	0 0.00	17 2.15
Taxes	1 0.70	4 2.52	2 2.15	4 1.79	2 1.16	13 1.65
Water supply	4 2.80	2 1.26	3 3.23	1 0.45	3 1.74	13 1.65

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Gay marriage	1 0.70	1 0.63	2 2.15	2 0.90	0 0.00	6 0.76
Energy	1 0.70	1 0.63	0 0.00	3 1.35	0 0.00	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 1.74	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	0 0.00	2 1.26	3 3.23	2 0.90	1 0.58	8 1.01
Abortion	4 2.80	1 0.63	0 0.00	2 0.90	0 0.00	7 0.89
Social welfare progra	4 2.80	1 0.63	3 3.23	3 1.35	7 4.07	18 2.28
Insurance rates	4 2.80	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.58	5 0.63
The media	2 1.40	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.90	1 0.58	5 0.63
Voting system	1 0.70	2 1.26	0 0.00	2 0.90	1 0.58	6 0.76
Redistricting	1 0.70	3 1.89	1 1.08	0 0.00	5 2.91	10 1.27
Utility rates	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.90	0 0.00	2 0.25
Electoral fraud	2 1.40	1 0.63	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	143 100.00	159 100.00	93 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by race

Most Important problem, Texas	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Immigration	93 18.09	10 8.93	11 8.53	1 20.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	2 14.29	0 0.00	118 14.81

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The economy	53 10.31	11 9.82	16 12.40	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	84 10.54
Unemployment/jobs	34 6.61	20 17.86	10 7.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	65 8.16
Border security	89 17.32	7 6.25	18 13.95	1 20.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	5 35.71	1 100.00	124 15.56
Education	51 9.92	11 9.82	13 10.08	1 20.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	1 7.14	0 0.00	79 9.91
Political corruption/	35 6.81	16 14.29	17 13.18	0 0.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	3 21.43	0 0.00	73 9.16
State budget cuts	22 4.28	6 5.36	6 4.65	0 0.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	36 4.52
Gas prices	18 3.50	3 2.68	6 4.65	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	1 7.14	0 0.00	29 3.64
Health care	17 3.31	8 7.14	5 3.88	0 0.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	33 4.14
State government spen	11 2.14	3 2.68	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 7.14	0 0.00	15 1.88
Crime and drugs	10 1.95	0 0.00	7 5.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	18 2.26
Moral decline	13 2.53	2 1.79	2 1.55	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	17 2.13
Taxes	8 1.56	4 3.57	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.63
Water supply	11 2.14	1 0.89	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.63
Gay marriage	5 0.97	0 0.00	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 0.75
Energy	4 0.78	0 0.00	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
Environment	2 0.39	0 0.00	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Transportation/roads/	4 0.78	0 0.00	3 2.33	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 1.00
Abortion	4 0.78	1 0.89	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 0.88
Social welfare progra	17 3.31	1 0.89	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	19 2.38
Insurance rates	3 0.58	1 0.89	0 0.00	1 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
The media	2 0.39	0 0.00	1 0.78	1 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 7.14	0 0.00	5 0.63
Voting system	2 0.39	4 3.57	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 0.75
Redistricting	4 0.78	1 0.89	6 4.65	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	11 1.38
Utility rates	0 0.00	1 0.89	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.25
Electoral fraud	2 0.39	1 0.89	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	129 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	14 100.00	1 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by marstat

Most Important problem, Texas	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Immigration	89 18.02	0 0.00	7 6.80	9 25.00	10 8.93	3 7.69	118 14.82
The economy	50 10.12	2 16.67	9 8.74	2 5.56	16 14.29	5 12.82	84 10.55
Unemployment/jobs	33 6.68	0 0.00	16 15.53	2 5.56	12 10.71	2 5.13	65 8.17
Border security	88 17.81	3 25.00	15 14.56	4 11.11	11 9.82	3 7.69	124 15.58

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Education	56	1	6	2	11	3	79
	11.34	8.33	5.83	5.56	9.82	7.69	9.92
Political corruption/	38	1	13	4	9	8	73
	7.69	8.33	12.62	11.11	8.04	20.51	9.17
State budget cuts	13	0	6	2	14	0	35
	2.63	0.00	5.83	5.56	12.50	0.00	4.40
Gas prices	19	0	5	0	5	0	29
	3.85	0.00	4.85	0.00	4.46	0.00	3.64
Health care	15	2	9	4	1	2	33
	3.04	16.67	8.74	11.11	0.89	5.13	4.15
State government spen	10	0	2	0	3	0	15
	2.02	0.00	1.94	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.88
Crime and drugs	8	0	2	3	2	3	18
	1.62	0.00	1.94	8.33	1.79	7.69	2.26
Moral decline	16	0	1	0	0	0	17
	3.24	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.14
Taxes	4	0	4	1	2	2	13
	0.81	0.00	3.88	2.78	1.79	5.13	1.63
Water supply	7	1	2	0	3	0	13
	1.42	8.33	1.94	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.63
Gay marriage	2	0	0	1	3	0	6
	0.40	0.00	0.00	2.78	2.68	0.00	0.75
Energy	3	0	0	0	0	2	5
	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.13	0.63
Environment	1	0	0	0	1	1	3
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	2.56	0.38
Transportation/roads/	6	1	0	0	1	0	8
	1.21	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.01
Abortion	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	1.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.88
Social welfare progra	10	1	4	0	2	2	19
	2.02	8.33	3.88	0.00	1.79	5.13	2.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Insurance rates	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
The media	4	0	0	0	1	0	5
	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
Voting system	3	0	1	1	1	0	6
	0.61	0.00	0.97	2.78	0.89	0.00	0.75
Redistricting	4	0	1	1	2	3	11
	0.81	0.00	0.97	2.78	1.79	7.69	1.38
Utility rates	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.79	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	494	12	103	36	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by gender

Most Important problem, Texas	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Immigration	55	63	118
	14.86	14.75	14.81
The economy	31	53	84
	8.38	12.41	10.54
Unemployment/jobs	29	36	65
	7.84	8.43	8.16
Border security	64	60	124
	17.30	14.05	15.56
Education	39	40	79
	10.54	9.37	9.91
Political corruption/	36	37	73
	9.73	8.67	9.16

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

State budget cuts	16 4.32	20 4.68	36 4.52
Gas prices	12 3.24	17 3.98	29 3.64
Health care	5 1.35	28 6.56	33 4.14
State government spen	4 1.08	11 2.58	15 1.88
Crime and drugs	8 2.16	10 2.34	18 2.26
Moral decline	8 2.16	9 2.11	17 2.13
Taxes	7 1.89	6 1.41	13 1.63
Water supply	8 2.16	5 1.17	13 1.63
Gay marriage	4 1.08	2 0.47	6 0.75
Energy	3 0.81	2 0.47	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	3 0.70	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	6 1.62	2 0.47	8 1.00
Abortion	4 1.08	3 0.70	7 0.88
Social welfare progra	12 3.24	7 1.64	19 2.38
Insurance rates	3 0.81	2 0.47	5 0.63
The media	3 0.81	2 0.47	5 0.63

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Voting system	2 0.54	4 0.94	6 0.75
Redistricting	7 1.89	4 0.94	11 1.38
Utility rates	2 0.54	0 0.00	2 0.25
Electoral fraud	2 0.54	1 0.23	3 0.38
Total	370 100.00	427 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by locate

Most Important problem, Texas	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Immigration	15 7.32	76 18.40	27 15.17	118 14.82
The economy	23 11.22	41 9.93	20 11.24	84 10.55
Unemployment/jobs	17 8.29	37 8.96	11 6.18	65 8.17
Border security	24 11.71	64 15.50	36 20.22	124 15.58
Education	25 12.20	43 10.41	11 6.18	79 9.92
Political corruption/	20 9.76	42 10.17	11 6.18	73 9.17
State budget cuts	13 6.34	18 4.36	5 2.81	36 4.52
Gas prices	5 2.44	13 3.15	11 6.18	29 3.64
Health care	8 3.90	14 3.39	11 6.18	33 4.15

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

State government spen	6 2.93	4 0.97	5 2.81	15 1.88
Crime and drugs	11 5.37	6 1.45	1 0.56	18 2.26
Moral decline	3 1.46	10 2.42	4 2.25	17 2.14
Taxes	5 2.44	5 1.21	3 1.69	13 1.63
Water supply	1 0.49	6 1.45	6 3.37	13 1.63
Gay marriage	2 0.98	3 0.73	1 0.56	6 0.75
Energy	1 0.49	2 0.48	2 1.12	5 0.63
Environment	1 0.49	2 0.48	0 0.00	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	3 1.46	3 0.73	2 1.12	8 1.01
Abortion	3 1.46	3 0.73	1 0.56	7 0.88
Social welfare progra	5 2.44	8 1.94	5 2.81	18 2.26
Insurance rates	2 0.98	2 0.48	1 0.56	5 0.63
The media	3 1.46	1 0.24	1 0.56	5 0.63
Voting system	3 1.46	3 0.73	0 0.00	6 0.75
Redistricting	5 2.44	4 0.97	2 1.12	11 1.38
Utility rates	1 0.49	1 0.24	0 0.00	2 0.25

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Electoral fraud	0	2	1	3
	0.00	0.48	0.56	0.38
<hr/>				
Total	205	413	178	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by metro

Most Important problem, Texas	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Immigration	27	39	14	3	34	117
	17.31	16.88	17.72	3.61	13.88	14.74
The economy	17	27	5	9	26	84
	10.90	11.69	6.33	10.84	10.61	10.58
Unemployment/jobs	14	18	3	3	27	65
	8.97	7.79	3.80	3.61	11.02	8.19
Border security	19	34	9	10	52	124
	12.18	14.72	11.39	12.05	21.22	15.62
Education	9	29	12	15	14	79
	5.77	12.55	15.19	18.07	5.71	9.95
Political corruption/	20	18	9	8	17	72
	12.82	7.79	11.39	9.64	6.94	9.07
State budget cuts	7	9	3	6	11	36
	4.49	3.90	3.80	7.23	4.49	4.53
Gas prices	7	9	0	1	12	29
	4.49	3.90	0.00	1.20	4.90	3.65
Health care	11	10	3	3	6	33
	7.05	4.33	3.80	3.61	2.45	4.16
State government spen	2	3	2	1	6	14
	1.28	1.30	2.53	1.20	2.45	1.76
Crime and drugs	5	2	4	0	7	18
	3.21	0.87	5.06	0.00	2.86	2.27
Moral decline	4	2	2	6	3	17
	2.56	0.87	2.53	7.23	1.22	2.14

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Taxes	1 0.64	3 1.30	0 0.00	5 6.02	4 1.63	13 1.64
Water supply	1 0.64	5 2.16	2 2.53	0 0.00	5 2.04	13 1.64
Gay marriage	2 1.28	1 0.43	1 1.27	0 0.00	2 0.82	6 0.76
Energy	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 2.53	1 1.20	2 0.82	5 0.63
Environment	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 1.27	1 1.20	0 0.00	3 0.38
Transportation/roads/	0 0.00	1 0.43	2 2.53	3 3.61	2 0.82	8 1.01
Abortion	0 0.00	4 1.73	1 1.27	1 1.20	1 0.41	7 0.88
Social welfare progra	3 1.92	6 2.60	2 2.53	2 2.41	6 2.45	19 2.39
Insurance rates	2 1.28	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.82	5 0.63
The media	0 0.00	2 0.87	1 1.27	1 1.20	1 0.41	5 0.63
Voting system	1 0.64	1 0.43	0 0.00	2 2.41	2 0.82	6 0.76
Redistricting	2 1.28	4 1.73	0 0.00	2 2.41	3 1.22	11 1.39
Utility rates	1 0.64	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.25
Electoral fraud	1 0.64	1 0.43	1 1.27	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 0.38
Total	156 100.00	231 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	794 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q6. Retrospective Assessment, Obama

. tab2 Q6 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q6 by libcon

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Approve strongly	23 62.16	39 54.93	34 45.33	54 25.35	7 6.36	2 1.21	9 7.32	168 21.16
Approve somewhat	10 27.03	28 39.44	34 45.33	49 23.00	9 8.18	3 1.82	2 1.63	135 17.00
Neither approve nor d	1 2.70	3 4.23	3 4.00	23 10.80	5 4.55	0 0.00	0 0.00	35 4.41
Disapprove somewhat	1 2.70	1 1.41	2 2.67	23 10.80	10 9.09	11 6.67	0 0.00	48 6.05
Disapprove strongly	2 5.41	0 0.00	2 2.67	59 27.70	79 71.82	149 90.30	111 90.24	402 50.63
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 2.35	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.81	6 0.76
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by pid7

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Approve strongly	122 64.21	16 28.07	17 27.87	6 9.52	2 1.63	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	163 20.79
Approve somewhat	56 29.47	26 45.61	33 54.10	15 23.81	3 2.44	1 1.54	0 0.00	1 5.56	135 17.22
Neither approve nor d	8 4.21	6 10.53	4 6.56	8 12.70	2 1.63	5 7.69	1 0.48	1 5.56	35 4.46
Disapprove somewhat	2 1.05	3 5.26	4 6.56	9 14.29	5 4.07	12 18.46	8 3.86	5 27.78	48 6.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Disapprove strongly	1 0.53	6 10.53	3 4.92	24 38.10	111 90.24	45 69.23	198 95.65	9 50.00	397 50.64
Don't know	1 0.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.59	0 0.00	2 3.08	0 0.00	2 11.11	6 0.77
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by Q22

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Approve strongly	1 0.80	154 53.47	0 0.00	13 6.25	168 21.00
Approve somewhat	0 0.00	105 36.46	2 1.12	28 13.46	135 16.88
Neither approve nor d	3 2.40	18 6.25	2 1.12	12 5.77	35 4.38
Disapprove somewhat	11 8.80	8 2.78	8 4.47	21 10.10	48 6.00
Disapprove strongly	109 87.20	3 1.04	167 93.30	129 62.02	408 51.00
Don't know	1 0.80	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 2.40	6 0.75
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by educ

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	7 25.93	34 14.78	70 31.82	20 22.73	21 12.80	16 22.54	168 21.00
Approve somewhat	6	23	41	14	37	14	135

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	22.22	10.00	18.64	15.91	22.56	19.72	16.88
Neither approve nor d	1 3.70	19 8.26	7 3.18	3 3.41	3 1.83	2 2.82	35 4.38
Disapprove somewhat	0 0.00	10 4.35	15 6.82	6 6.82	13 7.93	4 5.63	48 6.00
Disapprove strongly	12 44.44	140 60.87	87 39.55	45 51.14	89 54.27	35 49.30	408 51.00
Don't know	1 3.70	4 1.74	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	6 0.75
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by attend

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Approve strongly	30 20.83	26 16.35	14 14.74	49 21.97	49 28.49	168 21.19
Approve somewhat	12 8.33	22 13.84	21 22.11	41 18.39	38 22.09	134 16.90
Neither approve nor d	3 2.08	7 4.40	3 3.16	14 6.28	8 4.65	35 4.41
Disapprove somewhat	7 4.86	8 5.03	9 9.47	12 5.38	12 6.98	48 6.05
Disapprove strongly	91 63.19	95 59.75	47 49.47	106 47.53	63 36.63	402 50.69
Don't know	1 0.69	1 0.63	1 1.05	1 0.45	2 1.16	6 0.76
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by race

Retrospective | Race

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Assessments, Obama	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Approve strongly	51 9.90	73 65.18	38 29.23	2 40.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	168 21.00
Approve somewhat	66 12.82	25 22.32	34 26.15	0 0.00	2 33.33	7 43.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	135 16.88
Neither approve nor d	23 4.47	6 5.36	5 3.85	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	35 4.38
Disapprove somewhat	36 6.99	3 2.68	7 5.38	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	48 6.00
Disapprove strongly	334 64.85	4 3.57	46 35.38	2 40.00	3 50.00	5 31.25	13 86.67	1 100.00	408 51.00
Don't know	5 0.97	1 0.89	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 0.75
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by marstat

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Approve strongly	79 15.93	0 0.00	38 36.54	9 25.00	26 23.21	16 41.03	168 21.03
Approve somewhat	64 12.90	4 33.33	20 19.23	5 13.89	34 30.36	7 17.95	134 16.77
Neither approve nor d	17 3.43	0 0.00	7 6.73	1 2.78	7 6.25	3 7.69	35 4.38
Disapprove somewhat	20 4.03	3 25.00	7 6.73	1 2.78	13 11.61	4 10.26	48 6.01
Disapprove strongly	312 62.90	5 41.67	31 29.81	20 55.56	31 27.68	9 23.08	408 51.06
Don't know	4 0.81	0 0.00	1 0.96	0 0.00	1 0.89	0 0.00	6 0.75
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by gender

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	70 18.77	98 22.95	168 21.00
Approve somewhat	60 16.09	75 17.56	135 16.88
Neither approve nor d	9 2.41	26 6.09	35 4.38
Disapprove somewhat	18 4.83	30 7.03	48 6.00
Disapprove strongly	216 57.91	192 44.96	408 51.00
Don't know	0 0.00	6 1.41	6 0.75
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by locate

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	64 31.07	81 19.52	23 12.92	168 21.03
Approve somewhat	41 19.90	73 17.59	21 11.80	135 16.90
Neither approve nor d	14 6.80	14 3.37	7 3.93	35 4.38
Disapprove somewhat	16 7.77	24 5.78	8 4.49	48 6.01

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Disapprove strongly	68 33.01	221 53.25	118 66.29	407 50.94
Don't know	3 1.46	2 0.48	1 0.56	6 0.75
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by metro

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Approve strongly	40 25.64	57 24.46	15 18.99	15 18.07	41 16.67	168 21.08
Approve somewhat	28 17.95	37 15.88	14 17.72	20 24.10	36 14.63	135 16.94
Neither approve nor d	10 6.41	10 4.29	1 1.27	4 4.82	10 4.07	35 4.39
Disapprove somewhat	8 5.13	15 6.44	1 1.27	9 10.84	15 6.10	48 6.02
Disapprove strongly	70 44.87	113 48.50	47 59.49	35 42.17	140 56.91	405 50.82
Don't know	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 1.27	0 0.00	4 1.63	6 0.75
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q7. Retrospective Assessments, Congress

. tab2 Q7 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q7 by libcon

Retrospective	Ideology
---------------	----------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Assessments, Congress	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Approve strongly	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	1 0.61	6 4.88	8 1.01
Approve somewhat	0 0.00	4 5.63	4 5.33	20 9.39	13 11.82	18 10.91	9 7.32	68 8.56
Neither approve nor d	5 13.51	7 9.86	4 5.33	41 19.25	23 20.91	24 14.55	13 10.57	117 14.74
Disapprove somewhat	8 21.62	8 11.27	15 20.00	52 24.41	43 39.09	50 30.30	37 30.08	213 26.83
Disapprove strongly	23 62.16	51 71.83	51 68.00	93 43.66	31 28.18	72 43.64	57 46.34	378 47.61
Don't know	1 2.70	1 1.41	1 1.33	6 2.82	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.81	10 1.26
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by pid7

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Independe	Party ID Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Approve strongly	5 2.63	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 1.63	0 0.00	1 0.48	0 0.00	8 1.02
Approve somewhat	17 8.95	3 5.26	6 9.84	2 3.17	14 11.38	5 7.69	18 8.70	0 0.00	65 8.29
Neither approve nor d	24 12.63	10 17.54	4 6.56	13 20.63	10 8.13	16 24.62	38 18.36	3 16.67	118 15.05
Disapprove somewhat	31 16.32	17 29.82	12 19.67	10 15.87	41 33.33	22 33.85	69 33.33	7 38.89	209 26.66
Disapprove strongly	110 57.89	25 43.86	38 62.30	37 58.73	56 45.53	22 33.85	80 38.65	5 27.78	373 47.58
Don't know	3 1.58	2 3.51	1 1.64	1 1.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.48	3 16.67	11 1.40
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by Q22

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Approve strongly	1 0.80	5 1.74	1 0.56	1 0.48	8 1.00
Approve somewhat	13 10.40	21 7.29	17 9.50	17 8.17	68 8.50
Neither approve nor d	24 19.20	34 11.81	20 11.17	40 19.23	118 14.75
Disapprove somewhat	46 36.80	55 19.10	50 27.93	63 30.29	214 26.75
Disapprove strongly	41 32.80	171 59.38	91 50.84	78 37.50	381 47.62
Don't know	0 0.00	2 0.69	0 0.00	9 4.33	11 1.38
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by educ

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	1 3.70	2 0.87	2 0.91	0 0.00	2 1.22	1 1.41	8 1.00
Approve somewhat	0 0.00	28 12.17	20 9.09	8 9.09	7 4.27	5 7.04	68 8.50
Neither approve nor d	4 14.81	39 16.96	31 14.09	20 22.73	18 10.98	6 8.45	118 14.75
Disapprove somewhat	5 18.52	56 24.35	56 25.45	25 28.41	53 32.32	19 26.76	214 26.75

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Disapprove strongly	15	101	109	35	81	40	381
	55.56	43.91	49.55	39.77	49.39	56.34	47.62
Don't know	2	4	2	0	3	0	11
	7.41	1.74	0.91	0.00	1.83	0.00	1.38
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by attend

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Approve strongly	6	0	0	0	2	8
	4.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.16	1.01
Approve somewhat	14	10	15	16	12	67
	9.72	6.29	15.79	7.17	6.98	8.45
Neither approve nor d	19	33	15	28	21	116
	13.19	20.75	15.79	12.56	12.21	14.63
Disapprove somewhat	44	46	21	70	33	214
	30.56	28.93	22.11	31.39	19.19	26.99
Disapprove strongly	59	68	44	107	99	377
	40.97	42.77	46.32	47.98	57.56	47.54
Don't know	2	2	0	2	5	11
	1.39	1.26	0.00	0.90	2.91	1.39
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by race

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Approve strongly	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	8
	0.39	1.79	1.54	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Approve somewhat	40	16	10	0	2	0	0	0	68
	7.77	14.29	7.69	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither approve nor d	69 13.40	21 18.75	20 15.38	1 20.00	3 50.00	1 6.25	2 13.33	1 100.00	118 14.75
Disapprove somewhat	155 30.10	16 14.29	36 27.69	1 20.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	3 20.00	0 0.00	214 26.75
Disapprove strongly	243 47.18	54 48.21	62 47.69	1 20.00	1 16.67	11 68.75	9 60.00	0 0.00	381 47.62
Don't know	6 1.17	3 2.68	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	11 1.38
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by marstat

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Approve strongly	5 1.01	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 2.68	0 0.00	8 1.00
Approve somewhat	42 8.47	0 0.00	7 6.73	5 13.89	8 7.14	6 15.38	68 8.51
Neither approve nor d	73 14.72	0 0.00	14 13.46	5 13.89	20 17.86	6 15.38	118 14.77
Disapprove somewhat	140 28.23	5 41.67	22 21.15	8 22.22	29 25.89	10 25.64	214 26.78
Disapprove strongly	231 46.57	7 58.33	59 56.73	18 50.00	48 42.86	17 43.59	380 47.56
Don't know	5 1.01	0 0.00	2 1.92	0 0.00	4 3.57	0 0.00	11 1.38
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by gender

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Gender	
	Male	Female

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total			
Approve strongly	3 0.80	5 1.17	8 1.00
Approve somewhat	27 7.24	41 9.60	68 8.50
Neither approve nor d	40 10.72	78 18.27	118 14.75
Disapprove somewhat	98 26.27	116 27.17	214 26.75
Disapprove strongly	203 54.42	178 41.69	381 47.62
Don't know	2 0.54	9 2.11	11 1.38
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by locate

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	7 3.40	1 0.24	0 0.00	8 1.00
Approve somewhat	15 7.28	40 9.64	13 7.30	68 8.51
Neither approve nor d	35 16.99	58 13.98	25 14.04	118 14.77
Disapprove somewhat	52 25.24	112 26.99	50 28.09	214 26.78
Disapprove strongly	92 44.66	200 48.19	88 49.44	380 47.56
Don't know	5 2.43	4 0.96	2 1.12	11 1.38
Total	206	415	178	799

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by metro

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Approve strongly	4 2.56	3 1.29	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.41	8 1.00
Approve somewhat	16 10.26	19 8.15	7 8.86	9 10.84	16 6.50	67 8.41
Neither approve nor d	22 14.10	36 15.45	13 16.46	9 10.84	38 15.45	118 14.81
Disapprove somewhat	41 26.28	60 25.75	19 24.05	22 26.51	72 29.27	214 26.85
Disapprove strongly	73 46.79	112 48.07	39 49.37	43 51.81	112 45.53	379 47.55
Don't know	0 0.00	3 1.29	1 1.27	0 0.00	7 2.85	11 1.38
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q8. Rick Perry Approval

. tab2 Q8 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q8 by libcon

Rick Perry, Governor	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Approve strongly	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.33	13 6.10	14 12.73	38 23.17	32 26.02	98 12.36
Approve somewhat	1 2.70	2 2.82	2 2.67	54 25.35	45 40.91	93 56.71	60 48.78	257 32.41

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither approve nor d	1 2.70	4 5.63	5 6.67	43 20.19	30 27.27	13 7.93	11 8.94	107 13.49
Disapprove somewhat	1 2.70	16 22.54	13 17.33	26 12.21	10 9.09	18 10.98	6 4.88	90 11.35
Disapprove strongly	34 91.89	49 69.01	53 70.67	69 32.39	10 9.09	2 1.22	13 10.57	230 29.00
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.33	8 3.76	1 0.91	0 0.00	1 0.81	11 1.39
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	164 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by pid7

Rick Perry, Governor	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Approve strongly	2 1.05	3 5.26	0 0.00	3 4.76	21 17.07	7 10.77	59 28.64	1 5.56	96 12.26
Approve somewhat	12 6.32	10 17.54	9 14.75	14 22.22	55 44.72	34 52.31	116 56.31	4 22.22	254 32.44
Neither approve nor d	17 8.95	12 21.05	4 6.56	16 25.40	22 17.89	11 16.92	19 9.22	6 33.33	107 13.67
Disapprove somewhat	26 13.68	12 21.05	12 19.67	4 6.35	16 13.01	9 13.85	8 3.88	2 11.11	89 11.37
Disapprove strongly	130 68.42	18 31.58	36 59.02	25 39.68	7 5.69	3 4.62	4 1.94	3 16.67	226 28.86
Don't know	3 1.58	2 3.51	0 0.00	1 1.59	2 1.63	1 1.54	0 0.00	2 11.11	11 1.40
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	206 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by Q22

Rick Perry, Governor	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Approve strongly	41 33.06	5 1.74	29 16.20	24 11.54	99 12.39
Approve somewhat	60 48.39	22 7.64	91 50.84	86 41.35	259 32.42
Neither approve nor d	14 11.29	25 8.68	25 13.97	44 21.15	108 13.52
Disapprove somewhat	6 4.84	47 16.32	21 11.73	18 8.65	92 11.51
Disapprove strongly	3 2.42	186 64.58	13 7.26	28 13.46	230 28.79
Don't know	0 0.00	3 1.04	0 0.00	8 3.85	11 1.38
Total	124 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by educ

Rick Perry, Governor	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	2 7.41	29 12.61	24 10.91	10 11.49	25 15.24	9 12.68	99 12.39
Approve somewhat	8 29.63	97 42.17	49 22.27	33 37.93	54 32.93	18 25.35	259 32.42
Neither approve nor d	3 11.11	32 13.91	30 13.64	15 17.24	20 12.20	8 11.27	108 13.52
Disapprove somewhat	3 11.11	23 10.00	37 16.82	6 6.90	13 7.93	10 14.08	92 11.51
Disapprove strongly	10 37.04	44 19.13	78 35.45	22 25.29	50 30.49	26 36.62	230 28.79
Don't know	1 3.70	5 2.17	2 0.91	1 1.15	2 1.22	0 0.00	11 1.38
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q8 by attend

Rick Perry, Governor	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Approve strongly	24 16.67	22 13.84	12 12.63	28 12.61	12 6.98	98 12.37
Approve somewhat	57 39.58	59 37.11	36 37.89	66 29.73	36 20.93	254 32.07
Neither approve nor d	14 9.72	28 17.61	12 12.63	33 14.86	21 12.21	108 13.64
Disapprove somewhat	16 11.11	23 14.47	12 12.63	24 10.81	17 9.88	92 11.62
Disapprove strongly	30 20.83	27 16.98	22 23.16	69 31.08	81 47.09	229 28.91
Don't know	3 2.08	0 0.00	1 1.05	2 0.90	5 2.91	11 1.39
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	222 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by race

Rick Perry, Governor	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Approve strongly	78 15.15	2 1.79	14 10.77	3 60.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	99 12.39
Approve somewhat	194 37.67	11 9.82	36 27.69	1 20.00	2 40.00	5 31.25	9 60.00	1 100.00	259 32.42
Neither approve nor d	66 12.82	18 16.07	18 13.85	0 0.00	1 20.00	3 18.75	2 13.33	0 0.00	108 13.52
Disapprove somewhat	45 8.74	21 18.75	23 17.69	1 20.00	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	92 11.51
Disapprove strongly	125 24.27	56 50.00	39 30.00	0 0.00	1 20.00	6 37.50	3 20.00	0 0.00	230 28.79

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	1.36	3.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38
Total	515	112	130	5	5	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by marstat

Rick Perry, Governor	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Approve strongly	79	3	7	4	5	1	99
	15.93	25.00	6.80	11.11	4.46	2.56	12.41
Approve somewhat	182	3	21	12	28	13	259
	36.69	25.00	20.39	33.33	25.00	33.33	32.46
Neither approve nor d	62	2	14	7	18	5	108
	12.50	16.67	13.59	19.44	16.07	12.82	13.53
Disapprove somewhat	54	1	13	5	16	3	92
	10.89	8.33	12.62	13.89	14.29	7.69	11.53
Disapprove strongly	113	3	47	8	41	17	229
	22.78	25.00	45.63	22.22	36.61	43.59	28.70
Don't know	6	0	1	0	4	0	11
	1.21	0.00	0.97	0.00	3.57	0.00	1.38
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by gender

Rick Perry, Governor	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	48	51	99
	12.87	11.97	12.39
Approve somewhat	126	133	259
	33.78	31.22	32.42

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither approve nor d	46 12.33	62 14.55	108 13.52
Disapprove somewhat	50 13.40	42 9.86	92 11.51
Disapprove strongly	103 27.61	127 29.81	230 28.79
Don't know	0 0.00	11 2.58	11 1.38
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by locate

Rick Perry, Governor	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	22 10.73	56 13.49	21 11.80	99 12.41
Approve somewhat	46 22.44	135 32.53	77 43.26	258 32.33
Neither approve nor d	31 15.12	53 12.77	24 13.48	108 13.53
Disapprove somewhat	23 11.22	48 11.57	21 11.80	92 11.53
Disapprove strongly	80 39.02	116 27.95	34 19.10	230 28.82
Don't know	3 1.46	7 1.69	1 0.56	11 1.38
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by metro

Rick Perry, Governor	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Approve strongly	20 12.82	25 10.78	16 20.25	9 10.84	28 11.38	98 12.31
Approve somewhat	44 28.21	67 28.88	25 31.65	25 30.12	96 39.02	257 32.29
Neither approve nor d	20 12.82	36 15.52	9 11.39	8 9.64	35 14.23	108 13.57
Disapprove somewhat	19 12.18	31 13.36	6 7.59	7 8.43	29 11.79	92 11.56
Disapprove strongly	53 33.97	70 30.17	22 27.85	34 40.96	51 20.73	230 28.89
Don't know	0 0.00	3 1.29	1 1.27	0 0.00	7 2.85	11 1.38
Total	156 100.00	232 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Q9. Country Direction

. tab2 Q9 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q9 by libcon

Country direction	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Right direction	28 75.68	55 77.46	52 69.33	89 41.78	16 14.55	6 3.64	9 7.32	255 32.12
Wrong track	6 16.22	6 8.45	11 14.67	98 46.01	90 81.82	156 94.55	111 90.24	478 60.20
Don't know	3 8.11	10 14.08	12 16.00	26 12.21	4 3.64	3 1.82	3 2.44	61 7.68
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by pid7

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Country direction	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Right direction	154 81.05	34 59.65	35 57.38	15 23.81	5 4.07	3 4.62	4 1.93	0 0.00	250 31.89
Wrong track	14 7.37	15 26.32	16 26.23	41 65.08	117 95.12	56 86.15	200 96.62	14 77.78	473 60.33
Don't know	22 11.58	8 14.04	10 16.39	7 11.11	1 0.81	6 9.23	3 1.45	4 22.22	61 7.78
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by Q22

Country direction	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Right direction	5 4.00	218 75.69	1 0.56	31 14.90	255 31.87
Wrong track	114 91.20	37 12.85	178 99.44	155 74.52	484 60.50
Don't know	6 4.80	33 11.46	0 0.00	22 10.58	61 7.62
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by educ

Country direction	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Right direction	8 29.63	53 23.04	98 44.55	29 32.95	41 25.00	26 36.62	255 31.87
Wrong track	15 55.56	156 67.83	111 50.45	55 62.50	105 64.02	42 59.15	484 60.50
Don't know	4	21	11	4	18	3	61

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	14.81	9.13	5.00	4.55	10.98	4.23	7.62
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by attend

Country direction	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Right direction	39	39	29	80	68	255
	27.08	24.53	30.53	35.87	39.53	32.16
Wrong track	101	108	54	131	84	478
	70.14	67.92	56.84	58.74	48.84	60.28
Don't know	4	12	12	12	20	60
	2.78	7.55	12.63	5.38	11.63	7.57
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by race

Country direction	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Right direction	95	85	64	2	1	6	2	0	255
	18.45	75.89	49.23	40.00	16.67	37.50	13.33	0.00	31.87
Wrong track	381	17	59	3	4	6	13	1	484
	73.98	15.18	45.38	60.00	66.67	37.50	86.67	100.00	60.50
Don't know	39	10	7	0	1	4	0	0	61
	7.57	8.93	5.38	0.00	16.67	25.00	0.00	0.00	7.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by marstat

Country direction	Marital Status					Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single Domestic	
Right direction	129	4	48	11	40	254

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	26.01	33.33	46.15	30.56	35.71	56.41	31.79
Wrong track	344 69.35	7 58.33	46 44.23	22 61.11	50 44.64	15 38.46	484 60.58
Don't know	23 4.64	1 8.33	10 9.62	3 8.33	22 19.64	2 5.13	61 7.63
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by gender

Country direction	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Right direction	115 30.83	140 32.79	255 31.87
Wrong track	243 65.15	241 56.44	484 60.50
Don't know	15 4.02	46 10.77	61 7.62
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by locate

Country direction	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Right direction	85 41.26	131 31.57	39 21.91	255 31.91
Wrong track	96 46.60	257 61.93	130 73.03	483 60.45
Don't know	25 12.14	27 6.51	9 5.06	61 7.63
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q9 by metro

Country direction	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Right direction	54 34.62	81 34.76	28 35.44	28 33.73	64 26.02	255 31.99
Wrong track	88 56.41	134 57.51	50 63.29	50 60.24	159 64.63	481 60.35
Don't know	14 8.97	18 7.73	1 1.27	5 6.02	23 9.35	61 7.65
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q10. National Economy

. tab2 Q10 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q10 by libcon

National Economy	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
A lot better off	14 37.84	12 16.90	9 12.00	17 7.98	0 0.00	0 0.00	7 5.69	59 7.43
Somewhat better off	15 40.54	47 66.20	51 68.00	65 30.52	15 13.64	6 3.64	3 2.44	202 25.44
About the same	4 10.81	9 12.68	12 16.00	58 27.23	26 23.64	34 20.61	16 13.01	159 20.03
Somewhat worse off	1 2.70	3 4.23	2 2.67	35 16.43	38 34.55	50 30.30	25 20.33	154 19.40
A lot worse off	2 5.41	0 0.00	1 1.33	33 15.49	31 28.18	75 45.45	72 58.54	214 26.95
Don't know	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	6

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	2.70	0.00	0.00	2.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.76
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by pid7

National Economy	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
A lot better off	46	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	58
	24.21	7.02	6.56	3.17	1.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.40
Somewhat better off	106	28	37	13	6	5	2	1	198
	55.79	49.12	60.66	20.63	4.88	7.69	0.97	5.56	25.26
About the same	30	17	13	16	23	22	34	3	158
	15.79	29.82	21.31	25.40	18.70	33.85	16.43	16.67	20.15
Somewhat worse off	5	6	6	9	36	21	65	6	154
	2.63	10.53	9.84	14.29	29.27	32.31	31.40	33.33	19.64
A lot worse off	2	2	0	21	56	17	106	6	210
	1.05	3.51	0.00	33.33	45.53	26.15	51.21	33.33	26.79
Don't know	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	6
	0.53	0.00	1.64	3.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.77
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by Q22

National Economy	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
A lot better off	1	57	0	1	59
	0.80	19.79	0.00	0.48	7.38
Somewhat better off	4	165	6	27	202
	3.20	57.29	3.35	12.98	25.25
About the same	30	51	29	49	159
	24.00	17.71	16.20	23.56	19.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat worse off	45 36.00	11 3.82	47 26.26	54 25.96	157 19.62
A lot worse off	45 36.00	2 0.69	97 54.19	73 35.10	217 27.12
Don't know	0 0.00	2 0.69	0 0.00	4 1.92	6 0.75
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by educ

National Economy	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
A lot better off	3 11.11	11 4.78	20 9.09	7 7.95	13 7.93	5 7.04	59 7.38
Somewhat better off	3 11.11	38 16.52	78 35.45	20 22.73	38 23.17	25 35.21	202 25.25
About the same	5 18.52	47 20.43	37 16.82	16 18.18	38 23.17	16 22.54	159 19.88
Somewhat worse off	7 25.93	50 21.74	32 14.55	21 23.86	32 19.51	15 21.13	157 19.62
A lot worse off	9 33.33	80 34.78	53 24.09	23 26.14	42 25.61	10 14.08	217 27.12
Don't know	0 0.00	4 1.74	0 0.00	1 1.14	1 0.61	0 0.00	6 0.75
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by attend

National Economy	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
A lot better off	13 9.03	5 3.14	5 5.26	19 8.52	17 9.88	59 7.44

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat better off	24 16.67	33 20.75	28 29.47	57 25.56	59 34.30	201 25.35
About the same	25 17.36	39 24.53	20 21.05	46 20.63	29 16.86	159 20.05
Somewhat worse off	28 19.44	35 22.01	17 17.89	45 20.18	31 18.02	156 19.67
A lot worse off	54 37.50	47 29.56	25 26.32	55 24.66	31 18.02	212 26.73
Don't know	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.45	5 2.91	6 0.76
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by race

National Economy	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
A lot better off	13 2.52	23 20.54	19 14.62	2 40.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	59 7.38
Somewhat better off	96 18.64	57 50.89	39 30.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	9 56.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	202 25.25
About the same	100 19.42	23 20.54	27 20.77	0 0.00	4 66.67	2 12.50	3 20.00	0 0.00	159 19.88
Somewhat worse off	127 24.66	5 4.46	18 13.85	1 20.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	3 20.00	1 100.00	157 19.62
A lot worse off	173 33.59	4 3.57	27 20.77	2 40.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	7 46.67	0 0.00	217 27.12
Don't know	6 1.17	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 0.75
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

National Economy	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
A lot better off	28 5.65	0 0.00	7 6.73	4 11.11	14 12.50	6 15.38	59 7.38
Somewhat better off	101 20.36	3 25.00	42 40.38	6 16.67	37 33.04	12 30.77	201 25.16
About the same	92 18.55	2 16.67	19 18.27	7 19.44	29 25.89	10 25.64	159 19.90
Somewhat worse off	111 22.38	3 25.00	17 16.35	8 22.22	13 11.61	5 12.82	157 19.65
A lot worse off	163 32.86	4 33.33	18 17.31	11 30.56	16 14.29	5 12.82	217 27.16
Don't know	1 0.20	0 0.00	1 0.96	0 0.00	3 2.68	1 2.56	6 0.75
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by gender

National Economy	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
A lot better off	27 7.24	32 7.49	59 7.38
Somewhat better off	95 25.47	107 25.06	202 25.25
About the same	65 17.43	94 22.01	159 19.88
Somewhat worse off	81 21.72	76 17.80	157 19.62
A lot worse off	105 28.15	112 26.23	217 27.12
Don't know	0	6	6

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.00	1.41	0.75
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by locate

National Economy	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
A lot better off	26	27	6	59
	12.62	6.51	3.37	7.38
Somewhat better off	62	106	34	202
	30.10	25.54	19.10	25.28
About the same	43	91	25	159
	20.87	21.93	14.04	19.90
Somewhat worse off	36	82	38	156
	17.48	19.76	21.35	19.52
A lot worse off	35	108	74	217
	16.99	26.02	41.57	27.16
Don't know	4	1	1	6
	1.94	0.24	0.56	0.75
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by metro

National Economy	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
A lot better off	15	20	6	2	16	59
	9.62	8.58	7.59	2.41	6.50	7.40
Somewhat better off	44	58	21	30	49	202
	28.21	24.89	26.58	36.14	19.92	25.35
About the same	36	57	13	16	37	159
	23.08	24.46	16.46	19.28	15.04	19.95
Somewhat worse off	22	45	20	16	53	156

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	14.10	19.31	25.32	19.28	21.54	19.57
A lot worse off	39 25.00	52 22.32	18 22.78	19 22.89	87 35.37	215 26.98
Don't know	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 1.27	0 0.00	4 1.63	6 0.75
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q11. Texas Direction

. tab2 Q11 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q11 by libcon

Texas direction	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Right direction	6 16.22	16 22.54	17 22.67	80 37.56	61 55.45	111 67.27	83 67.48	374 47.10
Wrong track	24 64.86	45 63.38	47 62.67	79 37.09	28 25.45	26 15.76	27 21.95	276 34.76
Don't know	7 18.92	10 14.08	11 14.67	54 25.35	21 19.09	28 16.97	13 10.57	144 18.14
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by pid7

Texas direction	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Right direction	51 26.84	19 33.33	14 22.95	25 39.68	73 59.35	33 50.77	154 74.40	2 11.11	371 47.32
Wrong track	105 55.26	25 43.86	36 59.02	26 41.27	26 21.14	19 29.23	24 11.59	11 61.11	272 34.69

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	34 17.89	13 22.81	11 18.03	12 19.05	24 19.51	13 20.00	29 14.01	5 27.78	141 17.98
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by Q22

Texas direction	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Right direction	86 68.80	74 25.69	119 66.48	98 47.12	377 47.12
Wrong track	25 20.00	161 55.90	37 20.67	55 26.44	278 34.75
Don't know	14 11.20	53 18.40	23 12.85	55 26.44	145 18.12
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by educ

Texas direction	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Right direction	10 37.04	110 47.83	92 41.82	43 48.86	89 54.27	33 46.48	377 47.12
Wrong track	13 48.15	70 30.43	87 39.55	26 29.55	53 32.32	29 40.85	278 34.75
Don't know	4 14.81	50 21.74	41 18.64	19 21.59	22 13.41	9 12.68	145 18.12
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by attend

Texas direction	Religion participation				Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	
				Never	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Right direction	80	83	50	108	52	373
	55.56	52.20	52.63	48.43	30.23	47.04
Wrong track	37	45	30	80	84	276
	25.69	28.30	31.58	35.87	48.84	34.80
Don't know	27	31	15	35	36	144
	18.75	19.50	15.79	15.70	20.93	18.16
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by race

Texas direction	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Right direction	253	46	56	4	5	4	8	1	377
	49.13	41.07	43.08	80.00	83.33	25.00	53.33	100.00	47.12
Wrong track	170	46	48	1	0	7	6	0	278
	33.01	41.07	36.92	20.00	0.00	43.75	40.00	0.00	34.75
Don't know	92	20	26	0	1	5	1	0	145
	17.86	17.86	20.00	0.00	16.67	31.25	6.67	0.00	18.12
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by marstat

Texas direction	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Right direction	275	7	27	17	39	12	377
	55.44	58.33	25.96	47.22	34.82	30.77	47.18
Wrong track	144	4	55	9	46	19	277
	29.03	33.33	52.88	25.00	41.07	48.72	34.67
Don't know	77	1	22	10	27	8	145
	15.52	8.33	21.15	27.78	24.11	20.51	18.15
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q11 by gender

Texas direction	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Right direction	200 53.62	177 41.45	377 47.12
Wrong track	122 32.71	156 36.53	278 34.75
Don't know	51 13.67	94 22.01	145 18.12
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by locate

Texas direction	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Right direction	76 36.89	210 50.60	91 51.12	377 47.18
Wrong track	83 40.29	137 33.01	57 32.02	277 34.67
Don't know	47 22.82	68 16.39	30 16.85	145 18.15
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by metro

Texas direction	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Right direction	85 54.49	108 46.35	38 48.10	35 42.17	108 43.90	374 46.93

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Wrong track	50 32.05	83 35.62	31 39.24	36 43.37	78 31.71	278 34.88
Don't know	21 13.46	42 18.03	10 12.66	12 14.46	60 24.39	145 18.19
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q12. Personal Economic Evaluations

. tab2 Q12 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q12 by libcon

Personal Econ	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
A lot better off	4 10.81	10 14.08	7 9.33	9 4.23	3 2.73	3 1.82	5 4.07	41 5.16
Somewhat better off	10 27.03	21 29.58	15 20.00	49 23.00	18 16.36	20 12.12	12 9.76	145 18.26
About the same	16 43.24	35 49.30	37 49.33	80 37.56	44 40.00	73 44.24	41 33.33	326 41.06
Somewhat worse off	3 8.11	5 7.04	14 18.67	49 23.00	37 33.64	48 29.09	35 28.46	191 24.06
A lot worse off	3 8.11	0 0.00	2 2.67	22 10.33	8 7.27	21 12.73	30 24.39	86 10.83
Don't know	1 2.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 1.88	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by pid7

Personal Econ	7 point Party ID							Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

A lot better off	26 13.68	3 5.26	4 6.56	1 1.59	3 2.44	1 1.54	2 0.97	1 5.56	41 5.23
Somewhat better off	59 31.05	16 28.07	16 26.23	12 19.05	9 7.32	9 13.85	21 10.14	2 11.11	144 18.37
About the same	84 44.21	21 36.84	31 50.82	22 34.92	42 34.15	29 44.62	90 43.48	3 16.67	322 41.07
Somewhat worse off	17 8.95	14 24.56	9 14.75	14 22.22	45 36.59	18 27.69	67 32.37	6 33.33	190 24.23
A lot worse off	2 1.05	3 5.26	1 1.64	13 20.63	24 19.51	8 12.31	27 13.04	4 22.22	82 10.46
Don't know	2 1.05	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 11.11	5 0.64
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by Q22

Personal Econ	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
A lot better off	2 1.60	33 11.46	0 0.00	6 2.88	41 5.12
Somewhat better off	16 12.80	82 28.47	19 10.61	28 13.46	145 18.12
About the same	59 47.20	134 46.53	68 37.99	67 32.21	328 41.00
Somewhat worse off	35 28.00	35 12.15	55 30.73	69 33.17	194 24.25
A lot worse off	13 10.40	2 0.69	37 20.67	35 16.83	87 10.88
Don't know	0 0.00	2 0.69	0 0.00	3 1.44	5 0.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by educ

Personal Econ	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
A lot better off	0 0.00	8 3.48	13 5.91	4 4.55	11 6.71	5 7.04	41 5.12
Somewhat better off	6 22.22	27 11.74	46 20.91	15 17.05	31 18.90	20 28.17	145 18.12
About the same	9 33.33	93 40.43	97 44.09	38 43.18	62 37.80	29 40.85	328 41.00
Somewhat worse off	8 29.63	63 27.39	48 21.82	20 22.73	44 26.83	11 15.49	194 24.25
A lot worse off	4 14.81	36 15.65	16 7.27	10 11.36	15 9.15	6 8.45	87 10.88
Don't know	0 0.00	3 1.30	0 0.00	1 1.14	1 0.61	0 0.00	5 0.62
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by attend

Personal Econ	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
A lot better off	13 9.03	6 3.77	2 2.11	8 3.59	12 6.98	41 5.17
Somewhat better off	24 16.67	26 16.35	20 21.05	47 21.08	28 16.28	145 18.28
About the same	54 37.50	72 45.28	30 31.58	93 41.70	76 44.19	325 40.98
Somewhat worse off	34 23.61	37 23.27	30 31.58	53 23.77	38 22.09	192 24.21
A lot worse off	18	18	13	22	14	85

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	12.50	11.32	13.68	9.87	8.14	10.72
Don't know	1 0.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 2.33	5 0.63
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by race

Personal Econ	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
A lot better off	15 2.91	15 13.39	7 5.38	2 40.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	41 5.12
Somewhat better off	70 13.59	41 36.61	29 22.31	0 0.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	145 18.12
About the same	214 41.55	43 38.39	57 43.85	2 40.00	3 50.00	6 37.50	2 13.33	1 100.00	328 41.00
Somewhat worse off	143 27.77	12 10.71	26 20.00	1 20.00	1 16.67	4 25.00	7 46.67	0 0.00	194 24.25
A lot worse off	68 13.20	1 0.89	11 8.46	0 0.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	4 26.67	0 0.00	87 10.88
Don't know	5 0.97	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.62
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by marstat

Personal Econ	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
A lot better off	25 5.04	0 0.00	2 1.92	2 5.56	9 8.04	3 7.69	41 5.13
Somewhat better off	88 17.74	4 33.33	17 16.35	3 8.33	24 21.43	9 23.08	145 18.15
About the same	208	1	44	11	46	17	327

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	41.94	8.33	42.31	30.56	41.07	43.59	40.93
Somewhat worse off	121 24.40	4 33.33	29 27.88	15 41.67	18 16.07	7 17.95	194 24.28
A lot worse off	53 10.69	3 25.00	11 10.58	5 13.89	12 10.71	3 7.69	87 10.89
Don't know	1 0.20	0 0.00	1 0.96	0 0.00	3 2.68	0 0.00	5 0.63
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by gender

Personal Econ	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
A lot better off	19 5.09	22 5.15	41 5.12
Somewhat better off	73 19.57	72 16.86	145 18.12
About the same	154 41.29	174 40.75	328 41.00
Somewhat worse off	84 22.52	110 25.76	194 24.25
A lot worse off	43 11.53	44 10.30	87 10.88
Don't know	0 0.00	5 1.17	5 0.62
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by locate

Personal Econ	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

A lot better off	18 8.74	18 4.34	5 2.81	41 5.13
Somewhat better off	31 15.05	88 21.20	26 14.61	145 18.15
About the same	98 47.57	168 40.48	62 34.83	328 41.05
Somewhat worse off	43 20.87	100 24.10	50 28.09	193 24.16
A lot worse off	13 6.31	40 9.64	34 19.10	87 10.89
Don't know	3 1.46	1 0.24	1 0.56	5 0.63
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by metro

Personal Econ	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
A lot better off	12 7.69	13 5.58	3 3.80	4 4.82	9 3.66	41 5.14
Somewhat better off	38 24.36	41 17.60	16 20.25	18 21.69	32 13.01	145 18.19
About the same	64 41.03	104 44.64	34 43.04	36 43.37	90 36.59	328 41.15
Somewhat worse off	31 19.87	52 22.32	18 22.78	20 24.10	70 28.46	191 23.96
A lot worse off	11 7.05	22 9.44	7 8.86	5 6.02	42 17.07	87 10.92
Don't know	0 0.00	1 0.43	1 1.27	0 0.00	3 1.22	5 0.63
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q13. Texas Economy Compared to a Year Ago

. tab2 Q13 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q13 by libcon

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
A lot better off	2 5.41	4 5.63	5 6.76	10 4.72	1 0.91	6 3.64	7 5.69	35 4.42
Somewhat better off	7 18.92	21 29.58	28 37.84	42 19.81	21 19.09	49 29.70	30 24.39	198 25.00
About the same	18 48.65	35 49.30	28 37.84	93 43.87	65 59.09	77 46.67	52 42.28	368 46.46
Somewhat worse off	5 13.51	6 8.45	9 12.16	38 17.92	16 14.55	30 18.18	25 20.33	129 16.29
A lot worse off	4 10.81	4 5.63	2 2.70	19 8.96	4 3.64	3 1.82	5 4.07	41 5.18
Don't know	1 2.70	1 1.41	2 2.70	10 4.72	3 2.73	0 0.00	4 3.25	21 2.65
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	74 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by pid7

Texas economy compared to a year ago	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
A lot better off	16 8.51	2 3.51	2 3.28	1 1.59	9 7.32	0 0.00	5 2.42	0 0.00	35 4.48
Somewhat better off	53 28.19	17 29.82	22 36.07	6 9.52	28 22.76	13 20.00	57 27.54	0 0.00	196 25.06

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

About the same	83 44.15	25 43.86	25 40.98	37 58.73	57 46.34	35 53.85	98 47.34	4 22.22	364 46.55
Somewhat worse off	22 11.70	10 17.54	7 11.48	8 12.70	21 17.07	13 20.00	37 17.87	9 50.00	127 16.24
A lot worse off	7 3.72	3 5.26	3 4.92	8 12.70	5 4.07	4 6.15	7 3.38	2 11.11	39 4.99
Don't know	7 3.72	0 0.00	2 3.28	3 4.76	3 2.44	0 0.00	3 1.45	3 16.67	21 2.69
Total	188 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	782 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by Q22

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
A lot better off	6 4.80	20 6.99	6 3.35	3 1.44	35 4.39
Somewhat better off	36 28.80	82 28.67	45 25.14	36 17.31	199 24.94
About the same	52 41.60	130 45.45	84 46.93	104 50.00	370 46.37
Somewhat worse off	23 18.40	33 11.54	34 18.99	42 20.19	132 16.54
A lot worse off	8 6.40	12 4.20	7 3.91	14 6.73	41 5.14
Don't know	0 0.00	9 3.15	3 1.68	9 4.33	21 2.63
Total	125 100.00	286 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by educ

Texas economy |

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

compared to a year ago	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
A lot better off	0 0.00	6 2.63	11 5.00	7 7.95	8 4.88	3 4.23	35 4.39
Somewhat better off	4 14.81	55 24.12	44 20.00	24 27.27	47 28.66	25 35.21	199 24.94
About the same	12 44.44	102 44.74	115 52.27	34 38.64	76 46.34	31 43.66	370 46.37
Somewhat worse off	10 37.04	45 19.74	32 14.55	14 15.91	24 14.63	7 9.86	132 16.54
A lot worse off	1 3.70	14 6.14	12 5.45	3 3.41	7 4.27	4 5.63	41 5.14
Don't know	0 0.00	6 2.63	6 2.73	6 6.82	2 1.22	1 1.41	21 2.63
Total	27 100.00	228 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by attend

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
A lot better off	10 7.04	8 5.03	5 5.26	4 1.79	7 4.07	34 4.30
Somewhat better off	33 23.24	45 28.30	25 26.32	55 24.66	40 23.26	198 25.03
About the same	60 42.25	77 48.43	35 36.84	113 50.67	83 48.26	368 46.52
Somewhat worse off	28 19.72	19 11.95	24 25.26	33 14.80	26 15.12	130 16.43
A lot worse off	6 4.23	7 4.40	3 3.16	14 6.28	10 5.81	40 5.06
Don't know	5 3.52	3 1.89	3 3.16	4 1.79	6 3.49	21 2.65

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	142	159	95	223	172	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by race

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
A lot better off	11	12	10	2	0	0	0	0	35
	2.14	10.71	7.81	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.39
Somewhat better off	124	35	29	1	3	2	5	0	199
	24.08	31.25	22.66	20.00	50.00	12.50	33.33	0.00	24.94
About the same	249	45	59	1	1	10	4	1	370
	48.35	40.18	46.09	20.00	16.67	62.50	26.67	100.00	46.37
Somewhat worse off	93	10	21	1	1	2	4	0	132
	18.06	8.93	16.41	20.00	16.67	12.50	26.67	0.00	16.54
A lot worse off	25	5	7	0	1	1	2	0	41
	4.85	4.46	5.47	0.00	16.67	6.25	13.33	0.00	5.14
Don't know	13	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	21
	2.52	4.46	1.56	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	2.63
Total	515	112	128	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by marstat

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
A lot better off	23	1	1	3	7	0	35
	4.66	8.33	0.96	8.33	6.25	0.00	4.39
Somewhat better off	134	1	21	8	25	10	199
	27.13	8.33	20.19	22.22	22.32	25.64	24.97
About the same	226	5	44	18	58	18	369
	45.75	41.67	42.31	50.00	51.79	46.15	46.30

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat worse off	83 16.80	4 33.33	25 24.04	5 13.89	9 8.04	6 15.38	132 16.56
A lot worse off	18 3.64	1 8.33	8 7.69	2 5.56	9 8.04	3 7.69	41 5.14
Don't know	10 2.02	0 0.00	5 4.81	0 0.00	4 3.57	2 5.13	21 2.63
Total	494 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by gender

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
A lot better off	16 4.30	19 4.46	35 4.39
Somewhat better off	109 29.30	90 21.13	199 24.94
About the same	170 45.70	200 46.95	370 46.37
Somewhat worse off	53 14.25	79 18.54	132 16.54
A lot worse off	16 4.30	25 5.87	41 5.14
Don't know	8 2.15	13 3.05	21 2.63
Total	372 100.00	426 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by locate

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

A lot better off	12 5.83	19 4.59	4 2.26	35 4.39
Somewhat better off	50 24.27	106 25.60	43 24.29	199 24.97
About the same	86 41.75	209 50.48	74 41.81	369 46.30
Somewhat worse off	35 16.99	56 13.53	41 23.16	132 16.56
A lot worse off	11 5.34	17 4.11	13 7.34	41 5.14
Don't know	12 5.83	7 1.69	2 1.13	21 2.63
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	177 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by metro

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
A lot better off	13 8.33	7 3.00	2 2.53	4 4.82	9 3.69	35 4.40
Somewhat better off	38 24.36	69 29.61	20 25.32	20 24.10	51 20.90	198 24.91
About the same	75 48.08	108 46.35	37 46.84	39 46.99	110 45.08	369 46.42
Somewhat worse off	20 12.82	37 15.88	10 12.66	13 15.66	51 20.90	131 16.48
A lot worse off	4 2.56	8 3.43	8 10.13	6 7.23	15 6.15	41 5.16
Don't know	6 3.85	4 1.72	2 2.53	1 1.20	8 3.28	21 2.64
Total	156	233	79	83	244	795

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

Q14. Children's Future

. tab2 Q14 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q14 by libcon

Children in the future better off or worse off	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Better off	12 32.43	30 42.25	31 41.33	55 25.82	12 10.91	23 13.94	19 15.45	182 22.92
Worse off	5 13.51	12 16.90	15 20.00	74 34.74	55 50.00	99 60.00	82 66.67	342 43.07
About the same	7 18.92	20 28.17	24 32.00	45 21.13	14 12.73	21 12.73	7 5.69	138 17.38
Don't know	13 35.14	9 12.68	5 6.67	39 18.31	29 26.36	22 13.33	15 12.20	132 16.62
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by pid7

Children in the future better off or worse off	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Better off	90 47.37	20 35.09	15 24.59	13 20.63	11 8.94	6 9.23	22 10.63	2 11.11	179 22.83
Worse off	26 13.68	19 33.33	17 27.87	22 34.92	83 67.48	35 53.85	130 62.80	5 27.78	337 42.98
About the same	49 25.79	8 14.04	19 31.15	10 15.87	8 6.50	10 15.38	31 14.98	3 16.67	138 17.60

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	25 13.16	10 17.54	10 16.39	18 28.57	21 17.07	14 21.54	24 11.59	8 44.44	130 16.58
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by Q22

Children in the future better off or worse off	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Better off	15 12.00	123 42.71	15 8.38	30 14.42	183 22.88
Worse off	62 49.60	50 17.36	130 72.63	102 49.04	344 43.00
About the same	25 20.00	72 25.00	12 6.70	31 14.90	140 17.50
Don't know	23 18.40	43 14.93	22 12.29	45 21.63	133 16.62
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by educ

Children in the future better off or worse off	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Better off	5 18.52	28 12.17	69 31.36	17 19.32	47 28.66	17 23.94	183 22.88
Worse off	12 44.44	115 50.00	79 35.91	42 47.73	66 40.24	30 42.25	344 43.00
About the same	8 29.63	44 19.13	31 14.09	12 13.64	25 15.24	20 28.17	140 17.50
Don't know	2 7.41	43 18.70	41 18.64	17 19.32	26 15.85	4 5.63	133 16.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by attend

Children in the future better off or worse off	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Better off	43	31	24	45	37	180
	29.86	19.50	25.26	20.18	21.51	22.70
Worse off	63	81	44	96	56	340
	43.75	50.94	46.32	43.05	32.56	42.88
About the same	17	26	11	44	42	140
	11.81	16.35	11.58	19.73	24.42	17.65
Don't know	21	21	16	38	37	133
	14.58	13.21	16.84	17.04	21.51	16.77
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by race

Children in the future better off or worse off	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Better off	66	66	44	2	2	1	2	0	183
	12.82	58.93	33.85	40.00	33.33	6.25	13.33	0.00	22.88
Worse off	274	12	41	2	1	3	10	1	344
	53.20	10.71	31.54	40.00	16.67	18.75	66.67	100.00	43.00
About the same	88	18	23	1	2	5	3	0	140
	17.09	16.07	17.69	20.00	33.33	31.25	20.00	0.00	17.50
Don't know	87	16	22	0	1	7	0	0	133
	16.89	14.29	16.92	0.00	16.67	43.75	0.00	0.00	16.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by marstat

Children in the future better off or worse off	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Better off	103 20.77	2 16.67	28 26.92	9 25.00	30 26.79	11 28.21	183 22.90
Worse off	246 49.60	6 50.00	34 32.69	16 44.44	29 25.89	13 33.33	344 43.05
About the same	85 17.14	3 25.00	23 22.12	5 13.89	17 15.18	6 15.38	139 17.40
Don't know	62 12.50	1 8.33	19 18.27	6 16.67	36 32.14	9 23.08	133 16.65
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by gender

Children in the future better off or worse off	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Better off	87 23.32	96 22.48	183 22.88
Worse off	169 45.31	175 40.98	344 43.00
About the same	65 17.43	75 17.56	140 17.50
Don't know	52 13.94	81 18.97	133 16.62
Total	373	427	800

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by locate

Children in the future better off or worse off	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Better off	57 27.67	97 23.37	29 16.29	183 22.90
Worse off	66 32.04	186 44.82	91 51.12	343 42.93
About the same	43 20.87	68 16.39	29 16.29	140 17.52
Don't know	40 19.42	64 15.42	29 16.29	133 16.65
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by metro

Children in the future better off or worse off	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Better off	49 31.41	50 21.46	18 22.78	19 22.89	47 19.11	183 22.96
Worse off	59 37.82	99 42.49	38 48.10	36 43.37	109 44.31	341 42.79
About the same	25 16.03	45 19.31	10 12.66	16 19.28	44 17.89	140 17.57
Don't know	23 14.74	39 16.74	13 16.46	12 14.46	46 18.70	133 16.69
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q15. National Economy, Better off/Worse Off

. tab2 Q15 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q15 by libcon

National economy worst over or yet to come	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Worst is over	28 75.68	54 76.06	43 57.33	76 35.68	17 15.45	17 10.30	14 11.38	249 31.36
Worst is yet to come	6 16.22	6 8.45	10 13.33	82 38.50	56 50.91	113 68.48	86 69.92	359 45.21
Don't know	3 8.11	11 15.49	22 29.33	55 25.82	37 33.64	35 21.21	23 18.70	186 23.43
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by pid7

National economy worst over or yet to come	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Worst is over	135 71.05	28 49.12	35 57.38	14 22.22	11 8.94	8 12.31	14 6.76	0 0.00	245 31.25
Worst is yet to come	21 11.05	13 22.81	12 19.67	29 46.03	85 69.11	39 60.00	139 67.15	14 77.78	352 44.90
Don't know	34 17.89	16 28.07	14 22.95	20 31.75	27 21.95	18 27.69	54 26.09	4 22.22	187 23.85
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by Q22

National economy worst over or yet to come	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Worst is over	17 13.60	196 68.06	10 5.59	26 12.50	249 31.13
Worst is yet to come	70 56.00	35 12.15	136 75.98	120 57.69	361 45.12
Don't know	38 30.40	57 19.79	33 18.44	62 29.81	190 23.75
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by educ

National economy worst over or yet to come	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Worst is over	8 29.63	52 22.61	86 39.09	24 27.27	50 30.49	29 40.85	249 31.13
Worst is yet to come	15 55.56	120 52.17	87 39.55	38 43.18	73 44.51	28 39.44	361 45.12
Don't know	4 14.81	58 25.22	47 21.36	26 29.55	41 25.00	14 19.72	190 23.75
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by attend

National economy worst over or yet to come	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Worst is over	37 25.69	37 23.27	31 32.63	74 33.18	70 40.70	249 31.40
Worst is yet to come	80 55.56	76 47.80	44 46.32	96 43.05	61 35.47	357 45.02
Don't know	27 18.75	46 28.93	20 21.05	53 23.77	41 23.84	187 23.58
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by race

National economy worst over or yet to come	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Worst is over	105 20.39	71 63.39	60 46.15	2 40.00	2 33.33	7 43.75	2 13.33	0 0.00	249 31.13
Worst is yet to come	282 54.76	13 11.61	46 35.38	3 60.00	3 50.00	4 25.00	9 60.00	1 100.00	361 45.12
Don't know	128 24.85	28 25.00	24 18.46	0 0.00	1 16.67	5 31.25	4 26.67	0 0.00	190 23.75
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by marstat

National economy worst over or yet to come	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Worst is over	124 25.00	5 41.67	42 40.38	12 33.33	45 40.18	20 51.28	248 31.04
Worst is yet to come	256 51.61	7 58.33	35 33.65	15 41.67	38 33.93	10 25.64	361 45.18
Don't know	116 23.39	0 0.00	27 25.96	9 25.00	29 25.89	9 23.08	190 23.78
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by gender

National economy worst over or yet to come	Gender	
	Male	Female
Total		

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Worst is over	115	134	249
	30.83	31.38	31.13
Worst is yet to come	176	185	361
	47.18	43.33	45.12
Don't know	82	108	190
	21.98	25.29	23.75
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by locate

National economy worst over or yet to come	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Worst is over	79	132	38	249
	38.35	31.81	21.35	31.16
Worst is yet to come	69	192	99	360
	33.50	46.27	55.62	45.06
Don't know	58	91	41	190
	28.16	21.93	23.03	23.78
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by metro

National economy worst over or yet to come	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Worst is over	53	79	25	30	62	249
	33.97	33.91	31.65	36.14	25.20	31.24
Worst is yet to come	73	92	35	36	122	358
	46.79	39.48	44.30	43.37	49.59	44.92
Don't know	30	62	19	17	62	190
	19.23	26.61	24.05	20.48	25.20	23.84

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q16. Money Owed on Credit Cards

. tab2 Q16 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q16 by libcon

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Owe a lot more than y	6	5	8	39	14	18	17	107
	16.22	7.04	10.81	18.31	12.73	10.91	13.82	13.49
Owe a little more tha	2	14	10	29	16	17	23	111
	5.41	19.72	13.51	13.62	14.55	10.30	18.70	14.00
Owe about what you ca	8	15	16	50	30	38	26	183
	21.62	21.13	21.62	23.47	27.27	23.03	21.14	23.08
Owe less than what yo	5	22	14	33	17	34	21	146
	13.51	30.99	18.92	15.49	15.45	20.61	17.07	18.41
Don't have any debts	8	8	16	29	21	36	19	137
	21.62	11.27	21.62	13.62	19.09	21.82	15.45	17.28
Don't have any credit	7	5	10	28	12	18	16	96
	18.92	7.04	13.51	13.15	10.91	10.91	13.01	12.11
Don't know	1	2	0	5	0	4	1	13
	2.70	2.82	0.00	2.35	0.00	2.42	0.81	1.64
Total	37	71	74	213	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by pid7

Money owed on credit cards and loans	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Owe a lot more than y	22	11	6	10	19	10	24	3	105
	11.58	19.30	10.00	15.87	15.45	15.38	11.59	16.67	13.41

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Owe a little more tha	24 12.63	9 15.79	8 13.33	9 14.29	16 13.01	9 13.85	34 16.43	2 11.11	111 14.18
Owe about what you ca	45 23.68	15 26.32	17 28.33	13 20.63	22 17.89	14 21.54	54 26.09	2 11.11	182 23.24
Owe less than what yo	45 23.68	10 17.54	13 21.67	7 11.11	22 17.89	9 13.85	32 15.46	3 16.67	141 18.01
Don't have any debts	24 12.63	6 10.53	10 16.67	14 22.22	29 23.58	13 20.00	36 17.39	4 22.22	136 17.37
Don't have any credit	25 13.16	5 8.77	6 10.00	9 14.29	13 10.57	10 15.38	24 11.59	3 16.67	95 12.13
Don't know	5 2.63	1 1.75	0 0.00	1 1.59	2 1.63	0 0.00	3 1.45	1 5.56	13 1.66
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	60 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by Q22

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Owe a lot more than y	21 16.80	38 13.24	21 11.73	29 13.94	109 13.64
Owe a little more tha	25 20.00	38 13.24	22 12.29	28 13.46	113 14.14
Owe about what you ca	27 21.60	66 23.00	44 24.58	48 23.08	185 23.15
Owe less than what yo	16 12.80	63 21.95	36 20.11	31 14.90	146 18.27
Don't have any debts	19 15.20	38 13.24	37 20.67	43 20.67	137 17.15
Don't have any credit	16 12.80	38 13.24	16 8.94	26 12.50	96 12.02
Don't know	1 0.80	6 2.09	3 1.68	3 1.44	13 1.63

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	125	287	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by educ

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Owe a lot more than y	3 11.11	38 16.52	28 12.73	13 14.77	21 12.88	6 8.45	109 13.64
Owe a little more tha	3 11.11	32 13.91	35 15.91	10 11.36	23 14.11	10 14.08	113 14.14
Owe about what you ca	4 14.81	56 24.35	45 20.45	27 30.68	39 23.93	14 19.72	185 23.15
Owe less than what yo	8 29.63	29 12.61	49 22.27	14 15.91	31 19.02	15 21.13	146 18.27
Don't have any debts	6 22.22	38 16.52	35 15.91	11 12.50	30 18.40	17 23.94	137 17.15
Don't have any credit	3 11.11	33 14.35	24 10.91	10 11.36	17 10.43	9 12.68	96 12.02
Don't know	0 0.00	4 1.74	4 1.82	3 3.41	2 1.23	0 0.00	13 1.63
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	163 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by attend

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Owe a lot more than y	26 18.06	21 13.21	11 11.58	25 11.21	24 14.04	107 13.51
Owe a little more tha	21 14.58	28 17.61	15 15.79	25 11.21	24 14.04	113 14.27
Owe about what you ca	32 22.22	31 19.50	18 18.95	62 27.80	40 23.39	183 23.11

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Owe less than what yo	19	30	22	50	25	146
	13.19	18.87	23.16	22.42	14.62	18.43
Don't have any debts	26	27	14	31	36	134
	18.06	16.98	14.74	13.90	21.05	16.92
Don't have any credit	18	17	14	28	19	96
	12.50	10.69	14.74	12.56	11.11	12.12
Don't know	2	5	1	2	3	13
	1.39	3.14	1.05	0.90	1.75	1.64
Total	144	159	95	223	171	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by race

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Owe a lot more than y	69	16	16	2	1	2	3	0	109
	13.42	14.29	12.31	40.00	16.67	12.50	20.00	0.00	13.64
Owe a little more tha	76	19	11	0	3	2	2	0	113
	14.79	16.96	8.46	0.00	50.00	12.50	13.33	0.00	14.14
Owe about what you ca	113	30	36	0	0	2	3	1	185
	21.98	26.79	27.69	0.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	100.00	23.15
Owe less than what yo	90	18	34	0	0	2	2	0	146
	17.51	16.07	26.15	0.00	0.00	12.50	13.33	0.00	18.27
Don't have any debts	100	10	19	2	0	4	2	0	137
	19.46	8.93	14.62	40.00	0.00	25.00	13.33	0.00	17.15
Don't have any credit	59	16	11	1	2	4	3	0	96
	11.48	14.29	8.46	20.00	33.33	25.00	20.00	0.00	12.02
Don't know	7	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	13
	1.36	2.68	2.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Owe a lot more than y	65 13.13	3 25.00	16 15.38	4 11.11	17 15.18	4 10.26	109 13.66
Owe a little more tha	68 13.74	3 25.00	19 18.27	5 13.89	12 10.71	6 15.38	113 14.16
Owe about what you ca	128 25.86	1 8.33	19 18.27	7 19.44	20 17.86	10 25.64	185 23.18
Owe less than what yo	101 20.40	2 16.67	20 19.23	4 11.11	11 9.82	7 17.95	145 18.17
Don't have any debts	83 16.77	2 16.67	16 15.38	9 25.00	22 19.64	5 12.82	137 17.17
Don't have any credit	42 8.48	1 8.33	13 12.50	6 16.67	27 24.11	7 17.95	96 12.03
Don't know	8 1.62	0 0.00	1 0.96	1 2.78	3 2.68	0 0.00	13 1.63
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by gender

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Owe a lot more than y	45 12.10	64 14.99	109 13.64
Owe a little more tha	46 12.37	67 15.69	113 14.14
Owe about what you ca	87 23.39	98 22.95	185 23.15
Owe less than what yo	89 23.92	57 13.35	146 18.27

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't have any debts	66 17.74	71 16.63	137 17.15
Don't have any credit	36 9.68	60 14.05	96 12.02
Don't know	3 0.81	10 2.34	13 1.63
Total	372 100.00	427 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by locate

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Owe a lot more than y	34 16.59	53 12.77	22 12.36	109 13.66
Owe a little more tha	22 10.73	64 15.42	26 14.61	112 14.04
Owe about what you ca	42 20.49	96 23.13	47 26.40	185 23.18
Owe less than what yo	33 16.10	91 21.93	22 12.36	146 18.30
Don't have any debts	37 18.05	65 15.66	35 19.66	137 17.17
Don't have any credit	33 16.10	42 10.12	21 11.80	96 12.03
Don't know	4 1.95	4 0.96	5 2.81	13 1.63
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by metro

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

109 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Owe a lot more than y	23 14.84	32 13.73	6 7.59	13 15.66	35 14.23	109 13.69
Owe a little more tha	19 12.26	34 14.59	12 15.19	12 14.46	35 14.23	112 14.07
Owe about what you ca	37 23.87	59 25.32	21 26.58	13 15.66	54 21.95	184 23.12
Owe less than what yo	32 20.65	37 15.88	15 18.99	17 20.48	45 18.29	146 18.34
Don't have any debts	22 14.19	44 18.88	14 17.72	20 24.10	37 15.04	137 17.21
Don't have any credit	21 13.55	25 10.73	10 12.66	6 7.23	33 13.41	95 11.93
Don't know	1 0.65	2 0.86	1 1.27	2 2.41	7 2.85	13 1.63
Total	155 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Q17. Presidential Vote Choice

. tab2 Q17F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q17F by libcon

Q17F	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
0	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Mitt Romney	2 5.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	74 34.74	86 78.18	156 94.55	107 86.99	425 53.53
Barack Obama	34 91.89	70 98.59	67 89.33	115 53.99	17 15.45	5 3.03	10 8.13	318 40.05
Someone else	1 2.70	1 1.41	8 10.67	23 10.80	7 6.36	4 2.42	6 4.88	50 6.30

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by pid7

Q17F	7 point Party ID									Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t		
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.13	
Mitt Romney	2	9	3	25	113	56	205	7	420	
	1.05	15.79	4.92	39.68	91.87	86.15	99.03	38.89	53.57	
Barack Obama	186	47	53	18	3	3	1	2	313	
	97.89	82.46	86.89	28.57	2.44	4.62	0.48	11.11	39.92	
Someone else	2	1	5	20	7	5	1	9	50	
	1.05	1.75	8.20	31.75	5.69	7.69	0.48	50.00	6.38	
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784	
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

-> tabulation of Q17F by Q22

Q17F	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.12
Mitt Romney	120	8	164	139	431
	96.00	2.78	91.62	66.83	53.87
Barack Obama	2	270	1	45	318
	1.60	93.75	0.56	21.63	39.75
Someone else	3	10	14	23	50
	2.40	3.47	7.82	11.06	6.25
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by educ

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q17F	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
0	0 0.00	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.12
Mitt Romney	11 40.74	146 63.48	95 43.18	50 56.82	93 56.71	36 50.70	431 53.87
Barack Obama	14 51.85	69 30.00	113 51.36	36 40.91	56 34.15	30 42.25	318 39.75
Someone else	2 7.41	14 6.09	12 5.45	2 2.27	15 9.15	5 7.04	50 6.25
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by attend

Q17F	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
0	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.58	1 0.13
Mitt Romney	95 65.97	101 63.52	54 56.84	112 50.22	63 36.63	425 53.59
Barack Obama	40 27.78	49 30.82	37 38.95	98 43.95	93 54.07	317 39.97
Someone else	9 6.25	9 5.66	4 4.21	13 5.83	15 8.72	50 6.31
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by race

Q17F	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
0	1 0.19	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	346	8	55	3	2	4	12	1	431
Mitt Romney	67.18	7.14	42.31	60.00	33.33	25.00	80.00	100.00	53.87
Barack Obama	126	104	72	1	3	10	2	0	318
	24.47	92.86	55.38	20.00	50.00	62.50	13.33	0.00	39.75
Someone else	42	0	3	1	1	2	1	0	50
	8.16	0.00	2.31	20.00	16.67	12.50	6.67	0.00	6.25
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by marstat

Q17F	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.13
Mitt Romney	321	7	37	19	37	10	431
	64.72	58.33	35.58	52.78	33.04	25.64	53.94
Barack Obama	149	4	62	14	63	25	317
	30.04	33.33	59.62	38.89	56.25	64.10	39.67
Someone else	26	1	5	3	12	3	50
	5.24	8.33	4.81	8.33	10.71	7.69	6.26
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by gender

Q17F	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.23	0.12
Mitt Romney	222	209	431
	59.52	48.95	53.87

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Barack Obama	132 35.39	186 43.56	318 39.75
Someone else	19 5.09	31 7.26	50 6.25
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by locate

Q17F	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
0	1 0.49	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Mitt Romney	80 38.83	230 55.42	120 67.42	430 53.82
Barack Obama	112 54.37	159 38.31	47 26.40	318 39.80
Someone else	13 6.31	26 6.27	11 6.18	50 6.26
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by metro

Q17F	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
0	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.41	1 0.13
Mitt Romney	76 48.72	120 51.50	46 58.23	37 44.58	149 60.57	428 53.70
Barack Obama	71 45.51	99 42.49	29 36.71	37 44.58	82 33.33	318 39.90
Someone else	9 5.77	14 6.01	4 5.06	9 10.84	14 5.69	50 6.27

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q18. Senate Vote Choice

. tab2 Q18F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q18F by libcon

Q18F	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	1	1	2	62	83	154	103	406
	2.70	1.41	2.67	29.11	75.45	93.33	83.74	51.13
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	33	63	64	105	18	4	11	298
	89.19	88.73	85.33	49.30	16.36	2.42	8.94	37.53
John Jay Myers (Liber)	1	5	3	9	3	4	4	29
	2.70	7.04	4.00	4.23	2.73	2.42	3.25	3.65
David Collins (Green)	1	2	4	4	1	0	0	12
	2.70	2.82	5.33	1.88	0.91	0.00	0.00	1.51
Someone else	1	0	2	32	5	3	5	48
	2.70	0.00	2.67	15.02	4.55	1.82	4.07	6.05
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by pid7

Q18F	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	0	10	1	22	109	52	203	6	403
	0.00	17.54	1.64	34.92	88.62	80.00	98.07	33.33	51.40

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Paul Sadler (Democrat)	182 95.79	42 73.68	42 68.85	18 28.57	3 2.44	5 7.69	0 0.00	1 5.56	293 37.37
John Jay Myers (Liber)	1 0.53	2 3.51	9 14.75	5 7.94	5 4.07	1 1.54	0 0.00	4 22.22	27 3.44
David Collins (Green)	2 1.05	0 0.00	6 9.84	1 1.59	1 0.81	1 1.54	0 0.00	1 5.56	12 1.53
Someone else	5 2.63	3 5.26	3 4.92	17 26.98	4 3.25	6 9.23	4 1.93	6 33.33	48 6.12
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by Q22

Q18F	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
0	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.48	1 0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	118 94.40	6 2.08	160 89.39	127 61.06	411 51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	2 1.60	257 89.24	5 2.79	35 16.83	299 37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber)	0 0.00	9 3.12	8 4.47	12 5.77	29 3.62
David Collins (Green)	0 0.00	8 2.78	1 0.56	3 1.44	12 1.50
Someone else	5 4.00	8 2.78	5 2.79	30 14.42	48 6.00
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by educ

Q18F	Education					Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

116 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41
Ted Cruz (Republican)	9	142	88	45	90	37	411
	33.33	61.74	40.00	51.14	54.88	52.11	51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	9	63	112	35	54	26	299
	33.33	27.39	50.91	39.77	32.93	36.62	37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber)	2	6	4	5	9	3	29
	7.41	2.61	1.82	5.68	5.49	4.23	3.62
David Collins (Green)	3	2	1	1	2	3	12
	11.11	0.87	0.45	1.14	1.22	4.23	1.50
Someone else	4	17	15	2	9	1	48
	14.81	7.39	6.82	2.27	5.49	1.41	6.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by attend

Q18F	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	91	91	47	110	67	406
	63.19	57.23	49.47	49.33	38.95	51.20
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	41	47	37	90	82	297
	28.47	29.56	38.95	40.36	47.67	37.45
John Jay Myers (Liber)	3	6	4	9	7	29
	2.08	3.77	4.21	4.04	4.07	3.66
David Collins (Green)	1	1	2	3	5	12
	0.69	0.63	2.11	1.35	2.91	1.51
Someone else	8	14	5	11	10	48
	5.56	8.81	5.26	4.93	5.81	6.05
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q18F by race

Q18F	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
0	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	334 64.85	7 6.25	50 38.46	3 60.00	3 50.00	2 12.50	11 73.33	1 100.00	411 51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	121 23.50	98 87.50	66 50.77	1 20.00	1 16.67	10 62.50	2 13.33	0 0.00	299 37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber)	23 4.47	3 2.68	1 0.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	29 3.62
David Collins (Green)	8 1.55	1 0.89	2 1.54	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	12 1.50
Someone else	29 5.63	3 2.68	11 8.46	1 20.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	1 6.67	0 0.00	48 6.00
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by marstat

Q18F	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
0	1 0.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	306 61.69	5 41.67	35 33.65	17 47.22	36 32.14	11 28.21	410 51.31
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	145 29.23	6 50.00	54 51.92	14 38.89	60 53.57	20 51.28	299 37.42
John Jay Myers (Liber)	11 2.22	1 8.33	5 4.81	2 5.56	6 5.36	4 10.26	29 3.63
David Collins (Green)	4 0.81	0 0.00	4 3.85	0 0.00	3 2.68	1 2.56	12 1.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Someone else	29 5.85	0 0.00	6 5.77	3 8.33	7 6.25	3 7.69	48 6.01
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by gender

Q18F	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
0	1 0.27	0 0.00	1 0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	220 58.98	191 44.73	411 51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	123 32.98	176 41.22	299 37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber)	9 2.41	20 4.68	29 3.62
David Collins (Green)	8 2.14	4 0.94	12 1.50
Someone else	12 3.22	36 8.43	48 6.00
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by locate

Q18F	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
0	0 0.00	1 0.24	0 0.00	1 0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	80 38.83	223 53.73	107 60.11	410 51.31

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

119 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Paul Sadler (Democrat)	102 49.51	148 35.66	49 27.53	299 37.42
John Jay Myers (Liber)	6 2.91	19 4.58	4 2.25	29 3.63
David Collins (Green)	7 3.40	3 0.72	2 1.12	12 1.50
Someone else	11 5.34	21 5.06	16 8.99	48 6.01
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by metro

Q18F	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
0	1 0.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	68 43.59	115 49.36	46 58.23	37 44.58	142 57.72	408 51.19
Paul Sadler (Democrat)	69 44.23	89 38.20	28 35.44	35 42.17	78 31.71	299 37.52
John Jay Myers (Liber)	9 5.77	13 5.58	0 0.00	3 3.61	4 1.63	29 3.64
David Collins (Green)	3 1.92	2 0.86	0 0.00	5 6.02	2 0.81	12 1.51
Someone else	6 3.85	14 6.01	5 6.33	3 3.61	20 8.13	48 6.02
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q19. Railroad Commission Vote Choice

. tab2 Q19F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

120 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q19F by libcon

Q19F	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
0	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.94	2 1.82	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)	1 2.70	0 0.00	4 5.33	62 29.11	79 71.82	153 92.73	98 79.67	397 50.00
Dale Henry (Democrat)	31 83.78	62 87.32	52 69.33	101 47.42	14 12.73	4 2.42	11 8.94	275 34.63
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	1 2.70	5 7.04	4 5.33	14 6.57	7 6.36	4 2.42	7 5.69	42 5.29
Chris Kennedy (Green)	3 8.11	4 5.63	13 17.33	9 4.23	4 3.64	1 0.61	1 0.81	35 4.41
Someone else	1 2.70	0 0.00	2 2.67	25 11.74	4 3.64	3 1.82	6 4.88	41 5.16
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by pid7

Q19F	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
0	1 0.53	2 3.51	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.51
Christi Craddick (Rep)	2 1.05	7 12.28	3 4.92	20 31.75	103 83.74	57 87.69	197 95.17	3 16.67	392 50.00
Dale Henry (Democrat)	173 91.05	43 75.44	38 62.30	13 20.63	2 1.63	0 0.00	1 0.48	2 11.11	272 34.69
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	2 1.05	1 1.75	8 13.11	9 14.29	10 8.13	1 1.54	5 2.42	4 22.22	40 5.10
Chris Kennedy (Green)	10 5.26	2 3.51	12 19.67	4 6.35	2 1.63	2 3.08	1 0.48	1 5.56	34 4.34

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Someone else	2	2	0	17	6	4	3	8	42
	1.05	3.51	0.00	26.98	4.88	6.15	1.45	44.44	5.36
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by Q22

Q19F	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
0	0	1	0	3	4
	0.00	0.35	0.00	1.44	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)	114	9	152	127	402
	91.20	3.12	84.92	61.06	50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	3	242	4	26	275
	2.40	84.03	2.23	12.50	34.38
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	4	10	15	13	42
	3.20	3.47	8.38	6.25	5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	1	23	1	10	35
	0.80	7.99	0.56	4.81	4.38
Someone else	3	3	7	29	42
	2.40	1.04	3.91	13.94	5.25
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by educ

Q19F	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
	0.00	0.87	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)	10	140	89	42	86	35	402
	37.04	60.87	40.45	47.73	52.44	49.30	50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	8	60	102	34	50	21	275
	29.63	26.09	46.36	38.64	30.49	29.58	34.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	1	3	11	5	15	7	42
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	3.70	1.30	5.00	5.68	9.15	9.86	5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	14.81	3.48	2.73	5.68	3.66	8.45	4.38
Someone else	14.81	7.39	4.55	2.27	4.27	2.82	5.25
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by attend

Q19F	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
0	0	0	1	1	2	4
	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.45	1.16	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	86	92	50	105	63	396
	59.72	57.86	52.63	47.09	36.63	49.94
Dale Henry (Democrat)	36	43	33	84	78	274
	25.00	27.04	34.74	37.67	45.35	34.55
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	11	8	4	10	9	42
	7.64	5.03	4.21	4.48	5.23	5.30
Chris Kennedy (Green)	5	2	4	12	12	35
	3.47	1.26	4.21	5.38	6.98	4.41
Someone else	6	14	3	11	8	42
	4.17	8.81	3.16	4.93	4.65	5.30
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by race

Q19F	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.39	0.89	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Christi Craddick (Rep)	323 62.72	8 7.14	50 38.46	3 60.00	3 50.00	3 18.75	11 73.33	1 100.00	402 50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	108 20.97	92 82.14	62 47.69	0 0.00	1 16.67	10 62.50	2 13.33	0 0.00	275 34.38
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	34 6.60	4 3.57	1 0.77	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	42 5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	20 3.88	6 5.36	7 5.38	0 0.00	2 33.33	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	35 4.38
Someone else	28 5.44	1 0.89	9 6.92	1 20.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	1 6.67	0 0.00	42 5.25
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by marstat

Q19F	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
0	1 0.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 2.78	2 1.79	0 0.00	4 0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)	298 60.08	4 33.33	34 32.69	16 44.44	37 33.04	13 33.33	402 50.31
Dale Henry (Democrat)	137 27.62	6 50.00	48 46.15	10 27.78	53 47.32	20 51.28	274 34.29
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	26 5.24	1 8.33	7 6.73	2 5.56	5 4.46	1 2.56	42 5.26
Chris Kennedy (Green)	13 2.62	0 0.00	10 9.62	4 11.11	6 5.36	2 5.13	35 4.38
Someone else	21 4.23	1 8.33	5 4.81	3 8.33	9 8.04	3 7.69	42 5.26
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by gender

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Female Total	Q19F	Gender		
		Male		
	0	2	2	4
		0.54	0.47	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)		207	195	402
		55.50	45.67	50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)		116	159	275
		31.10	37.24	34.38
Vivekandanda Wall (Li		23	19	42
		6.17	4.45	5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)		16	19	35
		4.29	4.45	4.38
Someone else		9	33	42
		2.41	7.73	5.25
Total		373	427	800
		100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by locate

Q19F	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
0	0	2	2	4
	0.00	0.48	1.12	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)	74	218	109	401
	35.92	52.53	61.24	50.19
Dale Henry (Democrat)	102	132	41	275
	49.51	31.81	23.03	34.42
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	10	22	10	42
	4.85	5.30	5.62	5.26
Chris Kennedy (Green)	10	19	6	35
	4.85	4.58	3.37	4.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Someone else	10 4.85	22 5.30	10 5.62	42 5.26
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by metro

Q19F	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
0	1 0.64	0 0.00	1 1.27	1 1.20	1 0.41	4 0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep)	70 44.87	113 48.50	45 56.96	37 44.58	134 54.47	399 50.06
Dale Henry (Democrat)	62 39.74	81 34.76	20 25.32	34 40.96	78 31.71	275 34.50
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	13 8.33	13 5.58	3 3.80	4 4.82	9 3.66	42 5.27
Chris Kennedy (Green)	7 4.49	12 5.15	5 6.33	5 6.02	6 2.44	35 4.39
Someone else	3 1.92	14 6.01	5 6.33	2 2.41	18 7.32	42 5.27
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q20. Incumbent State Representative Support

. tab2 Q20 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q20 by libcon

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Deserves reelection	10 27.03	14 19.72	19 25.33	42 19.81	46 41.82	77 46.67	64 52.03	272 34.30

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Time to give someone	25 67.57	37 52.11	41 54.67	103 48.58	32 29.09	51 30.91	32 26.02	321 40.48
Don't know	2 5.41	20 28.17	15 20.00	67 31.60	32 29.09	37 22.42	27 21.95	200 25.22
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by pid7

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Deserves reelection	42 22.11	17 29.82	16 26.23	15 23.81	56 45.53	14 21.54	106 51.21	1 5.88	267 34.10
Time to give someone	102 53.68	25 43.86	36 59.02	28 44.44	42 34.15	23 35.38	52 25.12	9 52.94	317 40.49
Don't know	46 24.21	15 26.32	9 14.75	20 31.75	25 20.33	28 43.08	49 23.67	7 41.18	199 25.42
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	17 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by Q22

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Deserves reelection	54 43.20	71 24.65	83 46.37	65 31.40	273 34.17
Time to give someone	35 28.00	154 53.47	61 34.08	75 36.23	325 40.68
Don't know	36 28.80	63 21.88	35 19.55	67 32.37	201 25.16
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	207 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q20 by educ

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Deserves reelection	6	74	67	30	68	28	273
	22.22	32.31	30.45	34.09	41.46	39.44	34.17
Time to give someone	16	90	88	35	68	28	325
	59.26	39.30	40.00	39.77	41.46	39.44	40.68
Don't know	5	65	65	23	28	15	201
	18.52	28.38	29.55	26.14	17.07	21.13	25.16
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by attend

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Deserves reelection	57	58	40	67	47	269
	39.58	36.48	42.11	30.18	27.33	33.96
Time to give someone	55	59	41	89	80	324
	38.19	37.11	43.16	40.09	46.51	40.91
Don't know	32	42	14	66	45	199
	22.22	26.42	14.74	29.73	26.16	25.13
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by race

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Deserves reelection	194	29	35	3	2	3	6	1	273
	37.74	25.89	26.92	60.00	33.33	18.75	40.00	100.00	34.17

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Time to give someone	203	43	62	1	2	7	7	0	325
	39.49	38.39	47.69	20.00	33.33	43.75	46.67	0.00	40.68
-----	-----								
Don't know	117	40	33	1	2	6	2	0	201
	22.76	35.71	25.38	20.00	33.33	37.50	13.33	0.00	25.16
-----	-----								
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by marstat

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Deserves reelection	175	3	41	16	29	9	273
	35.28	25.00	39.81	44.44	25.89	23.08	34.21
Time to give someone	202	7	37	13	48	17	324
	40.73	58.33	35.92	36.11	42.86	43.59	40.60
-----	-----						
Don't know	119	2	25	7	35	13	201
	23.99	16.67	24.27	19.44	31.25	33.33	25.19
-----	-----						
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by gender

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Deserves reelection	152	121	273
	40.75	28.40	34.17
Time to give someone	143	182	325
	38.34	42.72	40.68
-----	-----		
Don't know	78	123	201
	20.91	28.87	25.16
-----	-----		
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q20 by locate

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Deserves reelection	70 33.98	150 36.23	53 29.78	273 34.21
Time to give someone	72 34.95	172 41.55	81 45.51	325 40.73
Don't know	64 31.07	92 22.22	44 24.72	200 25.06
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by metro

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Deserves reelection	55 35.26	78 33.62	29 36.71	33 39.76	77 31.30	272 34.17
Time to give someone	63 40.38	105 45.26	28 35.44	30 36.14	98 39.84	324 40.70
Don't know	38 24.36	49 21.12	22 27.85	20 24.10	71 28.86	200 25.13
Total	156 100.00	232 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Q21. 2014 Lt. Governor Primary

. tab2 Q21 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q21 by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
David Dewhurst	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 2.67	19 8.92	22 20.18	38 23.03	23 19.01	104 13.16
Susan Combs	0 0.00	1 1.43	3 4.00	11 5.16	7 6.42	3 1.82	12 9.92	37 4.68
Dan Patrick	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 1.41	7 6.42	16 9.70	11 9.09	37 4.68
Dan Branch	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	1 0.61	3 2.48	5 0.63
Jerry Patterson	1 2.70	1 1.43	1 1.33	1 0.47	1 0.92	5 3.03	5 4.13	15 1.90
Todd Staples	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.47	3 2.75	5 3.03	2 1.65	11 1.39
I won't be voting in	28 75.68	58 82.86	49 65.33	77 36.15	14 12.84	8 4.85	8 6.61	242 30.63
Haven't thought enoug	8 21.62	10 14.29	20 26.67	100 46.95	55 50.46	89 53.94	57 47.11	339 42.91
Total	37 100.00	70 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	109 100.00	165 100.00	121 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by pid7

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
David Dewhurst	3 1.59	6 10.53	3 4.92	4 6.35	23 18.70	11 16.92	53 25.98	2 11.11	105 13.46
Susan Combs	2 1.06	1 1.75	6 9.84	3 4.76	11 8.94	6 9.23	8 3.92	0 0.00	37 4.74
Dan Patrick	2 1.06	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 4.76	9 7.32	1 1.54	18 8.82	2 11.11	35 4.49
Dan Branch	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.53	1.75	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.51
Jerry Patterson	2 1.06	1 1.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 4.07	1 1.54	6 2.94	0 0.00	15 1.92
Todd Staples	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.59	4 3.25	3 4.62	3 1.47	0 0.00	11 1.41
I won't be voting in	145 76.72	29 50.88	30 49.18	22 34.92	7 5.69	2 3.08	1 0.49	1 5.56	237 30.38
Haven't thought enoug	34 17.99	19 33.33	22 36.07	30 47.62	63 51.22	40 61.54	115 56.37	13 72.22	336 43.08
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	204 100.00	18 100.00	780 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by Q22

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
David Dewhurst	36 28.80	4 1.39	35 19.66	32 15.53	107 13.44
Susan Combs	8 6.40	9 3.14	15 8.43	5 2.43	37 4.65
Dan Patrick	4 3.20	2 0.70	22 12.36	9 4.37	37 4.65
Dan Branch	1 0.80	2 0.70	2 1.12	0 0.00	5 0.63
Jerry Patterson	5 4.00	4 1.39	3 1.69	3 1.46	15 1.88
Todd Staples	2 1.60	0 0.00	8 4.49	1 0.49	11 1.38
I won't be voting in	4 3.20	199 69.34	7 3.93	32 15.53	242 30.40
Haven't thought enoug	65 52.00	67 23.34	86 48.31	124 60.19	342 42.96

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	125	287	178	206	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by educ

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
David Dewhurst	0 0.00	37 16.23	18 8.22	17 19.54	25 15.24	10 14.08	107 13.44
Susan Combs	0 0.00	12 5.26	11 5.02	2 2.30	8 4.88	4 5.63	37 4.65
Dan Patrick	0 0.00	13 5.70	7 3.20	7 8.05	9 5.49	1 1.41	37 4.65
Dan Branch	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.91	0 0.00	2 1.22	1 1.41	5 0.63
Jerry Patterson	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 2.74	2 2.30	3 1.83	4 5.63	15 1.88
Todd Staples	0 0.00	4 1.75	1 0.46	1 1.15	2 1.22	3 4.23	11 1.38
I won't be voting in	11 40.74	54 23.68	86 39.27	24 27.59	44 26.83	23 32.39	242 30.40
Haven't thought enoug	16 59.26	108 47.37	88 40.18	34 39.08	71 43.29	25 35.21	342 42.96
Total	27 100.00	228 100.00	219 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by attend

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
David Dewhurst	25 17.48	24 15.29	12 12.63	32 14.41	12 6.98	105 13.31
Susan Combs	8	9	4	9	7	37

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	5.59	5.73	4.21	4.05	4.07	4.69
Dan Patrick	9 6.29	8 5.10	4 4.21	11 4.95	3 1.74	35 4.44
Dan Branch	1 0.70	2 1.27	1 1.05	0 0.00	1 0.58	5 0.63
Jerry Patterson	3 2.10	2 1.27	1 1.05	9 4.05	0 0.00	15 1.90
Todd Staples	3 2.10	4 2.55	2 2.11	0 0.00	2 1.16	11 1.39
I won't be voting in	32 22.38	30 19.11	28 29.47	71 31.98	80 46.51	241 30.54
Haven't thought enoug	62 43.36	78 49.68	43 45.26	90 40.54	67 38.95	340 43.09
Total	143 100.00	157 100.00	95 100.00	222 100.00	172 100.00	789 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by race

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
David Dewhurst	81 15.79	4 3.60	16 12.40	1 20.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	3 20.00	0 0.00	107 13.44
Susan Combs	27 5.26	5 4.50	2 1.55	2 40.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	37 4.65
Dan Patrick	30 5.85	2 1.80	3 2.33	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	37 4.65
Dan Branch	3 0.58	1 0.90	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
Jerry Patterson	13 2.53	0 0.00	2 1.55	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	15 1.88
Todd Staples	10 1.95	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	11 1.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

I won't be voting in	114	66	51	0	2	8	1	0	242
	22.22	59.46	39.53	0.00	33.33	50.00	6.67	0.00	30.40
Haven't thought enoug	235	33	54	2	2	7	8	1	342
	45.81	29.73	41.86	40.00	33.33	43.75	53.33	100.00	42.96
Total	513	111	129	5	6	16	15	1	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by marstat

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
David Dewhurst	76	2	11	2	14	2	107
	15.38	16.67	10.68	5.56	12.50	5.26	13.46
Susan Combs	28	0	3	1	5	0	37
	5.67	0.00	2.91	2.78	4.46	0.00	4.65
Dan Patrick	30	0	1	2	4	0	37
	6.07	0.00	0.97	5.56	3.57	0.00	4.65
Dan Branch	2	0	1	0	1	1	5
	0.40	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.89	2.63	0.63
Jerry Patterson	13	0	0	0	1	1	15
	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	2.63	1.89
Todd Staples	9	0	1	0	0	1	11
	1.82	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	2.63	1.38
I won't be voting in	113	3	46	12	50	17	241
	22.87	25.00	44.66	33.33	44.64	44.74	30.31
Haven't thought enoug	223	7	40	19	37	16	342
	45.14	58.33	38.83	52.78	33.04	42.11	43.02
Total	494	12	103	36	112	38	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by gender

2014 Republican primary for Lt.	Gender
------------------------------------	--------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Governor	Male		Total
	Female	Male	
David Dewhurst	57	50	107
	15.32	11.79	13.44
Susan Combs	17	20	37
	4.57	4.72	4.65
Dan Patrick	28	9	37
	7.53	2.12	4.65
Dan Branch	1	4	5
	0.27	0.94	0.63
Jerry Patterson	13	2	15
	3.49	0.47	1.88
Todd Staples	8	3	11
	2.15	0.71	1.38
I won't be voting in	104	138	242
	27.96	32.55	30.40
Haven't thought enoug	144	198	342
	38.71	46.70	42.96
Total	372	424	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by locate

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
David Dewhurst	25	56	26	107
	12.25	13.56	14.61	13.46
Susan Combs	4	21	12	37
	1.96	5.08	6.74	4.65
Dan Patrick	8	23	6	37
	3.92	5.57	3.37	4.65
Dan Branch	1	2	2	5

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.49	0.48	1.12	0.63
Jerry Patterson	2 0.98	8 1.94	5 2.81	15 1.89
Todd Staples	0 0.00	6 1.45	5 2.81	11 1.38
I won't be voting in	85 41.67	121 29.30	36 20.22	242 30.44
Haven't thought enoug	79 38.73	176 42.62	86 48.31	341 42.89
Total	204 100.00	413 100.00	178 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by metro

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
David Dewhurst	15 9.62	34 14.72	14 17.95	9 10.84	34 13.88	106 13.37
Susan Combs	4 2.56	8 3.46	5 6.41	5 6.02	15 6.12	37 4.67
Dan Patrick	23 14.74	2 0.87	1 1.28	3 3.61	8 3.27	37 4.67
Dan Branch	0 0.00	3 1.30	0 0.00	1 1.20	1 0.41	5 0.63
Jerry Patterson	2 1.28	3 1.30	1 1.28	5 6.02	4 1.63	15 1.89
Todd Staples	0 0.00	2 0.87	0 0.00	2 2.41	7 2.86	11 1.39
I won't be voting in	55 35.26	71 30.74	25 32.05	33 39.76	58 23.67	242 30.52
Haven't thought enoug	57 36.54	108 46.75	32 41.03	25 30.12	118 48.16	340 42.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	156	231	78	83	245	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q21A. 2014 Rick Perry Support

. tab2 Q21A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q21A by libcon

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Vote for Perry	1	1	1	31	28	76	57	195
	2.70	1.41	1.33	14.62	25.45	46.06	46.34	24.59
Vote against Perry	35	64	62	95	21	15	18	310
	94.59	90.14	82.67	44.81	19.09	9.09	14.63	39.09
Wait and see	1	6	9	72	60	72	46	266
	2.70	8.45	12.00	33.96	54.55	43.64	37.40	33.54
Don't know	0	0	3	14	1	2	2	22
	0.00	0.00	4.00	6.60	0.91	1.21	1.63	2.77
Total	37	71	75	212	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by pid7

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Vote for Perry	6	6	3	7	38	18	111	3	192
	3.16	10.53	4.92	11.11	30.89	28.12	53.62	16.67	24.52
Vote against Perry	163	30	48	24	18	10	9	4	306
	85.79	52.63	78.69	38.10	14.63	15.62	4.35	22.22	39.08
Wait and see	19	19	9	26	63	35	85	7	263
	10.00	33.33	14.75	41.27	51.22	54.69	41.06	38.89	33.59
Don't know	2	2	1	6	4	1	2	4	22

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	1.05	3.51	1.64	9.52	3.25	1.56	0.97	22.22	2.81
Total	190	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by Q22

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Vote for Perry	73	9	71	44	197
	58.87	3.12	39.66	21.15	24.66
Vote against Perry	6	238	27	39	310
	4.84	82.64	15.08	18.75	38.80
Wait and see	43	39	78	110	270
	34.68	13.54	43.58	52.88	33.79
Don't know	2	2	3	15	22
	1.61	0.69	1.68	7.21	2.75
Total	124	288	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by educ

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Vote for Perry	7	60	41	32	45	12	197
	25.93	26.20	18.64	36.36	27.44	16.90	24.66
Vote against Perry	12	62	107	31	64	34	310
	44.44	27.07	48.64	35.23	39.02	47.89	38.80
Wait and see	7	95	69	24	52	23	270
	25.93	41.48	31.36	27.27	31.71	32.39	33.79
Don't know	1	12	3	1	3	2	22
	3.70	5.24	1.36	1.14	1.83	2.82	2.75
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q21A by attend

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Religion participation					Total
	More than Once a we	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Vote for Perry	42 29.17	49 31.01	28 29.47	48 21.52	26 15.12	193 24.37
Vote against Perry	46 31.94	44 27.85	36 37.89	94 42.15	89 51.74	309 39.02
Wait and see	52 36.11	61 38.61	31 32.63	76 34.08	48 27.91	268 33.84
Don't know	4 2.78	4 2.53	0 0.00	5 2.24	9 5.23	22 2.78
Total	144 100.00	158 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by race

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Vote for Perry	156 30.35	4 3.57	28 21.54	2 40.00	2 33.33	4 25.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	197 24.66
Vote against Perry	158 30.74	85 75.89	54 41.54	1 20.00	2 33.33	6 37.50	4 26.67	0 0.00	310 38.80
Wait and see	189 36.77	19 16.96	44 33.85	1 20.00	2 33.33	5 31.25	9 60.00	1 100.00	270 33.79
Don't know	11 2.14	4 3.57	4 3.08	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	22 2.75
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Vote for Perry	146 29.49	5 41.67	17 16.35	10 27.78	15 13.39	4 10.26	197 24.69
Vote against Perry	159 32.12	4 33.33	56 53.85	12 33.33	59 52.68	19 48.72	309 38.72
Wait and see	180 36.36	3 25.00	29 27.88	13 36.11	32 28.57	13 33.33	270 33.83
Don't know	10 2.02	0 0.00	2 1.92	1 2.78	6 5.36	3 7.69	22 2.76
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by gender

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Vote for Perry	99 26.54	98 23.00	197 24.66
Vote against Perry	143 38.34	167 39.20	310 38.80
Wait and see	125 33.51	145 34.04	270 33.79
Don't know	6 1.61	16 3.76	22 2.75
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by locate

If Rick Perry ran for governor in	Community size
--------------------------------------	----------------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

2014	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Vote for Perry	45 21.84	95 22.89	56 31.64	196 24.56
Vote against Perry	99 48.06	163 39.28	48 27.12	310 38.85
Wait and see	54 26.21	148 35.66	68 38.42	270 33.83
Don't know	8 3.88	9 2.17	5 2.82	22 2.76
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by metro

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Vote for Perry	37 23.72	53 22.84	26 32.91	15 18.07	64 26.02	195 24.50
Vote against Perry	73 46.79	97 41.81	30 37.97	41 49.40	69 28.05	310 38.94
Wait and see	46 29.49	77 33.19	20 25.32	26 31.33	100 40.65	269 33.79
Don't know	0 0.00	5 2.16	3 3.80	1 1.20	13 5.28	22 2.76
Total	156 100.00	232 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Q22. Tea Party Support

. tab2 Q22 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q22 by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

142 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
The Republican candid	0	0	0	28	20	46	30	124
	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.15	18.18	27.88	24.39	15.62
The Democratic candid	35	66	67	94	13	4	9	288
	94.59	92.96	89.33	44.13	11.82	2.42	7.32	36.27
The Tea Party candida	1	1	0	15	29	70	59	175
	2.70	1.41	0.00	7.04	26.36	42.42	47.97	22.04
Don't know	1	4	8	76	48	45	25	207
	2.70	5.63	10.67	35.68	43.64	27.27	20.33	26.07
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by pid7

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
The Republican candid	1	1	0	2	17	22	78	1	122
	0.53	1.75	0.00	3.17	13.82	33.85	37.68	5.56	15.56
The Democratic candid	178	44	42	13	4	1	0	1	283
	93.68	77.19	68.85	20.63	3.25	1.54	0.00	5.56	36.10
The Tea Party candida	2	1	3	11	61	18	76	2	174
	1.05	1.75	4.92	17.46	49.59	27.69	36.71	11.11	22.19
Don't know	9	11	16	37	41	24	53	14	205
	4.74	19.30	26.23	58.73	33.33	36.92	25.60	77.78	26.15
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by Q22

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The Republican candid	125	0	0	0	125
	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.62
The Democratic candid	0	288	0	0	288
	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	36.00
The Tea Party candida	0	0	179	0	179
	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	22.38
Don't know	0	0	0	208	208
	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	26.00
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by educ

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The Republican candid	3	45	25	13	25	14	125
	11.11	19.57	11.36	14.77	15.24	19.72	15.62
The Democratic candid	12	57	106	33	55	25	288
	44.44	24.78	48.18	37.50	33.54	35.21	36.00
The Tea Party candida	5	56	39	24	41	14	179
	18.52	24.35	17.73	27.27	25.00	19.72	22.38
Don't know	7	72	50	18	43	18	208
	25.93	31.30	22.73	20.45	26.22	25.35	26.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by attend

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
The Republican candid	29	30	17	34	15	125
	20.14	18.87	17.89	15.25	8.72	15.76
The Democratic candid	41	43	33	85	85	287

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	28.47	27.04	34.74	38.12	49.42	36.19
The Tea Party candida	36 25.00	49 30.82	24 25.26	41 18.39	26 15.12	176 22.19
Don't know	38 26.39	37 23.27	21 22.11	63 28.25	46 26.74	205 25.85
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by race

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
The Republican candid	105 20.39	1 0.89	15 11.54	1 20.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	125 15.62
The Democratic candid	118 22.91	96 85.71	61 46.92	1 20.00	1 16.67	9 56.25	2 13.33	0 0.00	288 36.00
The Tea Party candida	140 27.18	2 1.79	25 19.23	2 40.00	2 33.33	1 6.25	6 40.00	1 100.00	179 22.38
Don't know	152 29.51	13 11.61	29 22.31	1 20.00	2 33.33	6 37.50	5 33.33	0 0.00	208 26.00
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by marstat

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
The Republican candid	90 18.15	2 16.67	11 10.58	6 16.67	14 12.50	2 5.13	125 15.64
The Democratic candid	137 27.62	5 41.67	55 52.88	12 33.33	56 50.00	23 58.97	288 36.05
The Tea Party candida	147 29.64	3 25.00	10 9.62	6 16.67	9 8.04	4 10.26	179 22.40

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	122 24.60	2 16.67	28 26.92	12 33.33	33 29.46	10 25.64	207 25.91
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by gender

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
The Republican candid	53 14.21	72 16.86	125 15.62
The Democratic candid	120 32.17	168 39.34	288 36.00
The Tea Party candida	119 31.90	60 14.05	179 22.38
Don't know	81 21.72	127 29.74	208 26.00
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by locate

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
The Republican candid	23 11.17	71 17.11	31 17.42	125 15.64
The Democratic candid	107 51.94	141 33.98	40 22.47	288 36.05
The Tea Party candida	25 12.14	104 25.06	49 27.53	178 22.28
Don't know	51	99	58	208

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	24.76	23.86	32.58	26.03
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by metro

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
The Republican candid	17	37	16	15	38	123
	10.90	15.88	20.25	18.07	15.45	15.43
The Democratic candid	64	90	25	38	71	288
	41.03	38.63	31.65	45.78	28.86	36.14
The Tea Party candida	32	51	18	19	58	178
	20.51	21.89	22.78	22.89	23.58	22.33
Don't know	43	55	20	11	79	208
	27.56	23.61	25.32	13.25	32.11	26.10
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q23. Tea Party Influence

. tab2 Q23 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q23 by libcon

Tea Party influence	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Too much influence	33	58	60	70	15	8	9	253
	89.19	81.69	80.00	32.86	13.64	4.85	7.32	31.86
Too little influence	2	0	2	21	33	73	65	196
	5.41	0.00	2.67	9.86	30.00	44.24	52.85	24.69
The right amount of i	0	4	5	43	36	66	37	191
	0.00	5.63	6.67	20.19	32.73	40.00	30.08	24.06

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	2	9	8	79	26	18	12	154
	5.41	12.68	10.67	37.09	23.64	10.91	9.76	19.40

Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by pid7

Tea Party influence	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Independe	Party ID Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Too much influence	136	31	40	17	9	4	10	3	250
	71.58	54.39	65.57	26.98	7.32	6.15	4.83	16.67	31.89
Too little influence	10	5	3	10	61	19	81	3	192
	5.26	8.77	4.92	15.87	49.59	29.23	39.13	16.67	24.49
The right amount of i	12	4	7	14	44	17	92	2	192
	6.32	7.02	11.48	22.22	35.77	26.15	44.44	11.11	24.49
Don't know	32	17	11	22	9	25	24	10	150
	16.84	29.82	18.03	34.92	7.32	38.46	11.59	55.56	19.13
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by Q22

Tea Party influence	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Too much influence	18	208	1	26	253
	14.40	72.22	0.56	12.50	31.62
Too little influence	29	13	117	40	199
	23.20	4.51	65.36	19.23	24.88
The right amount of i	51	23	53	66	193
	40.80	7.99	29.61	31.73	24.12
Don't know	27	44	8	76	155
	21.60	15.28	4.47	36.54	19.38
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q23 by educ

Tea Party influence	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Too much influence	11 40.74	49 21.30	80 36.36	31 35.23	54 32.93	28 39.44	253 31.62
Too little influence	7 25.93	57 24.78	42 19.09	24 27.27	48 29.27	21 29.58	199 24.88
The right amount of i	3 11.11	73 31.74	45 20.45	17 19.32	39 23.78	16 22.54	193 24.12
Don't know	6 22.22	51 22.17	53 24.09	16 18.18	23 14.02	6 8.45	155 19.38
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by attend

Tea Party influence	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Too much influence	30 20.83	38 23.90	29 30.53	82 36.77	73 42.44	252 31.78
Too little influence	48 33.33	48 30.19	25 26.32	48 21.52	27 15.70	196 24.72
The right amount of i	43 29.86	47 29.56	24 25.26	48 21.52	29 16.86	191 24.09
Don't know	23 15.97	26 16.35	17 17.89	45 20.18	43 25.00	154 19.42
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by race

Tea Party influence	Race							Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other Middle Ea	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Too much influence	119 23.11	69 61.61	54 41.54	1 20.00	1 16.67	6 37.50	3 20.00	0 0.00	253 31.62
Too little influence	147 28.54	9 8.04	29 22.31	1 20.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	9 60.00	1 100.00	199 24.88
The right amount of influence	153 29.71	9 8.04	24 18.46	2 40.00	2 33.33	1 6.25	2 13.33	0 0.00	193 24.12
Don't know	96 18.64	25 22.32	23 17.69	1 20.00	2 33.33	7 43.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	155 19.38
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by marstat

Tea Party influence	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Too much influence	120 24.19	2 16.67	50 48.08	11 30.56	54 48.21	16 41.03	253 31.66
Too little influence	160 32.26	2 16.67	13 12.50	7 19.44	13 11.61	4 10.26	199 24.91
The right amount of influence	139 28.02	4 33.33	16 15.38	10 27.78	19 16.96	5 12.82	193 24.16
Don't know	77 15.52	4 33.33	25 24.04	8 22.22	26 23.21	14 35.90	154 19.27
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by gender

Tea Party influence	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Too much influence	123 32.98	130 30.44	253 31.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Too little influence	128 34.32	71 16.63	199 24.88
The right amount of i	86 23.06	107 25.06	193 24.12
Don't know	36 9.65	119 27.87	155 19.38
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by locate

Tea Party influence	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Too much influence	85 41.26	130 31.33	38 21.35	253 31.66
Too little influence	30 14.56	112 26.99	56 31.46	198 24.78
The right amount of i	41 19.90	104 25.06	48 26.97	193 24.16
Don't know	50 24.27	69 16.63	36 20.22	155 19.40
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by metro

Tea Party influence	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Too much influence	59 37.82	78 33.48	23 29.11	32 38.55	61 24.80	253 31.74
Too little influence	34 21.79	54 23.18	23 29.11	19 22.89	68 27.64	198 24.84
The right amount of i	39 25.00	54 23.18	18 22.78	17 20.48	63 25.61	191 23.96

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	24	47	15	15	54	155
	15.38	20.17	18.99	18.07	21.95	19.45
<hr/>						
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q24. Favorability: Ted Cruz

. tab2 Q24 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q24 by libcon

Ted Cruz opinion	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Very favorable	1	0	0	14	17	74	69	175
	2.70	0.00	0.00	6.57	15.45	44.85	56.56	22.07
Somewhat favorable	1	2	4	28	42	59	28	164
	2.70	2.82	5.33	13.15	38.18	35.76	22.95	20.68
Neither favorable nor	1	9	7	38	21	13	9	98
	2.70	12.68	9.33	17.84	19.09	7.88	7.38	12.36
Somewhat unfavorable	2	14	19	21	9	5	5	75
	5.41	19.72	25.33	9.86	8.18	3.03	4.10	9.46
Very unfavorable	26	35	35	37	6	3	4	146
	70.27	49.30	46.67	17.37	5.45	1.82	3.28	18.41
Don't know enough abo	6	11	10	75	15	11	7	135
	16.22	15.49	13.33	35.21	13.64	6.67	5.74	17.02
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	122	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by pid7

Ted Cruz opinion	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Very favorable	3	2	0	9	45	14	97	1	171
	1.58	3.51	0.00	14.29	36.59	21.54	47.09	5.56	21.84

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat favorable	7 3.68	6 10.53	4 6.56	12 19.05	42 34.15	17 26.15	74 35.92	3 16.67	165 21.07
Neither favorable nor	21 11.05	12 21.05	9 14.75	11 17.46	17 13.82	8 12.31	16 7.77	1 5.56	95 12.13
Somewhat unfavorable	27 14.21	12 21.05	11 18.03	5 7.94	3 2.44	9 13.85	5 2.43	1 5.56	73 9.32
Very unfavorable	89 46.84	12 21.05	27 44.26	9 14.29	4 3.25	2 3.08	2 0.97	1 5.56	146 18.65
Don't know enough abo	43 22.63	13 22.81	10 16.39	17 26.98	12 9.76	15 23.08	12 5.83	11 61.11	133 16.99
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	206 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by Q22

Ted Cruz opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	44 35.48	5 1.74	88 49.16	39 18.75	176 22.03
Somewhat favorable	38 30.65	11 3.82	59 32.96	58 27.88	166 20.78
Neither favorable nor	19 15.32	34 11.81	11 6.15	35 16.83	99 12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	5 4.03	50 17.36	5 2.79	15 7.21	75 9.39
Very unfavorable	3 2.42	130 45.14	4 2.23	10 4.81	147 18.40
Don't know enough abo	15 12.10	58 20.14	12 6.70	51 24.52	136 17.02
Total	124 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q24 by educ

Ted Cruz opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	5 18.52	56 24.45	40 18.18	16 18.18	40 24.39	19 26.76	176 22.03
Somewhat favorable	2 7.41	52 22.71	36 16.36	19 21.59	43 26.22	14 19.72	166 20.78
Neither favorable nor	4 14.81	31 13.54	28 12.73	18 20.45	11 6.71	7 9.86	99 12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	5 18.52	15 6.55	19 8.64	9 10.23	22 13.41	5 7.04	75 9.39
Very unfavorable	4 14.81	24 10.48	56 25.45	14 15.91	29 17.68	20 28.17	147 18.40
Don't know enough abo	7 25.93	51 22.27	41 18.64	12 13.64	19 11.59	6 8.45	136 17.02
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by attend

Ted Cruz opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	44 30.56	45 28.30	20 21.05	44 19.82	21 12.21	174 21.97
Somewhat favorable	35 24.31	35 22.01	24 25.26	43 19.37	26 15.12	163 20.58
Neither favorable nor	19 13.19	18 11.32	13 13.68	26 11.71	23 13.37	99 12.50
Somewhat unfavorable	10 6.94	15 9.43	7 7.37	25 11.26	18 10.47	75 9.47
Very unfavorable	15 10.42	16 10.06	18 18.95	47 21.17	50 29.07	146 18.43
Don't know enough abo	21	30	13	37	34	135

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	14.58	18.87	13.68	16.67	19.77	17.05
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by race

Ted Cruz opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	140	6	23	4	1	0	1	1	176
	27.24	5.36	17.69	80.00	16.67	0.00	6.67	100.00	22.03
Somewhat favorable	129	7	23	0	1	0	6	0	166
	25.10	6.25	17.69	0.00	16.67	0.00	40.00	0.00	20.78
Neither favorable nor	61	20	14	0	1	2	1	0	99
	11.87	17.86	10.77	0.00	16.67	12.50	6.67	0.00	12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	40	17	14	0	1	3	0	0	75
	7.78	15.18	10.77	0.00	16.67	18.75	0.00	0.00	9.39
Very unfavorable	75	36	28	0	0	4	4	0	147
	14.59	32.14	21.54	0.00	0.00	25.00	26.67	0.00	18.40
Don't know enough abo	69	26	28	1	2	7	3	0	136
	13.42	23.21	21.54	20.00	33.33	43.75	20.00	0.00	17.02
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by marstat

Ted Cruz opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	139	4	11	6	14	2	176
	28.02	33.33	10.58	17.14	12.50	5.13	22.06
Somewhat favorable	123	1	13	9	14	5	165
	24.80	8.33	12.50	25.71	12.50	12.82	20.68
Neither favorable nor	55	0	12	6	17	9	99
	11.09	0.00	11.54	17.14	15.18	23.08	12.41
Somewhat unfavorable	39	2	10	2	17	5	75

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	7.86	16.67	9.62	5.71	15.18	12.82	9.40
Very unfavorable	67 13.51	1 8.33	32 30.77	5 14.29	29 25.89	13 33.33	147 18.42
Don't know enough abo	73 14.72	4 33.33	26 25.00	7 20.00	21 18.75	5 12.82	136 17.04
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	35 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by gender

Ted Cruz opinion	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	105 28.15	71 16.67	176 22.03
Somewhat favorable	93 24.93	73 17.14	166 20.78
Neither favorable nor	35 9.38	64 15.02	99 12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	35 9.38	40 9.39	75 9.39
Very unfavorable	71 19.03	76 17.84	147 18.40
Don't know enough abo	34 9.12	102 23.94	136 17.02
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by locate

Ted Cruz opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	35 16.99	100 24.15	41 23.03	176 22.06

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat favorable	37 17.96	90 21.74	39 21.91	166 20.80
Neither favorable nor	24 11.65	48 11.59	27 15.17	99 12.41
Somewhat unfavorable	27 13.11	35 8.45	13 7.30	75 9.40
Very unfavorable	43 20.87	83 20.05	21 11.80	147 18.42
Don't know enough abo	40 19.42	58 14.01	37 20.79	135 16.92
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by metro

Ted Cruz opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	39 25.00	51 21.98	14 17.72	17 20.48	53 21.54	174 21.86
Somewhat favorable	27 17.31	47 20.26	18 22.78	14 16.87	59 23.98	165 20.73
Neither favorable nor	23 14.74	22 9.48	13 16.46	9 10.84	32 13.01	99 12.44
Somewhat unfavorable	16 10.26	26 11.21	8 10.13	11 13.25	14 5.69	75 9.42
Very unfavorable	32 20.51	52 22.41	12 15.19	23 27.71	28 11.38	147 18.47
Don't know enough abo	19 12.18	34 14.66	14 17.72	9 10.84	60 24.39	136 17.09
Total	156 100.00	232 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q25. Favorability: Paul Sadler

. tab2 Q25 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q25 by libcon

Paul Sadler opinion	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Very favorable	8 21.62	16 22.54	9 12.00	9 4.23	1 0.92	1 0.61	7 5.69	51 6.43
Somewhat favorable	14 37.84	27 38.03	34 45.33	32 15.02	7 6.42	3 1.82	5 4.07	122 15.38
Neither favorable nor	7 18.92	11 15.49	14 18.67	44 20.66	27 24.77	27 16.36	22 17.89	152 19.17
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	1 1.41	2 2.67	8 3.76	14 12.84	21 12.73	19 15.45	65 8.20
Very unfavorable	2 5.41	1 1.41	1 1.33	3 1.41	5 4.59	17 10.30	21 17.07	50 6.31
Don't know enough abo	6 16.22	15 21.13	15 20.00	117 54.93	55 50.46	96 58.18	49 39.84	353 44.51
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	109 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by pid7

Paul Sadler opinion	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Very favorable	39 20.53	5 8.77	5 8.20	0 0.00	2 1.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	51 6.51
Somewhat favorable	71 37.37	17 29.82	15 24.59	8 12.70	3 2.46	4 6.15	3 1.45	0 0.00	121 15.45
Neither favorable nor	32 16.84	9 15.79	12 19.67	14 22.22	31 25.41	13 20.00	41 19.81	0 0.00	152 19.41
Somewhat unfavorable	2 1.05	3 5.26	4 6.56	4 6.35	19 15.57	6 9.23	25 12.08	0 0.00	63 8.05

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very unfavorable	2	1	1	5	11	4	25	1	50
	1.05	1.75	1.64	7.94	9.02	6.15	12.08	5.56	6.39
Don't know enough abo	44	22	24	32	56	38	113	17	346
	23.16	38.60	39.34	50.79	45.90	58.46	54.59	94.44	44.19
Total	190	57	61	63	122	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by Q22

Paul Sadler opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	1	50	0	0	51
	0.81	17.36	0.00	0.00	6.38
Somewhat favorable	3	104	3	12	122
	2.42	36.11	1.68	5.77	15.27
Neither favorable nor	28	50	42	35	155
	22.58	17.36	23.46	16.83	19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	10	7	26	22	65
	8.06	2.43	14.53	10.58	8.14
Very unfavorable	14	4	18	14	50
	11.29	1.39	10.06	6.73	6.26
Don't know enough abo	68	73	90	125	356
	54.84	25.35	50.28	60.10	44.56
Total	124	288	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by educ

Paul Sadler opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	4	8	21	3	7	8	51
	14.81	3.48	9.55	3.45	4.27	11.27	6.38
Somewhat favorable	2	17	47	16	27	13	122
	7.41	7.39	21.36	18.39	16.46	18.31	15.27

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither favorable nor	5 18.52	46 20.00	41 18.64	16 18.39	33 20.12	14 19.72	155 19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	22 9.57	12 5.45	8 9.20	16 9.76	7 9.86	65 8.14
Very unfavorable	4 14.81	12 5.22	5 2.27	5 5.75	20 12.20	4 5.63	50 6.26
Don't know enough abo	12 44.44	125 54.35	94 42.73	39 44.83	61 37.20	25 35.21	356 44.56
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by attend

Paul Sadler opnion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	8 5.59	10 6.29	6 6.32	15 6.73	12 6.98	51 6.44
Somewhat favorable	17 11.89	14 8.81	16 16.84	37 16.59	37 21.51	121 15.28
Neither favorable nor	26 18.18	39 24.53	18 18.95	41 18.39	30 17.44	154 19.44
Somewhat unfavorable	14 9.79	12 7.55	13 13.68	19 8.52	6 3.49	64 8.08
Very unfavorable	17 11.89	7 4.40	4 4.21	13 5.83	8 4.65	49 6.19
Don't know enough abo	61 42.66	77 48.43	38 40.00	98 43.95	79 45.93	353 44.57
Total	143 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by race

Paul Sadler opnion	Race							Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other Middle Ea	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	15 2.92	20 17.86	12 9.23	2 40.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	51 6.38
Somewhat favorable	65 12.65	30 26.79	24 18.46	0 0.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	122 15.27
Neither favorable nor	102 19.84	19 16.96	28 21.54	1 20.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	155 19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	48 9.34	2 1.79	9 6.92	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	3 20.00	1 100.00	65 8.14
Very unfavorable	40 7.78	1 0.89	4 3.08	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	4 26.67	0 0.00	50 6.26
Don't know enough abo	244 47.47	40 35.71	53 40.77	2 40.00	3 50.00	8 50.00	6 40.00	0 0.00	356 44.56
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by marstat

Paul Sadler opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	24 4.85	0 0.00	12 11.54	4 11.11	7 6.25	4 10.26	51 6.39
Somewhat favorable	61 12.32	4 33.33	20 19.23	5 13.89	21 18.75	11 28.21	122 15.29
Neither favorable nor	99 20.00	1 8.33	20 19.23	7 19.44	24 21.43	4 10.26	155 19.42
Somewhat unfavorable	55 11.11	1 8.33	2 1.92	1 2.78	4 3.57	2 5.13	65 8.15
Very unfavorable	39 7.88	2 16.67	2 1.92	2 5.56	5 4.46	0 0.00	50 6.27
Don't know enough abo	217 43.84	4 33.33	48 46.15	17 47.22	51 45.54	18 46.15	355 44.49
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q25 by gender

Paul Sadler opinion	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	22 5.90	29 6.81	51 6.38
Somewhat favorable	64 17.16	58 13.62	122 15.27
Neither favorable nor	65 17.43	90 21.13	155 19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	40 10.72	25 5.87	65 8.14
Very unfavorable	31 8.31	19 4.46	50 6.26
Don't know enough abo	151 40.48	205 48.12	356 44.56
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by locate

Paul Sadler opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	21 10.19	23 5.54	7 3.95	51 6.39
Somewhat favorable	50 24.27	54 13.01	18 10.17	122 15.29
Neither favorable nor	28 13.59	88 21.20	39 22.03	155 19.42
Somewhat unfavorable	15 7.28	37 8.92	13 7.34	65 8.15

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very unfavorable	11 5.34	28 6.75	11 6.21	50 6.27
Don't know enough abo	81 39.32	185 44.58	89 50.28	355 44.49
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by metro

Paul Sadler opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	12 7.69	19 8.15	4 5.06	4 4.82	12 4.90	51 6.41
Somewhat favorable	29 18.59	35 15.02	13 16.46	23 27.71	22 8.98	122 15.33
Neither favorable nor	29 18.59	41 17.60	14 17.72	16 19.28	55 22.45	155 19.47
Somewhat unfavorable	12 7.69	24 10.30	8 10.13	5 6.02	16 6.53	65 8.17
Very unfavorable	10 6.41	16 6.87	2 2.53	5 6.02	17 6.94	50 6.28
Don't know enough abo	64 41.03	98 42.06	38 48.10	30 36.14	123 50.20	353 44.35
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	796 100.00

Q26. Favorability: Barack Obama

. tab2 Q26 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q26 by libcon

Barack Obama opinion	Ideology				Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3 In the mi	5	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	27 72.97	46 64.79	42 56.00	70 33.02	9 8.18	3 1.82	10 8.26	207 26.17
Somewhat favorable	8 21.62	23 32.39	26 34.67	41 19.34	9 8.18	2 1.21	2 1.65	111 14.03
Neither favorable nor	0 0.00	1 1.41	2 2.67	12 5.66	3 2.73	2 1.21	0 0.00	20 2.53
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	1 1.41	3 4.00	23 10.85	10 9.09	13 7.88	1 0.83	51 6.45
Very unfavorable	2 5.41	0 0.00	2 2.67	58 27.36	77 70.00	145 87.88	107 88.43	391 49.43
Don't know/No Opinion	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 3.77	2 1.82	0 0.00	1 0.83	11 1.39
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	121 100.00	791 100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by pid7

Barack Obama opinion	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Very favorable	142 74.74	19 33.93	31 50.82	7 11.11	3 2.44	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 5.56	203 25.99
Somewhat favorable	41 21.58	27 48.21	21 34.43	14 22.22	3 2.44	3 4.62	1 0.49	0 0.00	110 14.08
Neither favorable nor	4 2.11	3 5.36	5 8.20	5 7.94	0 0.00	1 1.54	2 0.98	0 0.00	20 2.56
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	1 1.79	2 3.28	8 12.70	9 7.32	12 18.46	14 6.83	5 27.78	51 6.53
Very unfavorable	2 1.05	6 10.71	2 3.28	25 39.68	108 87.80	46 70.77	188 91.71	9 50.00	386 49.42
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 6.35	0 0.00	3 4.62	0 0.00	3 16.67	11 1.41
Total	190 100.00	56 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	205 100.00	18 100.00	781 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q26 by Q22

Barack Obama opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	1 0.81	189 65.85	0 0.00	17 8.17	207 25.97
Somewhat favorable	2 1.61	81 28.22	1 0.56	27 12.98	111 13.93
Neither favorable nor	1 0.81	8 2.79	3 1.69	8 3.85	20 2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	12 9.68	5 1.74	10 5.62	24 11.54	51 6.40
Very unfavorable	107 86.29	3 1.05	164 92.13	123 59.13	397 49.81
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.81	1 0.35	0 0.00	9 4.33	11 1.38
Total	124 100.00	287 100.00	178 100.00	208 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by educ

Barack Obama opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	9 33.33	43 18.78	83 37.73	23 26.14	33 20.37	16 22.54	207 25.97
Somewhat favorable	5 18.52	23 10.04	29 13.18	12 13.64	26 16.05	16 22.54	111 13.93
Neither favorable nor	0 0.00	6 2.62	6 2.73	3 3.41	3 1.85	2 2.82	20 2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	14 6.11	15 6.82	5 5.68	13 8.02	4 5.63	51 6.40
Very unfavorable	12 44.44	136 59.39	86 39.09	44 50.00	86 53.09	33 46.48	397 49.81

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/No Opinion	1 3.70	7 3.06	1 0.45	1 1.14	1 0.62	0 0.00	11 1.38
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	162 100.00	71 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by attend

Barack Obama opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	34 23.78	29 18.24	21 22.34	62 27.80	61 35.67	207 26.20
Somewhat favorable	9 6.29	17 10.69	17 18.09	36 16.14	31 18.13	110 13.92
Neither favorable nor	3 2.10	7 4.40	1 1.06	7 3.14	2 1.17	20 2.53
Somewhat unfavorable	5 3.50	12 7.55	7 7.45	15 6.73	12 7.02	51 6.46
Very unfavorable	90 62.94	91 57.23	46 48.94	103 46.19	61 35.67	391 49.49
Don't know/No Opinion	2 1.40	3 1.89	2 2.13	0 0.00	4 2.34	11 1.39
Total	143 100.00	159 100.00	94 100.00	223 100.00	171 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by race

Barack Obama opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	68 13.28	84 75.00	46 35.38	2 40.00	1 16.67	4 25.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	207 25.97
Somewhat favorable	56 10.94	22 19.64	25 19.23	0 0.00	2 33.33	6 37.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	111 13.93
Neither favorable nor	12 2.34	1 0.89	7 5.38	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	20 2.51

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat unfavorable	39	1	9	1	0	1	0	0	51
	7.62	0.89	6.92	20.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	6.40
Very unfavorable	328	4	42	2	3	4	13	1	397
	64.06	3.57	32.31	40.00	50.00	25.00	86.67	100.00	49.81
Don't know/No Opinion	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	11
	1.76	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.38
Total	512	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by marstat

Barack Obama opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	93	3	45	12	37	17	207
	18.79	25.00	43.69	33.33	33.33	43.59	26.01
Somewhat favorable	58	1	15	3	25	8	110
	11.72	8.33	14.56	8.33	22.52	20.51	13.82
Neither favorable nor	8	0	4	1	6	1	20
	1.62	0.00	3.88	2.78	5.41	2.56	2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	32	1	4	0	12	2	51
	6.46	8.33	3.88	0.00	10.81	5.13	6.41
Very unfavorable	297	7	33	20	29	11	397
	60.00	58.33	32.04	55.56	26.13	28.21	49.87
Don't know/No Opinion	7	0	2	0	2	0	11
	1.41	0.00	1.94	0.00	1.80	0.00	1.38
Total	495	12	103	36	111	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by gender

Barack Obama opinion	Gender	
	Male	Female
Total		

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	82 22.10	125 29.34	207 25.97
Somewhat favorable	50 13.48	61 14.32	111 13.93
Neither favorable nor	9 2.43	11 2.58	20 2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	23 6.20	28 6.57	51 6.40
Very unfavorable	205 55.26	192 45.07	397 49.81
Don't know/No Opinion	2 0.54	9 2.11	11 1.38
Total	371 100.00	426 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by locate

Barack Obama opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	73 35.61	106 25.60	28 15.82	207 26.01
Somewhat favorable	39 19.02	55 13.29	17 9.60	111 13.94
Neither favorable nor	7 3.41	8 1.93	5 2.82	20 2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	13 6.34	30 7.25	8 4.52	51 6.41
Very unfavorable	67 32.68	213 51.45	116 65.54	396 49.75
Don't know/No Opinion	6 2.93	2 0.48	3 1.69	11 1.38
Total	205 100.00	414 100.00	177 100.00	796 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q26 by metro

Barack Obama opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	49 31.61	66 28.33	19 24.05	22 26.83	51 20.82	207 26.07
Somewhat favorable	23 14.84	36 15.45	10 12.66	17 20.73	25 10.20	111 13.98
Neither favorable nor	8 5.16	2 0.86	0 0.00	1 1.22	9 3.67	20 2.52
Somewhat unfavorable	9 5.81	14 6.01	3 3.80	8 9.76	17 6.94	51 6.42
Very unfavorable	66 42.58	112 48.07	44 55.70	34 41.46	138 56.33	394 49.62
Don't know/No Opinion	0 0.00	3 1.29	3 3.80	0 0.00	5 2.04	11 1.39
Total	155 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	82 100.00	245 100.00	794 100.00

Q27. Favorability: Mitt Romney

. tab2 Q27 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q27 by libcon

Mitt Romney opinion	Ext. Lib.	Ideology					Ext. Con.	Total
		2	3	In the mi	5	6		
Very favorable	2 5.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	29 13.68	42 38.53	90 54.55	65 53.28	228 28.82
Somewhat favorable	1 2.70	0 0.00	1 1.33	28 13.21	41 37.61	59 35.76	42 34.43	172 21.74
Neither favorable nor	0 0.00	1 1.41	4 5.33	25 11.79	8 7.34	5 3.03	1 0.82	44 5.56

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat unfavorable	2	8	15	36	6	6	3	76
	5.41	11.27	20.00	16.98	5.50	3.64	2.46	9.61
Very unfavorable	32	61	54	83	11	5	10	256
	86.49	85.92	72.00	39.15	10.09	3.03	8.20	32.36
Don't know/No Opinion	0	1	1	11	1	0	1	15
	0.00	1.41	1.33	5.19	0.92	0.00	0.82	1.90
Total	37	71	75	212	109	165	122	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by pid7

Mitt Romney opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Independen	Party ID Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	5	6	2	11	57	16	129	1	227
	2.65	10.53	3.28	17.46	46.34	24.62	62.93	5.56	29.07
Somewhat favorable	1	3	1	13	50	34	67	2	171
	0.53	5.26	1.64	20.63	40.65	52.31	32.68	11.11	21.90
Neither favorable nor	7	2	3	10	5	8	5	4	44
	3.70	3.51	4.92	15.87	4.07	12.31	2.44	22.22	5.63
Somewhat unfavorable	19	18	14	9	5	5	2	2	74
	10.05	31.58	22.95	14.29	4.07	7.69	0.98	11.11	9.48
Very unfavorable	154	28	41	16	5	0	2	4	250
	81.48	49.12	67.21	25.40	4.07	0.00	0.98	22.22	32.01
Don't know/No Opinion	3	0	0	4	1	2	0	5	15
	1.59	0.00	0.00	6.35	0.81	3.08	0.00	27.78	1.92
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	205	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by Q22

Mitt Romney opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	76	8	84	65	233
	61.29	2.78	46.93	31.55	29.23

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat favorable	39 31.45	4 1.39	73 40.78	57 27.67	173 21.71
Neither favorable nor	4 3.23	12 4.17	8 4.47	20 9.71	44 5.52
Somewhat unfavorable	4 3.23	38 13.19	5 2.79	29 14.08	76 9.54
Very unfavorable	0 0.00	223 77.43	9 5.03	24 11.65	256 32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.81	3 1.04	0 0.00	11 5.34	15 1.88
Total	124 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	206 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by educ

Mitt Romney opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	6 22.22	80 34.93	51 23.29	25 28.41	52 31.90	19 26.76	233 29.23
Somewhat favorable	2 7.41	58 25.33	40 18.26	21 23.86	38 23.31	14 19.72	173 21.71
Neither favorable nor	2 7.41	16 6.99	5 2.28	6 6.82	11 6.75	4 5.63	44 5.52
Somewhat unfavorable	3 11.11	20 8.73	21 9.59	5 5.68	16 9.82	11 15.49	76 9.54
Very unfavorable	13 48.15	45 19.65	99 45.21	31 35.23	45 27.61	23 32.39	256 32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	1 3.70	10 4.37	3 1.37	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	15 1.88
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	219 100.00	88 100.00	163 100.00	71 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by attend

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

171 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Mitt Romney opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	51 35.66	54 33.96	30 31.58	62 28.05	30 17.44	227 28.73
Somewhat favorable	38 26.57	43 27.04	23 24.21	42 19.00	27 15.70	173 21.90
Neither favorable nor	9 6.29	8 5.03	4 4.21	15 6.79	8 4.65	44 5.57
Somewhat unfavorable	12 8.39	15 9.43	9 9.47	19 8.60	21 12.21	76 9.62
Very unfavorable	32 22.38	35 22.01	27 28.42	80 36.20	81 47.09	255 32.28
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.70	4 2.52	2 2.11	3 1.36	5 2.91	15 1.90
Total	143 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	221 100.00	172 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by race

Mitt Romney opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	181 35.28	4 3.60	34 26.15	4 80.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	7 46.67	1 100.00	233 29.23
Somewhat favorable	143 27.88	5 4.50	18 13.85	0 0.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	3 20.00	0 0.00	173 21.71
Neither favorable nor	30 5.85	8 7.21	4 3.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	44 5.52
Somewhat unfavorable	42 8.19	9 8.11	21 16.15	1 20.00	2 33.33	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	76 9.54
Very unfavorable	107 20.86	83 74.77	51 39.23	0 0.00	2 33.33	10 62.50	3 20.00	0 0.00	256 32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	10 1.95	2 1.80	2 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	15 1.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	513	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by marstat

Mitt Romney opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	173	5	19	13	17	6	233
	35.09	41.67	18.27	36.11	15.18	15.38	29.27
Somewhat favorable	127	2	17	5	16	6	173
	25.76	16.67	16.35	13.89	14.29	15.38	21.73
Neither favorable nor	29	0	5	2	6	2	44
	5.88	0.00	4.81	5.56	5.36	5.13	5.53
Somewhat unfavorable	40	0	8	4	18	5	75
	8.11	0.00	7.69	11.11	16.07	12.82	9.42
Very unfavorable	115	5	53	11	52	20	256
	23.33	41.67	50.96	30.56	46.43	51.28	32.16
Don't know/No Opinion	9	0	2	1	3	0	15
	1.83	0.00	1.92	2.78	2.68	0.00	1.88
Total	493	12	104	36	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by gender

Mitt Romney opinion	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	116	117	233
	31.18	27.53	29.23
Somewhat favorable	95	78	173
	25.54	18.35	21.71
Neither favorable nor	17	27	44
	4.57	6.35	5.52

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat unfavorable	28	48	76
	7.53	11.29	9.54
Very unfavorable	113	143	256
	30.38	33.65	32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	3	12	15
	0.81	2.82	1.88
Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by locate

Mitt Romney opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	50	131	51	232
	24.39	31.64	28.81	29.15
Somewhat favorable	28	87	58	173
	13.66	21.01	32.77	21.73
Neither favorable nor	13	24	7	44
	6.34	5.80	3.95	5.53
Somewhat unfavorable	18	35	23	76
	8.78	8.45	12.99	9.55
Very unfavorable	90	133	33	256
	43.90	32.13	18.64	32.16
Don't know/No Opinion	6	4	5	15
	2.93	0.97	2.82	1.88
Total	205	414	177	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by metro

Mitt Romney opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	38	70	29	16	77	230
	24.52	30.04	36.71	19.28	31.56	28.97

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

174 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat favorable	33 21.29	42 18.03	17 21.52	18 21.69	63 25.82	173 21.79
Neither favorable nor	12 7.74	17 7.30	0 0.00	4 4.82	11 4.51	44 5.54
Somewhat unfavorable	13 8.39	19 8.15	5 6.33	11 13.25	28 11.48	76 9.57
Very unfavorable	58 37.42	82 35.19	24 30.38	34 40.96	58 23.77	256 32.24
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.65	3 1.29	4 5.06	0 0.00	7 2.87	15 1.89
Total	155 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	244 100.00	794 100.00

Q28. Favorability: Joe Biden

. tab2 Q28 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q28 by libcon

Joe Biden opinion	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Very favorable	25 67.57	52 73.24	43 57.33	57 26.89	10 9.09	3 1.84	9 7.32	199 25.16
Somewhat favorable	7 18.92	15 21.13	22 29.33	40 18.87	7 6.36	3 1.84	1 0.81	95 12.01
Neither favorable nor	2 5.41	2 2.82	8 10.67	24 11.32	6 5.45	2 1.23	1 0.81	45 5.69
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	1 1.41	1 1.33	16 7.55	16 14.55	15 9.20	9 7.32	58 7.33
Very unfavorable	3 8.11	0 0.00	0 0.00	52 24.53	67 60.91	140 85.89	101 82.11	363 45.89
Don't know/No Opinion	0 0.00	1 1.41	1 1.33	23 10.85	4 3.64	0 0.00	2 1.63	31 3.92

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

175 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	37	71	75	212	110	163	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by pid7

Joe Biden opinion	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Very favorable	130 68.42	23 41.07	26 42.62	10 15.87	3 2.44	1 1.56	0 0.00	1 5.56	194 24.84
Somewhat favorable	41 21.58	17 30.36	24 39.34	11 17.46	1 0.81	0 0.00	1 0.49	0 0.00	95 12.16
Neither favorable nor	9 4.74	7 12.50	7 11.48	7 11.11	5 4.07	5 7.81	4 1.94	1 5.56	45 5.76
Somewhat unfavorable	3 1.58	4 7.14	2 3.28	7 11.11	13 10.57	9 14.06	19 9.22	1 5.56	58 7.43
Very unfavorable	2 1.05	3 5.36	1 1.64	23 36.51	100 81.30	43 67.19	181 87.86	5 27.78	358 45.84
Don't know/No Opinion	5 2.63	2 3.57	1 1.64	5 7.94	1 0.81	6 9.38	1 0.49	10 55.56	31 3.97
Total	190 100.00	56 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	64 100.00	206 100.00	18 100.00	781 100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by Q22

Joe Biden opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	1 0.80	185 64.46	0 0.00	13 6.28	199 24.97
Somewhat favorable	2 1.60	70 24.39	2 1.12	21 10.14	95 11.92
Neither favorable nor	5 4.00	20 6.97	3 1.69	17 8.21	45 5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	13 10.40	4 1.39	15 8.43	26 12.56	58 7.28

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very unfavorable	100	3	157	109	369
	80.00	1.05	88.20	52.66	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	4	5	1	21	31
	3.20	1.74	0.56	10.14	3.89
Total	125	287	178	207	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by educ

Joe Biden opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	8	35	82	24	36	14	199
	29.63	15.28	37.61	27.27	21.95	19.72	24.97
Somewhat favorable	3	22	24	12	17	17	95
	11.11	9.61	11.01	13.64	10.37	23.94	11.92
Neither favorable nor	2	12	11	4	13	3	45
	7.41	5.24	5.05	4.55	7.93	4.23	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	0	20	13	4	16	5	58
	0.00	8.73	5.96	4.55	9.76	7.04	7.28
Very unfavorable	11	123	82	41	81	31	369
	40.74	53.71	37.61	46.59	49.39	43.66	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	3	17	6	3	1	1	31
	11.11	7.42	2.75	3.41	0.61	1.41	3.89
Total	27	229	218	88	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by attend

Joe Biden opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	31	29	21	63	55	199
	21.53	18.47	22.11	28.25	32.16	25.19
Somewhat favorable	8	14	14	26	33	95
	5.56	8.92	14.74	11.66	19.30	12.03

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither favorable nor	8	9	8	10	9	44
	5.56	5.73	8.42	4.48	5.26	5.57
Somewhat unfavorable	8	14	4	21	11	58
	5.56	8.92	4.21	9.42	6.43	7.34
Very unfavorable	84	87	44	93	55	363
	58.33	55.41	46.32	41.70	32.16	45.95
Don't know/No Opinion	5	4	4	10	8	31
	3.47	2.55	4.21	4.48	4.68	3.92
Total	144	157	95	223	171	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by race

Joe Biden opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	68	75	48	2	1	3	2	0	199
	13.26	66.96	37.21	40.00	16.67	18.75	13.33	0.00	24.97
Somewhat favorable	51	26	14	0	0	4	0	0	95
	9.94	23.21	10.85	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	11.92
Neither favorable nor	30	1	12	0	2	0	0	0	45
	5.85	0.89	9.30	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	42	1	12	0	0	1	2	0	58
	8.19	0.89	9.30	0.00	0.00	6.25	13.33	0.00	7.28
Very unfavorable	302	5	40	2	3	5	11	1	369
	58.87	4.46	31.01	40.00	50.00	31.25	73.33	100.00	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	20	4	3	1	0	3	0	0	31
	3.90	3.57	2.33	20.00	0.00	18.75	0.00	0.00	3.89
Total	513	112	129	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by marstat

Joe Biden opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	93 18.86	1 8.33	43 41.35	12 33.33	37 33.04	13 33.33	199 25.00
Somewhat favorable	45 9.13	2 16.67	14 13.46	1 2.78	22 19.64	10 25.64	94 11.81
Neither favorable nor	24 4.87	3 25.00	6 5.77	3 8.33	5 4.46	4 10.26	45 5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	41 8.32	0 0.00	3 2.88	2 5.56	12 10.71	0 0.00	58 7.29
Very unfavorable	275 55.78	5 41.67	33 31.73	17 47.22	30 26.79	9 23.08	369 46.36
Don't know/No Opinion	15 3.04	1 8.33	5 4.81	1 2.78	6 5.36	3 7.69	31 3.89
Total	493 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by gender

Joe Biden opinion	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	89 23.92	110 25.88	199 24.97
Somewhat favorable	39 10.48	56 13.18	95 11.92
Neither favorable nor	11 2.96	34 8.00	45 5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	28 7.53	30 7.06	58 7.28
Very unfavorable	197 52.96	172 40.47	369 46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	8 2.15	23 5.41	31 3.89

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

179 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by locate

Joe Biden opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	70 33.98	103 24.82	26 14.86	199 25.00
Somewhat favorable	33 16.02	49 11.81	13 7.43	95 11.93
Neither favorable nor	16 7.77	18 4.34	11 6.29	45 5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	13 6.31	34 8.19	11 6.29	58 7.29
Very unfavorable	61 29.61	202 48.67	105 60.00	368 46.23
Don't know/No Opinion	13 6.31	9 2.17	9 5.14	31 3.89
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	175 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by metro

Joe Biden opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	47 30.13	61 26.18	20 25.64	24 28.92	47 19.26	199 25.06
Somewhat favorable	24 15.38	29 12.45	7 8.97	14 16.87	21 8.61	95 11.96
Neither favorable nor	9 5.77	15 6.44	2 2.56	5 6.02	14 5.74	45 5.67
Somewhat unfavorable	9 5.77	20 8.58	8 10.26	5 6.02	16 6.56	58 7.30

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very unfavorable	66	98	39	32	131	366
	42.31	42.06	50.00	38.55	53.69	46.10
Don't know/No Opinion	1	10	2	3	15	31
	0.64	4.29	2.56	3.61	6.15	3.90
Total	156	233	78	83	244	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q29. Favorability: Paul Ryan

. tab2 Q29 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q29 by libcon

Paul Ryan opinion	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Very favorable	1	0	0	23	53	123	90	290
	2.70	0.00	0.00	10.85	48.62	74.55	73.17	36.62
Somewhat favorable	1	0	4	35	25	29	14	108
	2.70	0.00	5.33	16.51	22.94	17.58	11.38	13.64
Neither favorable nor	1	2	4	34	13	4	3	61
	2.70	2.82	5.33	16.04	11.93	2.42	2.44	7.70
Somewhat unfavorable	3	9	12	16	4	4	1	49
	8.11	12.68	16.00	7.55	3.67	2.42	0.81	6.19
Very unfavorable	30	57	53	71	10	2	11	234
	81.08	80.28	70.67	33.49	9.17	1.21	8.94	29.55
Don't know/No Opinion	1	3	2	33	4	3	4	50
	2.70	4.23	2.67	15.57	3.67	1.82	3.25	6.31
Total	37	71	75	212	109	165	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by pid7

Paul Ryan opinion	7 point Party ID							Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	3 1.58	2 3.51	1 1.64	12 19.35	82 66.67	23 35.94	162 78.26	2 11.11	287 36.70
Somewhat favorable	5 2.63	7 12.28	3 4.92	13 20.97	24 19.51	22 34.38	32 15.46	2 11.11	108 13.81
Neither favorable nor	13 6.84	9 15.79	8 13.11	10 16.13	7 5.69	7 10.94	7 3.38	1 5.56	62 7.93
Somewhat unfavorable	22 11.58	8 14.04	4 6.56	5 8.06	2 1.63	3 4.69	1 0.48	1 5.56	46 5.88
Very unfavorable	133 70.00	29 50.88	44 72.13	16 25.81	4 3.25	0 0.00	2 0.97	3 16.67	231 29.54
Don't know/No Opinion	14 7.37	2 3.51	1 1.64	6 9.68	4 3.25	9 14.06	3 1.45	9 50.00	48 6.14
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	62 100.00	123 100.00	64 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	782 100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by Q22

Paul Ryan opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	81 64.80	3 1.04	131 73.60	80 38.65	295 36.97
Somewhat favorable	27 21.60	11 3.82	29 16.29	41 19.81	108 13.53
Neither favorable nor	9 7.20	20 6.94	6 3.37	27 13.04	62 7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	2 1.60	32 11.11	4 2.25	11 5.31	49 6.14
Very unfavorable	0 0.00	207 71.88	5 2.81	22 10.63	234 29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	6 4.80	15 5.21	3 1.69	26 12.56	50 6.27
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	178 100.00	207 100.00	798 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q29 by educ

Paul Ryan opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	7 25.93	97 42.36	65 29.68	26 29.55	72 43.90	28 39.44	295 36.97
Somewhat favorable	1 3.70	37 16.16	21 9.59	17 19.32	24 14.63	8 11.27	108 13.53
Neither favorable nor	1 3.70	24 10.48	16 7.31	5 5.68	12 7.32	4 5.63	62 7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	2 7.41	7 3.06	15 6.85	6 6.82	14 8.54	5 7.04	49 6.14
Very unfavorable	9 33.33	42 18.34	89 40.64	28 31.82	40 24.39	26 36.62	234 29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	7 25.93	22 9.61	13 5.94	6 6.82	2 1.22	0 0.00	50 6.27
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	219 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by attend

Paul Ryan opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	68 47.22	72 45.86	39 41.05	78 34.98	32 18.60	289 36.54
Somewhat favorable	27 18.75	22 14.01	9 9.47	27 12.11	23 13.37	108 13.65
Neither favorable nor	7 4.86	16 10.19	10 10.53	17 7.62	12 6.98	62 7.84
Somewhat unfavorable	8 5.56	9 5.73	6 6.32	19 8.52	7 4.07	49 6.19
Very unfavorable	27 18.75	31 19.75	24 25.26	72 32.29	79 45.93	233 29.46

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/No Opinion	7	7	7	10	19	50
	4.86	4.46	7.37	4.48	11.05	6.32
Total	144	157	95	223	172	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by race

Paul Ryan opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	238	6	35	4	2	2	7	1	295
	46.30	5.36	27.13	80.00	33.33	12.50	46.67	100.00	36.97
Somewhat favorable	78	5	16	0	1	3	5	0	108
	15.18	4.46	12.40	0.00	16.67	18.75	33.33	0.00	13.53
Neither favorable nor	36	10	14	0	2	0	0	0	62
	7.00	8.93	10.85	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	24	14	10	0	0	1	0	0	49
	4.67	12.50	7.75	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	6.14
Very unfavorable	108	68	49	0	1	5	3	0	234
	21.01	60.71	37.98	0.00	16.67	31.25	20.00	0.00	29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	30	9	5	1	0	5	0	0	50
	5.84	8.04	3.88	20.00	0.00	31.25	0.00	0.00	6.27
Total	514	112	129	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by marstat

Paul Ryan opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	228	5	21	13	24	4	295
	46.06	41.67	20.19	36.11	21.62	10.26	37.01
Somewhat favorable	74	0	8	7	13	6	108
	14.95	0.00	7.69	19.44	11.71	15.38	13.55
Neither favorable nor	34	2	8	3	11	4	62
	6.87	16.67	7.69	8.33	9.91	10.26	7.78

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat unfavorable	31	2	5	0	9	2	49
	6.26	16.67	4.81	0.00	8.11	5.13	6.15
Very unfavorable	105	2	55	12	42	17	233
	21.21	16.67	52.88	33.33	37.84	43.59	29.23
Don't know/No Opinion	23	1	7	1	12	6	50
	4.65	8.33	6.73	2.78	10.81	15.38	6.27
Total	495	12	104	36	111	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by gender

Paul Ryan opinion	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	161	134	295
	43.28	31.46	36.97
Somewhat favorable	54	54	108
	14.52	12.68	13.53
Neither favorable nor	16	46	62
	4.30	10.80	7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	21	28	49
	5.65	6.57	6.14
Very unfavorable	106	128	234
	28.49	30.05	29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	14	36	50
	3.76	8.45	6.27
Total	372	426	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by locate

Paul Ryan opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

185 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	48 23.30	173 41.79	73 41.24	294 36.89
Somewhat favorable	29 14.08	46 11.11	33 18.64	108 13.55
Neither favorable nor	18 8.74	28 6.76	16 9.04	62 7.78
Somewhat unfavorable	14 6.80	26 6.28	9 5.08	49 6.15
Very unfavorable	83 40.29	118 28.50	33 18.64	234 29.36
Don't know/No Opinion	14 6.80	23 5.56	13 7.34	50 6.27
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	177 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by metro

Paul Ryan opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	51 32.90	88 37.77	35 44.30	24 28.92	94 38.37	292 36.73
Somewhat favorable	20 12.90	23 9.87	11 13.92	11 13.25	43 17.55	108 13.58
Neither favorable nor	13 8.39	20 8.58	4 5.06	3 3.61	22 8.98	62 7.80
Somewhat unfavorable	12 7.74	13 5.58	1 1.27	10 12.05	13 5.31	49 6.16
Very unfavorable	51 32.90	74 31.76	23 29.11	32 38.55	54 22.04	234 29.43
Don't know/No Opinion	8 5.16	15 6.44	5 6.33	3 3.61	19 7.76	50 6.29
Total	155 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	795 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q30A. Vote Determinant: Religious Faith

. tab2 Q30A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30A by libcon

Religious faith	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Extremely important	6 16.22	7 10.14	5 6.67	40 18.78	20 18.18	38 23.17	55 44.72	171 21.62
Somewhat important	2 5.41	11 15.94	15 20.00	57 26.76	41 37.27	74 45.12	43 34.96	243 30.72
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.33	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	7 18.92	22 31.88	20 26.67	55 25.82	27 24.55	34 20.73	17 13.82	182 23.01
Not at all important	22 59.46	29 42.03	34 45.33	61 28.64	22 20.00	18 10.98	8 6.50	194 24.53
Total	37 100.00	69 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	164 100.00	123 100.00	791 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by pid7

Religious faith	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Extremely important	38 20.21	7 12.28	3 4.92	13 20.63	26 21.14	15 23.08	60 28.99	3 16.67	165 21.10
Somewhat important	34 18.09	18 31.58	10 16.39	13 20.63	52 42.28	22 33.85	88 42.51	7 38.89	244 31.20
Neither important nor	1 0.53	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	51 27.13	14 24.56	17 27.87	10 15.87	21 17.07	18 27.69	45 21.74	5 27.78	181 23.15
Not at all important	64 34.04	18 31.58	31 50.82	27 42.86	24 19.51	10 15.38	14 6.76	3 16.67	191 24.42

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	188	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by Q22

Religious faith	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Extremely important	40 32.26	45 15.73	47 26.26	40 19.23	172 21.58
Somewhat important	47 37.90	57 19.93	76 42.46	65 31.25	245 30.74
Neither important nor	0 0.00	1 0.35	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	25 20.16	75 26.22	30 16.76	54 25.96	184 23.09
Not at all important	12 9.68	108 37.76	26 14.53	49 23.56	195 24.47
Total	124 100.00	286 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by educ

Religious faith	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely important	7 25.93	57 24.78	50 22.94	23 26.44	31 18.90	4 5.63	172 21.58
Somewhat important	6 22.22	79 34.35	57 26.15	26 29.89	56 34.15	21 29.58	245 30.74
Neither important nor	0 0.00	1 0.43	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	3 11.11	47 20.43	52 23.85	20 22.99	39 23.78	23 32.39	184 23.09
Not at all important	11 40.74	46 20.00	59 27.06	18 20.69	38 23.17	23 32.39	195 24.47

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	27	230	218	87	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by attend

Religious faith	Religion participation					Total
	More than Once a we	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Extremely important	66 45.83	47 29.56	24 25.26	24 10.86	10 5.85	171 21.65
Somewhat important	44 30.56	65 40.88	39 41.05	68 30.77	27 15.79	243 30.76
Neither important nor	1 0.69	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	20 13.89	32 20.13	20 21.05	67 30.32	41 23.98	180 22.78
Not at all important	13 9.03	15 9.43	12 12.63	62 28.05	93 54.39	195 24.68
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	221 100.00	171 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by race

Religious faith	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Extremely important	105 20.47	33 29.73	23 17.69	3 60.00	1 16.67	4 25.00	3 20.00	0 0.00	172 21.58
Somewhat important	166 32.36	35 31.53	33 25.38	1 20.00	2 33.33	5 31.25	3 20.00	0 0.00	245 30.74
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	115 22.42	22 19.82	37 28.46	1 20.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	7 46.67	0 0.00	184 23.09
Not at all important	127 24.76	21 18.92	36 27.69	0 0.00	3 50.00	5 31.25	2 13.33	1 100.00	195 24.47

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	513	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by marstat

Religious faith	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Extremely important	119	5	19	8	18	3	172
	24.04	41.67	18.27	22.86	16.22	7.69	21.61
Somewhat important	158	4	26	13	32	12	245
	31.92	33.33	25.00	37.14	28.83	30.77	30.78
Neither important nor	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	105	1	36	6	27	9	184
	21.21	8.33	34.62	17.14	24.32	23.08	23.12
Not at all important	112	2	23	8	34	15	194
	22.63	16.67	22.12	22.86	30.63	38.46	24.37
Total	495	12	104	35	111	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by gender

Religious faith	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Extremely important	77	95	172
	20.64	22.41	21.58
Somewhat important	110	135	245
	29.49	31.84	30.74
Neither important nor	0	1	1
	0.00	0.24	0.13
Not very important	80	104	184
	21.45	24.53	23.09

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Not at all important	106	89	195
	28.42	20.99	24.47

Total	373	424	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by locate

Religious faith	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely important	41	84	46	171
	20.10	20.29	25.84	21.48

Somewhat important	47	141	57	245
	23.04	34.06	32.02	30.78

Neither important nor	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.13

Not very important	49	98	37	184
	24.02	23.67	20.79	23.12

Not at all important	67	90	38	195
	32.84	21.74	21.35	24.50

Total	204	414	178	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by metro

Religious faith	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Extremely important	33	46	16	15	61	171
	21.29	19.83	20.51	18.07	24.80	21.54

Somewhat important	50	75	26	22	70	243
	32.26	32.33	33.33	26.51	28.46	30.60

Neither important nor	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.13

Not very important	40	49	20	14	61	184
	25.81	21.12	25.64	16.87	24.80	23.17

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Not at all important	32	62	16	32	53	195
	20.65	26.72	20.51	38.55	21.54	24.56
<hr/>						
Total	155	232	78	83	246	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q30B. Vote Determinant: Issue Positions

. tab2 Q30B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30B by libcon

Issue positions	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Extremely important	37	63	68	169	89	148	112	686
	100.00	90.00	90.67	79.72	80.91	89.70	91.80	86.73
Somewhat important	0	7	6	37	20	17	9	96
	0.00	10.00	8.00	17.45	18.18	10.30	7.38	12.14
Not very important	0	0	1	5	1	0	1	8
	0.00	0.00	1.33	2.36	0.91	0.00	0.82	1.01
Not at all important	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	37	70	75	212	110	165	122	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by pid7

Issue positions	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Extremely important	167	52	53	48	110	54	182	14	680
	88.83	91.23	86.89	76.19	89.43	84.38	87.92	77.78	87.07
Somewhat important	19	5	7	11	13	10	23	4	92
	10.11	8.77	11.48	17.46	10.57	15.62	11.11	22.22	11.78
Not very important	1	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	8
	0.53	0.00	1.64	6.35	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	1.02

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	188	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by Q22

Issue positions	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Extremely important	105	252	167	168	692
	84.68	88.11	93.30	80.77	86.83
Somewhat important	17	32	12	35	96
	13.71	11.19	6.70	16.83	12.05
Not very important	2	2	0	4	8
	1.61	0.70	0.00	1.92	1.00
Not at all important	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.13
Total	124	286	179	208	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by educ

Issue positions	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely important	24	191	201	71	142	63	692
	88.89	83.41	91.78	81.61	86.59	88.73	86.83
Somewhat important	2	34	17	15	20	8	96
	7.41	14.85	7.76	17.24	12.20	11.27	12.05
Not very important	0	4	1	1	2	0	8
	0.00	1.75	0.46	1.15	1.22	0.00	1.00
Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	3.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	27	229	219	87	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q30B by attend

Issue positions	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Extremely important	125 87.41	137 86.71	80 84.21	193 86.94	152 88.37	687 86.96
Somewhat important	17 11.89	20 12.66	13 13.68	27 12.16	17 9.88	94 11.90
Not very important	0 0.00	1 0.63	2 2.11	2 0.90	3 1.74	8 1.01
Not at all important	1 0.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Total	143 100.00	158 100.00	95 100.00	222 100.00	172 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by race

Issue positions	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Extremely important	448 87.33	96 86.49	111 85.38	4 80.00	4 66.67	13 81.25	15 100.00	1 100.00	692 86.83
Somewhat important	60 11.70	12 10.81	19 14.62	1 20.00	2 33.33	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	96 12.05
Not very important	5 0.97	2 1.80	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 1.00
Not at all important	0 0.00	1 0.90	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Total	513 100.00	111 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by marstat

Issue positions	Marital Status					Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single Domestic	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Extremely important	429 86.84	10 83.33	88 84.62	31 88.57	97 86.61	36 92.31	691 86.81
Somewhat important	61 12.35	2 16.67	15 14.42	3 8.57	13 11.61	2 5.13	96 12.06
Not very important	3 0.61	0 0.00	1 0.96	1 2.86	2 1.79	1 2.56	8 1.01
Not at all important	1 0.20	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Total	494 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	35 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by gender

Issue positions	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Extremely important	326 87.63	366 86.12	692 86.83
Somewhat important	44 11.83	52 12.24	96 12.05
Not very important	2 0.54	6 1.41	8 1.00
Not at all important	0 0.00	1 0.24	1 0.13
Total	372 100.00	425 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by locate

Issue positions	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely important	170 82.52	366 88.62	155 87.57	691 86.81

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat important	35 16.99	41 9.93	20 11.30	96 12.06
Not very important	1 0.49	5 1.21	2 1.13	8 1.01
Not at all important	0 0.00	1 0.24	0 0.00	1 0.13
Total	206 100.00	413 100.00	177 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by metro

Issue positions	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Extremely important	135 87.10	201 87.01	67 84.81	75 90.36	211 85.77	689 86.78
Somewhat important	19 12.26	25 10.82	12 15.19	8 9.64	32 13.01	96 12.09
Not very important	1 0.65	5 2.16	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.81	8 1.01
Not at all important	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.41	1 0.13
Total	155 100.00	231 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	794 100.00

Q30C. Vote Determinant: Character

. tab2 Q30C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30C by libcon

Character	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Extremely important	24 64.86	51 72.86	57 76.00	157 74.41	97 88.18	147 90.18	109 89.34	642 81.47

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

196 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat important	12 32.43	17 24.29	15 20.00	46 21.80	11 10.00	15 9.20	12 9.84	128 16.24
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	1 2.70	2 2.86	3 4.00	3 1.42	2 1.82	1 0.61	1 0.82	13 1.65
Not at all important	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 1.90	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.51
Total	37 100.00	70 100.00	75 100.00	211 100.00	110 100.00	163 100.00	122 100.00	788 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by pid7

Character	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Extremely important	144 77.01	45 78.95	41 67.21	44 69.84	107 87.70	58 90.62	182 88.35	12 66.67	633 81.36
Somewhat important	40 21.39	8 14.04	15 24.59	15 23.81	13 10.66	6 9.38	24 11.65	6 33.33	127 16.32
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	2 1.07	3 5.26	3 4.92	3 4.76	2 1.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	13 1.67
Not at all important	1 0.53	1 1.75	1 1.64	1 1.59	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.51
Total	187 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	122 100.00	64 100.00	206 100.00	18 100.00	778 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by Q22

Character	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Extremely important	107 86.29	212 74.39	152 85.88	176 84.62	647 81.49

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat important	17 13.71	64 22.46	23 12.99	25 12.02	129 16.25
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.48	1 0.13
Not very important	0 0.00	8 2.81	2 1.13	3 1.44	13 1.64
Not at all important	0 0.00	1 0.35	0 0.00	3 1.44	4 0.50
Total	124 100.00	285 100.00	177 100.00	208 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by educ

Character	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely important	21 77.78	189 82.53	180 82.19	73 85.88	134 82.21	50 70.42	647 81.49
Somewhat important	3 11.11	38 16.59	34 15.53	11 12.94	27 16.56	16 22.54	129 16.25
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	2 7.41	1 0.44	3 1.37	1 1.18	1 0.61	5 7.04	13 1.64
Not at all important	1 3.70	1 0.44	2 0.91	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	219 100.00	85 100.00	163 100.00	71 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by attend

Character	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Extremely important	122 85.92	135 85.99	81 86.17	184 82.88	118 68.60	640 81.32

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat important	19 13.38	19 12.10	11 11.70	36 16.22	44 25.58	129 16.39
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.06	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	0 0.00	2 1.27	1 1.06	1 0.45	9 5.23	13 1.65
Not at all important	1 0.70	1 0.64	0 0.00	1 0.45	1 0.58	4 0.51
Total	142 100.00	157 100.00	94 100.00	222 100.00	172 100.00	787 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by race

Character	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Extremely important	409 80.04	97 87.39	104 80.62	5 100.00	5 83.33	12 75.00	15 100.00	0 0.00	647 81.49
Somewhat important	92 18.00	11 9.91	23 17.83	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	129 16.25
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	9 1.76	2 1.80	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	1 100.00	13 1.64
Not at all important	1 0.20	1 0.90	1 0.78	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 0.50
Total	511 100.00	111 100.00	129 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by marstat

Character	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Extremely important	410 83.33	9 75.00	82 79.61	30 85.71	81 72.32	34 87.18	646 81.46

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat important	72 14.63	3 25.00	19 18.45	4 11.43	26 23.21	5 12.82	129 16.27
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.89	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	8 1.63	0 0.00	2 1.94	0 0.00	3 2.68	0 0.00	13 1.64
Not at all important	2 0.41	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 2.86	1 0.89	0 0.00	4 0.50
Total	492 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	35 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by gender

Character	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Extremely important	295 79.73	352 83.02	647 81.49
Somewhat important	64 17.30	65 15.33	129 16.25
Neither important nor	1 0.27	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	9 2.43	4 0.94	13 1.64
Not at all important	1 0.27	3 0.71	4 0.50
Total	370 100.00	424 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by locate

Character	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely important				
Somewhat important				
Neither important nor				
Not very important				
Not at all important				
Total				

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

200 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Extremely important	162 79.02	335 81.51	149 84.18	646 81.46
Somewhat important	38 18.54	67 16.30	24 13.56	129 16.27
Neither important nor	0 0.00	1 0.24	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	4 1.95	7 1.70	2 1.13	13 1.64
Not at all important	1 0.49	1 0.24	2 1.13	4 0.50
Total	205 100.00	411 100.00	177 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by metro

Character	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Extremely important	134 87.01	176 76.86	69 87.34	62 74.70	203 82.52	644 81.42
Somewhat important	18 11.69	47 20.52	8 10.13	17 20.48	39 15.85	129 16.31
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.27	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	2 1.30	4 1.75	1 1.27	4 4.82	2 0.81	13 1.64
Not at all important	0 0.00	2 0.87	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 0.81	4 0.51
Total	154 100.00	229 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	791 100.00

Q30D. Vote Determinant: Party

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

. tab2 Q30D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30D by libcon

Party	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Extremely important	20 54.05	31 43.66	17 22.67	41 19.43	16 14.55	32 19.51	47 38.52	204 25.82
Somewhat important	11 29.73	29 40.85	41 54.67	72 34.12	46 41.82	79 48.17	44 36.07	322 40.76
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.47	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	4 10.81	8 11.27	12 16.00	57 27.01	30 27.27	40 24.39	18 14.75	169 21.39
Not at all important	2 5.41	3 4.23	5 6.67	40 18.96	18 16.36	13 7.93	13 10.66	94 11.90
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	211 100.00	110 100.00	164 100.00	122 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by pid7

Party	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Extremely important	94 49.74	10 17.54	5 8.20	4 6.35	11 8.94	6 9.38	67 32.52	1 5.56	198 25.35
Somewhat important	77 40.74	26 45.61	27 44.26	10 15.87	37 30.08	30 46.88	106 51.46	5 27.78	318 40.72
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.64	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	14 7.41	16 28.07	14 22.95	20 31.75	53 43.09	21 32.81	27 13.11	6 33.33	171 21.90
Not at all important	4 2.12	5 8.77	14 22.95	29 46.03	22 17.89	7 10.94	6 2.91	6 33.33	93 11.91
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	64 100.00	206 100.00	18 100.00	781 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q30D by Q22

Party	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Extremely important	35 28.69	105 36.59	34 18.99	30 14.42	204 25.63
Somewhat important	61 50.00	125 43.55	75 41.90	63 30.29	324 40.70
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.48	1 0.13
Not very important	21 17.21	41 14.29	49 27.37	62 29.81	173 21.73
Not at all important	5 4.10	16 5.57	21 11.73	52 25.00	94 11.81
Total	122 100.00	287 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by educ

Party	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely important	9 33.33	63 27.51	56 25.81	20 22.73	38 23.17	18 25.35	204 25.63
Somewhat important	11 40.74	85 37.12	99 45.62	38 43.18	60 36.59	31 43.66	324 40.70
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.61	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	4 14.81	53 23.14	37 17.05	16 18.18	48 29.27	15 21.13	173 21.73
Not at all important	3 11.11	28 12.23	25 11.52	14 15.91	17 10.37	7 9.86	94 11.81
Total	27	229	217	88	164	71	796

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by attend

Party	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Extremely important	41 28.87	37 23.42	26 27.37	58 26.13	38 22.09	200 25.35
Somewhat important	56 39.44	70 44.30	40 42.11	89 40.09	68 39.53	323 40.94
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.05	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	28 19.72	38 24.05	21 22.11	43 19.37	41 23.84	171 21.67
Not at all important	17 11.97	13 8.23	7 7.37	32 14.41	25 14.53	94 11.91
Total	142 100.00	158 100.00	95 100.00	222 100.00	172 100.00	789 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by race

Party	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Extremely important	108 21.05	54 48.65	35 27.13	3 60.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	2 13.33	0 0.00	204 25.63
Somewhat important	224 43.66	38 34.23	51 39.53	0 0.00	2 33.33	5 31.25	4 26.67	0 0.00	324 40.70
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	118 23.00	13 11.71	27 20.93	2 40.00	1 16.67	5 31.25	7 46.67	0 0.00	173 21.73
Not at all important	63 12.28	6 5.41	15 11.63	0 0.00	3 50.00	4 25.00	2 13.33	1 100.00	94 11.81
Total	513	111	129	5	6	16	15	1	796

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by marstat

Party	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Extremely important	115 23.37	4 33.33	35 33.65	12 33.33	27 24.11	11 28.21	204 25.66
Somewhat important	211 42.89	4 33.33	35 33.65	9 25.00	45 40.18	20 51.28	324 40.75
Neither important nor	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.89	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	108 21.95	1 8.33	21 20.19	10 27.78	30 26.79	3 7.69	173 21.76
Not at all important	58 11.79	3 25.00	13 12.50	5 13.89	9 8.04	5 12.82	93 11.70
Total	492 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by gender

Party	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Extremely important	86 23.18	118 27.76	204 25.63
Somewhat important	152 40.97	172 40.47	324 40.70
Neither important nor	1 0.27	0 0.00	1 0.13
Not very important	89 23.99	84 19.76	173 21.73
Not at all important	43 11.59	51 12.00	94 11.81

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	371	425	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by locate

Party	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely important	60	114	30	204
	29.27	27.60	16.95	25.66
Somewhat important	80	162	81	323
	39.02	39.23	45.76	40.63
Neither important nor	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.13
Not very important	45	87	41	173
	21.95	21.07	23.16	21.76
Not at all important	20	49	25	94
	9.76	11.86	14.12	11.82
Total	205	413	177	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by metro

Party	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Extremely important	47	61	17	18	60	203
	30.52	26.29	21.79	21.69	24.39	25.60
Somewhat important	60	88	34	37	103	322
	38.96	37.93	43.59	44.58	41.87	40.61
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	26	57	17	18	55	173
	16.88	24.57	21.79	21.69	22.36	21.82
Not at all important	21	26	9	10	28	94
	13.64	11.21	11.54	12.05	11.38	11.85

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	154	232	78	83	246	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q30E. Vote Determinant: Political Record

. tab2 Q30E libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30E by libcon

Political record	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Extremely important	28	49	50	123	63	112	90	515
	75.68	71.01	66.67	58.29	57.27	68.71	74.38	65.52
Somewhat important	8	20	22	78	42	48	30	248
	21.62	28.99	29.33	36.97	38.18	29.45	24.79	31.55
Not very important	1	0	3	7	3	3	1	18
	2.70	0.00	4.00	3.32	2.73	1.84	0.83	2.29
Not at all important	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.42	1.82	0.00	0.00	0.64
Total	37	69	75	211	110	163	121	786
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by pid7

Political record	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Extremely important	133	36	39	31	82	36	144	8	509
	71.51	63.16	65.00	50.00	66.67	56.25	69.57	44.44	65.51
Somewhat important	47	19	19	26	40	27	57	10	245
	25.27	33.33	31.67	41.94	32.52	42.19	27.54	55.56	31.53
Not very important	4	1	2	5	0	0	6	0	18
	2.15	1.75	3.33	8.06	0.00	0.00	2.90	0.00	2.32
Not at all important	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5
	1.08	1.75	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.56	0.00	0.00	0.64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	186	57	60	62	123	64	207	18	777
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by Q22

Political record	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Extremely important	70 56.91	201 71.02	131 73.18	118 57.00	520 65.66
Somewhat important	48 39.02	73 25.80	47 26.26	81 39.13	249 31.44
Not very important	4 3.25	8 2.83	1 0.56	5 2.42	18 2.27
Not at all important	1 0.81	1 0.35	0 0.00	3 1.45	5 0.63
Total	123 100.00	283 100.00	179 100.00	207 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by educ

Political record	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely important	20 76.92	148 64.91	146 67.28	51 58.62	109 66.46	46 65.71	520 65.66
Somewhat important	4 15.38	73 32.02	62 28.57	33 37.93	53 32.32	24 34.29	249 31.44
Not very important	1 3.85	6 2.63	6 2.76	3 3.45	2 1.22	0 0.00	18 2.27
Not at all important	1 3.85	1 0.44	3 1.38	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
Total	26 100.00	228 100.00	217 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	70 100.00	792 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q30E by attend

Political record	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Extremely important	88 61.54	105 66.88	68 72.34	139 63.18	115 67.25	515 65.61
Somewhat important	52 36.36	45 28.66	25 26.60	74 33.64	51 29.82	247 31.46
Not very important	2 1.40	6 3.82	1 1.06	6 2.73	3 1.75	18 2.29
Not at all important	1 0.70	1 0.64	0 0.00	1 0.45	2 1.17	5 0.64
Total	143 100.00	157 100.00	94 100.00	220 100.00	171 100.00	785 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by race

Political record	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Extremely important	332 65.10	84 76.36	78 60.47	4 80.00	3 50.00	8 50.00	11 73.33	0 0.00	520 65.66
Somewhat important	165 32.35	22 20.00	46 35.66	1 20.00	3 50.00	7 43.75	4 26.67	1 100.00	249 31.44
Not very important	11 2.16	2 1.82	4 3.10	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	18 2.27
Not at all important	2 0.39	2 1.82	1 0.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 0.63
Total	510 100.00	110 100.00	129 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by marstat

Political record	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Extremely important	321	8	73	22	66	30	520

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	65.24	66.67	70.87	64.71	58.93	76.92	65.66
Somewhat important	160 32.52	3 25.00	26 25.24	11 32.35	41 36.61	8 20.51	249 31.44
Not very important	8 1.63	1 8.33	3 2.91	1 2.94	4 3.57	1 2.56	18 2.27
Not at all important	3 0.61	0 0.00	1 0.97	0 0.00	1 0.89	0 0.00	5 0.63
Total	492 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	34 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by gender

Political record	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Extremely important	247 66.76	273 64.69	520 65.66
Somewhat important	118 31.89	131 31.04	249 31.44
Not very important	3 0.81	15 3.55	18 2.27
Not at all important	2 0.54	3 0.71	5 0.63
Total	370 100.00	422 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by locate

Political record	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Extremely important	134 66.01	277 67.40	108 61.02	519 65.61
Somewhat important	65 32.02	122 29.68	62 35.03	249 31.48

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Not very important	4 1.97	8 1.95	6 3.39	18 2.28
Not at all important	0 0.00	4 0.97	1 0.56	5 0.63
Total	203 100.00	411 100.00	177 100.00	791 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by metro

Political record	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Extremely important	91 59.09	161 69.70	49 62.82	56 68.29	161 65.98	518 65.65
Somewhat important	55 35.71	67 29.00	29 37.18	24 29.27	73 29.92	248 31.43
Not very important	8 5.19	2 0.87	0 0.00	1 1.22	7 2.87	18 2.28
Not at all important	0 0.00	1 0.43	0 0.00	1 1.22	3 1.23	5 0.63
Total	154 100.00	231 100.00	78 100.00	82 100.00	244 100.00	789 100.00

Q30A2. Vote Determinant

. tab2 Q30A2 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by libcon

Most important vote factor	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Religious faith	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 1.35	9 4.25	5 4.55	4 2.44	8 6.50	27 3.41
Issue positions	22 59.46	38 53.52	47 63.51	105 49.53	64 58.18	90 54.88	55 44.72	421 53.22

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Character	3	7	9	47	27	44	32	169
	8.11	9.86	12.16	22.17	24.55	26.83	26.02	21.37
Party	7	11	8	15	2	6	12	61
	18.92	15.49	10.81	7.08	1.82	3.66	9.76	7.71
Political record	5	15	9	36	12	20	16	113
	13.51	21.13	12.16	16.98	10.91	12.20	13.01	14.29
Total	37	71	74	212	110	164	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by pid7

Most important vote factor	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Religious faith	5	1	0	5	5	3	8	1	28
	2.66	1.75	0.00	7.94	4.10	4.62	3.86	5.56	3.59
Issue positions	99	34	40	31	67	37	103	6	417
	52.66	59.65	65.57	49.21	54.92	56.92	49.76	33.33	53.39
Character	27	9	7	12	33	18	54	6	166
	14.36	15.79	11.48	19.05	27.05	27.69	26.09	33.33	21.25
Party	33	2	2	1	0	1	19	1	59
	17.55	3.51	3.28	1.59	0.00	1.54	9.18	5.56	7.55
Political record	24	11	12	14	17	6	23	4	111
	12.77	19.30	19.67	22.22	13.93	9.23	11.11	22.22	14.21
Total	188	57	61	63	122	65	207	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by Q22

Most important vote factor	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Religious faith	8	6	7	7	28
	6.40	2.10	3.91	3.38	3.51
Issue positions	57	163	103	102	425

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	45.60	56.99	57.54	49.28	53.32
Character	35 28.00	37 12.94	36 20.11	62 29.95	170 21.33
Party	11 8.80	35 12.24	6 3.35	9 4.35	61 7.65
Political record	14 11.20	45 15.73	27 15.08	27 13.04	113 14.18
Total	125 100.00	286 100.00	179 100.00	207 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by educ

Most important vote factor	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Religious faith	1 3.70	10 4.37	10 4.55	3 3.41	3 1.83	1 1.45	28 3.51
Issue positions	11 40.74	115 50.22	122 55.45	41 46.59	93 56.71	43 62.32	425 53.32
Character	6 22.22	61 26.64	38 17.27	24 27.27	29 17.68	12 17.39	170 21.33
Party	4 14.81	16 6.99	19 8.64	7 7.95	12 7.32	3 4.35	61 7.65
Political record	5 18.52	27 11.79	31 14.09	13 14.77	27 16.46	10 14.49	113 14.18
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	69 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by attend

Most important vote factor	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Religious faith	15 10.56	8 5.03	1 1.06	3 1.35	1 0.58	28 3.54
Issue positions	66	78	49	122	107	422

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	46.48	49.06	52.13	54.71	62.21	53.42
Character	34 23.94	44 27.67	23 24.47	44 19.73	23 13.37	168 21.27
Party	12 8.45	9 5.66	5 5.32	24 10.76	9 5.23	59 7.47
Political record	15 10.56	20 12.58	16 17.02	30 13.45	32 18.60	113 14.30
Total	142 100.00	159 100.00	94 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	790 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by race

Most important vote factor	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Religious faith	16 3.11	6 5.41	3 2.31	1 20.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	28 3.51
Issue positions	286 55.64	50 45.05	67 51.54	1 20.00	4 66.67	10 62.50	6 42.86	1 100.00	425 53.32
Character	107 20.82	29 26.13	23 17.69	2 40.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	7 50.00	0 0.00	170 21.33
Party	32 6.23	11 9.91	16 12.31	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 7.14	0 0.00	61 7.65
Political record	73 14.20	15 13.51	21 16.15	1 20.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	113 14.18
Total	514 100.00	111 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	14 100.00	1 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by marstat

Most important vote factor	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Religious faith	17 3.44	0 0.00	4 3.88	2 5.56	5 4.46	0 0.00	28 3.52
Issue positions	267	6	48	12	70	21	424

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	54.05	50.00	46.60	33.33	62.50	53.85	53.27
Character	109 22.06	3 25.00	23 22.33	11 30.56	15 13.39	9 23.08	170 21.36
Party	35 7.09	0 0.00	11 10.68	4 11.11	11 9.82	0 0.00	61 7.66
Political record	66 13.36	3 25.00	17 16.50	7 19.44	11 9.82	9 23.08	113 14.20
Total	494 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by gender

Most important vote factor	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Religious faith	11 2.96	17 4.00	28 3.51
Issue positions	215 57.80	210 49.41	425 53.32
Character	76 20.43	94 22.12	170 21.33
Party	24 6.45	37 8.71	61 7.65
Political record	46 12.37	67 15.76	113 14.18
Total	372 100.00	425 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by locate

Most important vote factor	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Religious faith	5 2.43	14 3.40	9 5.06	28 3.52

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

215 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Issue positions	110 53.40	216 52.43	98 55.06	424 53.27
Character	50 24.27	81 19.66	39 21.91	170 21.36
Party	18 8.74	31 7.52	12 6.74	61 7.66
Political record	23 11.17	70 16.99	20 11.24	113 14.20
Total	206 100.00	412 100.00	178 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by metro

Most important vote factor	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Religious faith	6 3.85	6 2.61	1 1.27	2 2.41	13 5.28	28 3.53
Issue positions	87 55.77	121 52.61	42 53.16	49 59.04	123 50.00	422 53.15
Character	33 21.15	52 22.61	23 29.11	9 10.84	53 21.54	170 21.41
Party	11 7.05	15 6.52	6 7.59	5 6.02	24 9.76	61 7.68
Political record	19 12.18	36 15.65	7 8.86	18 21.69	33 13.41	113 14.23
Total	156 100.00	230 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	794 100.00

Q31. Favorability: Rick Perry

. tab2 Q31 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q31 by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

216 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Rick Perry opinion	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Very favorable	1 2.70	0 0.00	0 0.00	18 8.49	14 12.73	50 30.30	42 34.15	125 15.78
Somewhat favorable	0 0.00	2 2.82	2 2.70	44 20.75	47 42.73	78 47.27	48 39.02	221 27.90
Neither favorable nor	1 2.70	2 2.82	5 6.76	36 16.98	21 19.09	17 10.30	12 9.76	94 11.87
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00	7 9.86	11 14.86	20 9.43	17 15.45	16 9.70	8 6.50	79 9.97
Very unfavorable	35 94.59	59 83.10	55 74.32	83 39.15	11 10.00	4 2.42	12 9.76	259 32.70
Don't know/No Opinion	0 0.00	1 1.41	1 1.35	11 5.19	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 0.81	14 1.77
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	74 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by pid7

Rick Perry opinion	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Very favorable	3 1.58	3 5.26	2 3.28	5 7.94	26 21.14	6 9.23	79 38.16	1 6.25	125 15.98
Somewhat favorable	9 4.74	11 19.30	5 8.20	12 19.05	50 40.65	30 46.15	95 45.89	3 18.75	215 27.49
Neither favorable nor	13 6.84	7 12.28	5 8.20	12 19.05	19 15.45	16 24.62	17 8.21	5 31.25	94 12.02
Somewhat unfavorable	12 6.32	8 14.04	8 13.11	11 17.46	20 16.26	6 9.23	12 5.80	2 12.50	79 10.10
Very unfavorable	149 78.42	28 49.12	40 65.57	19 30.16	7 5.69	5 7.69	4 1.93	3 18.75	255 32.61
Don't know/No Opinion	4 2.11	0 0.00	1 1.64	4 6.35	1 0.81	2 3.08	0 0.00	2 12.50	14 1.79

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	16	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by Q22

Rick Perry opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Very favorable	47 37.60	7 2.43	44 24.58	29 14.08	127 15.91
Somewhat favorable	56 44.80	17 5.90	72 40.22	76 36.89	221 27.69
Neither favorable nor	13 10.40	22 7.64	23 12.85	39 18.93	97 12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	5 4.00	24 8.33	30 16.76	21 10.19	80 10.03
Very unfavorable	3 2.40	216 75.00	10 5.59	30 14.56	259 32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.80	2 0.69	0 0.00	11 5.34	14 1.75
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	206 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by educ

Rick Perry opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	4 14.81	38 16.67	30 13.64	17 19.32	29 17.68	9 12.68	127 15.91
Somewhat favorable	5 18.52	80 35.09	46 20.91	27 30.68	45 27.44	18 25.35	221 27.69
Neither favorable nor	1 3.70	35 15.35	24 10.91	13 14.77	18 10.98	6 8.45	97 12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	3	17	23	6	20	11	80

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	11.11	7.46	10.45	6.82	12.20	15.49	10.03
Very unfavorable	12 44.44	50 21.93	95 43.18	25 28.41	51 31.10	26 36.62	259 32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	2 7.41	8 3.51	2 0.91	0 0.00	1 0.61	1 1.41	14 1.75
Total	27 100.00	228 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by attend

Rick Perry opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	29 20.14	28 17.61	17 17.89	36 16.29	15 8.72	125 15.80
Somewhat favorable	49 34.03	54 33.96	32 33.68	52 23.53	31 18.02	218 27.56
Neither favorable nor	15 10.42	23 14.47	9 9.47	26 11.76	23 13.37	96 12.14
Somewhat unfavorable	14 9.72	20 12.58	12 12.63	24 10.86	10 5.81	80 10.11
Very unfavorable	35 24.31	31 19.50	23 24.21	80 36.20	89 51.74	258 32.62
Don't know/No Opinion	2 1.39	3 1.89	2 2.11	3 1.36	4 2.33	14 1.77
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	221 100.00	172 100.00	791 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by race

Rick Perry opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	100 19.49	2 1.79	17 13.08	3 60.00	2 33.33	3 18.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	127 15.91
Somewhat favorable	162	10	35	1	0	4	8	1	221

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	31.58	8.93	26.92	20.00	0.00	25.00	53.33	100.00	27.69
Neither favorable nor	67 13.06	11 9.82	12 9.23	0 0.00	2 33.33	3 18.75	2 13.33	0 0.00	97 12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	49 9.55	12 10.71	17 13.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 13.33	0 0.00	80 10.03
Very unfavorable	128 24.95	73 65.18	47 36.15	0 0.00	2 33.33	6 37.50	3 20.00	0 0.00	259 32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	7 1.36	4 3.57	2 1.54	1 20.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	14 1.75
Total	513 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by marstat

Rick Perry opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	97 19.60	3 25.00	12 11.65	7 19.44	7 6.25	1 2.56	127 15.93
Somewhat favorable	159 32.12	3 25.00	15 14.56	10 27.78	25 22.32	9 23.08	221 27.73
Neither favorable nor	53 10.71	2 16.67	13 12.62	6 16.67	17 15.18	6 15.38	97 12.17
Somewhat unfavorable	54 10.91	0 0.00	8 7.77	4 11.11	12 10.71	2 5.13	80 10.04
Very unfavorable	123 24.85	4 33.33	53 51.46	9 25.00	49 43.75	20 51.28	258 32.37
Don't know/No Opinion	9 1.82	0 0.00	2 1.94	0 0.00	2 1.79	1 2.56	14 1.76
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by gender

Gender

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Rick Perry opinion	Male		
Female			
Total			
Very favorable	63 16.89	64 15.06	127 15.91
Somewhat favorable	108 28.95	113 26.59	221 27.69
Neither favorable nor	46 12.33	51 12.00	97 12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	41 10.99	39 9.18	80 10.03
Very unfavorable	114 30.56	145 34.12	259 32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	1 0.27	13 3.06	14 1.75
Total	373 100.00	425 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by locate

Rick Perry opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	26 12.62	70 16.91	31 17.51	127 15.93
Somewhat favorable	46 22.33	110 26.57	64 36.16	220 27.60
Neither favorable nor	27 13.11	49 11.84	21 11.86	97 12.17
Somewhat unfavorable	16 7.77	48 11.59	16 9.04	80 10.04
Very unfavorable	87 42.23	131 31.64	41 23.16	259 32.50
Don't know/No Opinion	4 1.94	6 1.45	4 2.26	14 1.76

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	206	414	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by metro

Rick Perry opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Very favorable	25	39	17	8	37	126
	16.03	16.81	21.52	9.64	15.10	15.85
Somewhat favorable	36	54	23	22	84	219
	23.08	23.28	29.11	26.51	34.29	27.55
Neither favorable nor	16	32	7	9	33	97
	10.26	13.79	8.86	10.84	13.47	12.20
Somewhat unfavorable	23	21	7	7	22	80
	14.74	9.05	8.86	8.43	8.98	10.06
Very unfavorable	55	82	25	37	60	259
	35.26	35.34	31.65	44.58	24.49	32.58
Don't know/No Opinion	1	4	0	0	9	14
	0.64	1.72	0.00	0.00	3.67	1.76
Total	156	232	79	83	245	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q32. Favorability: Greg Abbot

. tab2 Q32 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q32 by libcon

Greg Abbott opinion	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Very favorable	0	0	0	7	10	36	37	90
	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.29	9.09	21.82	30.08	11.35
Somewhat favorable	3	1	9	14	27	48	30	132
	8.33	1.41	12.00	6.57	24.55	29.09	24.39	16.65

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither favorable nor	4	10	12	60	32	30	20	168
	11.11	14.08	16.00	28.17	29.09	18.18	16.26	21.19
Somewhat unfavorable	5	17	11	13	3	2	6	57
	13.89	23.94	14.67	6.10	2.73	1.21	4.88	7.19
Very unfavorable	9	15	18	17	6	0	4	69
	25.00	21.13	24.00	7.98	5.45	0.00	3.25	8.70
Don't know/No Opinion	15	28	25	102	32	49	26	277
	41.67	39.44	33.33	47.89	29.09	29.70	21.14	34.93
Total	36	71	75	213	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by pid7

Greg Abbott opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Independe	Party ID Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	2	0	0	6	24	7	46	1	86
	1.06	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.51	10.77	22.22	5.56	10.98
Somewhat favorable	9	8	4	8	31	14	58	0	132
	4.76	14.04	6.56	12.70	25.20	21.54	28.02	0.00	16.86
Neither favorable nor	38	15	14	14	32	14	39	5	171
	20.11	26.32	22.95	22.22	26.02	21.54	18.84	27.78	21.84
Somewhat unfavorable	24	5	11	6	3	1	5	0	55
	12.70	8.77	18.03	9.52	2.44	1.54	2.42	0.00	7.02
Very unfavorable	38	10	11	3	1	0	2	2	67
	20.11	17.54	18.03	4.76	0.81	0.00	0.97	11.11	8.56
Don't know/No Opinion	78	19	21	26	32	29	57	10	272
	41.27	33.33	34.43	41.27	26.02	44.62	27.54	55.56	34.74
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by Q22

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

223 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Greg Abbott opinion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	29 23.20	2 0.70	34 18.99	25 12.02	90 11.26
Somewhat favorable	30 24.00	21 7.32	41 22.91	40 19.23	132 16.52
Neither favorable nor	26 20.80	56 19.51	43 24.02	46 22.12	171 21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	1 0.80	40 13.94	11 6.15	5 2.40	57 7.13
Very unfavorable	2 1.60	58 20.21	2 1.12	7 3.37	69 8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	37 29.60	110 38.33	48 26.82	85 40.87	280 35.04
Total	125 100.00	287 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by educ

Greg Abbott opinion	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	1 3.85	25 10.87	16 7.27	10 11.36	24 14.63	14 19.72	90 11.26
Somewhat favorable	4 15.38	41 17.83	30 13.64	14 15.91	28 17.07	15 21.13	132 16.52
Neither favorable nor	1 3.85	53 23.04	51 23.18	19 21.59	31 18.90	16 22.54	171 21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	3 11.54	7 3.04	21 9.55	5 5.68	15 9.15	6 8.45	57 7.13
Very unfavorable	3 11.54	8 3.48	30 13.64	7 7.95	11 6.71	10 14.08	69 8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	14 53.85	96 41.74	72 32.73	33 37.50	55 33.54	10 14.08	280 35.04
Total	26	230	220	88	164	71	799

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by attend

Greg Abbott opinion	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Very favorable	22 15.28	19 12.03	13 13.68	26 11.66	8 4.65	88 11.11
Somewhat favorable	24 16.67	34 21.52	19 20.00	32 14.35	21 12.21	130 16.41
Neither favorable nor	29 20.14	46 29.11	21 22.11	45 20.18	29 16.86	170 21.46
Somewhat unfavorable	11 7.64	8 5.06	2 2.11	18 8.07	18 10.47	57 7.20
Very unfavorable	9 6.25	7 4.43	10 10.53	20 8.97	23 13.37	69 8.71
Don't know/No Opinion	49 34.03	44 27.85	30 31.58	82 36.77	73 42.44	278 35.10
Total	144 100.00	158 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by race

Greg Abbott opinion	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Very favorable	70 13.59	4 3.60	6 4.62	2 40.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	6 40.00	0 0.00	90 11.26
Somewhat favorable	86 16.70	11 9.91	31 23.85	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	1 100.00	132 16.52
Neither favorable nor	109 21.17	27 24.32	27 20.77	1 20.00	2 33.33	4 25.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	171 21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	36 6.99	9 8.11	9 6.92	0 0.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	57 7.13
Very unfavorable	35	21	11	0	0	1	1	0	69

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	6.80	18.92	8.46	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	179	39	46	1	2	8	5	0	280
	34.76	35.14	35.38	20.00	33.33	50.00	33.33	0.00	35.04
Total	515	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by marstat

Greg Abbott opinion	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Very favorable	74	1	7	2	6	0	90
	14.92	8.33	6.80	5.56	5.36	0.00	11.28
Somewhat favorable	96	1	12	5	10	7	131
	19.35	8.33	11.65	13.89	8.93	17.95	16.42
Neither favorable nor	107	4	21	10	26	3	171
	21.57	33.33	20.39	27.78	23.21	7.69	21.43
Somewhat unfavorable	28	2	14	2	8	3	57
	5.65	16.67	13.59	5.56	7.14	7.69	7.14
Very unfavorable	32	1	19	1	11	5	69
	6.45	8.33	18.45	2.78	9.82	12.82	8.65
Don't know/No Opinion	159	3	30	16	51	21	280
	32.06	25.00	29.13	44.44	45.54	53.85	35.09
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by gender

Greg Abbott opinion	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	50	40	90
	13.40	9.39	11.26
Somewhat favorable	68	64	132
	18.23	15.02	16.52

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Neither favorable nor	74 19.84	97 22.77	171 21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	34 9.12	23 5.40	57 7.13
Very unfavorable	42 11.26	27 6.34	69 8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	105 28.15	175 41.08	280 35.04
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by locate

Greg Abbott opinion	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	15 7.32	55 13.25	20 11.24	90 11.28
Somewhat favorable	31 15.12	70 16.87	31 17.42	132 16.54
Neither favorable nor	41 20.00	90 21.69	40 22.47	171 21.43
Somewhat unfavorable	16 7.80	31 7.47	10 5.62	57 7.14
Very unfavorable	29 14.15	31 7.47	9 5.06	69 8.65
Don't know/No Opinion	73 35.61	138 33.25	68 38.20	279 34.96
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by metro

Greg Abbott opinion	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Very favorable	19 12.18	29 12.45	4 5.06	11 13.25	26 10.61	89 11.18
Somewhat favorable	25 16.03	33 14.16	19 24.05	16 19.28	38 15.51	131 16.46
Neither favorable nor	40 25.64	45 19.31	23 29.11	10 12.05	53 21.63	171 21.48
Somewhat unfavorable	5 3.21	20 8.58	5 6.33	12 14.46	15 6.12	57 7.16
Very unfavorable	11 7.05	27 11.59	6 7.59	13 15.66	12 4.90	69 8.67
Don't know/No Opinion	56 35.90	79 33.91	22 27.85	21 25.30	101 41.22	279 35.05
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	796 100.00

Q33. Texas as a Model

. tab2 Q33 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33 by libcon

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Strongly agree	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 4.00	21 9.86	26 23.85	75 45.73	64 52.03	189 23.86
Somewhat agree	1 2.70	7 9.86	7 9.33	68 31.92	55 50.46	74 45.12	43 34.96	255 32.20
Somewhat disagree	5 13.51	17 23.94	25 33.33	51 23.94	14 12.84	10 6.10	6 4.88	128 16.16
Strongly disagree	26 70.27	44 61.97	37 49.33	42 19.72	3 2.75	1 0.61	5 4.07	158 19.95

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	5	3	3	31	11	4	5	62
	13.51	4.23	4.00	14.55	10.09	2.44	4.07	7.83
Total	37	71	75	213	109	164	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by pid7

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independen	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	10	6	0	9	36	13	111	1	186
	5.26	10.53	0.00	14.29	29.27	20.00	53.88	5.56	23.75
Somewhat agree	15	18	12	21	69	36	79	5	255
	7.89	31.58	19.67	33.33	56.10	55.38	38.35	27.78	32.57
Somewhat disagree	51	21	14	14	8	6	10	3	127
	26.84	36.84	22.95	22.22	6.50	9.23	4.85	16.67	16.22
Strongly disagree	95	9	28	9	5	3	2	3	154
	50.00	15.79	45.90	14.29	4.07	4.62	0.97	16.67	19.67
Don't know	19	3	7	10	5	7	4	6	61
	10.00	5.26	11.48	15.87	4.07	10.77	1.94	33.33	7.79
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by Q22

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	51	15	81	43	190
	40.80	5.21	45.25	20.87	23.81
Somewhat agree	61	29	80	90	260
	48.80	10.07	44.69	43.69	32.58
Somewhat disagree	7	87	11	23	128
	5.60	30.21	6.15	11.17	16.04

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly disagree	2	132	3	21	158
	1.60	45.83	1.68	10.19	19.80
Don't know	4	25	4	29	62
	3.20	8.68	2.23	14.08	7.77
Total	125	288	179	206	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by educ

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	6	62	49	19	42	12	190
	22.22	27.19	22.27	21.59	25.61	16.90	23.81
Somewhat agree	6	92	58	28	53	23	260
	22.22	40.35	26.36	31.82	32.32	32.39	32.58
Somewhat disagree	4	27	40	19	26	12	128
	14.81	11.84	18.18	21.59	15.85	16.90	16.04
Strongly disagree	9	28	57	13	31	20	158
	33.33	12.28	25.91	14.77	18.90	28.17	19.80
Don't know	2	19	16	9	12	4	62
	7.41	8.33	7.27	10.23	7.32	5.63	7.77
Total	27	228	220	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by attend

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	39	43	25	52	28	187
	27.08	27.22	26.32	23.32	16.28	23.61
Somewhat agree	56	61	35	70	36	258

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	38.89	38.61	36.84	31.39	20.93	32.58
Somewhat disagree	18 12.50	23 14.56	16 16.84	41 18.39	30 17.44	128 16.16
Strongly disagree	19 13.19	17 10.76	18 18.95	44 19.73	59 34.30	157 19.82
Don't know	12 8.33	14 8.86	1 1.05	16 7.17	19 11.05	62 7.83
Total	144 100.00	158 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by race

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	144 28.07	13 11.61	24 18.46	3 60.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	3 20.00	1 100.00	190 23.81
Somewhat agree	190 37.04	13 11.61	40 30.77	1 20.00	4 66.67	4 25.00	8 53.33	0 0.00	260 32.58
Somewhat disagree	66 12.87	28 25.00	27 20.77	0 0.00	2 33.33	4 25.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	128 16.04
Strongly disagree	81 15.79	40 35.71	31 23.85	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	3 20.00	0 0.00	158 19.80
Don't know	32 6.24	18 16.07	8 6.15	1 20.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	62 7.77
Total	513 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by marstat

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly agree	148 29.84	4 33.33	12 11.65	7 20.00	16 14.29	3 7.69	190 23.84
Somewhat agree	172 34.68	2 16.67	23 22.33	16 45.71	32 28.57	15 38.46	260 32.62
Somewhat disagree	71 14.31	3 25.00	22 21.36	6 17.14	18 16.07	7 17.95	127 15.93
Strongly disagree	71 14.31	2 16.67	36 34.95	4 11.43	33 29.46	12 30.77	158 19.82
Don't know	34 6.85	1 8.33	10 9.71	2 5.71	13 11.61	2 5.13	62 7.78
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	35 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by gender

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	106 28.42	84 19.76	190 23.81
Somewhat agree	125 33.51	135 31.76	260 32.58
Somewhat disagree	52 13.94	76 17.88	128 16.04
Strongly disagree	74 19.84	84 19.76	158 19.80
Don't know	16 4.29	46 10.82	62 7.77
Total	373 100.00	425 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by locate

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	38 18.45	107 25.91	44 24.72	189 23.71
Somewhat agree	54 26.21	129 31.23	77 43.26	260 32.62
Somewhat disagree	34 16.50	69 16.71	25 14.04	128 16.06
Strongly disagree	57 27.67	79 19.13	22 12.36	158 19.82
Don't know	23 11.17	29 7.02	10 5.62	62 7.78
Total	206 100.00	413 100.00	178 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by metro

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	41 26.45	56 24.03	18 23.08	13 15.66	60 24.39	188 23.65
Somewhat agree	41 26.45	71 30.47	26 33.33	28 33.73	93 37.80	259 32.58
Somewhat disagree	26 16.77	49 21.03	14 17.95	12 14.46	27 10.98	128 16.10
Strongly disagree	40 25.81	39 16.74	16 20.51	22 26.51	41 16.67	158 19.87
Don't know	7 4.52	18 7.73	4 5.13	8 9.64	25 10.16	62 7.80
Total	155	233	78	83	246	795

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

Q33A. State Government: Efficiency

. tab2 Q33A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33A by libcon

State government more efficient than national government	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	2 5.56	0 0.00	5 6.67	33 15.71	34 30.91	74 44.85	52 42.62	200 25.35
Somewhat agree	6 16.67	24 33.80	15 20.00	97 46.19	64 58.18	77 46.67	57 46.72	340 43.09
Somewhat disagree	16 44.44	29 40.85	33 44.00	61 29.05	10 9.09	12 7.27	9 7.38	170 21.55
Strongly disagree	12 33.33	18 25.35	22 29.33	19 9.05	2 1.82	2 1.21	4 3.28	79 10.01
Total	36 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	210 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	122 100.00	789 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by pid7

State government more efficient than national government	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	10 5.35	9 15.79	3 5.00	8 12.70	50 40.65	16 25.00	96 46.38	3 16.67	195 25.03
Somewhat agree	47 25.13	25 43.86	18 30.00	36 57.14	61 49.59	43 67.19	99 47.83	11 61.11	340 43.65
Somewhat disagree	88 47.06	18 31.58	22 36.67	16 25.40	9 7.32	4 6.25	9 4.35	3 16.67	169 21.69

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly disagree	42	5	17	3	3	1	3	1	75
	22.46	8.77	28.33	4.76	2.44	1.56	1.45	5.56	9.63

Total	187	57	60	63	123	64	207	18	779
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by Q22

State government more efficient than national government	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	52	19	76	54	201
	41.60	6.67	42.46	26.21	25.28
Somewhat agree	67	79	86	113	345
	53.60	27.72	48.04	54.85	43.40
Somewhat disagree	3	121	14	32	170
	2.40	42.46	7.82	15.53	21.38
Strongly disagree	3	66	3	7	79
	2.40	23.16	1.68	3.40	9.94

Total	125	285	179	206	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by educ

State government more efficient than national government	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	5	63	46	25	47	15	201
	18.52	27.63	21.00	28.74	28.83	21.13	25.28
Somewhat agree	9	116	86	34	71	29	345
	33.33	50.88	39.27	39.08	43.56	40.85	43.40
Somewhat disagree	10	32	56	23	33	16	170
	37.04	14.04	25.57	26.44	20.25	22.54	21.38
Strongly disagree	3	17	31	5	12	11	79
	11.11	7.46	14.16	5.75	7.36	15.49	9.94

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	27	228	219	87	163	71	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by attend

State government more efficient than national government	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	45	41	33	61	18	198
	31.47	25.79	35.11	27.48	10.59	25.13
Somewhat agree	58	83	38	88	76	343
	40.56	52.20	40.43	39.64	44.71	43.53
Somewhat disagree	32	23	14	52	48	169
	22.38	14.47	14.89	23.42	28.24	21.45
Strongly disagree	8	12	9	21	28	78
	5.59	7.55	9.57	9.46	16.47	9.90
Total	143	159	94	222	170	788
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by race

State government more efficient than national government	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	155	9	23	3	2	4	5	0	201
	30.21	8.26	17.69	60.00	33.33	25.00	33.33	0.00	25.28
Somewhat agree	236	33	58	2	3	7	5	1	345
	46.00	30.28	44.62	40.00	50.00	43.75	33.33	100.00	43.40
Somewhat disagree	86	47	30	0	1	4	2	0	170
	16.76	43.12	23.08	0.00	16.67	25.00	13.33	0.00	21.38
Strongly disagree	36	20	19	0	0	1	3	0	79
	7.02	18.35	14.62	0.00	0.00	6.25	20.00	0.00	9.94
Total	513	109	130	5	6	16	15	1	795

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by marstat

State government more efficient than national government	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	149 30.16	4 33.33	15 14.56	12 33.33	16 14.41	5 13.16	201 25.31
Somewhat agree	225 45.55	3 25.00	33 32.04	14 38.89	54 48.65	16 42.11	345 43.45
Somewhat disagree	82 16.60	4 33.33	35 33.98	9 25.00	26 23.42	13 34.21	169 21.28
Strongly disagree	38 7.69	1 8.33	20 19.42	1 2.78	15 13.51	4 10.53	79 9.95
Total	494 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	111 100.00	38 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by gender

State government more efficient than national government	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	109 29.22	92 21.80	201 25.28
Somewhat agree	155 41.55	190 45.02	345 43.40
Somewhat disagree	72 19.30	98 23.22	170 21.38
Strongly disagree	37 9.92	42 9.95	79 9.94
Total	373	422	795

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by locate

State government more efficient than national government	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	40 19.70	112 27.12	48 26.97	200 25.19
Somewhat agree	89 43.84	176 42.62	80 44.94	345 43.45
Somewhat disagree	45 22.17	90 21.79	35 19.66	170 21.41
Strongly disagree	29 14.29	35 8.47	15 8.43	79 9.95
Total	203 100.00	413 100.00	178 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by metro

State government more efficient than national government	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	41 26.45	63 27.27	18 22.78	13 15.66	64 26.23	199 25.13
Somewhat agree	59 38.06	90 38.96	38 48.10	42 50.60	116 47.54	345 43.56
Somewhat disagree	30 19.35	60 25.97	14 17.72	19 22.89	47 19.26	170 21.46
Strongly disagree	25 16.13	18 7.79	9 11.39	9 10.84	17 6.97	78 9.85
Total	155 100.00	231 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	244 100.00	792 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q33B. State Government: Dependence on Federal government

. tab2 Q33B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33B by libcon

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	3 8.33	1 1.41	3 4.00	15 7.14	10 9.09	15 9.15	19 15.45	66 8.37
Somewhat agree	5 13.89	16 22.54	16 21.33	67 31.90	35 31.82	70 42.68	48 39.02	257 32.57
Somewhat disagree	20 55.56	37 52.11	38 50.67	94 44.76	56 50.91	71 43.29	34 27.64	350 44.36
Strongly disagree	8 22.22	17 23.94	18 24.00	34 16.19	9 8.18	8 4.88	22 17.89	116 14.70
Total	36 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	210 100.00	110 100.00	164 100.00	123 100.00	789 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by pid7

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	14 7.45	6 10.53	1 1.67	7 11.11	19 15.45	4 6.25	12 5.83	0 0.00	63 8.09
Somewhat agree	41 21.81	11 19.30	16 26.67	19 30.16	46 37.40	25 39.06	88 42.72	9 50.00	255 32.73
Somewhat disagree	87 46.28	30 52.63	32 53.33	32 50.79	51 41.46	31 48.44	78 37.86	7 38.89	348 44.67
Strongly disagree	46 24.47	10 17.54	11 18.33	5 7.94	7 5.69	4 6.25	28 13.59	2 11.11	113 14.51
Total	188 100.00	57 100.00	60 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	64 100.00	206 100.00	18 100.00	779 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q33B by Q22

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	8 6.40	19 6.67	20 11.17	19 9.22	66 8.30
Somewhat agree	42 33.60	57 20.00	78 43.58	83 40.29	260 32.70
Somewhat disagree	57 45.60	140 49.12	65 36.31	91 44.17	353 44.40
Strongly disagree	18 14.40	69 24.21	16 8.94	13 6.31	116 14.59
Total	125 100.00	285 100.00	179 100.00	206 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by educ

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	4 14.81	24 10.53	10 4.59	5 5.75	16 9.76	7 9.86	66 8.30
Somewhat agree	8 29.63	70 30.70	66 30.28	30 34.48	58 35.37	28 39.44	260 32.70
Somewhat disagree	11 40.74	100 43.86	104 47.71	40 45.98	71 43.29	27 38.03	353 44.40
Strongly disagree	4 14.81	34 14.91	38 17.43	12 13.79	19 11.59	9 12.68	116 14.59
Total	27 100.00	228 100.00	218 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	795 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q33B by attend

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	13 9.09	17 10.69	9 9.57	13 5.86	14 8.24	66 8.38
Somewhat agree	52 36.36	46 28.93	31 32.98	72 32.43	56 32.94	257 32.61
Somewhat disagree	57 39.86	77 48.43	42 44.68	104 46.85	72 42.35	352 44.67
Strongly disagree	21 14.69	19 11.95	12 12.77	33 14.86	28 16.47	113 14.34
Total	143 100.00	159 100.00	94 100.00	222 100.00	170 100.00	788 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by race

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	48 9.36	9 8.18	5 3.88	2 40.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	66 8.30
Somewhat agree	180 35.09	27 24.55	35 27.13	1 20.00	2 33.33	5 31.25	10 66.67	0 0.00	260 32.70
Somewhat disagree	223 43.47	50 45.45	63 48.84	2 40.00	2 33.33	10 62.50	2 13.33	1 100.00	353 44.40
Strongly disagree	62 12.09	24 21.82	26 20.16	0 0.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	2 13.33	0 0.00	116 14.59
Total	513 100.00	110 100.00	129 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

241 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	40 8.10	1 8.33	9 8.74	3 8.33	12 10.81	1 2.63	66 8.31
Somewhat agree	179 36.23	5 41.67	29 28.16	5 13.89	33 29.73	9 23.68	260 32.75
Somewhat disagree	209 42.31	4 33.33	48 46.60	19 52.78	53 47.75	19 50.00	352 44.33
Strongly disagree	66 13.36	2 16.67	17 16.50	9 25.00	13 11.71	9 23.68	116 14.61
Total	494 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	111 100.00	38 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by gender

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	38 10.22	28 6.62	66 8.30
Somewhat agree	143 38.44	117 27.66	260 32.70
Somewhat disagree	152 40.86	201 47.52	353 44.40
Strongly disagree	39 10.48	77 18.20	116 14.59
Total	372 100.00	423 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by locate

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

242 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	22 10.84	23 5.57	21 11.80	66 8.31
Somewhat agree	55 27.09	145 35.11	59 33.15	259 32.62
Somewhat disagree	91 44.83	186 45.04	76 42.70	353 44.46
Strongly disagree	35 17.24	59 14.29	22 12.36	116 14.61
Total	203 100.00	413 100.00	178 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by metro

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	16 10.32	21 9.09	2 2.56	6 7.23	21 8.57	66 8.33
Somewhat agree	50 32.26	70 30.30	28 35.90	12 14.46	98 40.00	258 32.58
Somewhat disagree	68 43.87	103 44.59	38 48.72	45 54.22	99 40.41	353 44.57
Strongly disagree	21 13.55	37 16.02	10 12.82	20 24.10	27 11.02	115 14.52
Total	155 100.00	231 100.00	78 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	792 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

243 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q33C1. State Government: Pensions 1

. tab2 Q33C1 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by libcon

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	2 10.00	1 2.70	1 3.12	13 12.15	13 28.89	38 42.22	27 55.10	95 25.00
Somewhat agree	2 10.00	8 21.62	9 28.12	33 30.84	17 37.78	30 33.33	14 28.57	113 29.74
Somewhat disagree	8 40.00	15 40.54	17 53.12	37 34.58	13 28.89	20 22.22	2 4.08	112 29.47
Strongly disagree	8 40.00	13 35.14	5 15.62	24 22.43	2 4.44	2 2.22	6 12.24	60 15.79
Total	20 100.00	37 100.00	32 100.00	107 100.00	45 100.00	90 100.00	49 100.00	380 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by pid7

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	7 7.29	1 4.76	3 9.38	6 22.22	18 31.03	9 29.03	45 46.88	2 20.00	91 24.53
Somewhat agree	20 20.83	4 19.05	7 21.88	10 37.04	25 43.10	11 35.48	32 33.33	2 20.00	111 29.92
Somewhat disagree	39 40.62	11 52.38	13 40.62	7 25.93	13 22.41	9 29.03	16 16.67	2 20.00	110 29.65
Strongly disagree	30 31.25	5 23.81	9 28.12	4 14.81	2 3.45	2 6.45	3 3.12	4 40.00	59 15.90

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	96	21	32	27	58	31	96	10	371
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by Q22

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	19 32.20	11 7.86	36 45.00	29 28.43	95 24.93
Somewhat agree	21 35.59	31 22.14	32 40.00	30 29.41	114 29.92
Somewhat disagree	15 25.42	55 39.29	9 11.25	33 32.35	112 29.40
Strongly disagree	4 6.78	43 30.71	3 3.75	10 9.80	60 15.75
Total	59 100.00	140 100.00	80 100.00	102 100.00	381 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by educ

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	2 12.50	28 24.14	21 20.59	7 17.50	27 36.99	10 29.41	95 24.93
Somewhat agree	1 6.25	46 39.66	26 25.49	13 32.50	18 24.66	10 29.41	114 29.92
Somewhat disagree	5 31.25	27 23.28	37 36.27	15 37.50	18 24.66	10 29.41	112 29.40
Strongly disagree	8 50.00	15 12.93	18 17.65	5 12.50	10 13.70	4 11.76	60 15.75

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

245 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	16	116	102	40	73	34	381
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by attend

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	20 33.33	25 32.89	10 21.74	25 23.15	14 15.73	94 24.80
Somewhat agree	23 38.33	14 18.42	18 39.13	35 32.41	23 25.84	113 29.82
Somewhat disagree	11 18.33	26 34.21	11 23.91	28 25.93	36 40.45	112 29.55
Strongly disagree	6 10.00	11 14.47	7 15.22	20 18.52	16 17.98	60 15.83
Total	60 100.00	76 100.00	46 100.00	108 100.00	89 100.00	379 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by race

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	70 29.17	6 12.50	11 15.94	3 100.00	0 0.00	1 11.11	4 57.14	0 0.00	95 24.93
Somewhat agree	77 32.08	9 18.75	20 28.99	0 0.00	1 25.00	3 33.33	3 42.86	1 100.00	114 29.92
Somewhat disagree	65 27.08	21 43.75	23 33.33	0 0.00	2 50.00	1 11.11	0 0.00	0 0.00	112 29.40
Strongly disagree	28 11.67	12 25.00	15 21.74	0 0.00	1 25.00	4 44.44	0 0.00	0 0.00	60 15.75

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	240	48	69	3	4	9	7	1	381
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by marstat

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	72 30.64	2 33.33	9 18.00	3 15.79	8 15.38	1 5.56	95 25.00
Somewhat agree	74 31.49	1 16.67	12 24.00	6 31.58	16 30.77	4 22.22	113 29.74
Somewhat disagree	58 24.68	3 50.00	18 36.00	8 42.11	15 28.85	10 55.56	112 29.47
Strongly disagree	31 13.19	0 0.00	11 22.00	2 10.53	13 25.00	3 16.67	60 15.79
Total	235 100.00	6 100.00	50 100.00	19 100.00	52 100.00	18 100.00	380 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by gender

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	62 34.25	33 16.50	95 24.93
Somewhat agree	54 29.83	60 30.00	114 29.92
Somewhat disagree	40 22.10	72 36.00	112 29.40
Strongly disagree	25	35	60

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	13.81	17.50	15.75
Total	181	200	381
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by locate

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	18 17.82	53 27.04	24 28.92	95 25.00
Somewhat agree	35 34.65	56 28.57	23 27.71	114 30.00
Somewhat disagree	26 25.74	62 31.63	23 27.71	111 29.21
Strongly disagree	22 21.78	25 12.76	13 15.66	60 15.79
Total	101 100.00	196 100.00	83 100.00	380 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by metro

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	22 30.99	33 32.04	8 21.62	10 22.73	21 16.94	94 24.80
Somewhat agree	25 35.21	25 24.27	15 40.54	11 25.00	37 29.84	113 29.82
Somewhat disagree	18 25.35	29 28.16	8 21.62	15 34.09	42 33.87	112 29.55
Strongly disagree	6	16	6	8	24	60

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	8.45	15.53	16.22	18.18	19.35	15.83
Total	71	103	37	44	124	379
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q33C2. State Government: Pensions 2

. tab2 Q33C2 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by libcon

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	1 5.88	4 12.12	6 13.95	15 14.29	18 27.69	30 40.54	40 54.05	114 27.74
Somewhat agree	6 35.29	14 42.42	10 23.26	47 44.76	22 33.85	30 40.54	13 17.57	142 34.55
Somewhat disagree	5 29.41	13 39.39	12 27.91	29 27.62	19 29.23	13 17.57	14 18.92	105 25.55
Strongly disagree	5 29.41	2 6.06	15 34.88	14 13.33	6 9.23	1 1.35	7 9.46	50 12.17
Total	17 100.00	33 100.00	43 100.00	105 100.00	65 100.00	74 100.00	74 100.00	411 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by pid7

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	12 13.04	5 13.89	4 13.79	9 25.00	32 49.23	7 21.21	44 39.64	0 0.00	113 27.56
Somewhat agree	34	9	12	12	19	12	37	6	141

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

249 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	36.96	25.00	41.38	33.33	29.23	36.36	33.33	75.00	34.39
Somewhat disagree	27 29.35	15 41.67	7 24.14	8 22.22	13 20.00	12 36.36	23 20.72	1 12.50	106 25.85
Strongly disagree	19 20.65	7 19.44	6 20.69	7 19.44	1 1.54	2 6.06	7 6.31	1 12.50	50 12.20
Total	92 100.00	36 100.00	29 100.00	36 100.00	65 100.00	33 100.00	111 100.00	8 100.00	410 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by Q22

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	16 24.62	20 13.70	52 52.53	27 25.47	115 27.64
Somewhat agree	22 33.85	54 36.99	32 32.32	37 34.91	145 34.86
Somewhat disagree	21 32.31	45 30.82	13 13.13	27 25.47	106 25.48
Strongly disagree	6 9.23	27 18.49	2 2.02	15 14.15	50 12.02
Total	65 100.00	146 100.00	99 100.00	106 100.00	416 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by educ

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	6 54.55	32 28.32	29 24.79	12 25.00	24 26.67	12 32.43	115 27.64
Somewhat agree	2	46	41	14	32	10	145

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	18.18	40.71	35.04	29.17	35.56	27.03	34.86
Somewhat disagree	2	23	32	16	21	12	106
	18.18	20.35	27.35	33.33	23.33	32.43	25.48
Strongly disagree	1	12	15	6	13	3	50
	9.09	10.62	12.82	12.50	14.44	8.11	12.02
Total	11	113	117	48	90	37	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by attend

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	26	28	11	30	19	114
	31.33	34.15	22.92	26.09	22.89	27.74
Somewhat agree	28	32	13	38	32	143
	33.73	39.02	27.08	33.04	38.55	34.79
Somewhat disagree	19	15	20	28	22	104
	22.89	18.29	41.67	24.35	26.51	25.30
Strongly disagree	10	7	4	19	10	50
	12.05	8.54	8.33	16.52	12.05	12.17
Total	83	82	48	115	83	411
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by race

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Race							Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	
Strongly agree	91	8	10	1	0	0	5	115
	33.09	12.90	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	27.64
Somewhat agree	95	20	24	1	1	3	1	145

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	34.55	32.26	40.00	50.00	50.00	42.86	12.50	34.86
Somewhat disagree	67 24.36	18 29.03	16 26.67	0 0.00	1 50.00	3 42.86	1 12.50	106 25.48
Strongly disagree	22 8.00	16 25.81	10 16.67	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 14.29	1 12.50	50 12.02
Total	275 100.00	62 100.00	60 100.00	2 100.00	2 100.00	7 100.00	8 100.00	416 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by marstat

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	88 33.72	1 16.67	6 11.54	5 29.41	12 20.34	3 14.29	115 27.64
Somewhat agree	89 34.10	3 50.00	16 30.77	7 41.18	22 37.29	8 38.10	145 34.86
Somewhat disagree	57 21.84	2 33.33	20 38.46	3 17.65	17 28.81	7 33.33	106 25.48
Strongly disagree	27 10.34	0 0.00	10 19.23	2 11.76	8 13.56	3 14.29	50 12.02
Total	261 100.00	6 100.00	52 100.00	17 100.00	59 100.00	21 100.00	416 100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by gender

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	75 39.06	40 17.86	115 27.64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	63	82	145
	32.81	36.61	34.86
Somewhat disagree	37	69	106
	19.27	30.80	25.48
Strongly disagree	17	33	50
	8.85	14.73	12.02
Total	192	224	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by locate

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	18	63	34	115
	17.48	28.90	35.79	27.64
Somewhat agree	36	80	29	145
	34.95	36.70	30.53	34.86
Somewhat disagree	32	52	22	106
	31.07	23.85	23.16	25.48
Strongly disagree	17	23	10	50
	16.50	10.55	10.53	12.02
Total	103	218	95	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by metro

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	21	36	12	10	36	115
	25.30	27.69	28.57	26.32	29.51	27.71

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

253 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	27 32.53	43 33.08	18 42.86	13 34.21	44 36.07	145 34.94
Somewhat disagree	24 28.92	36 27.69	7 16.67	6 15.79	32 26.23	105 25.30
Strongly disagree	11 13.25	15 11.54	5 11.90	9 23.68	10 8.20	50 12.05
Total	83 100.00	130 100.00	42 100.00	38 100.00	122 100.00	415 100.00

Q34A. State Government: Corrupt/Honest

. tab2 Q34A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q34A by libcon

The State government corrupt or honest	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Is mostly corrupt OR	26 70.27	43 60.56	51 68.00	71 33.65	20 18.35	19 11.66	28 22.76	258 32.70
Is mostly honest	4 10.81	16 22.54	9 12.00	79 37.44	68 62.39	109 66.87	72 58.54	357 45.25
Don't know	7 18.92	12 16.90	15 20.00	61 28.91	21 19.27	35 21.47	23 18.70	174 22.05
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	211 100.00	109 100.00	163 100.00	123 100.00	789 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by pid7

The State government corrupt or honest	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is mostly corrupt OR	112 58.95	21 37.50	38 62.30	23 36.51	23 19.01	13 20.31	17 8.21	7 41.18	254 32.61
Is mostly honest	36 18.95	24 42.86	12 19.67	22 34.92	69 57.02	35 54.69	154 74.40	2 11.76	354 45.44

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

254 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	42	11	11	18	29	16	36	8	171
	22.11	19.64	18.03	28.57	23.97	25.00	17.39	47.06	21.95
Total	190	56	61	63	121	64	207	17	779
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by Q22

The State government corrupt or honest	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is mostly corrupt OR	12	170	38	40	260
	9.84	59.23	21.35	19.23	32.70
Is mostly honest	89	60	113	98	360
	72.95	20.91	63.48	47.12	45.28
Don't know	21	57	27	70	175
	17.21	19.86	15.17	33.65	22.01
Total	122	287	178	208	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by educ

The State government corrupt or honest	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Is mostly corrupt OR	13	56	84	21	62	24	260
	48.15	24.45	38.71	24.14	37.80	33.80	32.70
Is mostly honest	10	116	89	42	73	30	360
	37.04	50.66	41.01	48.28	44.51	42.25	45.28
Don't know	4	57	44	24	29	17	175
	14.81	24.89	20.28	27.59	17.68	23.94	22.01
Total	27	229	217	87	164	71	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by attend

The State government | Religion participation

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

corrupt or honest	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	43 30.07	34 21.38	29 30.85	73 33.03	80 46.78	259 32.87
Is mostly honest	70 48.95	88 55.35	49 52.13	102 46.15	48 28.07	357 45.30
Don't know	30 20.98	37 23.27	16 17.02	46 20.81	43 25.15	172 21.83
Total	143 100.00	159 100.00	94 100.00	221 100.00	171 100.00	788 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by race

The State government corrupt or honest	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is mostly corrupt OR	140 27.34	58 52.25	48 37.21	1 20.00	2 33.33	5 31.25	6 40.00	0 0.00	260 32.70
Is mostly honest	268 52.34	24 21.62	53 41.09	2 40.00	3 50.00	5 31.25	4 26.67	1 100.00	360 45.28
Don't know	104 20.31	29 26.13	28 21.71	2 40.00	1 16.67	6 37.50	5 33.33	0 0.00	175 22.01
Total	512 100.00	111 100.00	129 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by marstat

The State government corrupt or honest	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly corrupt OR	134 27.24	5 41.67	47 45.19	9 25.71	45 40.18	20 51.28	260 32.75
Is mostly honest	256 52.03	6 50.00	31 29.81	17 48.57	37 33.04	12 30.77	359 45.21
Don't know	102 20.73	1 8.33	26 25.00	9 25.71	30 26.79	7 17.95	175 22.04
Total	492	12	104	35	112	39	794

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

256 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by gender

The State government corrupt or honest	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Is mostly corrupt OR	122 33.15	138 32.32	260 32.70
Is mostly honest	180 48.91	180 42.15	360 45.28
Don't know	66 17.93	109 25.53	175 22.01
Total	368 100.00	427 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by locate

The State government corrupt or honest	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Is mostly corrupt OR	79 38.73	131 31.80	49 27.53	259 32.62
Is mostly honest	76 37.25	191 46.36	93 52.25	360 45.34
Don't know	49 24.02	90 21.84	36 20.22	175 22.04
Total	204 100.00	412 100.00	178 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by metro

The State government corrupt or honest	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Is mostly corrupt OR	61 39.10	74 32.03	16 20.51	36 43.37	73 29.92	260 32.83

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Is mostly honest	62 39.74	105 45.45	42 53.85	34 40.96	115 47.13	358 45.20
Don't know	33 21.15	52 22.51	20 25.64	13 15.66	56 22.95	174 21.97
Total	156 100.00	231 100.00	78 100.00	83 100.00	244 100.00	792 100.00

Q34B. State Government: Careful/Careless

. tab2 Q34B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q34B by libcon

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Is mostly careful wit	4 10.81	15 21.13	17 22.67	64 30.05	54 49.54	112 68.29	82 66.67	348 43.94
Is mostly careless wi	27 72.97	45 63.38	47 62.67	88 41.31	40 36.70	34 20.73	34 27.64	315 39.77
Don't know	6 16.22	11 15.49	11 14.67	61 28.64	15 13.76	18 10.98	7 5.69	129 16.29
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	109 100.00	164 100.00	123 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by pid7

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is mostly careful wit	34 17.89	24 42.11	11 18.03	17 26.98	75 60.98	36 55.38	147 71.71	1 5.56	345 44.12
Is mostly careless wi	116 61.05	26 45.61	42 68.85	30 47.62	33 26.83	19 29.23	34 16.59	8 44.44	308 39.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

258 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	40	7	8	16	15	10	24	9	129
	21.05	12.28	13.11	25.40	12.20	15.38	11.71	50.00	16.50
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	205	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by Q22

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is mostly careful wit	87	60	109	95	351
	70.16	20.83	61.24	45.67	43.98
Is mostly careless wi	22	178	52	65	317
	17.74	61.81	29.21	31.25	39.72
Don't know	15	50	17	48	130
	12.10	17.36	9.55	23.08	16.29
Total	124	288	178	208	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by educ

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Is mostly careful wit	11	108	80	42	78	32	351
	40.74	46.96	36.70	47.73	47.56	45.07	43.98
Is mostly careless wi	14	79	93	32	68	31	317
	51.85	34.35	42.66	36.36	41.46	43.66	39.72
Don't know	2	43	45	14	18	8	130
	7.41	18.70	20.64	15.91	10.98	11.27	16.29
Total	27	230	218	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by attend

The State government |

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

careful or careless with tax dollars	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Is mostly careful wit	77 53.47	81 50.94	41 43.16	98 44.14	49 28.65	346 43.74
Is mostly careless wi	48 33.33	48 30.19	38 40.00	92 41.44	89 52.05	315 39.82
Don't know	19 13.19	30 18.87	16 16.84	32 14.41	33 19.30	130 16.43
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	222 100.00	171 100.00	791 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by race

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is mostly careful wit	249 48.54	25 22.32	59 45.38	0 0.00	3 50.00	6 37.50	8 53.33	1 100.00	351 43.98
Is mostly careless wi	185 36.06	60 53.57	53 40.77	4 80.00	2 33.33	7 43.75	6 40.00	0 0.00	317 39.72
Don't know	79 15.40	27 24.11	18 13.85	1 20.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	130 16.29
Total	513 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by marstat

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly careful wit	251 50.71	4 33.33	26 25.00	19 54.29	37 33.04	13 33.33	350 43.91
Is mostly careless wi	178 35.96	6 50.00	52 50.00	11 31.43	48 42.86	22 56.41	317 39.77
Don't know	66	2	26	5	27	4	130

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	13.33	16.67	25.00	14.29	24.11	10.26	16.31
Total	495	12	104	35	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by gender

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Is mostly careful wit	181 48.53	170 40.00	351 43.98
Is mostly careless wi	150 40.21	167 39.29	317 39.72
Don't know	42 11.26	88 20.71	130 16.29
Total	373 100.00	425 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by locate

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Is mostly careful wit	78 38.05	190 45.78	83 46.89	351 44.04
Is mostly careless wi	87 42.44	163 39.28	66 37.29	316 39.65
Don't know	40 19.51	62 14.94	28 15.82	130 16.31
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by metro

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Is mostly careful wit	74 47.44	97 41.63	40 50.63	31 37.80	106 43.27	348 43.77
Is mostly careless wi	61 39.10	98 42.06	23 29.11	43 52.44	92 37.55	317 39.87
Don't know	21 13.46	38 16.31	16 20.25	8 9.76	47 19.18	130 16.35
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	82 100.00	245 100.00	795 100.00

Q34C. State Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs

. tab2 Q34C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q34C by libcon

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Mostly addresses the	5 13.51	14 19.72	15 20.00	91 42.72	75 68.18	142 86.06	98 79.67	440 55.42
Mostly ignores the ne	28 75.68	48 67.61	54 72.00	81 38.03	20 18.18	13 7.88	22 17.89	266 33.50
Don't know	4 10.81	9 12.68	6 8.00	41 19.25	15 13.64	10 6.06	3 2.44	88 11.08
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by pid7

The State government addresses needs or ignores	7 point Party ID							Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Mostly addresses the	39 20.53	28 49.12	15 24.59	27 42.86	97 78.86	47 72.31	179 86.47	4 22.22	436 55.61
Mostly ignores the ne	123 64.74	23 40.35	40 65.57	27 42.86	18 14.63	10 15.38	16 7.73	5 27.78	262 33.42
Don't know	28 14.74	6 10.53	6 9.84	9 14.29	8 6.50	8 12.31	12 5.80	9 50.00	86 10.97
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by Q22

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Mostly addresses the	103 82.40	68 23.61	142 79.33	132 63.46	445 55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	13 10.40	184 63.89	29 16.20	41 19.71	267 33.38
Don't know	9 7.20	36 12.50	8 4.47	35 16.83	88 11.00
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by educ

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Mostly addresses the	13 48.15	144 62.61	104 47.27	51 57.95	97 59.15	36 50.70	445 55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	12 44.44	56 24.35	87 39.55	27 30.68	56 34.15	29 40.85	267 33.38
Don't know	2 7.41	30 13.04	29 13.18	10 11.36	11 6.71	6 8.45	88 11.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by attend

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Mostly addresses the	95 65.97	105 66.04	54 56.84	125 56.05	61 35.47	440 55.49
Mostly ignores the ne	37 25.69	35 22.01	33 34.74	77 34.53	84 48.84	266 33.54
Don't know	12 8.33	19 11.95	8 8.42	21 9.42	27 15.70	87 10.97
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by race

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Mostly addresses the	326 63.30	34 30.36	64 49.23	4 80.00	4 66.67	4 25.00	8 53.33	1 100.00	445 55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	141 27.38	56 50.00	54 41.54	0 0.00	2 33.33	8 50.00	6 40.00	0 0.00	267 33.38
Don't know	48 9.32	22 19.64	12 9.23	1 20.00	0 0.00	4 25.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	88 11.00
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by marstat

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Mostly addresses the	312	5	42	22	48	15	444

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	62.90	41.67	40.38	61.11	42.86	38.46	55.57
Mostly ignores the ne	145 29.23	5 41.67	49 47.12	6 16.67	42 37.50	20 51.28	267 33.42
Don't know	39 7.86	2 16.67	13 12.50	8 22.22	22 19.64	4 10.26	88 11.01
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by gender

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Mostly addresses the	224 60.05	221 51.76	445 55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	123 32.98	144 33.72	267 33.38
Don't know	26 6.97	62 14.52	88 11.00
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by locate

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Mostly addresses the	91 44.17	240 57.83	113 63.48	444 55.57
Mostly ignores the ne	83 40.29	135 32.53	49 27.53	267 33.42
Don't know	32 15.53	40 9.64	16 8.99	88 11.01

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by metro

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Mostly addresses the	87 55.77	119 51.07	48 60.76	40 48.19	148 60.16	442 55.46
Mostly ignores the ne	57 36.54	85 36.48	22 27.85	37 44.58	66 26.83	267 33.50
Don't know	12 7.69	29 12.45	9 11.39	6 7.23	32 13.01	88 11.04
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q34D. State Government: Divided/Can Work together

. tab2 Q34D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q34D by libcon

The State government divided or can work together	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Is too divided along	30 83.33	59 83.10	58 77.33	86 40.38	22 20.00	26 15.76	29 23.58	310 39.09
Can usually work toge	1 2.78	7 9.86	15 20.00	81 38.03	79 71.82	127 76.97	85 69.11	395 49.81
Don't know	5 13.89	5 7.04	2 2.67	46 21.60	9 8.18	12 7.27	9 7.32	88 11.10
Total	36 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

266 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q34D by pid7

The State government divided or can work together	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is too divided along	140 74.07	29 50.88	42 68.85	23 36.51	24 19.51	12 18.46	27 13.04	6 33.33	303 38.70
Can usually work toge	30 15.87	22 38.60	10 16.39	28 44.44	89 72.36	41 63.08	170 82.13	3 16.67	393 50.19
Don't know	19 10.05	6 10.53	9 14.75	12 19.05	10 8.13	12 18.46	10 4.83	9 50.00	87 11.11
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by Q22

The State government divided or can work together	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is too divided along	17 13.60	209 72.82	37 20.67	49 23.56	312 39.05
Can usually work toge	100 80.00	51 17.77	132 73.74	116 55.77	399 49.94
Don't know	8 6.40	27 9.41	10 5.59	43 20.67	88 11.01
Total	125 100.00	287 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by educ

The State government divided or can work together	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Is too divided along	14 51.85	65 28.26	97 44.29	35 39.77	66 40.24	35 49.30	312 39.05
Can usually work toge	10	126	103	46	82	32	399

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

267 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	37.04	54.78	47.03	52.27	50.00	45.07	49.94
Don't know	3 11.11	39 16.96	19 8.68	7 7.95	16 9.76	4 5.63	88 11.01
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	219 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by attend

The State government divided or can work together	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Is too divided along	51 35.42	47 29.56	36 38.30	86 38.57	89 51.74	309 39.02
Can usually work toge	80 55.56	98 61.64	52 55.32	113 50.67	52 30.23	395 49.87
Don't know	13 9.03	14 8.81	6 6.38	24 10.76	31 18.02	88 11.11
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	94 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by race

The State government divided or can work together	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is too divided along	163 31.71	69 61.61	65 50.00	2 40.00	2 33.33	5 31.25	6 40.00	0 0.00	312 39.05
Can usually work toge	297 57.78	28 25.00	55 42.31	2 40.00	3 50.00	5 31.25	8 53.33	1 100.00	399 49.94
Don't know	54 10.51	15 13.39	10 7.69	1 20.00	1 16.67	6 37.50	1 6.67	0 0.00	88 11.01
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The State government divided or can work together	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is too divided along	170 34.34	7 58.33	57 54.81	10 27.78	49 43.75	18 46.15	311 38.97
Can usually work toge	282 56.97	4 33.33	32 30.77	25 69.44	41 36.61	15 38.46	399 50.00
Don't know	43 8.69	1 8.33	15 14.42	1 2.78	22 19.64	6 15.38	88 11.03
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by gender

The State government divided or can work together	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Is too divided along	156 41.94	156 36.53	312 39.05
Can usually work toge	189 50.81	210 49.18	399 49.94
Don't know	27 7.26	61 14.29	88 11.01
Total	372 100.00	427 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by locate

The State government divided or can work together	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Is too divided along	90 43.90	159 38.31	62 34.83	311 38.97

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

269 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Can usually work toge	81	216	102	399
	39.51	52.05	57.30	50.00

Don't know	34	40	14	88
	16.59	9.64	7.87	11.03

Total	205	415	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by metro

The State government divided or can work together	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Is too divided along	67	92	23	45	85	312
	43.23	39.48	29.11	54.22	34.55	39.20

Can usually work toge	75	120	50	26	125	396
	48.39	51.50	63.29	31.33	50.81	49.75

Don't know	13	21	6	12	36	88
	8.39	9.01	7.59	14.46	14.63	11.06

Total	155	233	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q35A. Federal Government: Corrupt/Honest

. tab2 Q35A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q35A by libcon

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Is mostly corrupt OR	23	33	41	134	91	147	107	576
	62.16	46.48	54.67	62.91	82.73	89.63	87.70	72.73

Is mostly honest	10	25	23	34	8	11	7	118
	27.03	35.21	30.67	15.96	7.27	6.71	5.74	14.90

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

270 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	4	13	11	45	11	6	8	98
	10.81	18.31	14.67	21.13	10.00	3.66	6.56	12.37
Total	37	71	75	213	110	164	122	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by pid7

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is mostly corrupt OR	88	35	35	48	114	54	184	13	571
	46.32	61.40	57.38	76.19	92.68	83.08	89.76	72.22	73.02
Is mostly honest	67	12	15	4	4	4	10	0	116
	35.26	21.05	24.59	6.35	3.25	6.15	4.88	0.00	14.83
Don't know	35	10	11	11	5	7	11	5	95
	18.42	17.54	18.03	17.46	4.07	10.77	5.37	27.78	12.15
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	205	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by Q22

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is mostly corrupt OR	100	154	172	156	582
	80.00	53.47	96.09	75.73	72.93
Is mostly honest	11	85	5	17	118
	8.80	29.51	2.79	8.25	14.79
Don't know	14	49	2	33	98
	11.20	17.01	1.12	16.02	12.28
Total	125	288	179	206	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by educ

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Is mostly corrupt OR	22 81.48	178 77.73	149 67.73	65 74.71	121 73.78	47 66.20	582 72.93
Is mostly honest	2 7.41	23 10.04	46 20.91	10 11.49	25 15.24	12 16.90	118 14.79
Don't know	3 11.11	28 12.23	25 11.36	12 13.79	18 10.98	12 16.90	98 12.28
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	220 100.00	87 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by attend

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Is mostly corrupt OR	105 72.92	120 75.47	70 73.68	154 69.37	128 74.42	577 72.85
Is mostly honest	23 15.97	17 10.69	16 16.84	42 18.92	20 11.63	118 14.90
Don't know	16 11.11	22 13.84	9 9.47	26 11.71	24 13.95	97 12.25
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	222 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by race

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is mostly corrupt OR	417 81.13	49 43.75	83 63.85	3 60.00	5 83.33	12 75.00	12 85.71	1 100.00	582 72.93

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage								
Is mostly honest	46	8.95	32	28.57	35	26.92	1	20.00	1	16.67	2	12.50	1	7.14	0	0.00	118	14.79
Don't know	51	9.92	31	27.68	12	9.23	1	20.00	0	0.00	2	12.50	1	7.14	0	0.00	98	12.28
Total	514	100.00	112	100.00	130	100.00	5	100.00	6	100.00	16	100.00	14	100.00	1	100.00	798	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by marstat

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly corrupt OR	383	10	71	25	68	24	581
	77.53	83.33	68.27	69.44	60.71	61.54	72.90
Is mostly honest	60	2	17	6	24	9	118
	12.15	16.67	16.35	16.67	21.43	23.08	14.81
Don't know	51	0	16	5	20	6	98
	10.32	0.00	15.38	13.89	17.86	15.38	12.30
Total	494	12	104	36	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by gender

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Is mostly corrupt OR	285	297	582
	76.41	69.88	72.93
Is mostly honest	58	60	118
	15.55	14.12	14.79
Don't know	30	68	98
	8.04	16.00	12.28

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	373	425	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by locate

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Is mostly corrupt OR	122 59.51	313 75.42	146 82.49	581 72.90
Is mostly honest	48 23.41	54 13.01	16 9.04	118 14.81
Don't know	35 17.07	48 11.57	15 8.47	98 12.30
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by metro

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Is mostly corrupt OR	109 70.32	173 74.25	49 62.03	62 74.70	186 75.92	579 72.83
Is mostly honest	26 16.77	33 14.16	17 21.52	12 14.46	30 12.24	118 14.84
Don't know	20 12.90	27 11.59	13 16.46	9 10.84	29 11.84	98 12.33
Total	155 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	795 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

274 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q35B. Federal Government: Careful/Careless

. tab2 Q35B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q35B by libcon

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Ideology						Ext. Con.	Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		
Is mostly careful wit	15 40.54	23 32.39	19 25.33	29 13.68	6 5.45	2 1.21	10 8.13	104 13.11
Is mostly careless wi	19 51.35	42 59.15	51 68.00	153 72.17	100 90.91	162 98.18	113 91.87	640 80.71
Don't know	3 8.11	6 8.45	5 6.67	30 14.15	4 3.64	1 0.61	0 0.00	49 6.18
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by pid7

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is mostly careful wit	72 38.10	12 21.05	9 14.75	3 4.76	5 4.07	1 1.54	1 0.48	0 0.00	103 13.15
Is mostly careless wi	99 52.38	41 71.93	44 72.13	53 84.13	118 95.93	60 92.31	205 99.03	12 66.67	632 80.72
Don't know	18 9.52	4 7.02	8 13.11	7 11.11	0 0.00	4 6.15	1 0.48	6 33.33	48 6.13
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by Q22

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

275 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is mostly careful wit	2 1.60	94 32.75	2 1.12	6 2.88	104 13.02
Is mostly careless wi	120 96.00	165 57.49	177 98.88	184 88.46	646 80.85
Don't know	3 2.40	28 9.76	0 0.00	18 8.65	49 6.13
Total	125 100.00	287 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by educ

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Is mostly careful wit	3 11.11	15 6.55	41 18.64	9 10.23	24 14.63	12 16.90	104 13.02
Is mostly careless wi	22 81.48	196 85.59	166 75.45	73 82.95	134 81.71	55 77.46	646 80.85
Don't know	2 7.41	18 7.86	13 5.91	6 6.82	6 3.66	4 5.63	49 6.13
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by attend

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Religion participation				Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	
				Never	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample
 276 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Is mostly careful wit	22 15.28	15 9.49	10 10.53	32 14.35	25 14.53	104 13.13
Is mostly careless wi	115 79.86	134 84.81	81 85.26	180 80.72	129 75.00	639 80.68
Don't know	7 4.86	9 5.70	4 4.21	11 4.93	18 10.47	49 6.19
Total	144 100.00	158 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by race

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is mostly careful wit	39 7.59	33 29.46	27 20.77	2 40.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	1 6.67	0 0.00	104 13.02
Is mostly careless wi	452 87.94	63 56.25	96 73.85	2 40.00	6 100.00	12 75.00	14 93.33	1 100.00	646 80.85
Don't know	23 4.47	16 14.29	7 5.38	1 20.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	0 0.00	0 0.00	49 6.13
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by marstat

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly careful wit	50 10.08	2 16.67	19 18.27	4 11.11	18 16.22	11 28.21	104 13.03
Is mostly careless wi	421 84.88	9 75.00	79 75.96	31 86.11	80 72.07	25 64.10	645 80.83

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	25	1	6	1	13	3	49
	5.04	8.33	5.77	2.78	11.71	7.69	6.14

Total	496	12	104	36	111	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by gender

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Is mostly careful wit	49	55	104
	13.14	12.91	13.02
Is mostly careless wi	310	336	646
	83.11	78.87	80.85
Don't know	14	35	49
	3.75	8.22	6.13
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by locate

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Is mostly careful wit	48	48	8	104
	23.30	11.59	4.49	13.03
Is mostly careless wi	137	346	162	645
	66.50	83.57	91.01	80.83
Don't know	21	20	8	49
	10.19	4.83	4.49	6.14
Total	206	414	178	798

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

278 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by metro

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Is mostly careful wit	28 18.06	31 13.30	9 11.39	10 12.05	26 10.57	104 13.07
Is mostly careless wi	120 77.42	186 79.83	65 82.28	68 81.93	204 82.93	643 80.78
Don't know	7 4.52	16 6.87	5 6.33	5 6.02	16 6.50	49 6.16
Total	155 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Q35C. Federal Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs

. tab2 Q35C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q35C by libcon

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Mostly addresses the	13 35.14	22 30.99	23 31.08	30 14.15	7 6.36	3 1.83	8 6.50	106 13.40
Mostly ignores the ne	13 35.14	30 42.25	31 41.89	109 51.42	77 70.00	146 89.02	104 84.55	510 64.48
Don't know	11 29.73	19 26.76	20 27.03	73 34.43	26 23.64	15 9.15	11 8.94	175 22.12
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	74 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	164 100.00	123 100.00	791 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q35C by pid7

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	7 point Party ID									Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t		
Mostly addresses the	63 33.33	17 29.82	11 18.03	3 4.76	4 3.25	6 9.23	1 0.49	1 5.88	106 13.57	
Mostly ignores the ne	66 34.92	21 36.84	30 49.18	42 66.67	108 87.80	38 58.46	186 90.29	9 52.94	500 64.02	
Don't know	60 31.75	19 33.33	20 32.79	18 28.57	11 8.94	21 32.31	19 9.22	7 41.18	175 22.41	
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	206 100.00	17 100.00	781 100.00	

-> tabulation of Q35C by Q22

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Mostly addresses the	3 2.42	90 31.36	4 2.23	10 4.83	107 13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	99 79.84	108 37.63	167 93.30	139 67.15	513 64.37
Don't know	22 17.74	89 31.01	8 4.47	58 28.02	177 22.21
Total	124 100.00	287 100.00	179 100.00	207 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by educ

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	2	23	36	10	23	13	107
Mostly addresses the	8.00	10.04	16.36	11.36	14.02	18.31	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	17	151	125	58	115	47	513
	68.00	65.94	56.82	65.91	70.12	66.20	64.37
Don't know	6	55	59	20	26	11	177
	24.00	24.02	26.82	22.73	15.85	15.49	22.21
Total	25	229	220	88	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by attend

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Mostly addresses the	17	15	10	33	32	107
	11.81	9.49	10.64	14.86	18.60	13.54
Mostly ignores the ne	95	106	65	143	98	507
	65.97	67.09	69.15	64.41	56.98	64.18
Don't know	32	37	19	46	42	176
	22.22	23.42	20.21	20.72	24.42	22.28
Total	144	158	94	222	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by race

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Mostly addresses the	43	34	23	2	0	3	2	0	107
	8.40	30.36	17.69	40.00	0.00	18.75	13.33	0.00	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	377	35	74	2	4	8	12	1	513
	73.63	31.25	56.92	40.00	66.67	50.00	80.00	100.00	64.37
Don't know	92	43	33	1	2	5	1	0	177

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	17.97	38.39	25.38	20.00	33.33	31.25	6.67	0.00	22.21
Total	512	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by marstat

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Mostly addresses the	53	2	15	4	23	10	107
	10.73	16.67	14.42	11.11	20.72	25.64	13.44
Mostly ignores the ne	343	7	58	24	59	21	512
	69.43	58.33	55.77	66.67	53.15	53.85	64.32
Don't know	98	3	31	8	29	8	177
	19.84	25.00	29.81	22.22	26.13	20.51	22.24
Total	494	12	104	36	111	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by gender

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Mostly addresses the	49	58	107
	13.17	13.65	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	268	245	513
	72.04	57.65	64.37
Don't know	55	122	177
	14.78	28.71	22.21
Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q35C by locate

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Mostly addresses the	49 23.79	43 10.39	15 8.52	107 13.44
Mostly ignores the ne	102 49.51	280 67.63	130 73.86	512 64.32
Don't know	55 26.70	91 21.98	31 17.61	177 22.24
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	176 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by metro

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Mostly addresses the	25 16.03	32 13.79	12 15.19	12 14.46	26 10.66	107 13.48
Mostly ignores the ne	103 66.03	143 61.64	48 60.76	48 57.83	168 68.85	510 64.23
Don't know	28 17.95	57 24.57	19 24.05	23 27.71	50 20.49	177 22.29
Total	156 100.00	232 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	244 100.00	794 100.00

Q35D. Federal Government: Divided/Can Work together

. tab2 Q35D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q35D by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Is too divided along	35 94.59	68 95.77	69 92.00	178 83.57	97 88.18	157 95.15	115 93.50	719 90.55
Can usually work toge	1 2.70	1 1.41	4 5.33	10 4.69	6 5.45	4 2.42	5 4.07	31 3.90
Don't know	1 2.70	2 2.82	2 2.67	25 11.74	7 6.36	4 2.42	3 2.44	44 5.54
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by pid7

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is too divided along	165 86.84	54 94.74	59 96.72	54 85.71	114 92.68	56 86.15	195 94.20	11 61.11	708 90.31
Can usually work toge	15 7.89	0 0.00	1 1.64	1 1.59	1 0.81	3 4.62	9 4.35	1 5.56	31 3.95
Don't know	10 5.26	3 5.26	1 1.64	8 12.70	8 6.50	6 9.23	3 1.45	6 33.33	45 5.74
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by Q22

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is too divided along	115 92.00	261 90.62	165 92.18	183 87.98	724 90.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Can usually work toge	5	15	7	4	31
	4.00	5.21	3.91	1.92	3.88
Don't know	5	12	7	21	45
	4.00	4.17	3.91	10.10	5.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by educ

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Is too divided along	23	204	201	79	149	68	724
	85.19	88.70	91.36	89.77	90.85	95.77	90.50
Can usually work toge	1	8	9	3	9	1	31
	3.70	3.48	4.09	3.41	5.49	1.41	3.88
Don't know	3	18	10	6	6	2	45
	11.11	7.83	4.55	6.82	3.66	2.82	5.62
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by attend

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Is too divided along	127	146	86	205	154	718
	88.19	91.82	90.53	91.93	89.53	90.54
Can usually work toge	8	4	5	9	4	30
	5.56	2.52	5.26	4.04	2.33	3.78
Don't know	9	9	4	9	14	45
	6.25	5.66	4.21	4.04	8.14	5.67
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q35D by race

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is too divided along	474 92.04	92 82.14	119 91.54	4 80.00	6 100.00	15 93.75	13 86.67	1 100.00	724 90.50
Can usually work toge	17 3.30	9 8.04	5 3.85	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	31 3.88
Don't know	24 4.66	11 9.82	6 4.62	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	2 13.33	0 0.00	45 5.62
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by marstat

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is too divided along	449 90.52	12 100.00	97 93.27	33 91.67	96 85.71	36 92.31	723 90.49
Can usually work toge	20 4.03	0 0.00	1 0.96	2 5.56	6 5.36	2 5.13	31 3.88
Don't know	27 5.44	0 0.00	6 5.77	1 2.78	10 8.93	1 2.56	45 5.63
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by gender

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Gender
	Male

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Female Total			
Is too divided along	340 91.15	384 89.93	724 90.50
Can usually work toge	15 4.02	16 3.75	31 3.88
Don't know	18 4.83	27 6.32	45 5.62
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by locate

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Community size			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Is too divided along	179 86.89	378 91.08	166 93.26	723 90.49
Can usually work toge	11 5.34	14 3.37	6 3.37	31 3.88
Don't know	16 7.77	23 5.54	6 3.37	45 5.63
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by metro

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Metropolitan area					
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Is too divided along	142 91.03	211 90.56	74 93.67	75 90.36	219 89.02	721 90.46
Can usually work toge	8 5.13	10 4.29	1 1.27	1 1.20	11 4.47	31 3.89

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	6	12	4	7	16	45
	3.85	5.15	5.06	8.43	6.50	5.65
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q37. Most trusted branch of federal government

. tab2 Q37 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q37 by libcon

Branch of federal government trusted most	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
The U.S. Congress, th	0	1	0	8	16	35	31	91
	0.00	1.41	0.00	3.76	14.55	21.21	25.41	11.48
The President, the ex	28	53	54	74	12	4	7	232
	75.68	74.65	72.00	34.74	10.91	2.42	5.74	29.26
The U.S. Supreme Cour	5	12	15	69	46	69	30	246
	13.51	16.90	20.00	32.39	41.82	41.82	24.59	31.02
Don't know	4	5	6	62	36	57	54	224
	10.81	7.04	8.00	29.11	32.73	34.55	44.26	28.25
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	122	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by pid7

Branch of federal government trusted most	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Indepe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
The U.S. Congress, th	4	1	1	7	24	7	45	0	89
	2.11	1.75	1.64	11.11	19.51	10.77	21.84	0.00	11.37
The President, the ex	150	24	31	14	2	3	2	1	227
	78.95	42.11	50.82	22.22	1.63	4.62	0.97	5.56	28.99

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

The U.S. Supreme Cour	20	19	20	22	54	30	74	5	244
	10.53	33.33	32.79	34.92	43.90	46.15	35.92	27.78	31.16
Don't know	16	13	9	20	43	25	85	12	223
	8.42	22.81	14.75	31.75	34.96	38.46	41.26	66.67	28.48
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by Q22

Branch of federal government trusted most	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
The U.S. Congress, th	27	6	35	24	92
	21.60	2.08	19.55	11.59	11.51
The President, the ex	3	208	2	19	232
	2.40	72.22	1.12	9.18	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	47	49	72	80	248
	37.60	17.01	40.22	38.65	31.04
Don't know	48	25	70	84	227
	38.40	8.68	39.11	40.58	28.41
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by educ

Branch of federal government trusted most	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The U.S. Congress, th	4	26	23	7	21	11	92
	14.81	11.35	10.45	7.95	12.80	15.49	11.51
The President, the ex	11	48	89	30	37	17	232
	40.74	20.96	40.45	34.09	22.56	23.94	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	1	65	56	31	62	33	248
	3.70	28.38	25.45	35.23	37.80	46.48	31.04
Don't know	11	90	52	20	44	10	227

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

289 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	40.74	39.30	23.64	22.73	26.83	14.08	28.41
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by attend

Branch of federal government trusted most	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
The U.S. Congress, th	26	25	9	18	13	91
	18.18	15.72	9.47	8.07	7.56	11.49
The President, the ex	36	32	29	69	65	231
	25.17	20.13	30.53	30.94	37.79	29.17
The U.S. Supreme Cour	30	55	36	72	50	243
	20.98	34.59	37.89	32.29	29.07	30.68
Don't know	51	47	21	64	44	227
	35.66	29.56	22.11	28.70	25.58	28.66
Total	143	159	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by race

Branch of federal government trusted most	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
The U.S. Congress, th	73	4	9	3	0	1	2	0	92
	14.20	3.57	6.92	60.00	0.00	6.25	13.33	0.00	11.51
The President, the ex	84	87	53	0	1	5	2	0	232
	16.34	77.68	40.77	0.00	16.67	31.25	13.33	0.00	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	187	10	41	0	1	3	5	1	248
	36.38	8.93	31.54	0.00	16.67	18.75	33.33	100.00	31.04
Don't know	170	11	27	2	4	7	6	0	227
	33.07	9.82	20.77	40.00	66.67	43.75	40.00	0.00	28.41
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q37 by marstat

Branch of federal government trusted most	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
The U.S. Congress, th	62 12.50	1 9.09	9 8.65	5 13.89	12 10.71	3 7.69	92 11.53
The President, the ex	108 21.77	2 18.18	48 46.15	12 33.33	43 38.39	19 48.72	232 29.07
The U.S. Supreme Cour	163 32.86	7 63.64	26 25.00	7 19.44	32 28.57	12 30.77	247 30.95
Don't know	163 32.86	1 9.09	21 20.19	12 33.33	25 22.32	5 12.82	227 28.45
Total	496 100.00	11 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by gender

Branch of federal government trusted most	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
The U.S. Congress, th	45 12.06	47 11.03	92 11.51
The President, the ex	108 28.95	124 29.11	232 29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	121 32.44	127 29.81	248 31.04
Don't know	99 26.54	128 30.05	227 28.41
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

291 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q37 by locate

Branch of federal government trusted most	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
The U.S. Congress, th	16 7.77	55 13.29	21 11.80	92 11.53
The President, the ex	84 40.78	116 28.02	32 17.98	232 29.07
The U.S. Supreme Cour	53 25.73	142 34.30	52 29.21	247 30.95
Don't know	53 25.73	101 24.40	73 41.01	227 28.45
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by metro

Branch of federal government trusted most	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
The U.S. Congress, th	19 12.18	26 11.16	12 15.19	8 9.64	26 10.61	91 11.43
The President, the ex	59 37.82	67 28.76	22 27.85	25 30.12	59 24.08	232 29.15
The U.S. Supreme Cour	50 32.05	77 33.05	20 25.32	31 37.35	70 28.57	248 31.16
Don't know	28 17.95	63 27.04	25 31.65	19 22.89	90 36.73	225 28.27
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	796 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q38. Voter ID

. tab2 Q38 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q38 by libcon

Require photo ID to vote	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Agree	6 16.22	12 16.90	9 12.00	127 59.62	93 84.55	157 95.15	115 93.50	519 65.37
Disagree	30 81.08	57 80.28	61 81.33	62 29.11	14 12.73	7 4.24	6 4.88	237 29.85
Don't know	1 2.70	2 2.82	5 6.67	24 11.27	3 2.73	1 0.61	2 1.63	38 4.79
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by pid7

Require photo ID to vote	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Agree	37 19.47	26 45.61	19 31.15	47 74.60	119 96.75	57 87.69	196 94.69	11 61.11	512 65.31
Disagree	140 73.68	27 47.37	39 63.93	12 19.05	3 2.44	3 4.62	8 3.86	2 11.11	234 29.85
Don't know	13 6.84	4 7.02	3 4.92	4 6.35	1 0.81	5 7.69	3 1.45	5 27.78	38 4.85
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by Q22

Require photo ID to vote	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Agree	114 91.20	63 21.88	175 97.77	172 82.69	524 65.50
Disagree	7 5.60	206 71.53	2 1.12	22 10.58	237 29.62
Don't know	4 3.20	19 6.60	2 1.12	14 6.73	39 4.88
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by educ

Require photo ID to vote	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agree	15 55.56	177 76.96	129 58.64	53 60.23	109 66.46	41 57.75	524 65.50
Disagree	10 37.04	40 17.39	83 37.73	27 30.68	50 30.49	27 38.03	237 29.62
Don't know	2 7.41	13 5.65	8 3.64	8 9.09	5 3.05	3 4.23	39 4.88
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by attend

Require photo ID to vote	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Agree	106 73.61	122 76.73	62 65.26	144 64.57	84 48.84	518 65.32
Disagree	35 24.31	30 18.87	29 30.53	69 30.94	74 43.02	237 29.89
Don't know	3 2.08	7 4.40	4 4.21	10 4.48	14 8.14	38 4.79
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

294 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by race

Require photo ID to vote	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Agree	381 73.98	30 26.79	79 60.77	4 80.00	5 83.33	10 62.50	14 93.33	1 100.00	524 65.50
Disagree	107 20.78	75 66.96	48 36.92	0 0.00	1 16.67	5 31.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	237 29.62
Don't know	27 5.24	7 6.25	3 2.31	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	39 4.88
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by marstat

Require photo ID to vote	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Agree	369 74.40	8 66.67	45 43.27	27 75.00	55 49.11	20 51.28	524 65.58
Disagree	116 23.39	3 25.00	50 48.08	7 19.44	46 41.07	14 35.90	236 29.54
Don't know	11 2.22	1 8.33	9 8.65	2 5.56	11 9.82	5 12.82	39 4.88
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by gender

Require photo ID to vote	Gender	
	Male	Female
Total		

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Agree	252 67.56	272 63.70	524 65.50
Disagree	111 29.76	126 29.51	237 29.62
Don't know	10 2.68	29 6.79	39 4.88
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by locate

Require photo ID to vote	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agree	112 54.37	272 65.54	139 78.09	523 65.46
Disagree	79 38.35	124 29.88	34 19.10	237 29.66
Don't know	15 7.28	19 4.58	5 2.81	39 4.88
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by metro

Require photo ID to vote	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Agree	97 62.18	145 62.23	55 69.62	45 54.22	179 72.76	521 65.37
Disagree	51 32.69	74 31.76	21 26.58	36 43.37	55 22.36	237 29.74
Don't know	8 5.13	14 6.01	3 3.80	2 2.41	12 4.88	39 4.89

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

296 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q39. Gay Marriage

. tab2 Q39 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q39 by libcon

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Gays and lesbians sho	34	54	50	84	14	11	13	260
	91.89	76.06	66.67	39.44	12.73	6.67	10.57	32.75
Gays and lesbians sho	1	9	12	54	57	94	39	266
	2.70	12.68	16.00	25.35	51.82	56.97	31.71	33.50
Gays and lesbians sho	1	6	9	49	33	55	65	218
	2.70	8.45	12.00	23.00	30.00	33.33	52.85	27.46
Don't know	1	2	4	26	6	5	6	50
	2.70	2.82	5.33	12.21	5.45	3.03	4.88	6.30
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by pid7

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Gays and lesbians sho	114	21	43	28	18	9	18	6	257
	60.00	36.84	70.49	44.44	14.63	13.85	8.70	33.33	32.78
Gays and lesbians sho	40	19	7	9	59	30	99	2	265
	21.05	33.33	11.48	14.29	47.97	46.15	47.83	11.11	33.80
Gays and lesbians sho	23	15	7	22	42	19	82	3	213
	12.11	26.32	11.48	34.92	34.15	29.23	39.61	16.67	27.17
Don't know	13	2	4	4	4	7	8	7	49

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	6.84	3.51	6.56	6.35	3.25	10.77	3.86	38.89	6.25
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by Q22

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Gays and lesbians sho	18	178	21	44	261
	14.40	61.81	11.73	21.15	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	47	56	83	84	270
	37.60	19.44	46.37	40.38	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	55	38	68	58	219
	44.00	13.19	37.99	27.88	27.38
Don't know	5	16	7	22	50
	4.00	5.56	3.91	10.58	6.25
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by educ

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Gays and lesbians sho	11	52	83	21	60	34	261
	40.74	22.61	37.73	23.86	36.59	47.89	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	7	70	81	31	61	20	270
	25.93	30.43	36.82	35.23	37.20	28.17	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	9	87	44	28	39	12	219
	33.33	37.83	20.00	31.82	23.78	16.90	27.38
Don't know	0	21	12	8	4	5	50
	0.00	9.13	5.45	9.09	2.44	7.04	6.25
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

298 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q39 by attend

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Gays and lesbians sho	13	28	32	86	101	260
	9.03	17.61	33.68	38.57	58.72	32.79
Gays and lesbians sho	48	66	42	74	36	266
	33.33	41.51	44.21	33.18	20.93	33.54
Gays and lesbians sho	76	57	18	43	23	217
	52.78	35.85	18.95	19.28	13.37	27.36
Don't know	7	8	3	20	12	50
	4.86	5.03	3.16	8.97	6.98	6.31
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by race

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Gays and lesbians sho	157	40	48	2	3	8	2	1	261
	30.49	35.71	36.92	40.00	50.00	50.00	13.33	100.00	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	185	34	41	0	0	2	8	0	270
	35.92	30.36	31.54	0.00	0.00	12.50	53.33	0.00	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	147	29	33	1	1	5	3	0	219
	28.54	25.89	25.38	20.00	16.67	31.25	20.00	0.00	27.38
Don't know	26	9	8	2	2	1	2	0	50
	5.05	8.04	6.15	40.00	33.33	6.25	13.33	0.00	6.25
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Gays and lesbians sho	125	2	47	7	51	28	260
	25.20	16.67	45.19	19.44	45.54	71.79	32.54
Gays and lesbians sho	193	4	30	13	28	2	270
	38.91	33.33	28.85	36.11	25.00	5.13	33.79
Gays and lesbians sho	154	6	19	11	24	5	219
	31.05	50.00	18.27	30.56	21.43	12.82	27.41
Don't know	24	0	8	5	9	4	50
	4.84	0.00	7.69	13.89	8.04	10.26	6.26
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by gender

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Gays and lesbians sho	107	154	261
	28.69	36.07	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	141	129	270
	37.80	30.21	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	108	111	219
	28.95	26.00	27.38
Don't know	17	33	50
	4.56	7.73	6.25
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by locate

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Community size
---	----------------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

300 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

unions	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	95 46.12	130 31.33	36 20.22	261 32.67
Gays and lesbians sho	55 26.70	154 37.11	60 33.71	269 33.67
Gays and lesbians sho	39 18.93	110 26.51	70 39.33	219 27.41
Don't know	17 8.25	21 5.06	12 6.74	50 6.26
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by metro

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Gays and lesbians sho	52 33.33	85 36.48	27 34.18	36 43.37	61 24.80	261 32.75
Gays and lesbians sho	52 33.33	77 33.05	27 34.18	27 32.53	86 34.96	269 33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	42 26.92	56 24.03	20 25.32	18 21.69	81 32.93	217 27.23
Don't know	10 6.41	15 6.44	5 6.33	2 2.41	18 7.32	50 6.27
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q40. In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants

. tab2 Q40 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q40 by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
In-state tuition	32 86.49	52 73.24	45 60.00	82 38.50	25 22.73	33 20.12	19 15.45	288 36.32
Out-of-state-tuition	3 8.11	11 15.49	21 28.00	99 46.48	73 66.36	117 71.34	88 71.54	412 51.95
Don't know/no opinion	2 5.41	8 11.27	9 12.00	32 15.02	12 10.91	14 8.54	16 13.01	93 11.73
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	164 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by pid7

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
In-state tuition	128 67.37	27 47.37	35 57.38	17 26.98	29 23.77	12 18.46	36 17.39	2 11.11	286 36.53
Out-of-state-tuition	37 19.47	23 40.35	19 31.15	39 61.90	86 70.49	45 69.23	148 71.50	9 50.00	406 51.85
Don't know/no opinion	25 13.16	7 12.28	7 11.48	7 11.11	7 5.74	8 12.31	23 11.11	7 38.89	91 11.62
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	122 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by Q22

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
In-state tuition	26 20.80	189 65.62	26 14.53	48 23.19	289 36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	83 66.40	67 23.26	142 79.33	124 59.90	416 52.07

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/no opinion	16	32	11	35	94
	12.80	11.11	6.15	16.91	11.76

Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by educ

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
In-state tuition	8	59	96	28	60	38	289
	29.63	25.65	43.64	31.82	36.59	54.29	36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	14	135	101	49	89	28	416
	51.85	58.70	45.91	55.68	54.27	40.00	52.07
Don't know/no opinion	5	36	23	11	15	4	94
	18.52	15.65	10.45	12.50	9.15	5.71	11.76

Total	27	230	220	88	164	70	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by attend

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
In-state tuition	51	47	36	77	76	287
	35.66	29.56	37.89	34.53	44.19	36.24
Out-of-state-tuition	80	89	47	125	72	413
	55.94	55.97	49.47	56.05	41.86	52.15
Don't know/no opinion	12	23	12	21	24	92
	8.39	14.47	12.63	9.42	13.95	11.62

Total	143	159	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by race

In-state tuition for |

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
In-state tuition	153 29.71	59 52.68	65 50.00	2 40.00	3 50.00	6 37.50	1 7.14	0 0.00	289 36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	309 60.00	38 33.93	46 35.38	3 60.00	2 33.33	6 37.50	11 78.57	1 100.00	416 52.07
Don't know/no opinion	53 10.29	15 13.39	19 14.62	0 0.00	1 16.67	4 25.00	2 14.29	0 0.00	94 11.76
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	14 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by marstat

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
In-state tuition	150 30.24	7 58.33	46 44.66	7 19.44	53 47.32	25 64.10	288 36.09
Out-of-state-tuition	295 59.48	4 33.33	43 41.75	22 61.11	39 34.82	13 33.33	416 52.13
Don't know/no opinion	51 10.28	1 8.33	14 13.59	7 19.44	20 17.86	1 2.56	94 11.78
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by gender

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
In-state tuition	141 37.90	148 34.66	289 36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	197 52.96	219 51.29	416 52.07

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/no opinion	34 9.14	60 14.05	94 11.76
Total	372 100.00	427 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by locate

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
In-state tuition	96 46.60	142 34.30	51 28.65	289 36.22
Out-of-state-tuition	85 41.26	224 54.11	106 59.55	415 52.01
Don't know/no opinion	25 12.14	48 11.59	21 11.80	94 11.78
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by metro

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
In-state tuition	61 39.10	84 36.21	32 40.51	38 45.78	74 30.08	289 36.31
Out-of-state-tuition	80 51.28	115 49.57	40 50.63	38 45.78	140 56.91	413 51.88
Don't know/no opinion	15 9.62	33 14.22	7 8.86	7 8.43	32 13.01	94 11.81
Total	156 100.00	232 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q41A. Police Enforcement of Immigration Laws

. tab2 Q41A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q41A by libcon

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	26 70.27	33 46.48	26 35.14	43 20.28	6 5.45	10 6.06	11 8.94	155 19.57
Somewhat agree	4 10.81	14 19.72	17 22.97	31 14.62	9 8.18	11 6.67	7 5.69	93 11.74
Somewhat disagree	2 5.41	8 11.27	16 21.62	31 14.62	26 23.64	21 12.73	10 8.13	114 14.39
Strongly disagree	4 10.81	11 15.49	13 17.57	84 39.62	67 60.91	123 74.55	94 76.42	396 50.00
Don't know	1 2.70	5 7.04	2 2.70	23 10.85	2 1.82	0 0.00	1 0.81	34 4.29
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	74 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by pid7

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	86 45.50	12 21.05	22 36.07	11 17.74	10 8.13	3 4.62	4 1.93	2 11.11	150 19.18
Somewhat agree	33 17.46	12 21.05	16 26.23	7 11.29	10 8.13	2 3.08	11 5.31	3 16.67	94 12.02
Somewhat disagree	22	16	7	13	17	12	25	2	114

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	11.64	28.07	11.48	20.97	13.82	18.46	12.08	11.11	14.58
Strongly disagree	35 18.52	14 24.56	13 21.31	26 41.94	85 69.11	45 69.23	164 79.23	7 38.89	389 49.74
Don't know	13 6.88	3 5.26	3 4.92	5 8.06	1 0.81	3 4.62	3 1.45	4 22.22	35 4.48
Total	189 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	62 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	782 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by Q22

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	6 4.80	119 41.46	7 3.93	24 11.54	156 19.55
Somewhat agree	8 6.40	56 19.51	12 6.74	18 8.65	94 11.78
Somewhat disagree	23 18.40	46 16.03	17 9.55	29 13.94	115 14.41
Strongly disagree	84 67.20	49 17.07	142 79.78	123 59.13	398 49.87
Don't know	4 3.20	17 5.92	0 0.00	14 6.73	35 4.39
Total	125 100.00	287 100.00	178 100.00	208 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by educ

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Education					Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly agree	6	28	56	14	35	17	156
	22.22	12.28	25.45	15.91	21.34	23.94	19.55
Somewhat agree	3	25	27	9	20	10	94
	11.11	10.96	12.27	10.23	12.20	14.08	11.78
Somewhat disagree	1	31	38	13	20	12	115
	3.70	13.60	17.27	14.77	12.20	16.90	14.41
Strongly disagree	15	132	89	46	85	31	398
	55.56	57.89	40.45	52.27	51.83	43.66	49.87
Don't know	2	12	10	6	4	1	35
	7.41	5.26	4.55	6.82	2.44	1.41	4.39
Total	27	228	220	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by attend

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	24	25	21	43	42	155
	16.67	15.72	22.11	19.37	24.56	19.60
Somewhat agree	12	16	10	28	26	92
	8.33	10.06	10.53	12.61	15.20	11.63
Somewhat disagree	15	25	13	41	21	115
	10.42	15.72	13.68	18.47	12.28	14.54
Strongly disagree	88	83	49	104	70	394
	61.11	52.20	51.58	46.85	40.94	49.81
Don't know	5	10	2	6	12	35
	3.47	6.29	2.11	2.70	7.02	4.42
Total	144	159	95	222	171	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q41A by race

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	56 10.92	33 29.46	58 44.62	3 60.00	1 16.67	4 25.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	156 19.55
Somewhat agree	49 9.55	15 13.39	23 17.69	1 20.00	3 50.00	2 12.50	0 0.00	1 100.00	94 11.78
Somewhat disagree	72 14.04	22 19.64	20 15.38	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	115 14.41
Strongly disagree	320 62.38	29 25.89	27 20.77	1 20.00	2 33.33	7 43.75	12 80.00	0 0.00	398 49.87
Don't know	16 3.12	13 11.61	2 1.54	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	1 6.67	0 0.00	35 4.39
Total	513 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by marstat

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	76 15.32	3 25.00	27 26.21	5 13.89	32 28.57	12 31.58	155 19.45
Somewhat agree	54 10.89	2 16.67	13 12.62	5 13.89	17 15.18	3 7.89	94 11.79
Somewhat disagree	67 13.51	1 8.33	14 13.59	8 22.22	18 16.07	7 18.42	115 14.43
Strongly disagree	285 57.46	6 50.00	39 37.86	17 47.22	37 33.04	14 36.84	398 49.94

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	14 2.82	0 0.00	10 9.71	1 2.78	8 7.14	2 5.26	35 4.39
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	103 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	38 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by gender

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Strongly agree	73 19.62	83 19.48	156 19.55
Somewhat agree	36 9.68	58 13.62	94 11.78
Somewhat disagree	52 13.98	63 14.79	115 14.41
Strongly disagree	206 55.38	192 45.07	398 49.87
Don't know	5 1.34	30 7.04	35 4.39
Total	372 100.00	426 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by locate

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	67	72	17	156

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	32.68	17.35	9.60	19.57
Somewhat agree	29 14.15	49 11.81	16 9.04	94 11.79
Somewhat disagree	30 14.63	57 13.73	28 15.82	115 14.43
Strongly disagree	65 31.71	221 53.25	111 62.71	397 49.81
Don't know	14 6.83	16 3.86	5 2.82	35 4.39
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	797 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by metro

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	32 20.51	40 17.17	20 25.32	22 26.83	42 17.14	156 19.62
Somewhat agree	18 11.54	25 10.73	10 12.66	13 15.85	28 11.43	94 11.82
Somewhat disagree	29 18.59	36 15.45	14 17.72	5 6.10	31 12.65	115 14.47
Strongly disagree	74 47.44	116 49.79	34 43.04	39 47.56	132 53.88	395 49.69
Don't know	3 1.92	16 6.87	1 1.27	3 3.66	12 4.90	35 4.40
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	82 100.00	245 100.00	795 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Q41B. Immigrants and Taxes

. tab2 Q41B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q41B by libcon

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	17 45.95	15 21.13	15 20.00	27 12.74	8 7.27	6 3.64	13 10.57	101 12.74
Somewhat agree	7 18.92	29 40.85	23 30.67	41 19.34	18 16.36	31 18.79	8 6.50	157 19.80
Somewhat disagree	4 10.81	10 14.08	18 24.00	40 18.87	33 30.00	34 20.61	18 14.63	157 19.80
Strongly disagree	7 18.92	10 14.08	14 18.67	79 37.26	46 41.82	87 52.73	74 60.16	317 39.97
Don't know	2 5.41	7 9.86	5 6.67	25 11.79	5 4.55	7 4.24	10 8.13	61 7.69
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	212 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by pid7

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	52 27.37	9 15.79	13 21.31	6 9.52	11 8.94	3 4.69	5 2.42	0 0.00	99 12.64
Somewhat agree	53 27.89	15 26.32	17 27.87	8 12.70	17 13.82	9 14.06	33 15.94	3 16.67	155 19.80
Somewhat disagree	30 15.79	12 21.05	13 21.31	15 23.81	26 21.14	13 20.31	45 21.74	1 5.56	155 19.80
Strongly disagree	40 21.05	16 28.07	11 18.03	25 39.68	64 52.03	36 56.25	112 54.11	9 50.00	313 39.97
Don't know	15	5	7	9	5	3	12	5	61

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	7.89	8.77	11.48	14.29	4.07	4.69	5.80	27.78	7.79
Total	190	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by Q22

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	4	68	8	21	101
	3.20	23.61	4.47	10.14	12.64
Somewhat agree	21	88	23	26	158
	16.80	30.56	12.85	12.56	19.77
Somewhat disagree	25	50	36	47	158
	20.00	17.36	20.11	22.71	19.77
Strongly disagree	70	55	102	94	321
	56.00	19.10	56.98	45.41	40.18
Don't know	5	27	10	19	61
	4.00	9.38	5.59	9.18	7.63
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by educ

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	4	18	33	9	25	12	101
	14.81	7.83	15.00	10.23	15.34	16.90	12.64
Somewhat agree	6	33	50	18	34	17	158
	22.22	14.35	22.73	20.45	20.86	23.94	19.77
Somewhat disagree	5	41	46	16	36	14	158
	18.52	17.83	20.91	18.18	22.09	19.72	19.77
Strongly disagree	11	117	73	37	60	23	321
	40.74	50.87	33.18	42.05	36.81	32.39	40.18

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	1 3.70	21 9.13	18 8.18	8 9.09	8 4.91	5 7.04	61 7.63
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	163 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by attend

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	17 11.81	13 8.18	13 13.68	27 12.11	31 18.13	101 12.75
Somewhat agree	30 20.83	35 22.01	13 13.68	45 20.18	35 20.47	158 19.95
Somewhat disagree	25 17.36	29 18.24	21 22.11	51 22.87	28 16.37	154 19.44
Strongly disagree	62 43.06	68 42.77	40 42.11	90 40.36	58 33.92	318 40.15
Don't know	10 6.94	14 8.81	8 8.42	10 4.48	19 11.11	61 7.70
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	171 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by race

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	38 7.39	15 13.39	40 30.77	2 40.00	2 33.33	2 12.50	1 6.67	1 100.00	101 12.64
Somewhat agree	96 18.68	27 24.11	28 21.54	1 20.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	3 20.00	0 0.00	158 19.77
Somewhat disagree	110 21.40	21 18.75	24 18.46	0 0.00	2 33.33	0 0.00	1 6.67	0 0.00	158 19.77

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly disagree	230 44.75	36 32.14	34 26.15	2 40.00	2 33.33	7 43.75	10 66.67	0 0.00	321 40.18
Don't know	40 7.78	13 11.61	4 3.08	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	61 7.63
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by marstat

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	48 9.70	3 25.00	15 14.42	2 5.56	22 19.64	11 28.21	101 12.66
Somewhat agree	93 18.79	1 8.33	22 21.15	4 11.11	24 21.43	13 33.33	157 19.67
Somewhat disagree	96 19.39	2 16.67	24 23.08	11 30.56	19 16.96	6 15.38	158 19.80
Strongly disagree	226 45.66	5 41.67	35 33.65	17 47.22	32 28.57	6 15.38	321 40.23
Don't know	32 6.46	1 8.33	8 7.69	2 5.56	15 13.39	3 7.69	61 7.64
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by gender

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	45 12.06	56 13.15	101 12.64
Somewhat agree	84 22.52	74 17.37	158 19.77

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat disagree	73 19.57	85 19.95	158 19.77
Strongly disagree	143 38.34	178 41.78	321 40.18
Don't know	28 7.51	33 7.75	61 7.63
Total	373 100.00	426 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by locate

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	45 21.84	43 10.39	13 7.30	101 12.66
Somewhat agree	39 18.93	84 20.29	35 19.66	158 19.80
Somewhat disagree	39 18.93	81 19.57	38 21.35	158 19.80
Strongly disagree	62 30.10	176 42.51	82 46.07	320 40.10
Don't know	21 10.19	30 7.25	10 5.62	61 7.64
Total	206 100.00	414 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by metro

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	18 11.54	32 13.73	13 16.67	12 14.46	26 10.57	101 12.69

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	37 23.72	40 17.17	19 24.36	23 27.71	39 15.85	158 19.85
Somewhat disagree	34 21.79	46 19.74	11 14.10	15 18.07	52 21.14	158 19.85
Strongly disagree	61 39.10	95 40.77	30 38.46	27 32.53	106 43.09	319 40.08
Don't know	6 3.85	20 8.58	5 6.41	6 7.23	23 9.35	60 7.54
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	78 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	796 100.00

Q41C. Immigration Control

. tab2 Q41C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q41C by libcon

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	6 16.22	15 21.13	21 28.00	100 46.95	65 59.09	102 61.82	94 76.42	403 50.76
Somewhat agree	6 16.22	20 28.17	23 30.67	64 30.05	33 30.00	38 23.03	14 11.38	198 24.94
Somewhat disagree	13 35.14	21 29.58	20 26.67	22 10.33	3 2.73	15 9.09	6 4.88	100 12.59
Strongly disagree	10 27.03	11 15.49	10 13.33	14 6.57	3 2.73	4 2.42	5 4.07	57 7.18
Don't know	2 5.41	4 5.63	1 1.33	13 6.10	6 5.45	6 3.64	4 3.25	36 4.53
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q41C by pid7

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independ	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	63 33.16	23 40.35	15 24.59	31 49.21	76 61.79	39 60.00	139 67.15	7 38.89	393 50.13
Somewhat agree	51 26.84	18 31.58	22 36.07	18 28.57	33 26.83	15 23.08	39 18.84	4 22.22	200 25.51
Somewhat disagree	40 21.05	8 14.04	15 24.59	8 12.70	4 3.25	6 9.23	15 7.25	2 11.11	98 12.50
Strongly disagree	28 14.74	5 8.77	7 11.48	3 4.76	6 4.88	1 1.54	6 2.90	1 5.56	57 7.27
Don't know	8 4.21	3 5.26	2 3.28	3 4.76	4 3.25	4 6.15	8 3.86	4 22.22	36 4.59
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by Q22

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	78 62.40	88 30.56	133 74.30	106 50.96	405 50.62
Somewhat agree	30 24.00	84 29.17	27 15.08	61 29.33	202 25.25
Somewhat disagree	11 8.80	66 22.92	11 6.15	12 5.77	100 12.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly disagree	0	40	6	11	57
	0.00	13.89	3.35	5.29	7.12
Don't know	6	10	2	18	36
	4.80	3.47	1.12	8.65	4.50
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by educ

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	17	136	99	47	75	31	405
	62.96	59.13	45.00	53.41	45.73	43.66	50.62
Somewhat agree	4	57	60	23	42	16	202
	14.81	24.78	27.27	26.14	25.61	22.54	25.25
Somewhat disagree	5	13	31	11	24	16	100
	18.52	5.65	14.09	12.50	14.63	22.54	12.50
Strongly disagree	1	6	21	5	17	7	57
	3.70	2.61	9.55	5.68	10.37	9.86	7.12
Don't know	0	18	9	2	6	1	36
	0.00	7.83	4.09	2.27	3.66	1.41	4.50
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by attend

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	67	89	51	114	80	401

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	46.53	55.97	53.68	51.12	46.51	50.57
Somewhat agree	48 33.33	36 22.64	25 26.32	57 25.56	33 19.19	199 25.09
Somewhat disagree	15 10.42	18 11.32	9 9.47	27 12.11	31 18.02	100 12.61
Strongly disagree	9 6.25	7 4.40	5 5.26	20 8.97	16 9.30	57 7.19
Don't know	5 3.47	9 5.66	5 5.26	5 2.24	12 6.98	36 4.54
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by race

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	288 55.92	57 50.89	42 32.31	3 60.00	2 33.33	3 18.75	10 66.67	0 0.00	405 50.62
Somewhat agree	120 23.30	26 23.21	45 34.62	1 20.00	2 33.33	4 25.00	4 26.67	0 0.00	202 25.25
Somewhat disagree	62 12.04	14 12.50	17 13.08	0 0.00	1 16.67	5 31.25	0 0.00	1 100.00	100 12.50
Strongly disagree	22 4.27	11 9.82	20 15.38	0 0.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	57 7.12
Don't know	23 4.47	4 3.57	6 4.62	1 20.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	36 4.50
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	276 55.65	5 41.67	49 47.12	24 66.67	38 33.93	13 33.33	405 50.69
Somewhat agree	126 25.40	2 16.67	26 25.00	9 25.00	24 21.43	15 38.46	202 25.28
Somewhat disagree	44 8.87	3 25.00	19 18.27	1 2.78	25 22.32	8 20.51	100 12.52
Strongly disagree	31 6.25	2 16.67	6 5.77	1 2.78	13 11.61	3 7.69	56 7.01
Don't know	19 3.83	0 0.00	4 3.85	1 2.78	12 10.71	0 0.00	36 4.51
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by gender

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	195 52.28	210 49.18	405 50.62
Somewhat agree	89 23.86	113 26.46	202 25.25
Somewhat disagree	51 13.67	49 11.48	100 12.50
Strongly disagree	24 6.43	33 7.73	57 7.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	14 3.75	22 5.15	36 4.50
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by locate

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	92 44.66	205 49.40	107 60.11	404 50.56
Somewhat agree	49 23.79	108 26.02	45 25.28	202 25.28
Somewhat disagree	38 18.45	50 12.05	12 6.74	100 12.52
Strongly disagree	21 10.19	29 6.99	7 3.93	57 7.13
Don't know	6 2.91	23 5.54	7 3.93	36 4.51
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by metro

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	81 51.92	121 51.93	33 41.77	34 40.96	133 54.07	402 50.44

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	38 24.36	55 23.61	24 30.38	22 26.51	63 25.61	202 25.35
Somewhat disagree	22 14.10	29 12.45	11 13.92	19 22.89	19 7.72	100 12.55
Strongly disagree	10 6.41	13 5.58	9 11.39	5 6.02	20 8.13	57 7.15
Don't know	5 3.21	15 6.44	2 2.53	3 3.61	11 4.47	36 4.52
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q41D. Immigrants Enrich Texas

. tab2 Q41D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q41D by libcon

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Ideology						Ext. Con.	Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		
Strongly agree	16 43.24	28 39.44	24 32.00	35 16.43	16 14.68	24 14.55	21 17.07	164 20.68
Somewhat agree	16 43.24	33 46.48	34 45.33	89 41.78	55 50.46	72 43.64	42 34.15	341 43.00
Somewhat disagree	3 8.11	5 7.04	9 12.00	41 19.25	15 13.76	37 22.42	26 21.14	136 17.15
Strongly disagree	2 5.41	3 4.23	4 5.33	20 9.39	14 12.84	23 13.94	22 17.89	88 11.10
Don't know	0 0.00	2 2.82	4 5.33	28 13.15	9 8.26	9 5.45	12 9.76	64 8.07
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	109 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	793 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q41D by pid7

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strongly De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	71 37.37	15 26.32	16 26.23	9 14.29	20 16.26	7 10.94	21 10.14	3 16.67	162 20.69
Somewhat agree	77 40.53	28 49.12	34 55.74	27 42.86	55 44.72	22 34.38	90 43.48	4 22.22	337 43.04
Somewhat disagree	21 11.05	8 14.04	5 8.20	11 17.46	24 19.51	14 21.88	48 23.19	2 11.11	133 16.99
Strongly disagree	9 4.74	5 8.77	3 4.92	6 9.52	17 13.82	12 18.75	31 14.98	3 16.67	86 10.98
Don't know	12 6.32	1 1.75	3 4.92	10 15.87	7 5.69	9 14.06	17 8.21	6 33.33	65 8.30
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	64 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	783 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by Q22

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	13 10.40	100 34.72	25 13.97	26 12.56	164 20.53
Somewhat agree	57 45.60	129 44.79	70 39.11	87 42.03	343 42.93
Somewhat disagree	23 18.40	30 10.42	50 27.93	35 16.91	138 17.27
Strongly disagree	20 16.00	14 4.86	22 12.29	33 15.94	89 11.14

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	12 9.60	15 5.21	12 6.70	26 12.56	65 8.14
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	207 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by educ

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	4 14.81	28 12.23	54 24.55	15 17.05	42 25.61	21 29.58	164 20.53
Somewhat agree	10 37.04	93 40.61	95 43.18	39 44.32	73 44.51	33 46.48	343 42.93
Somewhat disagree	5 18.52	42 18.34	37 16.82	20 22.73	27 16.46	7 9.86	138 17.27
Strongly disagree	3 11.11	39 17.03	23 10.45	9 10.23	9 5.49	6 8.45	89 11.14
Don't know	5 18.52	27 11.79	11 5.00	5 5.68	13 7.93	4 5.63	65 8.14
Total	27 100.00	229 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by attend

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	24 16.67	33 20.75	21 22.34	46 20.63	40 23.26	164 20.71
Somewhat agree	65 45.14	73 45.91	36 38.30	97 43.50	68 39.53	339 42.80

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

325 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat disagree	30 20.83	23 14.47	21 22.34	36 16.14	27 15.70	137 17.30
Strongly disagree	12 8.33	19 11.95	6 6.38	31 13.90	20 11.63	88 11.11
Don't know	13 9.03	11 6.92	10 10.64	13 5.83	17 9.88	64 8.08
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	94 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	792 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by race

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	78 15.18	30 26.79	47 36.15	1 20.00	2 33.33	4 25.00	1 6.67	1 100.00	164 20.53
Somewhat agree	221 43.00	47 41.96	60 46.15	2 40.00	2 33.33	6 37.50	5 33.33	0 0.00	343 42.93
Somewhat disagree	102 19.84	16 14.29	15 11.54	0 0.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	2 13.33	0 0.00	138 17.27
Strongly disagree	68 13.23	9 8.04	2 1.54	1 20.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	7 46.67	0 0.00	89 11.14
Don't know	45 8.75	10 8.93	6 4.62	1 20.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	0 0.00	0 0.00	65 8.14
Total	514 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by marstat

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Marital Status					Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single Domestic	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly agree	85 17.17	3 25.00	19 18.27	5 13.89	37 33.04	14 35.90	163 20.43
Somewhat agree	217 43.84	6 50.00	46 44.23	13 36.11	45 40.18	16 41.03	343 42.98
Somewhat disagree	98 19.80	2 16.67	13 12.50	7 19.44	10 8.93	8 20.51	138 17.29
Strongly disagree	57 11.52	0 0.00	13 12.50	7 19.44	11 9.82	1 2.56	89 11.15
Don't know	38 7.68	1 8.33	13 12.50	4 11.11	9 8.04	0 0.00	65 8.15
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by gender

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	86 23.12	78 18.27	164 20.53
Somewhat agree	161 43.28	182 42.62	343 42.93
Somewhat disagree	58 15.59	80 18.74	138 17.27
Strongly disagree	42 11.29	47 11.01	89 11.14
Don't know	25 6.72	40 9.37	65 8.14
Total	372 100.00	427 100.00	799 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

327 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q41D by locate

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	58 28.29	85 20.48	21 11.80	164 20.55
Somewhat agree	77 37.56	185 44.58	81 45.51	343 42.98
Somewhat disagree	28 13.66	73 17.59	37 20.79	138 17.29
Strongly disagree	18 8.78	44 10.60	26 14.61	88 11.03
Don't know	24 11.71	28 6.75	13 7.30	65 8.15
Total	205 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	798 100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by metro

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	40 25.64	54 23.18	16 20.25	16 19.28	38 15.51	164 20.60
Somewhat agree	72 46.15	82 35.19	41 51.90	45 54.22	102 41.63	342 42.96
Somewhat disagree	24 15.38	46 19.74	13 16.46	11 13.25	43 17.55	137 17.21
Strongly disagree	12 7.69	26 11.16	5 6.33	9 10.84	37 15.10	89 11.18
Don't know	8	25	4	2	25	64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	5.13	10.73	5.06	2.41	10.20	8.04
Total	156	233	79	83	245	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q42. K-12 Education Quality

. tab2 Q42 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q42 by libcon

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
A lot better	0 0.00	1 1.41	0 0.00	2 0.94	1 0.91	3 1.82	5 4.07	12 1.51
Somewhat better	2 5.41	3 4.23	4 5.33	23 10.80	9 8.18	10 6.06	10 8.13	61 7.68
About the same	8 21.62	22 30.99	14 18.67	71 33.33	42 38.18	72 43.64	45 36.59	274 34.51
Somewhat worse	13 35.14	25 35.21	29 38.67	51 23.94	32 29.09	47 28.48	29 23.58	226 28.46
A lot worse	11 29.73	12 16.90	17 22.67	29 13.62	15 13.64	18 10.91	25 20.33	127 15.99
Don't know/no opinion	3 8.11	8 11.27	11 14.67	37 17.37	11 10.00	15 9.09	9 7.32	94 11.84
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by pid7

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
A lot better	7 3.68	0 0.00	1 1.64	0 0.00	1 0.81	0 0.00	2 0.97	0 0.00	11 1.40

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat better	19 10.00	10 17.54	4 6.56	4 6.35	8 6.50	2 3.08	13 6.28	2 11.11	62 7.91
About the same	56 29.47	18 31.58	10 16.39	20 31.75	49 39.84	31 47.69	85 41.06	4 22.22	273 34.82
Somewhat worse	59 31.05	17 29.82	21 34.43	14 22.22	34 27.64	14 21.54	59 28.50	5 27.78	223 28.44
A lot worse	27 14.21	5 8.77	19 31.15	17 26.98	24 19.51	8 12.31	23 11.11	1 5.56	124 15.82
Don't know/no opinion	22 11.58	7 12.28	6 9.84	8 12.70	7 5.69	10 15.38	25 12.08	6 33.33	91 11.61
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by Q22

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
A lot better	3 2.40	8 2.78	1 0.56	0 0.00	12 1.50
Somewhat better	10 8.00	26 9.03	11 6.15	15 7.21	62 7.75
About the same	51 40.80	78 27.08	69 38.55	79 37.98	277 34.62
Somewhat worse	29 23.20	91 31.60	54 30.17	53 25.48	227 28.38
A lot worse	19 15.20	54 18.75	28 15.64	27 12.98	128 16.00
Don't know/no opinion	13 10.40	31 10.76	16 8.94	34 16.35	94 11.75
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q42 by educ

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
A lot better	0 0.00	2 0.87	4 1.82	1 1.14	4 2.44	1 1.41	12 1.50
Somewhat better	3 11.11	20 8.70	16 7.27	18 20.45	2 1.22	3 4.23	62 7.75
About the same	6 22.22	93 40.43	67 30.45	26 29.55	64 39.02	21 29.58	277 34.62
Somewhat worse	11 40.74	48 20.87	66 30.00	23 26.14	48 29.27	31 43.66	227 28.38
A lot worse	6 22.22	31 13.48	39 17.73	11 12.50	31 18.90	10 14.08	128 16.00
Don't know/no opinion	1 3.70	36 15.65	28 12.73	9 10.23	15 9.15	5 7.04	94 11.75
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by attend

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
A lot better	5 3.47	2 1.26	3 3.16	2 0.90	0 0.00	12 1.51
Somewhat better	13 9.03	12 7.55	10 10.53	20 8.97	7 4.07	62 7.82
About the same	56 38.89	58 36.48	28 29.47	79 35.43	54 31.40	275 34.68
Somewhat worse	37 25.69	47 29.56	35 36.84	58 26.01	49 28.49	226 28.50
A lot worse	22 15.28	23 14.47	12 12.63	33 14.80	37 21.51	127 16.02

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/no opinion	11	17	7	31	25	91
	7.64	10.69	7.37	13.90	14.53	11.48
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by race

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
A lot better	4	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	12
	0.78	3.57	2.31	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50
Somewhat better	30	15	13	1	2	1	0	0	62
	5.83	13.39	10.00	20.00	33.33	6.25	0.00	0.00	7.75
About the same	175	36	51	2	1	5	6	1	277
	33.98	32.14	39.23	40.00	16.67	31.25	40.00	100.00	34.62
Somewhat worse	157	28	36	0	1	1	4	0	227
	30.49	25.00	27.69	0.00	16.67	6.25	26.67	0.00	28.38
A lot worse	85	14	18	1	1	5	4	0	128
	16.50	12.50	13.85	20.00	16.67	31.25	26.67	0.00	16.00
Don't know/no opinion	64	15	9	0	1	4	1	0	94
	12.43	13.39	6.92	0.00	16.67	25.00	6.67	0.00	11.75
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by marstat

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
A lot better	6	0	1	2	2	1	12
	1.21	0.00	0.96	5.56	1.79	2.56	1.50
Somewhat better	36	3	6	5	9	3	62
	7.26	25.00	5.77	13.89	8.04	7.69	7.76

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

About the same	184 37.10	4 33.33	33 31.73	13 36.11	34 30.36	9 23.08	277 34.67
Somewhat worse	149 30.04	2 16.67	34 32.69	5 13.89	26 23.21	10 25.64	226 28.29
A lot worse	75 15.12	3 25.00	15 14.42	4 11.11	21 18.75	10 25.64	128 16.02
Don't know/no opinion	46 9.27	0 0.00	15 14.42	7 19.44	20 17.86	6 15.38	94 11.76
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by gender

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
A lot better	4 1.07	8 1.87	12 1.50
Somewhat better	28 7.51	34 7.96	62 7.75
About the same	133 35.66	144 33.72	277 34.62
Somewhat worse	110 29.49	117 27.40	227 28.38
A lot worse	59 15.82	69 16.16	128 16.00
Don't know/no opinion	39 10.46	55 12.88	94 11.75
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by locate

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

333 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
A lot better	6 2.91	5 1.20	1 0.56	12 1.50
Somewhat better	18 8.74	30 7.23	14 7.87	62 7.76
About the same	63 30.58	152 36.63	62 34.83	277 34.67
Somewhat worse	54 26.21	121 29.16	51 28.65	226 28.29
A lot worse	35 16.99	62 14.94	31 17.42	128 16.02
Don't know/no opinion	30 14.56	45 10.84	19 10.67	94 11.76
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by metro

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
A lot better	5 3.21	3 1.29	0 0.00	2 2.41	2 0.81	12 1.51
Somewhat better	17 10.90	17 7.30	7 8.86	2 2.41	19 7.72	62 7.78
About the same	61 39.10	78 33.48	24 30.38	25 30.12	88 35.77	276 34.63
Somewhat worse	40 25.64	74 31.76	25 31.65	25 30.12	63 25.61	227 28.48
A lot worse	21 13.46	35 15.02	12 15.19	18 21.69	41 16.67	127 15.93
Don't know/no opinion	12	26	11	11	33	93

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	7.69	11.16	13.92	13.25	13.41	11.67
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q43. K-12 Education Spending

. tab2 Q43 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q43 by libcon

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Too much	1	3	2	4	14	35	47	106
	2.70	4.23	2.67	1.88	12.73	21.21	38.21	13.35
Too little	31	60	63	130	46	36	27	393
	83.78	84.51	84.00	61.03	41.82	21.82	21.95	49.50
About the right amoun	2	5	5	38	36	76	32	194
	5.41	7.04	6.67	17.84	32.73	46.06	26.02	24.43
Don't know/no opinion	3	3	5	41	14	18	17	101
	8.11	4.23	6.67	19.25	12.73	10.91	13.82	12.72
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by pid7

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Too much	6	0	3	9	33	4	47	1	103
	3.16	0.00	4.92	14.29	26.83	6.15	22.71	5.56	13.14
Too little	148	41	48	34	30	26	53	8	388
	77.89	71.93	78.69	53.97	24.39	40.00	25.60	44.44	49.49
About the right amoun	16	13	7	9	48	21	76	4	194
	8.42	22.81	11.48	14.29	39.02	32.31	36.71	22.22	24.74

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/no opinion	20	3	3	11	12	14	31	5	99
	10.53	5.26	4.92	17.46	9.76	21.54	14.98	27.78	12.63
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by Q22

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Too much	21	8	48	29	106
	16.80	2.78	26.82	13.94	13.25
Too little	48	225	34	90	397
	38.40	78.12	18.99	43.27	49.62
About the right amoun	39	30	72	55	196
	31.20	10.42	40.22	26.44	24.50
Don't know/no opinion	17	25	25	34	101
	13.60	8.68	13.97	16.35	12.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by educ

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Too much	4	31	22	10	29	10	106
	14.81	13.48	10.00	11.36	17.68	14.08	13.25
Too little	15	91	132	46	75	38	397
	55.56	39.57	60.00	52.27	45.73	53.52	49.62
About the right amoun	4	68	37	19	50	18	196
	14.81	29.57	16.82	21.59	30.49	25.35	24.50
Don't know/no opinion	4	40	29	13	10	5	101
	14.81	17.39	13.18	14.77	6.10	7.04	12.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

336 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by attend

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Too much	24 16.67	23 14.47	16 16.84	29 13.00	13 7.56	105 13.24
Too little	70 48.61	70 44.03	44 46.32	104 46.64	107 62.21	395 49.81
About the right amoun	33 22.92	48 30.19	25 26.32	59 26.46	29 16.86	194 24.46
Don't know/no opinion	17 11.81	18 11.32	10 10.53	31 13.90	23 13.37	99 12.48
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by race

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Too much	82 15.92	4 3.57	10 7.69	4 80.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 40.00	0 0.00	106 13.25
Too little	217 42.14	81 72.32	79 60.77	1 20.00	4 66.67	10 62.50	5 33.33	0 0.00	397 49.62
About the right amoun	145 28.16	11 9.82	30 23.08	0 0.00	2 33.33	4 25.00	3 20.00	1 100.00	196 24.50
Don't know/no opinion	71 13.79	16 14.29	11 8.46	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 12.50	1 6.67	0 0.00	101 12.62
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q43 by marstat

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Too much	80 16.13	2 16.67	5 4.81	6 16.67	11 9.82	2 5.13	106 13.27
Too little	220 44.35	7 58.33	64 61.54	16 44.44	68 60.71	21 53.85	396 49.56
About the right amount	136 27.42	3 25.00	20 19.23	9 25.00	19 16.96	9 23.08	196 24.53
Don't know/no opinion	60 12.10	0 0.00	15 14.42	5 13.89	14 12.50	7 17.95	101 12.64
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by gender

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Too much	72 19.30	34 7.96	106 13.25
Too little	171 45.84	226 52.93	397 49.62
About the right amount	97 26.01	99 23.19	196 24.50
Don't know/no opinion	33 8.85	68 15.93	101 12.62
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by locate

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Too much	24 11.65	46 11.08	36 20.22	106 13.27
Too little	113 54.85	205 49.40	78 43.82	396 49.56
About the right amoun	36 17.48	117 28.19	43 24.16	196 24.53
Don't know/no opinion	33 16.02	47 11.33	21 11.80	101 12.64
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by metro

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Too much	22 14.10	26 11.16	12 15.19	13 15.66	33 13.41	106 13.30
Too little	70 44.87	121 51.93	39 49.37	46 55.42	119 48.37	395 49.56
About the right amoun	48 30.77	53 22.75	20 25.32	13 15.66	61 24.80	195 24.47
Don't know/no opinion	16 10.26	33 14.16	8 10.13	11 13.25	33 13.41	101 12.67
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q44. Public University Spending

. tab2 Q44 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

339 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q44 by libcon

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Too much	2 5.41	6 8.45	5 6.67	18 8.45	26 23.64	50 30.30	54 43.90	161 20.28
Too little	25 67.57	44 61.97	45 60.00	97 45.54	29 26.36	19 11.52	24 19.51	283 35.64
About the right amoun	2 5.41	13 18.31	19 25.33	59 27.70	37 33.64	70 42.42	21 17.07	221 27.83
Don't know/no opinion	8 21.62	8 11.27	6 8.00	39 18.31	18 16.36	26 15.76	24 19.51	129 16.25
Total	37 100.00	71 100.00	75 100.00	213 100.00	110 100.00	165 100.00	123 100.00	794 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by pid7

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Too much	16 8.42	3 5.26	6 9.84	9 14.29	44 35.77	11 16.92	64 30.92	2 11.11	155 19.77
Too little	106 55.79	29 50.88	38 62.30	26 41.27	25 20.33	13 20.00	36 17.39	6 33.33	279 35.59
About the right amoun	40 21.05	22 38.60	9 14.75	15 23.81	37 30.08	23 35.38	73 35.27	3 16.67	222 28.32
Don't know/no opinion	28 14.74	3 5.26	8 13.11	13 20.63	17 13.82	18 27.69	34 16.43	7 38.89	128 16.33
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by Q22

Texas spending on state universities	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party
---	--

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

and colleges	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Too much	25 20.00	21 7.29	75 41.90	40 19.23	161 20.12
Too little	34 27.20	175 60.76	23 12.85	53 25.48	285 35.62
About the right amoun	48 38.40	59 20.49	53 29.61	63 30.29	223 27.88
Don't know/no opinion	18 14.40	33 11.46	28 15.64	52 25.00	131 16.38
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by educ

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Too much	8 29.63	47 20.43	33 15.00	13 14.77	45 27.44	15 21.13	161 20.12
Too little	10 37.04	68 29.57	98 44.55	33 37.50	51 31.10	25 35.21	285 35.62
About the right amoun	5 18.52	67 29.13	49 22.27	30 34.09	48 29.27	24 33.80	223 27.88
Don't know/no opinion	4 14.81	48 20.87	40 18.18	12 13.64	20 12.20	7 9.86	131 16.38
Total	27 100.00	230 100.00	220 100.00	88 100.00	164 100.00	71 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by attend

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Too much	35 24.31	38 23.90	21 22.11	38 17.04	27 15.70	159 20.05

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Too little	47 32.64	51 32.08	28 29.47	75 33.63	83 48.26	284 35.81
About the right amount	37 25.69	45 28.30	34 35.79	69 30.94	35 20.35	220 27.74
Don't know/no opinion	25 17.36	25 15.72	12 12.63	41 18.39	27 15.70	130 16.39
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by race

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Too much	121 23.50	10 8.93	16 12.31	3 60.00	1 16.67	1 6.25	8 53.33	1 100.00	161 20.12
Too little	157 30.49	57 50.89	57 43.85	0 0.00	4 66.67	9 56.25	1 6.67	0 0.00	285 35.62
About the right amount	147 28.54	28 25.00	42 32.31	0 0.00	1 16.67	2 12.50	3 20.00	0 0.00	223 27.88
Don't know/no opinion	90 17.48	17 15.18	15 11.54	2 40.00	0 0.00	4 25.00	3 20.00	0 0.00	131 16.38
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by marstat

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Too much	121 24.40	1 8.33	13 12.50	7 19.44	15 13.39	4 10.26	161 20.15
Too little	158 31.85	8 66.67	46 44.23	12 33.33	45 40.18	15 38.46	284 35.54

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

About the right amount	136 27.42	3 25.00	25 24.04	10 27.78	35 31.25	14 35.90	223 27.91
Don't know/no opinion	81 16.33	0 0.00	20 19.23	7 19.44	17 15.18	6 15.38	131 16.40
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by gender

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Too much	103 27.61	58 13.58	161 20.12
Too little	115 30.83	170 39.81	285 35.62
About the right amount	114 30.56	109 25.53	223 27.88
Don't know/no opinion	41 10.99	90 21.08	131 16.38
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by locate

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Too much	30 14.56	82 19.76	49 27.53	161 20.15
Too little	89 43.20	134 32.29	61 34.27	284 35.54
About the right amount	50 24.27	127 30.60	46 25.84	223 27.91

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

343 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know/no opinion	37 17.96	72 17.35	22 12.36	131 16.40
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by metro

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Too much	34 21.79	46 19.74	11 13.92	19 22.89	51 20.73	161 20.20
Too little	51 32.69	76 32.62	31 39.24	36 43.37	89 36.18	283 35.51
About the right amount	49 31.41	66 28.33	24 30.38	15 18.07	68 27.64	222 27.85
Don't know/no opinion	22 14.10	45 19.31	13 16.46	13 15.66	38 15.45	131 16.44
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q45. Anti-Tax Pledges

. tab2 Q45F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q45F by libcon

Q45F	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Candidates should ple	12 33.33	13 18.31	12 16.22	64 30.05	45 41.28	84 50.91	74 60.66	304 38.48
Candidates should not	24 66.67	49 69.01	57 77.03	110 51.64	48 44.04	67 40.61	40 32.79	395 50.00
Not sure/Don't know	0	9	5	39	16	14	8	91

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

344 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.00	12.68	6.76	18.31	14.68	8.48	6.56	11.52
Total	36	71	74	213	109	165	122	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by pid7

Q45F	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Candidates should ple	43	18	10	24	67	20	112	5	299
	22.99	31.58	16.39	38.10	54.47	30.77	54.37	27.78	38.33
Candidates should not	121	34	50	30	46	31	75	5	392
	64.71	59.65	81.97	47.62	37.40	47.69	36.41	27.78	50.26
Not sure/Don't know	23	5	1	9	10	14	19	8	89
	12.30	8.77	1.64	14.29	8.13	21.54	9.22	44.44	11.41
Total	187	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	780
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by Q22

Q45F	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Candidates should ple	49	60	109	88	306
	39.52	21.05	60.89	42.31	38.44
Candidates should not	53	198	59	89	399
	42.74	69.47	32.96	42.79	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	22	27	11	31	91
	17.74	9.47	6.15	14.90	11.43
Total	124	285	179	208	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by educ

Q45F	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

345 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	15	93	83	33	62	20	306
Candidates should ple	55.56	40.79	37.73	37.50	38.27	28.17	38.44
Candidates should not	40.74	41.23	51.82	46.59	56.79	66.20	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	3.70	17.98	10.45	15.91	4.94	5.63	11.43
Total	27	228	220	88	162	71	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by attend

Q45F	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Candidates should ple	60	66	43	82	50	301
	41.67	41.51	45.74	37.10	29.07	38.10
Candidates should not	67	75	44	118	94	398
	46.53	47.17	46.81	53.39	54.65	50.38
Not sure/Don't know	17	18	7	21	28	91
	11.81	11.32	7.45	9.50	16.28	11.52
Total	144	159	94	221	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by race

Q45F	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Candidates should ple	203	31	52	2	0	6	11	1	306
	39.57	28.18	40.00	40.00	0.00	37.50	73.33	100.00	38.44
Candidates should not	254	63	66	2	4	7	3	0	399
	49.51	57.27	50.77	40.00	66.67	43.75	20.00	0.00	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	56	16	12	1	2	3	1	0	91
	10.92	14.55	9.23	20.00	33.33	18.75	6.67	0.00	11.43
Total	513	110	130	5	6	16	15	1	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

346 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q45F by marstat

Q45F	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Candidates should ple	205 41.41	6 50.00	34 32.69	14 40.00	31 28.18	16 41.03	306 38.49
Candidates should not	238 48.08	5 41.67	53 50.96	17 48.57	63 57.27	22 56.41	398 50.06
Not sure/Don't know	52 10.51	1 8.33	17 16.35	4 11.43	16 14.55	1 2.56	91 11.45
Total	495 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	35 100.00	110 100.00	39 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by gender

Q45F	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Candidates should ple	159 42.74	147 34.67	306 38.44
Candidates should not	188 50.54	211 49.76	399 50.13
Not sure/Don't know	25 6.72	66 15.57	91 11.43
Total	372 100.00	424 100.00	796 100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by locate

Q45F	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Candidates should ple	58 28.57	176 42.41	72 40.68	306 38.49
Candidates should not	115	201	82	398

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

347 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	56.65	48.43	46.33	50.06
Not sure/Don't know	30 14.78	38 9.16	23 12.99	91 11.45
Total	203 100.00	415 100.00	177 100.00	795 100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by metro

Q45F	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Candidates should ple	53 34.64	91 39.06	36 45.57	29 34.94	97 39.59	306 38.59
Candidates should not	84 54.90	119 51.07	36 45.57	47 56.63	112 45.71	398 50.19
Not sure/Don't know	16 10.46	23 9.87	7 8.86	7 8.43	36 14.69	89 11.22
Total	153 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	245 100.00	793 100.00

Q46. Voting Rights Act Attitude

. tab2 Q46 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q46 by libcon

VRA states subject to supervision	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
These states should s	34 91.89	63 88.73	67 89.33	123 57.75	35 31.82	29 17.58	25 20.33	376 47.36
These states should b	3 8.11	6 8.45	1 1.33	52 24.41	58 52.73	120 72.73	90 73.17	330 41.56
Not sure/Don't know	0 0.00	2 2.82	7 9.33	38 17.84	17 15.45	16 9.70	8 6.50	88 11.08
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

348 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by pid7

VRA states subject to supervision	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
These states should s	159 83.68	45 78.95	52 85.25	28 44.44	18 14.63	19 29.23	42 20.29	9 50.00	372 47.45
These states should b	16 8.42	6 10.53	5 8.20	23 36.51	90 73.17	34 52.31	144 69.57	3 16.67	321 40.94
Not sure/Don't know	15 7.89	6 10.53	4 6.56	12 19.05	15 12.20	12 18.46	21 10.14	6 33.33	91 11.61
Total	190 100.00	57 100.00	61 100.00	63 100.00	123 100.00	65 100.00	207 100.00	18 100.00	784 100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by Q22

VRA states subject to supervision	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
These states should s	32 25.60	248 86.11	26 14.53	72 34.62	378 47.25
These states should b	78 62.40	19 6.60	139 77.65	94 45.19	330 41.25
Not sure/Don't know	15 12.00	21 7.29	14 7.82	42 20.19	92 11.50
Total	125 100.00	288 100.00	179 100.00	208 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by educ

VRA states subject to supervision	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
These states should s	16 59.26	86 37.39	128 58.18	48 54.55	65 39.63	35 49.30	378 47.25

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

These states should b	8	109	68	31	84	30	330
	29.63	47.39	30.91	35.23	51.22	42.25	41.25
Not sure/Don't know	3	35	24	9	15	6	92
	11.11	15.22	10.91	10.23	9.15	8.45	11.50
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by attend

VRA states subject to supervision	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
These states should s	56	65	47	108	100	376
	38.89	40.88	49.47	48.43	58.14	47.41
These states should b	71	73	40	94	49	327
	49.31	45.91	42.11	42.15	28.49	41.24
Not sure/Don't know	17	21	8	21	23	90
	11.81	13.21	8.42	9.42	13.37	11.35
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by race

VRA states subject to supervision	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
These states should s	191	89	81	2	3	9	3	0	378
	37.09	79.46	62.31	40.00	50.00	56.25	20.00	0.00	47.25
These states should b	260	10	40	2	3	4	10	1	330
	50.49	8.93	30.77	40.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	100.00	41.25
Not sure/Don't know	64	13	9	1	0	3	2	0	92
	12.43	11.61	6.92	20.00	0.00	18.75	13.33	0.00	11.50
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by marstat

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

VRA states subject to supervision	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
These states should s	198 39.92	8 66.67	68 65.38	17 47.22	60 53.57	26 66.67	377 47.18
These states should b	246 49.60	4 33.33	20 19.23	16 44.44	34 30.36	10 25.64	330 41.30
Not sure/Don't know	52 10.48	0 0.00	16 15.38	3 8.33	18 16.07	3 7.69	92 11.51
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by gender

VRA states subject to supervision	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
These states should s	163 43.70	215 50.35	378 47.25
These states should b	187 50.13	143 33.49	330 41.25
Not sure/Don't know	23 6.17	69 16.16	92 11.50
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by locate

VRA states subject to supervision	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
These states should s	121 58.74	188 45.30	69 38.76	378 47.31
These states should b	59 28.64	180 43.37	90 50.56	329 41.18
Not sure/Don't know	26	47	19	92

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	12.62	11.33	10.67	11.51
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by metro

VRA states subject to supervision	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
These states should s	77	112	34	47	107	377
	49.36	48.07	43.04	56.63	43.50	47.30
These states should b	64	92	34	31	107	328
	41.03	39.48	43.04	37.35	43.50	41.15
Not sure/Don't know	15	29	11	5	32	92
	9.62	12.45	13.92	6.02	13.01	11.54
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q47. Frequency of Contact with Non-English Speakers

. tab2 Q47 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q47 by libcon

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Often (daily or weekl	18	34	45	115	71	97	71	451
	48.65	47.89	60.00	53.99	64.55	58.79	57.72	56.80
Sometimes (monthly)	13	27	23	66	31	57	32	249
	35.14	38.03	30.67	30.99	28.18	34.55	26.02	31.36
Rarely (once a year)	4	10	6	22	7	10	19	78
	10.81	14.08	8.00	10.33	6.36	6.06	15.45	9.82
Never	1	0	1	3	1	1	1	8
	2.70	0.00	1.33	1.41	0.91	0.61	0.81	1.01

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

352 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Don't know	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	8
	2.70	0.00	0.00	3.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.01
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by pid7

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Often (daily or weekl	92	35	35	42	77	36	121	8	446
	48.42	61.40	57.38	66.67	62.60	55.38	58.45	44.44	56.89
Sometimes (monthly)	66	17	21	15	37	21	61	4	242
	34.74	29.82	34.43	23.81	30.08	32.31	29.47	22.22	30.87
Rarely (once a year)	25	5	5	4	8	7	23	3	80
	13.16	8.77	8.20	6.35	6.50	10.77	11.11	16.67	10.20
Never	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	8
	2.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.97	5.56	1.02
Don't know	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	8
	1.58	0.00	0.00	3.17	0.00	1.54	0.00	11.11	1.02
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by Q22

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Often (daily or weekl	72	157	105	121	455
	57.60	54.51	58.66	58.17	56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	37	94	62	56	249
	29.60	32.64	34.64	26.92	31.13
Rarely (once a year)	15	29	10	26	80
	12.00	10.07	5.59	12.50	10.00
Never	1	3	2	2	8

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

353 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.80	1.04	1.12	0.96	1.00
Don't know	0	5	0	3	8
	0.00	1.74	0.00	1.44	1.00
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by educ

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Often (daily or weekl	18	119	120	64	90	44	455
	66.67	51.74	54.55	72.73	54.88	61.97	56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	5	74	75	17	54	24	249
	18.52	32.17	34.09	19.32	32.93	33.80	31.13
Rarely (once a year)	3	33	21	5	17	1	80
	11.11	14.35	9.55	5.68	10.37	1.41	10.00
Never	1	2	2	1	1	1	8
	3.70	0.87	0.91	1.14	0.61	1.41	1.00
Don't know	0	2	2	1	2	1	8
	0.00	0.87	0.91	1.14	1.22	1.41	1.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by attend

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Often (daily or weekl	93	75	59	132	91	450
	64.58	47.17	62.11	59.19	52.91	56.75
Sometimes (monthly)	31	64	32	67	53	247
	21.53	40.25	33.68	30.04	30.81	31.15
Rarely (once a year)	17	18	4	24	17	80
	11.81	11.32	4.21	10.76	9.88	10.09

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Never	1 0.69	1 0.63	0 0.00	0 0.00	6 3.49	8 1.01
Don't know	2 1.39	1 0.63	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 2.91	8 1.01
Total	144 100.00	159 100.00	95 100.00	223 100.00	172 100.00	793 100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by race

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Race							Total	
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other		
Often (daily or weekl	296 57.48	52 46.43	78 60.00	4 80.00	5 83.33	8 50.00	11 73.33	1 100.00	455 56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	162 31.46	42 37.50	38 29.23	1 20.00	1 16.67	3 18.75	2 13.33	0 0.00	249 31.13
Rarely (once a year)	48 9.32	13 11.61	14 10.77	0 0.00	0 0.00	3 18.75	2 13.33	0 0.00	80 10.00
Never	4 0.78	3 2.68	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 1.00
Don't know	5 0.97	2 1.79	0 0.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 6.25	0 0.00	0 0.00	8 1.00
Total	515 100.00	112 100.00	130 100.00	5 100.00	6 100.00	16 100.00	15 100.00	1 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by marstat

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Marital Status					Total	
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single Domestic		
Often (daily or weekl	300 60.48	6 50.00	49 47.12	20 55.56	55 49.11	25 64.10	455 56.95
Sometimes (monthly)	149 30.04	2 16.67	43 41.35	11 30.56	35 31.25	9 23.08	249 31.16

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Rarely (once a year)	43 8.67	4 33.33	9 8.65	3 8.33	15 13.39	5 12.82	79 9.89
Never	1 0.20	0 0.00	1 0.96	2 5.56	4 3.57	0 0.00	8 1.00
Don't know	3 0.60	0 0.00	2 1.92	0 0.00	3 2.68	0 0.00	8 1.00
Total	496 100.00	12 100.00	104 100.00	36 100.00	112 100.00	39 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by gender

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Often (daily or weekl	216 57.91	239 55.97	455 56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	121 32.44	128 29.98	249 31.13
Rarely (once a year)	31 8.31	49 11.48	80 10.00
Never	3 0.80	5 1.17	8 1.00
Don't know	2 0.54	6 1.41	8 1.00
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by locate

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Often (daily or weekl	127 61.65	229 55.18	99 55.62	455 56.95

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

356 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Sometimes (monthly)	53 25.73	136 32.77	59 33.15	248 31.04
Rarely (once a year)	20 9.71	41 9.88	19 10.67	80 10.01
Never	4 1.94	4 0.96	0 0.00	8 1.00
Don't know	2 0.97	5 1.20	1 0.56	8 1.00
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by metro

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Often (daily or weekl	103 66.03	132 56.65	51 64.56	40 48.19	127 51.63	453 56.84
Sometimes (monthly)	41 26.28	76 32.62	19 24.05	32 38.55	80 32.52	248 31.12
Rarely (once a year)	11 7.05	19 8.15	7 8.86	10 12.05	33 13.41	80 10.04
Never	0 0.00	3 1.29	2 2.53	0 0.00	3 1.22	8 1.00
Don't know	1 0.64	3 1.29	0 0.00	1 1.20	3 1.22	8 1.00
Total	156 100.00	233 100.00	79 100.00	83 100.00	246 100.00	797 100.00

Q49A. Politicians should follow advice of scientists

. tab2 Q49A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

357 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q49A by libcon

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Strongly agree	9 64.29	14 45.16	15 40.54	33 30.00	6 10.91	8 10.00	12 16.90	97 24.37
Somewhat agree	3 21.43	11 35.48	17 45.95	49 44.55	28 50.91	34 42.50	19 26.76	161 40.45
Somewhat disagree	2 14.29	5 16.13	4 10.81	21 19.09	18 32.73	26 32.50	24 33.80	100 25.13
Strongly disagree	0 0.00	1 3.23	1 2.70	7 6.36	3 5.45	12 15.00	16 22.54	40 10.05
Total	14 100.00	31 100.00	37 100.00	110 100.00	55 100.00	80 100.00	71 100.00	398 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by pid7

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	34 40.00	10 34.48	12 41.38	13 35.14	10 15.62	2 6.45	12 11.11	1 10.00	94 23.92
Somewhat agree	37 43.53	14 48.28	12 41.38	11 29.73	22 34.38	17 54.84	43 39.81	4 40.00	160 40.71
Somewhat disagree	11 12.94	4 13.79	5 17.24	10 27.03	21 32.81	10 32.26	36 33.33	4 40.00	101 25.70
Strongly disagree	3 3.53	1 3.45	0 0.00	3 8.11	11 17.19	2 6.45	17 15.74	1 10.00	38 9.67
Total	85 100.00	29 100.00	29 100.00	37 100.00	64 100.00	31 100.00	108 100.00	10 100.00	393 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q49A by Q22

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	13 18.84	57 43.51	10 11.11	17 15.32	97 24.19
Somewhat agree	30 43.48	54 41.22	31 34.44	48 43.24	163 40.65
Somewhat disagree	20 28.99	16 12.21	30 33.33	35 31.53	101 25.19
Strongly disagree	6 8.70	4 3.05	19 21.11	11 9.91	40 9.98
Total	69 100.00	131 100.00	90 100.00	111 100.00	401 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by educ

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	6 54.55	20 16.53	34 29.82	6 17.65	22 25.29	9 26.47	97 24.19
Somewhat agree	3 27.27	57 47.11	43 37.72	16 47.06	28 32.18	16 47.06	163 40.65
Somewhat disagree	1 9.09	29 23.97	27 23.68	10 29.41	27 31.03	7 20.59	101 25.19
Strongly disagree	1 9.09	15 12.40	10 8.77	2 5.88	10 11.49	2 5.88	40 9.98

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	11	121	114	34	87	34	401
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by attend

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	7 8.97	15 20.83	11 22.92	25 23.81	39 41.49	97 24.43
Somewhat agree	31 39.74	32 44.44	18 37.50	49 46.67	32 34.04	162 40.81
Somewhat disagree	27 34.62	16 22.22	15 31.25	23 21.90	17 18.09	98 24.69
Strongly disagree	13 16.67	9 12.50	4 8.33	8 7.62	6 6.38	40 10.08
Total	78 100.00	72 100.00	48 100.00	105 100.00	94 100.00	397 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by race

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Race							Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	
Strongly agree	55 20.99	14 25.00	22 35.48	0 0.00	1 20.00	5 62.50	0 0.00	97 24.19
Somewhat agree	108 41.22	21 37.50	26 41.94	1 50.00	1 20.00	2 25.00	4 66.67	163 40.65
Somewhat disagree	65 24.81	17 30.36	13 20.97	1 50.00	2 40.00	1 12.50	2 33.33	101 25.19
Strongly disagree	34	4	1	0	1	0	0	40

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	12.98	7.14	1.61	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	9.98
Total	262	56	62	2	5	8	6	401
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by marstat

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	60 22.81	1 25.00	12 29.27	0 0.00	19 33.33	4 22.22	96 24.00
Somewhat agree	113 42.97	1 25.00	16 39.02	9 52.94	16 28.07	8 44.44	163 40.75
Somewhat disagree	65 24.71	0 0.00	10 24.39	5 29.41	15 26.32	6 33.33	101 25.25
Strongly disagree	25 9.51	2 50.00	3 7.32	3 17.65	7 12.28	0 0.00	40 10.00
Total	263 100.00	4 100.00	41 100.00	17 100.00	57 100.00	18 100.00	400 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by gender

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	45 23.94	52 24.41	97 24.19
Somewhat agree	73 38.83	90 42.25	163 40.65

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat disagree	45 23.94	56 26.29	101 25.19
Strongly disagree	25 13.30	15 7.04	40 9.98
Total	188 100.00	213 100.00	401 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by locate

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	27 30.00	45 20.55	25 27.47	97 24.25
Somewhat agree	38 42.22	94 42.92	31 34.07	163 40.75
Somewhat disagree	18 20.00	60 27.40	23 25.27	101 25.25
Strongly disagree	7 7.78	20 9.13	12 13.19	39 9.75
Total	90 100.00	219 100.00	91 100.00	400 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by metro

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	14 18.67	28 23.14	11 25.58	12 30.00	32 26.67	97 24.31
Somewhat agree	31	47	20	18	46	162

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	41.33	38.84	46.51	45.00	38.33	40.60
Somewhat disagree	21 28.00	30 24.79	10 23.26	7 17.50	32 26.67	100 25.06
Strongly disagree	9 12.00	16 13.22	2 4.65	3 7.50	10 8.33	40 10.03
Total	75 100.00	121 100.00	43 100.00	40 100.00	120 100.00	399 100.00

Q49B. Gut instinct as good as the advice of scientists

. tab2 Q49B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49B by libcon

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Strongly agree	1 5.56	2 5.88	1 2.94	15 14.56	5 7.94	12 15.00	17 27.42	53 13.45
Somewhat agree	0 0.00	6 17.65	4 11.76	37 35.92	32 50.79	42 52.50	25 40.32	146 37.06
Somewhat disagree	4 22.22	13 38.24	13 38.24	32 31.07	17 26.98	18 22.50	11 17.74	108 27.41
Strongly disagree	13 72.22	13 38.24	16 47.06	19 18.45	9 14.29	8 10.00	9 14.52	87 22.08
Total	18 100.00	34 100.00	34 100.00	103 100.00	63 100.00	80 100.00	62 100.00	394 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by pid7

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as	7 point Party ID
---	------------------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

363 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

the advice of s	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	12 12.00	3 11.11	2 7.41	4 13.33	8 14.55	1 3.45	21 19.09	0 0.00	51 13.21
Somewhat agree	26 26.00	7 25.93	5 18.52	5 16.67	26 47.27	16 55.17	57 51.82	3 37.50	145 37.56
Somewhat disagree	23 23.00	10 37.04	11 40.74	13 43.33	17 30.91	9 31.03	19 17.27	2 25.00	104 26.94
Strongly disagree	39 39.00	7 25.93	9 33.33	8 26.67	4 7.27	3 10.34	13 11.82	3 37.50	86 22.28
Total	100 100.00	27 100.00	27 100.00	30 100.00	55 100.00	29 100.00	110 100.00	8 100.00	386 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by Q22

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	9 15.00	15 10.20	18 21.95	11 10.38	53 13.42
Somewhat agree	31 51.67	31 21.09	34 41.46	51 48.11	147 37.22
Somewhat disagree	14 23.33	44 29.93	19 23.17	31 29.25	108 27.34
Strongly disagree	6 10.00	57 38.78	11 13.41	13 12.26	87 22.03
Total	60 100.00	147 100.00	82 100.00	106 100.00	395 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by educ

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as	Education
---	-----------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

364 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

the advice of s	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	3 23.08	26 23.64	8 7.69	4 7.55	10 12.05	2 6.25	53 13.42
Somewhat agree	8 61.54	49 44.55	33 31.73	24 45.28	27 32.53	6 18.75	147 37.22
Somewhat disagree	0 0.00	25 22.73	35 33.65	14 26.42	23 27.71	11 34.38	108 27.34
Strongly disagree	2 15.38	10 9.09	28 26.92	11 20.75	23 27.71	13 40.62	87 22.03
Total	13 100.00	110 100.00	104 100.00	53 100.00	83 100.00	32 100.00	395 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by attend

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	16 17.98	11 14.86	4 9.52	20 17.86	2 2.70	53 13.55
Somewhat agree	39 43.82	31 41.89	19 45.24	34 30.36	22 29.73	145 37.08
Somewhat disagree	22 24.72	19 25.68	11 26.19	29 25.89	26 35.14	107 27.37
Strongly disagree	12 13.48	13 17.57	8 19.05	29 25.89	24 32.43	86 21.99
Total	89 100.00	74 100.00	42 100.00	112 100.00	74 100.00	391 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by race

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as	Race
---	------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

365 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

the advice of s	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	33 13.31	9 14.29	7 11.11	2 100.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 25.00	0 0.00	53 13.42
Somewhat agree	101 40.73	22 34.92	18 28.57	0 0.00	1 20.00	1 20.00	3 37.50	1 100.00	147 37.22
Somewhat disagree	63 25.40	19 30.16	19 30.16	0 0.00	3 60.00	2 40.00	2 25.00	0 0.00	108 27.34
Strongly disagree	51 20.56	13 20.63	19 30.16	0 0.00	1 20.00	2 40.00	1 12.50	0 0.00	87 22.03
Total	248 100.00	63 100.00	63 100.00	2 100.00	5 100.00	5 100.00	8 100.00	1 100.00	395 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by marstat

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	37 15.04	1 33.33	4 7.55	5 25.00	6 10.91	0 0.00	53 13.42
Somewhat agree	91 36.99	1 33.33	23 43.40	9 45.00	15 27.27	8 44.44	147 37.22
Somewhat disagree	63 25.61	1 33.33	16 30.19	2 10.00	19 34.55	7 38.89	108 27.34
Strongly disagree	55 22.36	0 0.00	10 18.87	4 20.00	15 27.27	3 16.67	87 22.03
Total	246 100.00	3 100.00	53 100.00	20 100.00	55 100.00	18 100.00	395 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by gender

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as	Gender
---	--------

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

the advice of s	Male		Total
	Female		
Strongly agree	22 12.29	31 14.35	53 13.42
Somewhat agree	60 33.52	87 40.28	147 37.22
Somewhat disagree	43 24.02	65 30.09	108 27.34
Strongly disagree	54 30.17	33 15.28	87 22.03
Total	179 100.00	216 100.00	395 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by locate

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	11 10.58	26 12.44	16 19.75	53 13.45
Somewhat agree	37 35.58	79 37.80	31 38.27	147 37.31
Somewhat disagree	31 29.81	59 28.23	17 20.99	107 27.16
Strongly disagree	25 24.04	45 21.53	17 20.99	87 22.08
Total	104 100.00	209 100.00	81 100.00	394 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by metro

Most of the time,
instinct and gut

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

367 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	14 16.67	18 14.29	4 11.43	2 5.56	15 13.16	53 13.42
Somewhat agree	30 35.71	46 36.51	13 37.14	12 33.33	46 40.35	147 37.22
Somewhat disagree	25 29.76	36 28.57	12 34.29	13 36.11	22 19.30	108 27.34
Strongly disagree	15 17.86	26 20.63	6 17.14	9 25.00	31 27.19	87 22.03
Total	84 100.00	126 100.00	35 100.00	36 100.00	114 100.00	395 100.00

Q49C. Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence

. tab2 Q49C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49C by libcon

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	3 12.00	0 0.00	1 3.12	17 17.17	7 12.07	22 26.83	32 52.46	82 21.30
Somewhat agree	1 4.00	4 14.29	5 15.62	31 31.31	26 44.83	39 47.56	13 21.31	119 30.91
Somewhat disagree	1 4.00	9 32.14	11 34.38	28 28.28	19 32.76	15 18.29	11 18.03	94 24.42
Strongly disagree	20 80.00	15 53.57	15 46.88	23 23.23	6 10.34	6 7.32	5 8.20	90 23.38
Total	25	28	32	99	58	82	61	385

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

368 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by pid7

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independ	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	14 16.09	5 16.67	1 3.23	7 22.58	16 26.67	4 11.76	32 31.68	0 0.00	79 20.68
Somewhat agree	15 17.24	11 36.67	5 16.13	6 19.35	21 35.00	16 47.06	41 40.59	6 75.00	121 31.68
Somewhat disagree	21 24.14	7 23.33	8 25.81	9 29.03	13 21.67	9 26.47	23 22.77	2 25.00	92 24.08
Strongly disagree	37 42.53	7 23.33	17 54.84	9 29.03	10 16.67	5 14.71	5 4.95	0 0.00	90 23.56
Total	87 100.00	30 100.00	31 100.00	31 100.00	60 100.00	34 100.00	101 100.00	8 100.00	382 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by Q22

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	17 26.98	18 12.86	28 30.77	19 20.00	82 21.08
Somewhat agree	25 39.68	27 19.29	33 36.26	37 38.95	122 31.36
Somewhat disagree	16 25.40	30 21.43	17 18.68	32 33.68	95 24.42
Strongly disagree	5 7.94	65 46.43	13 14.29	7 7.37	90 23.14

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	63	140	91	95	389
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by educ

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	5 38.46	32 27.35	23 21.90	9 21.43	12 14.81	1 3.23	82 21.08
Somewhat agree	2 15.38	39 33.33	30 28.57	18 42.86	23 28.40	10 32.26	122 31.36
Somewhat disagree	2 15.38	28 23.93	28 26.67	9 21.43	18 22.22	10 32.26	95 24.42
Strongly disagree	4 30.77	18 15.38	24 22.86	6 14.29	28 34.57	10 32.26	90 23.14
Total	13 100.00	117 100.00	105 100.00	42 100.00	81 100.00	31 100.00	389 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by attend

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	33 45.83	25 29.41	6 13.04	10 9.62	6 7.79	80 20.83
Somewhat agree	23 31.94	28 32.94	25 54.35	32 30.77	13 16.88	121 31.51
Somewhat disagree	11 15.28	21 24.71	11 23.91	34 32.69	16 20.78	93 24.22

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

370 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly disagree	5	11	4	28	42	90
	6.94	12.94	8.70	26.92	54.55	23.44
<hr/>						
Total	72	85	46	104	77	384
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by race

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Race						Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Mixed	Other	
Strongly agree	50	13	14	3	2	0	82
	20.16	23.21	21.88	100.00	25.00	0.00	21.08
Somewhat agree	82	18	16	0	0	6	122
	33.06	32.14	25.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	31.36
Somewhat disagree	57	19	16	0	1	2	95
	22.98	33.93	25.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	24.42
Strongly disagree	59	6	18	0	5	2	90
	23.79	10.71	28.12	0.00	62.50	20.00	23.14
Total	248	56	64	3	8	10	389
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by marstat

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Marital Status					Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single Domestic	
Strongly agree	56	2	9	4	10	82
	24.03	33.33	16.07	28.57	17.86	21.13
Somewhat agree	75	2	18	5	16	122
	32.19	33.33	32.14	35.71	28.57	31.44
Somewhat disagree	62	0	15	2	9	95

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

371 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	26.61	0.00	26.79	14.29	16.07	30.43	24.48
Strongly disagree	40	2	14	3	21	9	89
	17.17	33.33	25.00	21.43	37.50	39.13	22.94
Total	233	6	56	14	56	23	388
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by gender

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	35	47	82
	19.23	22.71	21.08
Somewhat agree	55	67	122
	30.22	32.37	31.36
Somewhat disagree	44	51	95
	24.18	24.64	24.42
Strongly disagree	48	42	90
	26.37	20.29	23.14
Total	182	207	389
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by locate

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	14	40	27	81
	12.96	20.51	31.76	20.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

372 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	34	61	27	122
	31.48	31.28	31.76	31.44
Somewhat disagree	30	45	20	95
	27.78	23.08	23.53	24.48
Strongly disagree	30	49	11	90
	27.78	25.13	12.94	23.20
Total	108	195	85	388
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by metro

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	14	27	8	7	23	79
	18.92	26.47	21.62	13.46	19.01	20.47
Somewhat agree	24	35	14	6	43	122
	32.43	34.31	37.84	11.54	35.54	31.61
Somewhat disagree	25	20	9	13	28	95
	33.78	19.61	24.32	25.00	23.14	24.61
Strongly disagree	11	20	6	26	27	90
	14.86	19.61	16.22	50.00	22.31	23.32
Total	74	102	37	52	121	386
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q49D. Scientists/Academics are not hostile to people of faith

. tab2 Q49D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49D by libcon

Scientists and
academics are not

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

373 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

hostile to people of faith.	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	10 50.00	19 52.78	12 30.77	18 18.37	1 2.17	6 6.67	7 12.96	73 19.06
Somewhat agree	6 30.00	9 25.00	20 51.28	43 43.88	12 26.09	12 13.33	7 12.96	109 28.46
Somewhat disagree	2 10.00	5 13.89	5 12.82	26 26.53	23 50.00	40 44.44	12 22.22	113 29.50
Strongly disagree	2 10.00	3 8.33	2 5.13	11 11.22	10 21.74	32 35.56	28 51.85	88 22.98
Total	20 100.00	36 100.00	39 100.00	98 100.00	46 100.00	90 100.00	54 100.00	383 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by pid7

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	36 37.11	4 17.39	11 39.29	4 16.00	7 12.07	4 11.76	4 3.81	0 0.00	70 18.57
Somewhat agree	34 35.05	13 56.52	13 46.43	8 32.00	10 17.24	9 26.47	15 14.29	3 42.86	105 27.85
Somewhat disagree	18 18.56	6 26.09	3 10.71	9 36.00	24 41.38	10 29.41	41 39.05	3 42.86	114 30.24
Strongly disagree	9 9.28	0 0.00	1 3.57	4 16.00	17 29.31	11 32.35	45 42.86	1 14.29	88 23.34
Total	97 100.00	23 100.00	28 100.00	25 100.00	58 100.00	34 100.00	105 100.00	7 100.00	377 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by Q22

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

374 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly agree	6 9.38	53 38.41	2 2.22	12 12.77	73 18.91
Somewhat agree	18 28.12	55 39.86	15 16.67	22 23.40	110 28.50
Somewhat disagree	23 35.94	21 15.22	33 36.67	37 39.36	114 29.53
Strongly disagree	17 26.56	9 6.52	40 44.44	23 24.47	89 23.06
Total	64 100.00	138 100.00	90 100.00	94 100.00	386 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by educ

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	2 22.22	11 10.48	27 23.48	8 17.78	16 20.51	9 26.47	73 18.91
Somewhat agree	2 22.22	33 31.43	34 29.57	13 28.89	20 25.64	8 23.53	110 28.50
Somewhat disagree	2 22.22	34 32.38	28 24.35	15 33.33	24 30.77	11 32.35	114 29.53
Strongly disagree	3 33.33	27 25.71	26 22.61	9 20.00	18 23.08	6 17.65	89 23.06
Total	9 100.00	105 100.00	115 100.00	45 100.00	78 100.00	34 100.00	386 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by attend

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	6	13	6	25	23	73

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

375 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	9.09	15.66	11.54	24.27	28.75	19.01
Somewhat agree	16 24.24	19 22.89	17 32.69	32 31.07	25 31.25	109 28.39
Somewhat disagree	23 34.85	27 32.53	15 28.85	25 24.27	24 30.00	114 29.69
Strongly disagree	21 31.82	24 28.92	14 26.92	21 20.39	8 10.00	88 22.92
Total	66 100.00	83 100.00	52 100.00	103 100.00	80 100.00	384 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by race

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	39 15.85	14 27.45	18 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 22.22	0 0.00	0 0.00	73 18.91
Somewhat agree	59 23.98	20 39.22	25 34.72	2 66.67	1 50.00	3 33.33	0 0.00	0 0.00	110 28.50
Somewhat disagree	80 32.52	11 21.57	18 25.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	4 44.44	0 0.00	1 100.00	114 29.53
Strongly disagree	68 27.64	6 11.76	11 15.28	1 33.33	1 50.00	0 0.00	2 100.00	0 0.00	89 23.06
Total	246 100.00	51 100.00	72 100.00	3 100.00	2 100.00	9 100.00	2 100.00	1 100.00	386 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by marstat

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	32 13.62	1 20.00	17 32.69	2 13.33	15 25.86	5 25.00	72 18.70

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	56 23.83	1 20.00	19 36.54	3 20.00	22 37.93	9 45.00	110 28.57
Somewhat disagree	82 34.89	0 0.00	10 19.23	6 40.00	13 22.41	3 15.00	114 29.61
Strongly disagree	65 27.66	3 60.00	6 11.54	4 26.67	8 13.79	3 15.00	89 23.12
Total	235 100.00	5 100.00	52 100.00	15 100.00	58 100.00	20 100.00	385 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by gender

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	32 16.93	41 20.81	73 18.91
Somewhat agree	50 26.46	60 30.46	110 28.50
Somewhat disagree	54 28.57	60 30.46	114 29.53
Strongly disagree	53 28.04	36 18.27	89 23.06
Total	189 100.00	197 100.00	386 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by locate

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	27 29.03	39 17.97	7 9.21	73 18.91

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	34 36.56	59 27.19	17 22.37	110 28.50
Somewhat disagree	13 13.98	77 35.48	24 31.58	114 29.53
Strongly disagree	19 20.43	42 19.35	28 36.84	89 23.06
Total	93 100.00	217 100.00	76 100.00	386 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by metro

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	11 13.75	26 22.81	8 20.51	10 24.39	18 16.36	73 19.01
Somewhat agree	28 35.00	31 27.19	10 25.64	13 31.71	28 25.45	110 28.65
Somewhat disagree	22 27.50	33 28.95	15 38.46	10 24.39	33 30.00	113 29.43
Strongly disagree	19 23.75	24 21.05	6 15.38	8 19.51	31 28.18	88 22.92
Total	80 100.00	114 100.00	39 100.00	41 100.00	110 100.00	384 100.00

Q49E. Scientists/Academics are not concerned about moral implications of research

. tab2 Q49E libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49E by libcon

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral	
--	--

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

378 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

implications of their	Ideology							Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	3 15.00	4 10.53	5 12.20	14 12.28	20 36.36	34 41.46	40 67.80	120 29.34
Somewhat agree	4 20.00	5 13.16	10 24.39	44 38.60	21 38.18	28 34.15	15 25.42	127 31.05
Somewhat disagree	8 40.00	19 50.00	18 43.90	41 35.96	13 23.64	18 21.95	3 5.08	120 29.34
Strongly disagree	5 25.00	10 26.32	8 19.51	15 13.16	1 1.82	2 2.44	1 1.69	42 10.27
Total	20 100.00	38 100.00	41 100.00	114 100.00	55 100.00	82 100.00	59 100.00	409 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by pid7

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	13 13.40	7 19.44	5 14.29	8 23.53	34 50.00	8 26.67	44 45.83	2 22.22	121 29.88
Somewhat agree	28 28.87	11 30.56	7 20.00	14 41.18	15 22.06	13 43.33	35 36.46	2 22.22	125 30.86
Somewhat disagree	34 35.05	14 38.89	18 51.43	9 26.47	18 26.47	8 26.67	12 12.50	5 55.56	118 29.14
Strongly disagree	22 22.68	4 11.11	5 14.29	3 8.82	1 1.47	1 3.33	5 5.21	0 0.00	41 10.12
Total	97 100.00	36 100.00	35 100.00	34 100.00	68 100.00	30 100.00	96 100.00	9 100.00	405 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by Q22

Scientists and academics are not

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

379 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

concerned about the moral implications of their	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	15 31.91	18 11.54	53 50.96	35 33.33	121 29.37
Somewhat agree	18 38.30	40 25.64	32 30.77	39 37.14	129 31.31
Somewhat disagree	10 21.28	64 41.03	17 16.35	29 27.62	120 29.13
Strongly disagree	4 8.51	34 21.79	2 1.92	2 1.90	42 10.19
Total	47 100.00	156 100.00	104 100.00	105 100.00	412 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by educ

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	9 50.00	45 39.47	28 25.23	10 24.39	25 27.17	4 11.11	121 29.37
Somewhat agree	3 16.67	33 28.95	31 27.93	14 34.15	36 39.13	12 33.33	129 31.31
Somewhat disagree	5 27.78	24 21.05	39 35.14	15 36.59	22 23.91	15 41.67	120 29.13
Strongly disagree	1 5.56	12 10.53	13 11.71	2 4.88	9 9.78	5 13.89	42 10.19
Total	18 100.00	114 100.00	111 100.00	41 100.00	92 100.00	36 100.00	412 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by attend

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	34 47.22	30 35.71	12 23.08	31 26.05	14 16.87	121 29.51
Somewhat agree	19 26.39	33 39.29	17 32.69	35 29.41	24 28.92	128 31.22
Somewhat disagree	17 23.61	15 17.86	12 23.08	43 36.13	32 38.55	119 29.02
Strongly disagree	2 2.78	6 7.14	11 21.15	10 8.40	13 15.66	42 10.24
Total	72 100.00	84 100.00	52 100.00	119 100.00	83 100.00	410 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by race

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Race							Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	
Strongly agree	87 31.87	11 18.64	14 23.73	3 75.00	1 25.00	1 16.67	4 57.14	121 29.37
Somewhat agree	78 28.57	21 35.59	21 35.59	1 25.00	3 75.00	2 33.33	3 42.86	129 31.31
Somewhat disagree	85 31.14	17 28.81	16 27.12	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 33.33	0 0.00	120 29.13
Strongly disagree	23 8.42	10 16.95	8 13.56	0 0.00	0 0.00	1 16.67	0 0.00	42 10.19
Total	273 100.00	59 100.00	59 100.00	4 100.00	4 100.00	6 100.00	7 100.00	412 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q49E by marstat

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	93 35.77	1 16.67	11 20.75	4 19.05	11 20.75	1 5.26	121 29.37
Somewhat agree	75 28.85	2 33.33	17 32.08	10 47.62	17 32.08	8 42.11	129 31.31
Somewhat disagree	68 26.15	2 33.33	19 35.85	6 28.57	17 32.08	8 42.11	120 29.13
Strongly disagree	24 9.23	1 16.67	6 11.32	1 4.76	8 15.09	2 10.53	42 10.19
Total	260 100.00	6 100.00	53 100.00	21 100.00	53 100.00	19 100.00	412 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by gender

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Strongly agree	68 34.34	53 24.77	121 29.37
Somewhat agree	51 25.76	78 36.45	129 31.31
Somewhat disagree	60 30.30	60 28.04	120 29.13
Strongly disagree	19 9.60	23 10.75	42 10.19

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Total	198	214	412
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by locate

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	25 22.32	57 27.94	39 40.62	121 29.37
Somewhat agree	33 29.46	65 31.86	31 32.29	129 31.31
Somewhat disagree	36 32.14	63 30.88	21 21.88	120 29.13
Strongly disagree	18 16.07	19 9.31	5 5.21	42 10.19
Total	112 100.00	204 100.00	96 100.00	412 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by metro

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	23 27.38	37 29.60	9 21.95	7 15.56	44 37.93	120 29.20
Somewhat agree	25 29.76	40 32.00	12 29.27	14 31.11	38 32.76	129 31.39
Somewhat disagree	25 29.76	41 32.80	16 39.02	17 37.78	21 18.10	120 29.20
Strongly disagree	11	7	4	7	13	42

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	13.10	5.60	9.76	15.56	11.21	10.22
Total	84	125	41	45	116	411
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q49F. University professors are liberal

. tab2 Q49F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49F by libcon

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6		Ext. Con.
Strongly agree	0	2	1	16	15	58	47	139
	0.00	5.41	2.38	14.41	32.61	69.88	77.05	35.19
Somewhat agree	2	2	1	32	21	22	9	89
	13.33	5.41	2.38	28.83	45.65	26.51	14.75	22.53
Somewhat disagree	4	15	19	46	6	2	2	94
	26.67	40.54	45.24	41.44	13.04	2.41	3.28	23.80
Strongly disagree	9	18	21	17	4	1	3	73
	60.00	48.65	50.00	15.32	8.70	1.20	4.92	18.48
Total	15	37	42	111	46	83	61	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by pid7

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	6	1	0	11	42	12	64	0	136
	6.52	4.17	0.00	36.67	68.85	36.36	63.37	0.00	34.87
Somewhat agree	12	6	3	8	13	14	28	5	89

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

384 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	13.04	25.00	7.89	26.67	21.31	42.42	27.72	45.45	22.82
Somewhat disagree	41 44.57	9 37.50	15 39.47	7 23.33	4 6.56	3 9.09	8 7.92	5 45.45	92 23.59
Strongly disagree	33 35.87	8 33.33	20 52.63	4 13.33	2 3.28	4 12.12	1 0.99	1 9.09	73 18.72
Total	92 100.00	24 100.00	38 100.00	30 100.00	61 100.00	33 100.00	101 100.00	11 100.00	390 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by Q22

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	33 47.14	5 3.47	59 74.68	42 40.00	139 34.92
Somewhat agree	25 35.71	18 12.50	18 22.78	31 29.52	92 23.12
Somewhat disagree	9 12.86	62 43.06	1 1.27	22 20.95	94 23.62
Strongly disagree	3 4.29	59 40.97	1 1.27	10 9.52	73 18.34
Total	70 100.00	144 100.00	79 100.00	105 100.00	398 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by educ

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	7 46.67	42 37.84	34 30.63	14 31.82	26 36.11	16 35.56	139 34.92
Somewhat agree	2	34	24	8	14	10	92

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

385 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	13.33	30.63	21.62	18.18	19.44	22.22	23.12
Somewhat disagree	0 0.00	25 22.52	31 27.93	17 38.64	14 19.44	7 15.56	94 23.62
Strongly disagree	6 40.00	10 9.01	22 19.82	5 11.36	18 25.00	12 26.67	73 18.34
Total	15 100.00	111 100.00	111 100.00	44 100.00	72 100.00	45 100.00	398 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by attend

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	25 41.67	31 40.79	17 33.33	43 37.72	22 22.92	138 34.76
Somewhat agree	18 30.00	21 27.63	10 19.61	19 16.67	24 25.00	92 23.17
Somewhat disagree	12 20.00	15 19.74	11 21.57	34 29.82	22 22.92	94 23.68
Strongly disagree	5 8.33	9 11.84	13 25.49	18 15.79	28 29.17	73 18.39
Total	60 100.00	76 100.00	51 100.00	114 100.00	96 100.00	397 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by race

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	103 39.77	5 9.80	18 28.57	2 66.67	1 33.33	2 25.00	7 70.00	1 100.00	139 34.92
Somewhat agree	68	8	12	1	0	2	1	0	92

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

386 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	26.25	15.69	19.05	33.33	0.00	25.00	10.00	0.00	23.12
Somewhat disagree	49	23	18	0	1	2	1	0	94
	18.92	45.10	28.57	0.00	33.33	25.00	10.00	0.00	23.62
Strongly disagree	39	15	15	0	1	2	1	0	73
	15.06	29.41	23.81	0.00	33.33	25.00	10.00	0.00	18.34
Total	259	51	63	3	3	8	10	1	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by marstat

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	101	3	9	9	15	2	139
	42.08	37.50	15.79	45.00	25.86	13.33	34.92
Somewhat agree	65	1	12	1	10	3	92
	27.08	12.50	21.05	5.00	17.24	20.00	23.12
Somewhat disagree	41	4	18	7	19	5	94
	17.08	50.00	31.58	35.00	32.76	33.33	23.62
Strongly disagree	33	0	18	3	14	5	73
	13.75	0.00	31.58	15.00	24.14	33.33	18.34
Total	240	8	57	20	58	15	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by gender

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	83	56	139
	44.86	26.29	34.92

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

387 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	37 20.00	55 25.82	92 23.12
Somewhat disagree	30 16.22	64 30.05	94 23.62
Strongly disagree	35 18.92	38 17.84	73 18.34
Total	185 100.00	213 100.00	398 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by locate

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	23 21.30	73 37.06	43 46.24	139 34.92
Somewhat agree	24 22.22	44 22.34	24 25.81	92 23.12
Somewhat disagree	34 31.48	44 22.34	16 17.20	94 23.62
Strongly disagree	27 25.00	36 18.27	10 10.75	73 18.34
Total	108 100.00	197 100.00	93 100.00	398 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by metro

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	25 32.05	39 36.45	15 39.47	10 27.03	50 36.23	139 34.92

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

388 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Somewhat agree	13 16.67	17 15.89	10 26.32	8 21.62	44 31.88	92 23.12
Somewhat disagree	24 30.77	28 26.17	7 18.42	8 21.62	27 19.57	94 23.62
Strongly disagree	16 20.51	23 21.50	6 15.79	11 29.73	17 12.32	73 18.34
Total	78 100.00	107 100.00	38 100.00	37 100.00	138 100.00	398 100.00

Q49G. Economists are conservative

. tab2 Q49G libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49G by libcon

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Ideology						Total
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6 Ext. Con.	
Strongly agree	4 23.53	2 6.06	5 12.50	12 11.32	4 6.67	3 3.95	9 9.92
Somewhat agree	7 41.18	14 42.42	8 20.00	45 42.45	15 25.00	11 14.47	7 11.48
Somewhat disagree	4 23.53	12 36.36	25 62.50	41 38.68	33 55.00	38 50.00	21 34.43
Strongly disagree	2 11.76	5 15.15	2 5.00	8 7.55	8 13.33	24 31.58	24 39.34
Total	17 100.00	33 100.00	40 100.00	106 100.00	60 100.00	76 100.00	61 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by pid7

Most economists |

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

389 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	16 16.49	8 26.67	3 10.00	3 9.68	6 9.23	1 3.12	3 3.19	0 0.00	40 10.34
Somewhat agree	30 30.93	7 23.33	16 53.33	7 22.58	9 13.85	15 46.88	16 17.02	4 50.00	104 26.87
Somewhat disagree	39 40.21	14 46.67	11 36.67	17 54.84	34 52.31	14 43.75	40 42.55	3 37.50	172 44.44
Strongly disagree	12 12.37	1 3.33	0 0.00	4 12.90	16 24.62	2 6.25	35 37.23	1 12.50	71 18.35
Total	97 100.00	30 100.00	30 100.00	31 100.00	65 100.00	32 100.00	94 100.00	8 100.00	387 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by Q22

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	4 6.78	23 15.44	4 4.55	9 9.00	40 10.10
Somewhat agree	17 28.81	47 31.54	15 17.05	30 30.00	109 27.53
Somewhat disagree	22 37.29	65 43.62	43 48.86	44 44.00	174 43.94
Strongly disagree	16 27.12	14 9.40	26 29.55	17 17.00	73 18.43
Total	59 100.00	149 100.00	88 100.00	100 100.00	396 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by educ

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

390 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	3 21.43	13 11.11	14 13.33	3 6.38	6 7.89	1 2.70	40 10.10
Somewhat agree	6 42.86	41 35.04	29 27.62	10 21.28	15 19.74	8 21.62	109 27.53
Somewhat disagree	0 0.00	45 38.46	48 45.71	22 46.81	41 53.95	18 48.65	174 43.94
Strongly disagree	5 35.71	18 15.38	14 13.33	12 25.53	14 18.42	10 27.03	73 18.43
Total	14 100.00	117 100.00	105 100.00	47 100.00	76 100.00	37 100.00	396 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by attend

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	10 13.89	8 11.27	3 6.82	10 8.85	9 9.78	40 10.20
Somewhat agree	18 25.00	15 21.13	13 29.55	29 25.66	33 35.87	108 27.55
Somewhat disagree	38 52.78	26 36.62	20 45.45	56 49.56	32 34.78	172 43.88
Strongly disagree	6 8.33	22 30.99	8 18.18	18 15.93	18 19.57	72 18.37
Total	72 100.00	71 100.00	44 100.00	113 100.00	92 100.00	392 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

391 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q49G by race

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	19 7.39	9 15.79	9 15.00	1 100.00	0 0.00	0 0.00	2 20.00	0 0.00	40 10.10
Somewhat agree	70 27.24	15 26.32	20 33.33	0 0.00	1 33.33	3 42.86	0 0.00	0 0.00	109 27.53
Somewhat disagree	115 44.75	29 50.88	23 38.33	0 0.00	1 33.33	2 28.57	4 40.00	0 0.00	174 43.94
Strongly disagree	53 20.62	4 7.02	8 13.33	0 0.00	1 33.33	2 28.57	4 40.00	1 100.00	73 18.43
Total	257 100.00	57 100.00	60 100.00	1 100.00	3 100.00	7 100.00	10 100.00	1 100.00	396 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by marstat

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	23 9.09	1 12.50	5 9.80	2 11.11	5 10.64	4 21.05	40 10.10
Somewhat agree	61 24.11	5 62.50	17 33.33	6 33.33	17 36.17	3 15.79	109 27.53
Somewhat disagree	117 46.25	2 25.00	24 47.06	8 44.44	15 31.91	8 42.11	174 43.94
Strongly disagree	52 20.55	0 0.00	5 9.80	2 11.11	10 21.28	4 21.05	73 18.43
Total	253	8	51	18	47	19	396

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

392 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

| 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by gender

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	13 7.14	27 12.62	40 10.10
Somewhat agree	38 20.88	71 33.18	109 27.53
Somewhat disagree	85 46.70	89 41.59	174 43.94
Strongly disagree	46 25.27	27 12.62	73 18.43
Total	182 100.00	214 100.00	396 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by locate

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	12 12.63	20 9.62	8 8.70	40 10.13
Somewhat agree	36 37.89	48 23.08	24 26.09	108 27.34
Somewhat disagree	35 36.84	101 48.56	38 41.30	174 44.05

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
 N=800 Adults
 Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample
 393 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly disagree	12	39	22	73
	12.63	18.75	23.91	18.48

Total	95	208	92	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by metro

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	11	13	3	2	11	40
	15.07	10.92	7.69	5.26	8.80	10.15
Somewhat agree	13	27	12	13	43	108
	17.81	22.69	30.77	34.21	34.40	27.41
Somewhat disagree	36	54	18	16	49	173
	49.32	45.38	46.15	42.11	39.20	43.91
Strongly disagree	13	25	6	7	22	73
	17.81	21.01	15.38	18.42	17.60	18.53
Total	73	119	39	38	125	394
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q49H. Scientists are liberal

. tab2 Q49H libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49H by libcon

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Ideology						Total	
	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6 Ext. Con.		
Strongly agree	0	1	1	12	6	43	30	93

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

394 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

	0.00	2.33	2.86	11.21	11.32	51.81	49.18	23.19
Somewhat agree	2 10.53	3 6.98	3 8.57	33 30.84	28 52.83	31 37.35	23 37.70	123 30.67
Somewhat disagree	4 21.05	12 27.91	14 40.00	45 42.06	16 30.19	7 8.43	5 8.20	103 25.69
Strongly disagree	13 68.42	27 62.79	17 48.57	17 15.89	3 5.66	2 2.41	3 4.92	82 20.45
Total	19 100.00	43 100.00	35 100.00	107 100.00	53 100.00	83 100.00	61 100.00	401 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by pid7

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	4 3.96	2 6.90	1 3.85	4 11.76	23 40.35	8 24.24	48 44.86	3 27.27	93 23.37
Somewhat agree	21 20.79	7 24.14	1 3.85	6 17.65	20 35.09	17 51.52	45 42.06	4 36.36	121 30.40
Somewhat disagree	22 21.78	13 44.83	16 61.54	19 55.88	11 19.30	8 24.24	12 11.21	3 27.27	104 26.13
Strongly disagree	54 53.47	7 24.14	8 30.77	5 14.71	3 5.26	0 0.00	2 1.87	1 9.09	80 20.10
Total	101 100.00	29 100.00	26 100.00	34 100.00	57 100.00	33 100.00	107 100.00	11 100.00	398 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by Q22

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

395 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

Strongly agree	25 42.37	5 3.50	40 43.48	25 22.52	95 23.46
Somewhat agree	21 35.59	24 16.78	32 34.78	47 42.34	124 30.62
Somewhat disagree	10 16.95	47 32.87	17 18.48	30 27.03	104 25.68
Strongly disagree	3 5.08	67 46.85	3 3.26	9 8.11	82 20.25
Total	59 100.00	143 100.00	92 100.00	111 100.00	405 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by educ

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	3 20.00	35 29.41	21 19.63	12 26.09	18 21.69	6 17.14	95 23.46
Somewhat agree	6 40.00	46 38.66	32 29.91	10 21.74	22 26.51	8 22.86	124 30.62
Somewhat disagree	1 6.67	25 21.01	25 23.36	19 41.30	24 28.92	10 28.57	104 25.68
Strongly disagree	5 33.33	13 10.92	29 27.10	5 10.87	19 22.89	11 31.43	82 20.25
Total	15 100.00	119 100.00	107 100.00	46 100.00	83 100.00	35 100.00	405 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by attend

Most scientists
are liberals who
are trying to
push an

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

ideological agenda with t	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	21 31.34	32 38.55	11 24.44	22 18.97	8 9.09	94 23.56
Somewhat agree	30 44.78	22 26.51	15 33.33	32 27.59	22 25.00	121 30.33
Somewhat disagree	13 19.40	18 21.69	6 13.33	41 35.34	26 29.55	104 26.07
Strongly disagree	3 4.48	11 13.25	13 28.89	21 18.10	32 36.36	80 20.05
Total	67 100.00	83 100.00	45 100.00	116 100.00	88 100.00	399 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by race

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Race							Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	
Strongly agree	63 24.90	6 11.76	21 27.27	1 50.00	1 50.00	1 7.69	2 28.57	95 23.46
Somewhat agree	92 36.36	12 23.53	16 20.78	1 50.00	0 0.00	1 7.69	2 28.57	124 30.62
Somewhat disagree	55 21.74	19 37.25	22 28.57	0 0.00	1 50.00	6 46.15	1 14.29	104 25.68
Strongly disagree	43 17.00	14 27.45	18 23.38	0 0.00	0 0.00	5 38.46	2 28.57	82 20.25
Total	253 100.00	51 100.00	77 100.00	2 100.00	2 100.00	13 100.00	7 100.00	405 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by marstat

Most scientists are liberals who

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

397 of 399

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	65 26.97	3 37.50	6 11.32	10 55.56	9 15.00	2 8.33	95 23.51
Somewhat agree	87 36.10	3 37.50	11 20.75	2 11.11	16 26.67	5 20.83	124 30.69
Somewhat disagree	58 24.07	2 25.00	17 32.08	3 16.67	17 28.33	7 29.17	104 25.74
Strongly disagree	31 12.86	0 0.00	19 35.85	3 16.67	18 30.00	10 41.67	81 20.05
Total	241 100.00	8 100.00	53 100.00	18 100.00	60 100.00	24 100.00	404 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by gender

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t Female	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	47 24.87	48 22.22	95 23.46
Somewhat agree	58 30.69	66 30.56	124 30.62
Somewhat disagree	48 25.40	56 25.93	104 25.68
Strongly disagree	36 19.05	46 21.30	82 20.25
Total	189 100.00	216 100.00	405 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

University of Texas / Texas Tribune
Texas Statewide Survey
Crosstabs

-> tabulation of Q49H by locate

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Community size			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Strongly agree	18 16.51	47 22.82	30 33.33	95 23.46
Somewhat agree	34 31.19	56 27.18	34 37.78	124 30.62
Somewhat disagree	30 27.52	55 26.70	19 21.11	104 25.68
Strongly disagree	27 24.77	48 23.30	7 7.78	82 20.25
Total	109 100.00	206 100.00	90 100.00	405 100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by metro

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	12 16.90	32 28.32	10 25.00	6 13.95	34 25.00	94 23.33
Somewhat agree	23 32.39	24 21.24	13 32.50	15 34.88	48 35.29	123 30.52
Somewhat disagree	19 26.76	28 24.78	11 27.50	9 20.93	37 27.21	104 25.81
Strongly disagree	17 23.94	29 25.66	6 15.00	13 30.23	17 12.50	82 20.35
Total	71 100.00	113 100.00	40 100.00	43 100.00	136 100.00	403 100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012

N=800 Adults

Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample