<div align="center">

UT/Texas Tribune Statewide Poll
October 2010
Crosstabs

</div>

[This page intentionally left blank]

Interest in politics * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	15	26	27	60	57	109	121	3	418
		% within Own ideological placement	78.9%	45.6%	30.3%	37.5%	46.7%	64.9%	72.0%	27.3%	52.6%
	Somewhat interested	Count	2	27	45	70	54	49	35	1	283
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	47.4%	50.6%	43.8%	44.3%	29.2%	20.8%	9.1%	35.6%
	Not very interested	Count	1	4	15	25	7	7	9	3	71
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	7.0%	16.9%	15.6%	5.7%	4.2%	5.4%	27.3%	8.9%
	Not at all interested	Count	1	0	2	5	0	3	3	3	17
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	.0%	2.2%	3.1%	.0%	1.8%	1.8%	27.3%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	5
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	.6%
Total		Count	19	57	89	160	122	168	168	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in politics * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	74	19	26	34	71	27	134	0	385
		% within 7 point Party ID	47.4%	19.6%	61.9%	54.8%	80.7%	32.5%	66.0%	.0%	52.5%
	Somewhat interested	Count	68	48	11	23	16	46	56	2	270
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.6%	49.5%	26.2%	37.1%	18.2%	55.4%	27.6%	100.0%	36.8%
	Not very interested	Count	14	25	4	4	1	4	10	0	62
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.0%	25.8%	9.5%	6.5%	1.1%	4.8%	4.9%	.0%	8.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	5	1	1	0	6	3	0	16
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	5.2%	2.4%	1.6%	.0%	7.2%	1.5%	.0%	2.2%
Total		Count	156	97	42	62	88	83	203	2	733
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

5

Interest in politics * age Crosstabulation

| | | | age | | | | |
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	38	100	178	108	424
		% within age	35.8%	37.9%	60.1%	80.6%	53.0%
	Somewhat interested	Count	46	110	104	25	285
		% within age	43.4%	41.7%	35.1%	18.7%	35.6%
	Not very interested	Count	15	45	10	0	70
		% within age	14.2%	17.0%	3.4%	.0%	8.8%
	Not at all interested	Count	3	8	4	1	16
		% within age	2.8%	3.0%	1.4%	.7%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	4	1	0	0	5
		% within age	3.8%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total		Count	106	264	296	134	800
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in politics * Education Crosstabulation

| | | | Education | | | | | | |
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	7	152	149	22	63	29	422
		% within Education	31.8%	48.1%	54.4%	55.0%	59.4%	70.7%	52.8%
	Somewhat interested	Count	11	110	100	16	35	12	284
		% within Education	50.0%	34.8%	36.5%	40.0%	33.0%	29.3%	35.5%
	Not very interested	Count	0	44	19	2	6	0	71
		% within Education	.0%	13.9%	6.9%	5.0%	5.7%	.0%	8.9%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	9	6	0	2	0	17
		% within Education	.0%	2.8%	2.2%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
		% within Education	18.2%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total		Count	22	316	274	40	106	41	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

6

Interest in politics * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	72	71	59	129	93	424
		% within Attendance at religious services	66.7%	50.0%	54.6%	57.8%	42.1%	52.9%
	Somewhat interested	Count	32	43	37	80	92	284
		% within Attendance at religious services	29.6%	30.3%	34.3%	35.9%	41.6%	35.4%
	Not very interested	Count	3	22	7	12	28	72
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	15.5%	6.5%	5.4%	12.7%	9.0%
	Not at all interested	Count	1	6	0	2	8	17
		% within Attendance at religious services	.9%	4.2%	.0%	.9%	3.6%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	5	0	0	5
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total		Count	108	142	108	223	221	802
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in politics * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	312	35	55	2	4	14	422
		% within Race	60.5%	36.5%	37.9%	18.2%	80.0%	58.3%	52.9%
	Somewhat interested	Count	164	49	57	6	1	6	283
		% within Race	31.8%	51.0%	39.3%	54.5%	20.0%	25.0%	35.5%
	Not very interested	Count	29	10	25	3	0	3	70
		% within Race	5.6%	10.4%	17.2%	27.3%	.0%	12.5%	8.8%
	Not at all interested	Count	11	2	4	0	0	0	17
		% within Race	2.1%	2.1%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	4	0	0	1	5
		% within Race	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.6%
Total		Count	516	96	145	11	5	24	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

7

Interest in politics * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	277	3	46	20	56	22	424
		% within Marital Status	57.3%	33.3%	56.8%	60.6%	37.8%	50.0%	53.1%
	Somewhat interested	Count	154	4	30	12	68	16	284
		% within Marital Status	31.9%	44.4%	37.0%	36.4%	45.9%	36.4%	35.6%
	Not very interested	Count	37	2	3	0	20	6	68
		% within Marital Status	7.7%	22.2%	3.7%	.0%	13.5%	13.6%	8.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	10	0	2	1	4	0	17
		% within Marital Status	2.1%	.0%	2.5%	3.0%	2.7%	.0%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
		% within Marital Status	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total		Count	483	9	81	33	148	44	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in politics * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	246	176	422
		% within Gender	65.8%	41.6%	52.9%
	Somewhat interested	Count	115	169	284
		% within Gender	30.7%	40.0%	35.6%
	Not very interested	Count	9	61	70
		% within Gender	2.4%	14.4%	8.8%
	Not at all interested	Count	4	12	16
		% within Gender	1.1%	2.8%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	5	5
		% within Gender	.0%	1.2%	.6%
Total		Count	374	423	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

8

Interest in politics * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	98	212	113	423
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	43.2%	54.4%	61.4%	52.8%
	Somewhat interested	Count	100	131	54	285
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	44.1%	33.6%	29.3%	35.6%
	Not very interested	Count	21	36	14	71
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	9.3%	9.2%	7.6%	8.9%
	Not at all interested	Count	8	7	2	17
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	3.5%	1.8%	1.1%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	4	1	5
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.0%	1.0%	.5%	.6%
Total		Count	227	390	184	801
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in politics * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	96	121	40	47	117	421
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	54.2%	56.0%	60.6%	51.6%	47.2%	52.8%
	Somewhat interested	Count	61	76	23	34	91	285
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	34.5%	35.2%	34.8%	37.4%	36.7%	35.7%
	Not very interested	Count	18	16	2	9	25	70
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	10.2%	7.4%	3.0%	9.9%	10.1%	8.8%
	Not at all interested	Count	2	3	1	1	10	17
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.1%	1.4%	1.5%	1.1%	4.0%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	5	5

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.6%
Total		Count	177	216	66	91	248	798
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in politics * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Interest in politics	Extremely interested	Count	104	73	59	76	59	53	424
		% within region	61.5%	55.3%	49.6%	49.4%	53.6%	45.7%	53.0%
	Somewhat interested	Count	53	45	45	60	33	47	283
		% within region	31.4%	34.1%	37.8%	39.0%	30.0%	40.5%	35.4%
	Not very interested	Count	5	12	15	17	14	8	71
		% within region	3.0%	9.1%	12.6%	11.0%	12.7%	6.9%	8.9%
	Not at all interested	Count	6	2	0	1	4	4	17
		% within region	3.6%	1.5%	.0%	.6%	3.6%	3.4%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	0	0	4	5
		% within region	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.6%
Total		Count	169	132	119	154	110	116	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	9	19	26	46	45	92	96	5	338
		% within Own ideological placement	47.4%	32.8%	29.9%	28.7%	36.6%	54.8%	56.5%	45.5%	42.5%
	Almost every time	Count	6	26	26	49	36	52	51	1	247
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	44.8%	29.9%	30.6%	29.3%	31.0%	30.0%	9.1%	31.0%
	Some of the time	Count	2	4	9	32	23	13	13	0	96
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	6.9%	10.3%	20.0%	18.7%	7.7%	7.6%	.0%	12.1%
	Once or twice	Count	0	7	21	19	9	7	8	2	73
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	12.1%	24.1%	11.9%	7.3%	4.2%	4.7%	18.2%	9.2%
	Never	Count	2	2	5	12	5	4	2	3	35

10

		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	3.4%	5.7%	7.5%	4.1%	2.4%	1.2%	27.3%	4.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	19	58	87	160	123	168	170	11	796
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	54	21	17	31	47	27	115	0	312
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.6%	21.6%	40.5%	50.8%	54.0%	32.5%	56.9%	.0%	42.7%
	Almost every time	Count	51	30	17	13	28	29	60	0	228
		% within 7 point Party ID	32.7%	30.9%	40.5%	21.3%	32.2%	34.9%	29.7%	.0%	31.2%
	Some of the time	Count	27	26	3	7	3	13	14	0	93
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.3%	26.8%	7.1%	11.5%	3.4%	15.7%	6.9%	.0%	12.7%
	Once or twice	Count	19	14	3	9	7	7	10	0	69
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.2%	14.4%	7.1%	14.8%	8.0%	8.4%	5.0%	.0%	9.5%
	Never	Count	5	5	2	0	2	7	3	2	26
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	5.2%	4.8%	.0%	2.3%	8.4%	1.5%	100.0%	3.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	1.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	156	97	42	61	87	83	202	2	730
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	20	79	153	88	340
		% within age	19.0%	29.8%	51.3%	66.2%	42.4%
	Almost every time	Count	27	83	100	41	251
		% within age	25.7%	31.3%	33.6%	30.8%	31.3%
	Some of the time	Count	15	54	24	3	96
		% within age	14.3%	20.4%	8.1%	2.3%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	23	36	13	1	73
		% within age	21.9%	13.6%	4.4%	.8%	9.1%
	Never	Count	15	13	6	0	34
		% within age	14.3%	4.9%	2.0%	.0%	4.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	2	0	7

		% within age	4.8%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	105	265	298	133	801
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	7	125	118	20	46	24	340
		% within Education	30.4%	39.4%	43.2%	51.3%	43.0%	57.1%	42.4%
	Almost every time	Count	6	85	88	13	48	11	251
		% within Education	26.1%	26.8%	32.2%	33.3%	44.9%	26.2%	31.3%
	Some of the time	Count	4	51	27	3	5	6	96
		% within Education	17.4%	16.1%	9.9%	7.7%	4.7%	14.3%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	2	36	26	2	7	0	73
		% within Education	8.7%	11.4%	9.5%	5.1%	6.5%	.0%	9.1%
	Never	Count	0	20	12	0	1	1	34
		% within Education	.0%	6.3%	4.4%	.0%	.9%	2.4%	4.2%
	Don't know	Count	4	0	2	1	0	0	7
		% within Education	17.4%	.0%	.7%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	23	317	273	39	107	42	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	60	52	45	103	79	339
		% within Attendance at religious services	55.0%	36.6%	42.1%	46.8%	35.9%	42.5%
	Almost every time	Count	36	48	39	72	55	250
		% within Attendance at religious services	33.0%	33.8%	36.4%	32.7%	25.0%	31.3%
	Some of the time	Count	6	25	8	24	33	96
		% within Attendance at religious services	5.5%	17.6%	7.5%	10.9%	15.0%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	5	9	9	17	33	73

12

		% within Attendance at religious services	4.6%	6.3%	8.4%	7.7%	15.0%	9.1%
	Never	Count	2	7	2	4	19	34
		% within Attendance at religious services	1.8%	4.9%	1.9%	1.8%	8.6%	4.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	4	0	1	6
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.7%	3.7%	.0%	.5%	.8%
Total		Count	109	142	107	220	220	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	244	32	50	0	3	9	338
		% within Race	47.3%	33.3%	34.7%	.0%	60.0%	36.0%	42.5%
	Almost every time	Count	181	22	32	5	1	9	250
		% within Race	35.1%	22.9%	22.2%	50.0%	20.0%	36.0%	31.4%
	Some of the time	Count	36	26	27	4	1	1	95
		% within Race	7.0%	27.1%	18.8%	40.0%	20.0%	4.0%	11.9%
	Once or twice	Count	33	14	20	0	0	6	73
		% within Race	6.4%	14.6%	13.9%	.0%	.0%	24.0%	9.2%
	Never	Count	21	0	11	1	0	0	33
		% within Race	4.1%	.0%	7.6%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	4.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	4	0	0	0	7
		% within Race	.2%	2.1%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	516	96	144	10	5	25	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	221	3	35	15	48	18	340
		% within Marital Status	45.8%	30.0%	43.8%	45.5%	32.4%	41.9%	42.7%
	Almost every time	Count	159	6	18	11	40	16	250
		% within Marital Status	32.9%	60.0%	22.5%	33.3%	27.0%	37.2%	31.4%

Some of the time	Count		54	1	12	4	22	3	96
	% within Marital Status		11.2%	10.0%	15.0%	12.1%	14.9%	7.0%	12.0%
Once or twice	Count		36	0	8	3	23	2	72
	% within Marital Status		7.5%	.0%	10.0%	9.1%	15.5%	4.7%	9.0%
Never	Count		8	0	6	0	15	4	33
	% within Marital Status		1.7%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	10.1%	9.3%	4.1%
Don't know	Count		5	0	1	0	0	0	6
	% within Marital Status		1.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Total	Count		483	10	80	33	148	43	797
	% within Marital Status		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	192	147	339
		% within Gender	51.2%	34.8%	42.5%
	Almost every time	Count	127	122	249
		% within Gender	33.9%	28.9%	31.2%
	Some of the time	Count	28	68	96
		% within Gender	7.5%	16.1%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	19	54	73
		% within Gender	5.1%	12.8%	9.2%
	Never	Count	9	25	34
		% within Gender	2.4%	5.9%	4.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	6	6
		% within Gender	.0%	1.4%	.8%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	80	169	90	339
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	35.1%	43.6%	48.9%	42.4%

14

	Almost every time	Count	68	124	59	251
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	29.8%	32.0%	32.1%	31.4%
	Some of the time	Count	40	42	14	96
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	17.5%	10.8%	7.6%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	30	28	15	73
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	13.2%	7.2%	8.2%	9.1%
	Never	Count	8	20	6	34
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	3.5%	5.2%	3.3%	4.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	5	0	7
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.9%	1.3%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	228	388	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	67	95	37	39	98	336
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	38.1%	44.4%	56.9%	43.3%	39.7%	42.4%
	Almost every time	Count	64	74	17	25	71	251
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	36.4%	34.6%	26.2%	27.8%	28.7%	31.7%
	Some of the time	Count	23	15	7	13	37	95
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	13.1%	7.0%	10.8%	14.4%	15.0%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	16	18	2	10	26	72
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	9.1%	8.4%	3.1%	11.1%	10.5%	9.1%
	Never	Count	5	11	2	3	11	32

			2.8%	5.1%	3.1%	3.3%	4.5%	4.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	0	0	4	6
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.8%
Total		Count	176	214	65	90	247	792
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of voting in recent years * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency of voting in recent years	Every time	Count	70	60	41	71	52	47	341
		% within region	41.7%	45.1%	34.2%	46.1%	46.8%	41.2%	42.6%
	Almost every time	Count	67	37	41	37	34	35	251
		% within region	39.9%	27.8%	34.2%	24.0%	30.6%	30.7%	31.4%
	Some of the time	Count	12	13	21	23	9	18	96
		% within region	7.1%	9.8%	17.5%	14.9%	8.1%	15.8%	12.0%
	Once or twice	Count	13	15	12	16	10	6	72
		% within region	7.7%	11.3%	10.0%	10.4%	9.0%	5.3%	9.0%
	Never	Count	6	7	4	7	6	4	34
		% within region	3.6%	5.3%	3.3%	4.5%	5.4%	3.5%	4.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1	0	0	4	6
		% within region	.0%	.8%	.8%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.8%
Total		Count	168	133	120	154	111	114	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	11	16	26	37	33	48	91	2	264
		% within Own ideological placement	57.9%	28.6%	30.2%	23.1%	26.8%	28.4%	53.5%	18.2%	33.2%
	Somewhat closely	Count	6	28	27	62	58	98	53	1	333
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	50.0%	31.4%	38.8%	47.2%	58.0%	31.2%	9.1%	41.9%
	Not very closely	Count	2	9	21	44	24	16	18	4	138

		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	16.1%	24.4%	27.5%	19.5%	9.5%	10.6%	36.4%	17.4%
	Not at all	Count	0	3	12	17	4	5	7	4	52
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	5.4%	14.0%	10.6%	3.3%	3.0%	4.1%	36.4%	6.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	7
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	1.2%	.6%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	19	56	86	160	123	169	170	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	63	16	14	19	37	17	85	0	251
		% within 7 point Party ID	40.4%	16.3%	33.3%	31.1%	42.0%	20.2%	42.3%	.0%	34.3%
	Somewhat closely	Count	61	27	22	26	40	40	88	0	304
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.1%	27.6%	52.4%	42.6%	45.5%	47.6%	43.8%	.0%	41.5%
	Not very closely	Count	24	41	5	14	11	17	20	0	132
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.4%	41.8%	11.9%	23.0%	12.5%	20.2%	10.0%	.0%	18.0%
	Not at all	Count	8	12	1	2	0	10	8	2	43
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	12.2%	2.4%	3.3%	.0%	11.9%	4.0%	100.0%	5.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	156	98	42	61	88	84	201	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	16	60	124	71	271
		% within age	15.1%	22.8%	41.9%	53.0%	33.9%
	Somewhat closely	Count	35	112	126	60	333
		% within age	33.0%	42.6%	42.6%	44.8%	41.7%
	Not very closely	Count	32	57	45	3	137
		% within age	30.2%	21.7%	15.2%	2.2%	17.1%
	Not at all	Count	17	34	0	0	51
		% within age	16.0%	12.9%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	1	0	7
		% within age	5.7%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.9%

Total		Count	106	263	296	134	799
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	2	108	89	16	37	18	270
		% within Education	9.1%	34.1%	32.5%	40.0%	34.9%	43.9%	33.8%
	Somewhat closely	Count	13	114	118	17	51	20	333
		% within Education	59.1%	36.0%	43.1%	42.5%	48.1%	48.8%	41.6%
	Not very closely	Count	3	68	45	6	13	3	138
		% within Education	13.6%	21.5%	16.4%	15.0%	12.3%	7.3%	17.3%
	Not at all	Count	0	27	20	0	5	0	52
		% within Education	.0%	8.5%	7.3%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	6.5%
	Don't know	Count	4	0	2	1	0	0	7
		% within Education	18.2%	.0%	.7%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	22	317	274	40	106	41	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	45	52	45	73	56	271
		% within Attendance at religious services	41.3%	36.4%	42.1%	32.9%	25.7%	33.9%
	Somewhat closely	Count	47	44	47	112	82	332
		% within Attendance at religious services	43.1%	30.8%	43.9%	50.5%	37.6%	41.6%
	Not very closely	Count	15	35	8	27	53	138
		% within Attendance at religious services	13.8%	24.5%	7.5%	12.2%	24.3%	17.3%
	Not at all	Count	2	12	3	10	24	51
		% within Attendance at religious services	1.8%	8.4%	2.8%	4.5%	11.0%	6.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	4	0	3	7

	% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	1.4%	.9%
Total	Count	109	143	107	222	218	799
	% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	202	26	31	0	4	6	269
		% within Race	39.1%	27.1%	21.4%	.0%	80.0%	25.0%	33.8%
	Somewhat closely	Count	216	40	60	5	1	11	333
		% within Race	41.9%	41.7%	41.4%	50.0%	20.0%	45.8%	41.8%
	Not very closely	Count	72	26	33	4	0	1	136
		% within Race	14.0%	27.1%	22.8%	40.0%	.0%	4.2%	17.1%
	Not at all	Count	23	4	17	1	0	6	51
		% within Race	4.5%	4.2%	11.7%	10.0%	.0%	25.0%	6.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	0	4	0	0	0	7
		% within Race	.6%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	516	96	145	10	5	24	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	172	1	29	15	39	15	271
		% within Marital Status	35.7%	10.0%	35.8%	44.1%	26.4%	34.9%	34.0%
	Somewhat closely	Count	204	7	36	15	51	18	331
		% within Marital Status	42.3%	70.0%	44.4%	44.1%	34.5%	41.9%	41.5%
	Not very closely	Count	78	2	12	4	36	6	138
		% within Marital Status	16.2%	20.0%	14.8%	11.8%	24.3%	14.0%	17.3%
	Not at all	Count	23	0	4	0	20	4	51
		% within Marital Status	4.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	13.5%	9.3%	6.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	0	0	2	0	7
		% within Marital Status	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	482	10	81	34	148	43	798

Interest in campaign for governor * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	172	1	29	15	39	15	271
		% within Marital Status	35.7%	10.0%	35.8%	44.1%	26.4%	34.9%	34.0%
	Somewhat closely	Count	204	7	36	15	51	18	331
		% within Marital Status	42.3%	70.0%	44.4%	44.1%	34.5%	41.9%	41.5%
	Not very closely	Count	78	2	12	4	36	6	138
		% within Marital Status	16.2%	20.0%	14.8%	11.8%	24.3%	14.0%	17.3%
	Not at all	Count	23	0	4	0	20	4	51
		% within Marital Status	4.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	13.5%	9.3%	6.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	0	0	2	0	7
		% within Marital Status	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.9%
Total		Count	482	10	81	34	148	43	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	144	124	268
		% within Gender	38.5%	29.3%	33.6%
	Somewhat closely	Count	172	162	334
		% within Gender	46.0%	38.3%	41.9%
	Not very closely	Count	50	87	137
		% within Gender	13.4%	20.6%	17.2%
	Not at all	Count	7	44	51
		% within Gender	1.9%	10.4%	6.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	6	7
		% within Gender	.3%	1.4%	.9%
Total		Count	374	423	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

	Type of community respondent lives in	Total

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	65	136	70	271
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	28.8%	34.9%	37.8%	33.8%
	Somewhat closely	Count	99	157	77	333
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	43.8%	40.3%	41.6%	41.6%
	Not very closely	Count	48	66	24	138
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.2%	16.9%	13.0%	17.2%
	Not at all	Count	12	27	13	52
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	5.3%	6.9%	7.0%	6.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	4	1	7
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.9%	1.0%	.5%	.9%
Total		Count	226	390	185	801
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	61	75	30	32	72	270
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	34.9%	34.9%	46.2%	35.6%	28.8%	34.0%
	Somewhat closely	Count	72	100	28	34	100	334
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	41.1%	46.5%	43.1%	37.8%	40.0%	42.0%
	Not very closely	Count	38	27	5	17	48	135
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.7%	12.6%	7.7%	18.9%	19.2%	17.0%
	Not at all	Count	4	12	2	7	24	49
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	2.3%	5.6%	3.1%	7.8%	9.6%	6.2%

	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	0	6	7
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.9%
Total		Count	175	215	65	90	250	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in campaign for governor * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Interest in campaign for governor	Extremely closely	Count	61	46	38	51	41	34	271
		% within region	36.1%	35.1%	31.4%	33.3%	36.9%	29.6%	33.9%
	Somewhat closely	Count	71	61	50	65	43	43	333
		% within region	42.0%	46.6%	41.3%	42.5%	38.7%	37.4%	41.6%
	Not very closely	Count	27	14	31	28	10	27	137
		% within region	16.0%	10.7%	25.6%	18.3%	9.0%	23.5%	17.1%
	Not at all	Count	10	10	2	9	14	7	52
		% within region	5.9%	7.6%	1.7%	5.9%	12.6%	6.1%	6.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	3	4	7
		% within region	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	3.5%	.9%
Total		Count	169	131	121	153	111	115	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	10	21	20	36	8	5	3	1	104
		% within Own ideological placement	55.6%	36.8%	23.3%	22.4%	6.6%	3.0%	1.8%	12.5%	13.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	6	33	46	56	21	4	0	2	168
		% within Own ideological placement	33.3%	57.9%	53.5%	34.8%	17.2%	2.4%	.0%	25.0%	21.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	1	0	7	11	4	3	6	2	34
		% within Own ideological placement	5.6%	.0%	8.1%	6.8%	3.3%	1.8%	3.6%	25.0%	4.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	0	1	6	22	12	5	1	0	47
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	1.8%	7.0%	13.7%	9.8%	3.0%	.6%	.0%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	1	2	5	34	73	151	155	3	424

		% within Own ideological placement	5.6%	3.5%	5.8%	21.1%	59.8%	89.9%	92.3%	37.5%	53.8%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	2	2	4	0	3	0	11
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	2.3%	1.2%	3.3%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	18	57	86	161	122	168	168	8	788
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	64	17	20	4	0	1	2	0	108
		% within 7 point Party ID	41.0%	17.9%	46.5%	6.5%	.0%	1.2%	1.0%	.0%	14.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	75	47	19	13	0	10	0	0	164
		% within 7 point Party ID	48.1%	49.5%	44.2%	21.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	.0%	22.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	3	10	2	10	2	3	3	0	33
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	10.5%	4.7%	16.1%	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%	.0%	4.5%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	10	10	0	3	4	13	4	0	44
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.4%	10.5%	.0%	4.8%	4.5%	15.3%	2.0%	.0%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	3	10	2	32	82	56	193	2	380
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	10.5%	4.7%	51.6%	93.2%	65.9%	95.1%	100.0%	51.8%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	5
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.5%	.0%	.7%
Total		Count	156	95	43	62	88	85	203	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	11	36	39	23	109
		% within age	10.4%	13.6%	13.4%	17.2%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	38	57	61	13	169
		% within age	35.8%	21.6%	20.9%	9.7%	21.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	6	17	10	1	34
		% within age	5.7%	6.4%	3.4%	.7%	4.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	11	21	14	2	48
		% within age	10.4%	8.0%	4.8%	1.5%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	35	130	165	95	425
		% within age	33.0%	49.2%	56.5%	70.9%	53.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	3	3	0	11

		% within age	4.7%	1.1%	1.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	106	264	292	134	796
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	2	39	36	4	17	11	109
		% within Education	9.1%	12.4%	13.2%	10.0%	16.0%	26.2%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	4	66	54	6	30	9	169
		% within Education	18.2%	21.0%	19.8%	15.0%	28.3%	21.4%	21.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	0	19	10	2	4	0	35
		% within Education	.0%	6.0%	3.7%	5.0%	3.8%	.0%	4.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	2	19	18	1	3	5	48
		% within Education	9.1%	6.0%	6.6%	2.5%	2.8%	11.9%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	10	170	152	26	51	17	426
		% within Education	45.5%	54.0%	55.7%	65.0%	48.1%	40.5%	53.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	2	3	1	1	0	11
		% within Education	18.2%	.6%	1.1%	2.5%	.9%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	22	315	273	40	106	42	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	14	11	23	33	28	109
		% within Attendance at religious services	13.0%	7.9%	21.1%	14.9%	12.7%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	9	23	21	43	74	170
		% within Attendance at religious services	8.3%	16.5%	19.3%	19.5%	33.6%	21.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	4	9	2	8	12	35
		% within Attendance at religious services	3.7%	6.5%	1.8%	3.6%	5.5%	4.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	4	14	4	15	11	48
		% within Attendance at religious services	3.7%	10.1%	3.7%	6.8%	5.0%	6.0%

			77	82	55	120	90	424
	Disapprove strongly	Count	77	82	55	120	90	424
		% within Attendance at religious services	71.3%	59.0%	50.5%	54.3%	40.9%	53.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	4	2	5	11
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.9%	2.3%	1.4%
Total		Count	108	139	109	221	220	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	49	34	19	0	0	6	108
		% within Race	9.5%	35.8%	13.4%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	13.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	77	43	39	5	0	6	170
		% within Race	14.9%	45.3%	27.5%	45.5%	.0%	25.0%	21.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	13	9	11	1	0	0	34
		% within Race	2.5%	9.5%	7.7%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	30	0	13	4	0	1	48
		% within Race	5.8%	.0%	9.2%	36.4%	.0%	4.2%	6.1%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	341	9	55	1	5	11	422
		% within Race	66.1%	9.5%	38.7%	9.1%	100.0%	45.8%	53.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	5	0	0	0	11
		% within Race	1.2%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total		Count	516	95	142	11	5	24	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	52	1	18	11	20	6	108
		% within Marital Status	10.9%	11.1%	22.2%	33.3%	13.6%	14.0%	13.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	79	2	15	2	58	12	168
		% within Marital Status	16.5%	22.2%	18.5%	6.1%	39.5%	27.9%	21.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	19	0	9	0	6	0	34
		% within Marital Status	4.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	4.3%

	Disapprove somewhat	Count	28	1	4	0	13	2	48
		% within Marital Status	5.8%	11.1%	4.9%	.0%	8.8%	4.7%	6.1%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	294	5	35	20	47	23	424
		% within Marital Status	61.4%	55.6%	43.2%	60.6%	32.0%	53.5%	53.5%
	Don't know	Count	7	0	0	0	3	0	10
		% within Marital Status	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.3%
Total		Count	479	9	81	33	147	43	792
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	47	62	109
		% within Gender	12.5%	14.8%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	63	105	168
		% within Gender	16.8%	25.0%	21.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	7	28	35
		% within Gender	1.9%	6.7%	4.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	23	25	48
		% within Gender	6.1%	6.0%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	234	190	424
		% within Gender	62.4%	45.2%	53.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	10	11
		% within Gender	.3%	2.4%	1.4%
Total		Count	375	420	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	28	55	26	109
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	12.4%	14.2%	14.1%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	72	75	23	170
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	32.0%	19.3%	12.5%	21.3%

26

	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	16	14	5	35
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	7.1%	3.6%	2.7%	4.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	25	8	48
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	6.7%	6.4%	4.3%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	93	212	120	425
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	41.3%	54.6%	65.2%	53.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	7	2	10
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.4%	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%
Total		Count	225	388	184	797
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Barack Obama approval rating * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	29	40	8	11	20	108
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	16.6%	18.7%	12.3%	12.1%	8.2%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	43	51	7	25	43	169
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	24.6%	23.8%	10.8%	27.5%	17.6%	21.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	14	5	1	2	12	34
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	8.0%	2.3%	1.5%	2.2%	4.9%	4.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	3	8	4	13	19	47
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.7%	3.7%	6.2%	14.3%	7.8%	5.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	85	106	43	40	147	421
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	48.6%	49.5%	66.2%	44.0%	60.0%	53.3%

Don't know	Count		1	4	2	0	4	11	
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area		.6%	1.9%	3.1%	.0%	1.6%	1.4%	
Total	Count		175	214	65	91	245	790	
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Barack Obama approval rating * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Barack Obama approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	23	29	21	14	12	10	109
		% within region	13.7%	22.0%	17.5%	9.1%	10.9%	8.9%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	23	37	33	43	14	18	168
		% within region	13.7%	28.0%	27.5%	27.9%	12.7%	16.1%	21.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	3	5	13	6	2	6	35
		% within region	1.8%	3.8%	10.8%	3.9%	1.8%	5.4%	4.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	9	3	2	16	7	11	48
		% within region	5.4%	2.3%	1.7%	10.4%	6.4%	9.8%	6.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	109	57	50	75	73	62	426
		% within region	64.9%	43.2%	41.7%	48.7%	66.4%	55.4%	53.5%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	1	0	2	5	10
		% within region	.6%	.8%	.8%	.0%	1.8%	4.5%	1.3%
Total		Count	168	132	120	154	110	112	796
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	0	0	2	7	13	36	51	1	110
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	2.3%	4.4%	10.7%	21.6%	30.4%	9.1%	13.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	1	1	3	31	47	92	65	4	244
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	1.8%	3.4%	19.4%	38.8%	55.1%	38.7%	36.4%	30.9%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	0	7	12	26	22	16	17	3	103
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	12.5%	13.8%	16.3%	18.2%	9.6%	10.1%	27.3%	13.1%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	2	10	32	24	13	19	15	0	115

28

		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	17.9%	36.8%	15.0%	10.7%	11.4%	8.9%	.0%	14.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	16	38	36	56	18	4	7	3	178
		% within Own ideological placement	84.2%	67.9%	41.4%	35.0%	14.9%	2.4%	4.2%	27.3%	22.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	2	16	8	0	13	0	39
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	2.3%	10.0%	6.6%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	4.9%
Total		Count	19	56	87	160	121	167	168	11	789
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	3	6	0	3	13	10	68	0	103
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	6.2%	.0%	4.9%	14.6%	12.0%	33.8%	.0%	14.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	5	19	3	12	46	44	86	0	215
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	19.6%	7.3%	19.7%	51.7%	53.0%	42.8%	.0%	29.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	15	24	3	13	13	12	17	0	97
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.7%	24.7%	7.3%	21.3%	14.6%	14.5%	8.5%	.0%	13.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	30	13	6	21	14	9	15	0	108
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.4%	13.4%	14.6%	34.4%	15.7%	10.8%	7.5%	.0%	14.8%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	100	22	27	12	1	4	8	0	174
		% within 7 point Party ID	64.5%	22.7%	65.9%	19.7%	1.1%	4.8%	4.0%	.0%	23.9%
	Don't know	Count	2	13	2	0	2	4	7	2	32
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	13.4%	4.9%	.0%	2.2%	4.8%	3.5%	100.0%	4.4%
Total		Count	155	97	41	61	89	83	201	2	729
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * age Crosstabulation

			age				
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	10	30	42	30	112
		% within age	9.5%	11.3%	14.2%	22.2%	14.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	20	83	95	47	245
		% within age	19.0%	31.3%	32.2%	34.8%	30.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	16	38	35	15	104
		% within age	15.2%	14.3%	11.9%	11.1%	13.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	17	39	44	15	115
		% within age	16.2%	14.7%	14.9%	11.1%	14.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	23	59	76	27	185

		% within age	21.9%	22.3%	25.8%	20.0%	23.1%
	Don't know	Count	19	16	3	1	39
		% within age	18.1%	6.0%	1.0%	.7%	4.9%
Total		Count	105	265	295	135	800
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	5	57	29	5	10	6	112
		% within Education	22.7%	18.0%	10.6%	12.5%	9.4%	14.0%	14.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	5	84	97	13	36	10	245
		% within Education	22.7%	26.6%	35.4%	32.5%	34.0%	23.3%	30.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	6	42	41	5	5	6	105
		% within Education	27.3%	13.3%	15.0%	12.5%	4.7%	14.0%	13.1%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	2	49	34	4	21	5	115
		% within Education	9.1%	15.5%	12.4%	10.0%	19.8%	11.6%	14.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	0	62	64	12	32	15	185
		% within Education	.0%	19.6%	23.4%	30.0%	30.2%	34.9%	23.1%
	Don't know	Count	4	22	9	1	2	1	39
		% within Education	18.2%	7.0%	3.3%	2.5%	1.9%	2.3%	4.9%
Total		Count	22	316	274	40	106	43	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	18	27	16	35	15	111
		% within Attendance at religious services	16.7%	19.0%	15.0%	15.8%	6.8%	13.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	47	40	38	66	53	244
		% within Attendance at religious services	43.5%	28.2%	35.5%	29.7%	24.2%	30.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	10	23	14	16	41	104
		% within Attendance at religious services	9.3%	16.2%	13.1%	7.2%	18.7%	13.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	16	15	10	34	40	115

		% within Attendance at religious services	14.8%	10.6%	9.3%	15.3%	18.3%	14.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	17	28	23	61	56	185
		% within Attendance at religious services	15.7%	19.7%	21.5%	27.5%	25.6%	23.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	9	6	10	14	39
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	6.3%	5.6%	4.5%	6.4%	4.9%
Total		Count	108	142	107	222	219	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	80	2	22	0	3	2	109
		% within Race	15.6%	2.1%	15.3%	.0%	50.0%	8.0%	13.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	189	12	36	0	2	5	244
		% within Race	36.8%	12.6%	25.0%	.0%	33.3%	20.0%	30.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	54	13	24	7	0	7	105
		% within Race	10.5%	13.7%	16.7%	63.6%	.0%	28.0%	13.2%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	77	19	11	0	1	8	116
		% within Race	15.0%	20.0%	7.6%	.0%	16.7%	32.0%	14.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	97	39	40	4	0	3	183
		% within Race	18.9%	41.1%	27.8%	36.4%	.0%	12.0%	23.0%
	Don't know	Count	17	10	11	0	0	0	38
		% within Race	3.3%	10.5%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8%
Total		Count	514	95	144	11	6	25	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	76	1	9	8	11	7	112
		% within Marital Status	15.7%	10.0%	11.3%	24.2%	7.5%	15.9%	14.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	168	3	17	9	30	17	244
		% within Marital Status	34.8%	30.0%	21.3%	27.3%	20.4%	38.6%	30.6%
	Neither approve nor	Count	52	1	17	3	27	5	105

	disapprove	% within Marital Status	10.8%	10.0%	21.3%	9.1%	18.4%	11.4%	13.2%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	73	4	6	5	23	3	114
		% within Marital Status	15.1%	40.0%	7.5%	15.2%	15.6%	6.8%	14.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	86	1	28	8	48	12	183
		% within Marital Status	17.8%	10.0%	35.0%	24.2%	32.7%	27.3%	23.0%
	Don't know	Count	28	0	3	0	8	0	39
		% within Marital Status	5.8%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	4.9%
Total		Count	483	10	80	33	147	44	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	55	56	111
		% within Gender	14.7%	13.3%	13.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	132	112	244
		% within Gender	35.2%	26.5%	30.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	44	61	105
		% within Gender	11.7%	14.5%	13.2%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	49	65	114
		% within Gender	13.1%	15.4%	14.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	90	94	184
		% within Gender	24.0%	22.3%	23.1%
	Don't know	Count	5	34	39
		% within Gender	1.3%	8.1%	4.9%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	26	63	22	111
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.6%	16.2%	12.0%	13.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	53	115	76	244

					Total
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.6%	29.6%	41.3%	30.6%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count		35	49	21	105
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		15.6%	12.6%	11.4%	13.2%
Disapprove somewhat	Count		35	55	24	114
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		15.6%	14.2%	13.0%	14.3%
Disapprove strongly	Count		56	96	32	184
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		24.9%	24.7%	17.4%	23.1%
Don't know	Count		20	10	9	39
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		8.9%	2.6%	4.9%	4.9%
Total	Count		225	388	184	797
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	20	21	16	11	40	108
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	11.4%	9.8%	24.2%	12.1%	16.2%	13.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	50	60	25	24	85	244
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	28.6%	27.9%	37.9%	26.4%	34.4%	30.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	21	27	5	17	33	103
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	12.0%	12.6%	7.6%	18.7%	13.4%	13.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	33	40	8	10	24	115
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	18.9%	18.6%	12.1%	11.0%	9.7%	14.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	46	63	10	27	39	185

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.3%	29.3%	15.2%	29.7%	15.8%	23.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	4	2	2	26	39
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	2.9%	1.9%	3.0%	2.2%	10.5%	4.9%
Total		Count	175	215	66	91	247	794
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry approval rating * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Rick Perry approval rating	Approve strongly	Count	33	8	12	16	17	24	110
		% within region	19.5%	6.1%	10.2%	10.4%	15.7%	21.1%	13.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	71	27	27	42	40	36	243
		% within region	42.0%	20.5%	22.9%	27.3%	37.0%	31.6%	30.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	13	21	15	33	6	18	106
		% within region	7.7%	15.9%	12.7%	21.4%	5.6%	15.8%	13.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	26	25	17	18	12	113
		% within region	8.9%	19.7%	21.2%	11.0%	16.7%	10.5%	14.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	28	48	34	42	16	16	184
		% within region	16.6%	36.4%	28.8%	27.3%	14.8%	14.0%	23.1%
	Don't know	Count	9	2	5	4	11	8	39
		% within region	5.3%	1.5%	4.2%	2.6%	10.2%	7.0%	4.9%
Total		Count	169	132	118	154	108	114	795
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	12	29	39	76	19	7	6	3	191
		% within Own ideological placement	63.2%	50.9%	44.3%	48.1%	15.6%	4.2%	3.5%	27.3%	24.1%
	Wrong track	Count	5	12	26	67	86	155	156	3	510
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	21.1%	29.5%	42.4%	70.5%	92.8%	91.8%	27.3%	64.4%

										Total
	Don't know	Count	2	16	23	15	17	5	8	5	91
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	28.1%	26.1%	9.5%	13.9%	3.0%	4.7%	45.5%	11.5%
Total		Count	19	57	88	158	122	167	170	11	792
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	103	44	26	11	0	3	5	0	192
		% within 7 point Party ID	65.6%	44.9%	60.5%	18.0%	.0%	3.6%	2.5%	.0%	26.2%
	Wrong track	Count	32	30	7	41	87	71	193	2	463
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.4%	30.6%	16.3%	67.2%	100.0%	84.5%	95.5%	100.0%	63.1%
	Don't know	Count	22	24	10	9	0	10	4	0	79
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.0%	24.5%	23.3%	14.8%	.0%	11.9%	2.0%	.0%	10.8%
Total		Count	157	98	43	61	87	84	202	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	32	63	74	28	197
		% within age	30.5%	23.9%	25.0%	21.1%	24.7%
	Wrong track	Count	47	169	194	101	511
		% within age	44.8%	64.0%	65.5%	75.9%	64.0%
	Don't know	Count	26	32	28	4	90
		% within age	24.8%	12.1%	9.5%	3.0%	11.3%
Total		Count	105	264	296	133	798
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Education Crosstabulation

	Education	Total

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	2	66	71	6	38	14	197
		% within Education	9.1%	21.0%	26.1%	15.0%	35.5%	33.3%	24.7%
	Wrong track	Count	16	205	174	30	59	26	510
		% within Education	72.7%	65.1%	64.0%	75.0%	55.1%	61.9%	63.9%
	Don't know	Count	4	44	27	4	10	2	91
		% within Education	18.2%	14.0%	9.9%	10.0%	9.3%	4.8%	11.4%
Total		Count	22	315	272	40	107	42	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	20	27	30	62	57	196
		% within Attendance at religious services	18.3%	19.1%	27.8%	27.9%	26.3%	24.6%
	Wrong track	Count	85	101	60	141	123	510
		% within Attendance at religious services	78.0%	71.6%	55.6%	63.5%	56.7%	64.0%
	Don't know	Count	4	13	18	19	37	91
		% within Attendance at religious services	3.7%	9.2%	16.7%	8.6%	17.1%	11.4%
Total		Count	109	141	108	222	217	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	87	55	44	3	0	7	196
		% within Race	16.9%	57.3%	30.6%	27.3%	.0%	28.0%	24.7%
	Wrong track	Count	381	23	76	6	5	17	508
		% within Race	74.1%	24.0%	52.8%	54.5%	100.0%	68.0%	63.9%

Don't know	Count	46	18	24	2	0	1	91
	% within Race	8.9%	18.8%	16.7%	18.2%	.0%	4.0%	11.4%
Total	Count	514	96	144	11	5	25	795
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	94	2	26	11	47	13	193
		% within Marital Status	19.6%	22.2%	32.5%	33.3%	32.0%	29.5%	24.3%
	Wrong track	Count	342	6	43	21	70	27	509
		% within Marital Status	71.3%	66.7%	53.8%	63.6%	47.6%	61.4%	64.2%
	Don't know	Count	44	1	11	1	30	4	91
		% within Marital Status	9.2%	11.1%	13.8%	3.0%	20.4%	9.1%	11.5%
Total		Count	480	9	80	33	147	44	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	78	117	195
		% within Gender	21.0%	27.7%	24.5%
	Wrong track	Count	267	243	510
		% within Gender	71.8%	57.4%	64.2%
	Don't know	Count	27	63	90
		% within Gender	7.3%	14.9%	11.3%
Total		Count	372	423	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	63	101	32	196

37

		% within Type of community respondent lives in	27.9%	26.0%	17.5%	24.6%
Wrong track	Count	125	256	130	511
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	55.3%	66.0%	71.0%	64.1%
Don't know	Count	38	31	21	90
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	16.8%	8.0%	11.5%	11.3%
Total	Count	226	388	183	797
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	46	67	14	22	48	197
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.4%	31.0%	21.9%	24.2%	19.4%	24.8%
	Wrong track	Count	99	127	48	55	178	507
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	56.9%	58.8%	75.0%	60.4%	71.8%	63.9%
	Don't know	Count	29	22	2	14	22	89
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	16.7%	10.2%	3.1%	15.4%	8.9%	11.2%
Total		Count	174	216	64	91	248	793
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of country * region Crosstabulation

	region	Total

			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Direction of country	Right direction	Count	35	50	37	35	14	25	196
		% within region	20.8%	37.6%	31.4%	22.7%	12.7%	21.9%	24.6%
	Wrong track	Count	115	71	61	100	84	79	510
		% within region	68.5%	53.4%	51.7%	64.9%	76.4%	69.3%	64.0%
	Don't know	Count	18	12	20	19	12	10	91
		% within region	10.7%	9.0%	16.9%	12.3%	10.9%	8.8%	11.4%
Total		Count	168	133	118	154	110	114	797
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	0	4	15	52	55	121	111	3	361
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	7.0%	17.2%	32.3%	45.1%	72.0%	65.7%	30.0%	45.5%
	Wrong track	Count	16	42	53	79	42	26	29	2	289
		% within Own ideological placement	84.2%	73.7%	60.9%	49.1%	34.4%	15.5%	17.2%	20.0%	36.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	11	19	30	25	21	29	5	143
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	19.3%	21.8%	18.6%	20.5%	12.5%	17.2%	50.0%	18.0%
Total		Count	19	57	87	161	122	168	169	10	793
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	24	27	4	21	64	49	141	0	330
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.4%	27.8%	9.5%	34.4%	71.9%	57.6%	70.5%	.0%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	107	43	30	29	18	18	29	2	276
		% within 7 point Party ID	68.6%	44.3%	71.4%	47.5%	20.2%	21.2%	14.5%	100.0%	37.7%
	Don't know	Count	25	27	8	11	7	18	30	0	126
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.0%	27.8%	19.0%	18.0%	7.9%	21.2%	15.0%	.0%	17.2%
Total		Count	156	97	42	61	89	85	200	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * age Crosstabulation

			age				
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	33	110	144	74	361
		% within age	31.1%	41.7%	48.8%	55.2%	45.2%
	Wrong track	Count	39	103	110	43	295
		% within age	36.8%	39.0%	37.3%	32.1%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	34	51	41	17	143
		% within age	32.1%	19.3%	13.9%	12.7%	17.9%
Total		Count	106	264	295	134	799
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	6	147	122	16	53	18	362
		% within Education	27.3%	46.7%	44.5%	40.0%	49.5%	42.9%	45.3%
	Wrong track	Count	8	101	104	20	43	19	295
		% within Education	36.4%	32.1%	38.0%	50.0%	40.2%	45.2%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	8	67	48	4	11	5	143
		% within Education	36.4%	21.3%	17.5%	10.0%	10.3%	11.9%	17.9%
Total		Count	22	315	274	40	107	42	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	62	67	44	106	83	362
		% within Attendance at religious services	56.9%	47.2%	40.7%	47.7%	38.1%	45.3%
	Wrong track	Count	33	51	38	81	91	294
		% within Attendance at religious services	30.3%	35.9%	35.2%	36.5%	41.7%	36.8%

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Don't know		Count	14	24	26	35	44		143
		% within Attendance at religious services	12.8%	16.9%	24.1%	15.8%	20.2%		17.9%
Total		Count	109	142	108	222	218		799
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Direction of Texas * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	261	20	68	0	3	7	359
		% within Race	50.7%	20.8%	47.2%	.0%	60.0%	29.2%	45.2%
	Wrong track	Count	164	57	51	9	1	11	293
		% within Race	31.8%	59.4%	35.4%	81.8%	20.0%	45.8%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	90	19	25	2	1	6	143
		% within Race	17.5%	19.8%	17.4%	18.2%	20.0%	25.0%	18.0%
Total		Count	515	96	144	11	5	24	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	244	4	29	16	47	22	362
		% within Marital Status	50.7%	40.0%	35.8%	47.1%	31.5%	50.0%	45.3%
	Wrong track	Count	154	4	34	12	74	15	293
		% within Marital Status	32.0%	40.0%	42.0%	35.3%	49.7%	34.1%	36.7%
	Don't know	Count	83	2	18	6	28	7	144
		% within Marital Status	17.3%	20.0%	22.2%	17.6%	18.8%	15.9%	18.0%
Total		Count	481	10	81	34	149	44	799
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Gender Crosstabulation

41

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	184	175	359
		% within Gender	49.2%	41.5%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	145	149	294
		% within Gender	38.8%	35.3%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	45	98	143
		% within Gender	12.0%	23.2%	18.0%
Total		Count	374	422	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	88	186	87	361
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	39.1%	47.8%	47.3%	45.2%
	Wrong track	Count	87	147	60	294
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	38.7%	37.8%	32.6%	36.8%
	Don't know	Count	50	56	37	143
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	22.2%	14.4%	20.1%	17.9%
Total		Count	225	389	184	798
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	73	89	39	39	118	358
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	41.7%	41.2%	60.9%	42.9%	47.8%	45.1%

			75	92	20	39	69	295
	Wrong track	Count	75	92	20	39	69	295
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	42.9%	42.6%	31.3%	42.9%	27.9%	37.2%
	Don't know	Count	27	35	5	13	60	140
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	15.4%	16.2%	7.8%	14.3%	24.3%	17.7%
Total		Count	175	216	64	91	247	793
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Direction of Texas * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Direction of Texas	Right direction	Count	95	48	45	64	52	55	359
		% within region	56.9%	36.4%	37.5%	41.6%	47.3%	48.2%	45.0%
	Wrong track	Count	48	67	55	57	32	35	294
		% within region	28.7%	50.8%	45.8%	37.0%	29.1%	30.7%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	24	17	20	33	26	24	144
		% within region	14.4%	12.9%	16.7%	21.4%	23.6%	21.1%	18.1%
Total		Count	167	132	120	154	110	114	797
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	4	1	4	5	0	1	2	0	17
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	1.8%	4.5%	3.1%	.0%	.6%	1.2%	.0%	2.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	6	28	29	42	29	9	8	0	151
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	49.1%	33.0%	25.9%	23.8%	5.3%	4.7%	.0%	18.9%
	About the same	Count	5	15	23	60	19	26	15	1	164
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	26.3%	26.1%	37.0%	15.6%	15.4%	8.9%	9.1%	20.6%

43

Somewhat worse off	Count	3	10	24	30	32	51	31	4	185
	% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	17.5%	27.3%	18.5%	26.2%	30.2%	18.3%	36.4%	23.2%
A lot worse off	Count	1	2	3	25	35	80	112	3	261
	% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	3.5%	3.4%	15.4%	28.7%	47.3%	66.3%	27.3%	32.7%
Don't know	Count	0	1	5	0	7	2	1	3	19
	% within Own ideological placement	.0%	1.8%	5.7%	.0%	5.7%	1.2%	.6%	27.3%	2.4%
Total	Count	19	57	88	162	122	169	169	11	797
	% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	17	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	22
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	1.0%	2.3%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	70	29	19	12	4	11	2	0	147
		% within 7 point Party ID	44.6%	29.6%	44.2%	19.7%	4.5%	12.9%	1.0%	.0%	19.9%
	About the same	Count	38	32	16	12	15	15	24	0	152
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.2%	32.7%	37.2%	19.7%	17.0%	17.6%	11.8%	.0%	20.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	18	26	5	16	24	29	49	0	167
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.5%	26.5%	11.6%	26.2%	27.3%	34.1%	24.1%	.0%	22.7%
	A lot worse off	Count	8	8	2	20	45	26	124	2	235
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	8.2%	4.7%	32.8%	51.1%	30.6%	61.1%	100.0%	31.9%
	Don't know	Count	6	2	0	0	0	4	2	0	14
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.0%	.0%	1.9%
Total		Count	157	98	43	61	88	85	203	2	737
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	3	8	5	6	22
		% within age	2.8%	3.0%	1.7%	4.5%	2.8%
	Somewhat better off	Count	24	57	50	20	151
		% within age	22.6%	21.5%	16.9%	15.0%	18.9%
	About the same	Count	38	71	41	12	162
		% within age	35.8%	26.8%	13.9%	9.0%	20.3%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	16	63	79	27	185
		% within age	15.1%	23.8%	26.7%	20.3%	23.1%

							Total
A lot worse off	Count		11	62	119	68	260
	% within age		10.4%	23.4%	40.2%	51.1%	32.5%
Don't know	Count		14	4	2	0	20
	% within age		13.2%	1.5%	.7%	.0%	2.5%
Total	Count		106	265	296	133	800
	% within age		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	0	10	5	1	4	0	20
		% within Education	.0%	3.2%	1.8%	2.5%	3.8%	.0%	2.5%
	Somewhat better off	Count	1	44	61	5	26	14	151
		% within Education	4.3%	13.9%	22.3%	12.5%	24.5%	33.3%	18.9%
	About the same	Count	3	69	45	6	31	9	163
		% within Education	13.0%	21.8%	16.5%	15.0%	29.2%	21.4%	20.4%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	5	76	67	11	18	8	185
		% within Education	21.7%	24.1%	24.5%	27.5%	17.0%	19.0%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	10	109	88	16	27	11	261
		% within Education	43.5%	34.5%	32.2%	40.0%	25.5%	26.2%	32.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	8	7	1	0	0	20
		% within Education	17.4%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
Total		Count	23	316	273	40	106	42	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	5	1	6	4	5	21
		% within Attendance at religious services	4.6%	.7%	5.6%	1.8%	2.3%	2.6%
	Somewhat better off	Count	12	33	26	42	37	150
		% within Attendance at religious services	11.1%	23.1%	24.3%	18.9%	16.9%	18.8%
	About the same	Count	15	19	13	51	64	162
		% within Attendance at religious services	13.9%	13.3%	12.1%	23.0%	29.2%	20.3%

	Somewhat worse off	Count	24	37	29	46	49	185
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.2%	25.9%	27.1%	20.7%	22.4%	23.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	50	53	28	73	57	261
		% within Attendance at religious services	46.3%	37.1%	26.2%	32.9%	26.0%	32.7%
	Don't know	Count	2	0	5	6	7	20
		% within Attendance at religious services	1.9%	.0%	4.7%	2.7%	3.2%	2.5%
Total		Count	108	143	107	222	219	799
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	11	10	0	0	0	0	21
		% within Race	2.1%	10.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Somewhat better off	Count	76	40	30	3	0	3	152
		% within Race	14.7%	41.7%	21.0%	27.3%	.0%	12.5%	19.1%
	About the same	Count	89	28	30	7	0	8	162
		% within Race	17.2%	29.2%	21.0%	63.6%	.0%	33.3%	20.3%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	127	14	35	1	1	4	182
		% within Race	24.6%	14.6%	24.5%	9.1%	16.7%	16.7%	22.8%
	A lot worse off	Count	205	2	39	0	5	9	260
		% within Race	39.7%	2.1%	27.3%	.0%	83.3%	37.5%	32.6%
	Don't know	Count	9	2	9	0	0	0	20
		% within Race	1.7%	2.1%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
Total		Count	517	96	143	11	6	24	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	12	0	3	1	4	2	22
		% within Marital Status	2.5%	.0%	3.8%	3.0%	2.7%	4.5%	2.8%
	Somewhat better off	Count	77	1	20	7	39	8	152
		% within Marital Status	15.9%	10.0%	25.0%	21.2%	26.2%	18.2%	19.0%

									Total
About the same	Count	83	4	12	4	46	11		160
	% within Marital Status	17.1%	40.0%	15.0%	12.1%	30.9%	25.0%		20.0%
Somewhat worse off	Count	118	3	18	6	30	10		185
	% within Marital Status	24.4%	30.0%	22.5%	18.2%	20.1%	22.7%		23.1%
A lot worse off	Count	182	1	25	15	25	13		261
	% within Marital Status	37.6%	10.0%	31.3%	45.5%	16.8%	29.5%		32.6%
Don't know	Count	12	1	2	0	5	0		20
	% within Marital Status	2.5%	10.0%	2.5%	.0%	3.4%	.0%		2.5%
Total	Count	484	10	80	33	149	44		800
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	11	10	21
		% within Gender	2.9%	2.4%	2.6%
	Somewhat better off	Count	72	78	150
		% within Gender	19.3%	18.5%	18.8%
	About the same	Count	62	99	161
		% within Gender	16.6%	23.5%	20.2%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	92	92	184
		% within Gender	24.6%	21.8%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	136	124	260
		% within Gender	36.4%	29.4%	32.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	19	20
		% within Gender	.3%	4.5%	2.5%
Total		Count	374	422	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	3	10	9	22
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	1.3%	2.6%	4.9%	2.7%
	Somewhat better off	Count	53	75	23	151

		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.3%	19.3%	12.4%	18.9%
	About the same	Count	55	83	24	162
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.2%	21.3%	13.0%	20.2%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	57	86	42	185
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	25.1%	22.1%	22.7%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	55	126	80	261
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.2%	32.4%	43.2%	32.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	9	7	20
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	1.8%	2.3%	3.8%	2.5%
Total		Count	227	389	185	801
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	10	6	2	0	4	22
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	5.7%	2.8%	3.1%	.0%	1.6%	2.8%
	Somewhat better off	Count	43	43	11	24	29	150
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	24.6%	20.0%	17.2%	26.7%	11.7%	18.9%
	About the same	Count	37	56	13	13	42	161
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.1%	26.0%	20.3%	14.4%	16.9%	20.3%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	36	46	17	25	60	184
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	20.6%	21.4%	26.6%	27.8%	24.2%	23.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	46	63	21	25	102	257

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.3%	29.3%	32.8%	27.8%	41.1%	32.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	1	0	3	11	18
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.7%	.5%	.0%	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Total		Count	175	215	64	90	248	792
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
State of U.S. economy compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	7	2	8	1	4	0	22
		% within region	4.2%	1.5%	6.6%	.6%	3.6%	.0%	2.8%
	Somewhat better off	Count	17	31	34	34	14	19	149
		% within region	10.1%	23.5%	28.1%	22.1%	12.7%	16.5%	18.6%
	About the same	Count	32	40	29	26	13	23	163
		% within region	19.0%	30.3%	24.0%	16.9%	11.8%	20.0%	20.4%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	43	22	26	37	25	32	185
		% within region	25.6%	16.7%	21.5%	24.0%	22.7%	27.8%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	66	37	23	51	47	37	261
		% within region	39.3%	28.0%	19.0%	33.1%	42.7%	32.2%	32.6%
	Don't know	Count	3	0	1	5	7	4	20
		% within region	1.8%	.0%	.8%	3.2%	6.4%	3.5%	2.5%
Total		Count	168	132	121	154	110	115	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	5	23	23	61	52	79	71	1	315
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	41.1%	26.4%	38.1%	42.6%	47.0%	42.0%	9.1%	39.8%
	Worse	Count	11	22	42	68	44	56	68	2	313
		% within Own ideological placement	57.9%	39.3%	48.3%	42.5%	36.1%	33.3%	40.2%	18.2%	39.5%
	Don't know	Count	3	11	22	31	26	33	30	8	164

		15.8%	19.6%	25.3%	19.4%	21.3%	19.6%	17.8%	72.7%	20.7%
% within Own ideological placement										
Total	Count	19	56	87	160	122	168	169	11	792
	% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	54	35	14	22	41	37	96	0	299
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.4%	36.1%	32.6%	35.5%	46.1%	44.0%	47.8%	.0%	40.7%
	Worse	Count	70	38	22	29	31	30	68	2	290
		% within 7 point Party ID	44.6%	39.2%	51.2%	46.8%	34.8%	35.7%	33.8%	100.0%	39.5%
	Don't know	Count	33	24	7	11	17	17	37	0	146
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.0%	24.7%	16.3%	17.7%	19.1%	20.2%	18.4%	.0%	19.9%
Total		Count	157	97	43	62	89	84	201	2	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	49	102	101	64	316
		% within age	46.2%	38.5%	34.1%	48.1%	39.5%
	Worse	Count	31	96	145	46	318
		% within age	29.2%	36.2%	49.0%	34.6%	39.8%
	Don't know	Count	26	67	50	23	166
		% within age	24.5%	25.3%	16.9%	17.3%	20.8%
Total		Count	106	265	296	133	800
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Education Crosstabulation

	Education	Total

50

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	9	127	100	14	45	21	316
		% within Education	39.1%	40.3%	36.6%	35.0%	42.5%	50.0%	39.5%
	Worse	Count	8	124	115	20	37	14	318
		% within Education	34.8%	39.4%	42.1%	50.0%	34.9%	33.3%	39.8%
	Don't know	Count	6	64	58	6	24	7	165
		% within Education	26.1%	20.3%	21.2%	15.0%	22.6%	16.7%	20.7%
Total		Count	23	315	273	40	106	42	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	42	59	41	104	69	315
		% within Attendance at religious services	38.9%	41.8%	38.0%	46.8%	31.5%	39.5%
	Worse	Count	44	59	40	78	98	319
		% within Attendance at religious services	40.7%	41.8%	37.0%	35.1%	44.7%	40.0%
	Don't know	Count	22	23	27	40	52	164
		% within Attendance at religious services	20.4%	16.3%	25.0%	18.0%	23.7%	20.6%
Total		Count	108	141	108	222	219	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	211	22	66	3	2	9	313
		% within Race	41.0%	23.2%	46.2%	27.3%	40.0%	37.5%	39.5%
	Worse	Count	198	51	52	4	2	9	316
		% within Race	38.4%	53.7%	36.4%	36.4%	40.0%	37.5%	39.8%

			106	22	25	4	1	6	164
Don't know	Count		106	22	25	4	1	6	164
	% within Race		20.6%	23.2%	17.5%	36.4%	20.0%	25.0%	20.7%
Total	Count		515	95	143	11	5	24	793
	% within Race		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	204	4	21	12	56	19	316
		% within Marital Status	42.3%	40.0%	26.3%	36.4%	37.8%	44.2%	39.7%
	Worse	Count	178	4	40	15	61	17	315
		% within Marital Status	36.9%	40.0%	50.0%	45.5%	41.2%	39.5%	39.6%
	Don't know	Count	100	2	19	6	31	7	165
		% within Marital Status	20.7%	20.0%	23.8%	18.2%	20.9%	16.3%	20.7%
Total		Count	482	10	80	33	148	43	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	176	139	315
		% within Gender	46.9%	32.9%	39.5%
	Worse	Count	141	177	318
		% within Gender	37.6%	41.9%	39.9%
	Don't know	Count	58	106	164
		% within Gender	15.5%	25.1%	20.6%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	95	161	61	317

			41.9%	41.4%	33.2%	39.6%
	Worse	Count	83	154	81	318
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	36.6%	39.6%	44.0%	39.8%
	Don't know	Count	49	74	42	165
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.6%	19.0%	22.8%	20.6%
Total		Count	227	389	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	73	88	31	41	81	314
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	41.5%	40.9%	47.7%	45.1%	32.7%	39.5%
	Worse	Count	64	86	20	39	108	317
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	36.4%	40.0%	30.8%	42.9%	43.5%	39.9%
	Don't know	Count	39	41	14	11	59	164
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	22.2%	19.1%	21.5%	12.1%	23.8%	20.6%
Total		Count	176	215	65	91	248	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Trend of Texas economy * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Trend of Texas economy	Better	Count	64	58	50	57	43	43	315
		% within region	38.1%	43.6%	41.7%	37.3%	38.7%	37.4%	39.4%
	Worse	Count	68	54	42	69	41	44	318
		% within region	40.5%	40.6%	35.0%	45.1%	36.9%	38.3%	39.8%

Don't know	Count	36	21	28	27	27	28	167
	% within region	21.4%	15.8%	23.3%	17.6%	24.3%	24.3%	20.9%
Total	Count	168	133	120	153	111	115	800
	% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	3	1	6	5	3	4	4	0	26
		% within Own ideological placement	15.0%	1.8%	6.9%	3.1%	2.5%	2.4%	2.4%	.0%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	1	13	15	27	23	20	27	0	126
		% within Own ideological placement	5.0%	23.2%	17.2%	16.9%	18.9%	11.9%	15.9%	.0%	15.9%
	About the same	Count	7	26	35	66	44	66	52	4	300
		% within Own ideological placement	35.0%	46.4%	40.2%	41.3%	36.1%	39.3%	30.6%	36.4%	37.8%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	6	13	22	41	32	50	48	0	212
		% within Own ideological placement	30.0%	23.2%	25.3%	25.6%	26.2%	29.8%	28.2%	.0%	26.7%
	A lot worse off	Count	2	2	9	18	16	26	38	4	115
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	3.6%	10.3%	11.3%	13.1%	15.5%	22.4%	36.4%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	0	3	4	2	1	3	15
		% within Own ideological placement	5.0%	1.8%	.0%	1.9%	3.3%	1.2%	.6%	27.3%	1.9%
Total		Count	20	56	87	160	122	168	170	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	6	4	1	2	3	2	8	0	26
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	4.1%	2.3%	3.2%	3.4%	2.3%	4.0%	.0%	3.5%
	Somewhat better off	Count	35	21	11	8	13	9	24	0	121
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.4%	21.4%	25.6%	12.9%	14.8%	10.5%	11.9%	.0%	16.4%
	About the same	Count	65	34	22	16	29	27	86	0	279
		% within 7 point Party ID	41.7%	34.7%	51.2%	25.8%	33.0%	31.4%	42.6%	.0%	37.9%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	33	25	5	27	33	34	42	0	199
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.2%	25.5%	11.6%	43.5%	37.5%	39.5%	20.8%	.0%	27.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	12	11	4	9	10	12	41	2	101
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.7%	11.2%	9.3%	14.5%	11.4%	14.0%	20.3%	100.0%	13.7%

Don't know	Count	5	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	11
	% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.5%	.0%	1.5%
Total	Count	156	98	43	62	88	86	202	2	737
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	4	14	6	2	26
		% within age	3.8%	5.3%	2.0%	1.5%	3.2%
	Somewhat better off	Count	23	44	47	16	130
		% within age	21.7%	16.7%	15.9%	11.9%	16.2%
	About the same	Count	37	105	102	57	301
		% within age	34.9%	39.8%	34.5%	42.2%	37.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	19	62	88	44	213
		% within age	17.9%	23.5%	29.7%	32.6%	26.6%
	A lot worse off	Count	13	34	52	16	115
		% within age	12.3%	12.9%	17.6%	11.9%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	10	5	1	0	16
		% within age	9.4%	1.9%	.3%	.0%	2.0%
Total		Count	106	264	296	135	801
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	0	8	8	3	5	2	26
		% within Education	.0%	2.5%	2.9%	7.3%	4.7%	4.8%	3.2%
	Somewhat better off	Count	1	58	36	4	22	9	130
		% within Education	4.3%	18.3%	13.2%	9.8%	20.8%	21.4%	16.2%
	About the same	Count	9	117	97	14	46	18	301
		% within Education	39.1%	36.9%	35.7%	34.1%	43.4%	42.9%	37.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	6	83	83	6	24	10	212
		% within Education	26.1%	26.2%	30.5%	14.6%	22.6%	23.8%	26.5%
	A lot worse off	Count	3	46	42	14	8	3	116
		% within Education	13.0%	14.5%	15.4%	34.1%	7.5%	7.1%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	4	5	6	0	1	0	16

		% within Education	17.4%	1.6%	2.2%	.0%	.9%	.0%	2.0%
Total		Count	23	317	272	41	106	42	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	6	4	4	5	7	26
		% within Attendance at religious services	5.5%	2.8%	3.7%	2.3%	3.2%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	13	26	21	41	29	130
		% within Attendance at religious services	11.9%	18.3%	19.4%	18.6%	13.2%	16.3%
	About the same	Count	33	51	39	90	88	301
		% within Attendance at religious services	30.3%	35.9%	36.1%	40.7%	40.2%	37.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	34	32	28	57	60	211
		% within Attendance at religious services	31.2%	22.5%	25.9%	25.8%	27.4%	26.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	21	26	11	28	29	115
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.3%	18.3%	10.2%	12.7%	13.2%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	2	3	5	0	6	16
		% within Attendance at religious services	1.8%	2.1%	4.6%	.0%	2.7%	2.0%
Total		Count	109	142	108	221	219	799
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Race Crosstabulation

			Race					
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	23	1	1	0	0	0	25
		% within Race	4.5%	1.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	67	36	23	1	0	3	130
		% within Race	13.0%	37.1%	16.0%	10.0%	.0%	12.5%	16.3%
	About the same	Count	190	35	60	5	1	7	298
		% within Race	36.8%	36.1%	41.7%	50.0%	20.0%	29.2%	37.4%

									Total
Somewhat worse off	Count		157	18	27	2	1	7	212
	% within Race		30.4%	18.6%	18.8%	20.0%	20.0%	29.2%	26.6%
A lot worse off	Count		74	4	27	0	3	7	115
	% within Race		14.3%	4.1%	18.8%	.0%	60.0%	29.2%	14.4%
Don't know	Count		5	3	6	2	0	0	16
	% within Race		1.0%	3.1%	4.2%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
Total	Count		516	97	144	10	5	24	796
	% within Race		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	16	0	2	1	3	4	26
		% within Marital Status	3.3%	.0%	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%	9.1%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	81	1	13	1	25	9	130
		% within Marital Status	16.8%	10.0%	16.3%	3.0%	16.9%	20.5%	16.3%
	About the same	Count	186	5	27	16	49	16	299
		% within Marital Status	38.5%	50.0%	33.8%	48.5%	33.1%	36.4%	37.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	127	4	25	9	41	7	213
		% within Marital Status	26.3%	40.0%	31.3%	27.3%	27.7%	15.9%	26.7%
	A lot worse off	Count	67	0	11	6	23	8	115
		% within Marital Status	13.9%	.0%	13.8%	18.2%	15.5%	18.2%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	2	0	7	0	15
		% within Marital Status	1.2%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	1.9%
Total		Count	483	10	80	33	148	44	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	9	17	26
		% within Gender	2.4%	4.0%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	65	63	128
		% within Gender	17.3%	14.9%	16.0%
	About the same	Count	149	151	300
		% within Gender	39.7%	35.7%	37.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	99	114	213

		% within Gender	26.4%	27.0%	26.7%
	A lot worse off	Count	53	62	115
		% within Gender	14.1%	14.7%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	16	16
		% within Gender	.0%	3.8%	2.0%
Total		Count	375	423	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	6	16	4	26
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	2.7%	4.1%	2.2%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	53	50	27	130
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.5%	12.8%	14.7%	16.3%
	About the same	Count	76	153	71	300
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	33.6%	39.2%	38.6%	37.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	54	105	54	213
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.9%	26.9%	29.3%	26.6%
	A lot worse off	Count	34	57	25	116
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	15.0%	14.6%	13.6%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	3	9	3	15
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	1.3%	2.3%	1.6%	1.9%
Total		Count	226	390	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

	Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	Total

Family finances compared to one year ago			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	12	8	2	2	2	26
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	6.9%	3.7%	3.0%	2.2%	.8%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	41	32	13	12	32	130
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.4%	14.9%	19.7%	13.3%	12.9%	16.4%
	About the same	Count	59	86	28	33	91	297
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	33.7%	40.0%	42.4%	36.7%	36.7%	37.4%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	37	62	9	32	72	212
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.1%	28.8%	13.6%	35.6%	29.0%	26.7%
	A lot worse off	Count	23	27	12	10	43	115
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	13.1%	12.6%	18.2%	11.1%	17.3%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	3	0	2	1	8	14
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.7%	.0%	3.0%	1.1%	3.2%	1.8%
Total		Count	175	215	66	90	248	794
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Family finances compared to one year ago * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Family finances compared to one year ago	A lot better off	Count	8	4	7	4	3	0	26
		% within region	4.8%	3.0%	5.9%	2.6%	2.8%	.0%	3.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	34	12	34	21	13	16	130
		% within region	20.2%	9.1%	28.8%	13.6%	11.9%	14.0%	16.4%
	About the same	Count	54	60	39	59	41	46	299
		% within region	32.1%	45.5%	33.1%	38.3%	37.6%	40.4%	37.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	44	40	23	50	29	25	211
		% within region	26.2%	30.3%	19.5%	32.5%	26.6%	21.9%	26.5%

A lot worse off	Count	27	16	12	17	21	21	114
	% within region	16.1%	12.1%	10.2%	11.0%	19.3%	18.4%	14.3%
Don't know	Count	1	0	3	3	2	6	15
	% within region	.6%	.0%	2.5%	1.9%	1.8%	5.3%	1.9%
Total	Count	168	132	118	154	109	114	795
	% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	1	2	6	32	67	146	146	2	402
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	3.5%	6.9%	19.9%	54.9%	88.0%	86.4%	18.2%	50.8%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	18	53	79	99	36	8	16	5	314
		% within Own ideological placement	94.7%	93.0%	90.8%	61.5%	29.5%	4.8%	9.5%	45.5%	39.6%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	0	1	2	24	15	10	6	2	60
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	1.8%	2.3%	14.9%	12.3%	6.0%	3.6%	18.2%	7.6%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	0	1	0	6	3	1	0	2	13
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	1.8%	.0%	3.7%	2.5%	.6%	.0%	18.2%	1.6%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.6%	.6%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	19	57	87	161	122	166	169	11	792
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	3	18	1	22	71	65	187	0	367
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	18.4%	2.4%	34.9%	79.8%	77.4%	93.0%	.0%	49.9%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	151	76	35	20	5	10	10	0	307
		% within 7 point Party ID	96.8%	77.6%	83.3%	31.7%	5.6%	11.9%	5.0%	.0%	41.8%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	2	4	5	17	12	4	2	2	48
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	4.1%	11.9%	27.0%	13.5%	4.8%	1.0%	100.0%	6.5%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	0	0	1	3	1	5	1	0	11
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	2.4%	4.8%	1.1%	6.0%	.5%	.0%	1.5%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	156	98	42	63	89	84	201	2	735

Governor - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	3	18	1	22	71	65	187	0	367
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	18.4%	2.4%	34.9%	79.8%	77.4%	93.0%	.0%	49.9%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	151	76	35	20	5	10	10	0	307
		% within 7 point Party ID	96.8%	77.6%	83.3%	31.7%	5.6%	11.9%	5.0%	.0%	41.8%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	2	4	5	17	12	4	2	2	48
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	4.1%	11.9%	27.0%	13.5%	4.8%	1.0%	100.0%	6.5%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	0	0	1	3	1	5	1	0	11
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	2.4%	4.8%	1.1%	6.0%	.5%	.0%	1.5%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	156	98	42	63	89	84	201	2	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	39	123	156	82	400
		% within age	37.1%	46.6%	52.7%	62.6%	50.3%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	45	115	117	42	319
		% within age	42.9%	43.6%	39.5%	32.1%	40.1%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	18	22	15	5	60
		% within age	17.1%	8.3%	5.1%	3.8%	7.5%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	3	4	6	1	14
		% within age	2.9%	1.5%	2.0%	.8%	1.8%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	2	1	3
		% within age	.0%	.0%	.7%	.8%	.4%
Total		Count	105	264	296	131	796
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	15	162	145	17	47	16	402
		% within Education	68.2%	51.4%	53.1%	42.5%	43.5%	38.1%	50.2%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	6	123	105	15	49	22	320
		% within Education	27.3%	39.0%	38.5%	37.5%	45.4%	52.4%	40.0%

									Total
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	0	22	17	8	10	3	60
		% within Education	.0%	7.0%	6.2%	20.0%	9.3%	7.1%	7.5%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	1	6	6	0	1	1	15
		% within Education	4.5%	1.9%	2.2%	.0%	.9%	2.4%	1.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
		% within Education	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	22	315	273	40	108	42	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	81	78	55	112	75	401
		% within Attendance at religious services	74.3%	55.7%	51.4%	50.5%	34.2%	50.3%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	24	52	43	91	109	319
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.0%	37.1%	40.2%	41.0%	49.8%	40.0%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	3	7	9	16	26	61
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	5.0%	8.4%	7.2%	11.9%	7.7%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	0	3	0	2	9	14
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.9%	4.1%	1.8%
	Undecided	Count	1	0	0	1	0	2
		% within Attendance at religious services	.9%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.3%
Total		Count	109	140	107	222	219	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	311	8	65	1	5	9	399
		% within Race	60.6%	8.3%	45.5%	9.1%	83.3%	36.0%	50.3%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	152	78	68	8	1	12	319
		% within Race	29.6%	81.3%	47.6%	72.7%	16.7%	48.0%	40.2%

	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	41	8	7	1	0	3	60
		% within Race	8.0%	8.3%	4.9%	9.1%	.0%	12.0%	7.6%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	8	2	3	1	0	0	14
		% within Race	1.6%	2.1%	2.1%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	Undecided	Count	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
		% within Race	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	.3%
Total		Count	513	96	143	11	6	25	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	279	7	30	18	47	21	402
		% within Marital Status	57.9%	70.0%	37.0%	58.1%	31.8%	47.7%	50.5%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	167	3	40	13	75	18	316
		% within Marital Status	34.6%	30.0%	49.4%	41.9%	50.7%	40.9%	39.7%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	29	0	6	0	21	4	60
		% within Marital Status	6.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	14.2%	9.1%	7.5%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	5	0	5	0	4	1	15
		% within Marital Status	1.0%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	2.7%	2.3%	1.9%
	Undecided	Count	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
		% within Marital Status	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	482	10	81	31	148	44	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	203	198	401
		% within Gender	54.3%	47.0%	50.4%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	129	190	319
		% within Gender	34.5%	45.1%	40.1%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	34	24	58
		% within Gender	9.1%	5.7%	7.3%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	5	9	14
		% within Gender	1.3%	2.1%	1.8%

	Undecided	Count	3	0	3
		% within Gender	.8%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	374	421	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	92	200	109	401
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	40.9%	51.4%	59.9%	50.4%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	116	144	58	318
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	51.6%	37.0%	31.9%	39.9%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	12	38	9	59
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	5.3%	9.8%	4.9%	7.4%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	4	6	5	15
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	1.8%	1.5%	2.7%	1.9%
	Undecided	Count	1	1	1	3
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.4%	.3%	.5%	.4%
Total		Count	225	389	182	796
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	71	94	43	40	150	398
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	40.3%	43.7%	66.2%	44.0%	61.2%	50.3%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	85	95	14	45	79	318

	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	48.3%	44.2%	21.5%	49.5%	32.2%	40.2%
Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	17	20	7	5	11	60
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	9.7%	9.3%	10.8%	5.5%	4.5%	7.6%
Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	2	5	0	1	5	13
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.1%	2.3%	.0%	1.1%	2.0%	1.6%
Undecided	Count	1	1	1	0	0	3
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.6%	.5%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Total	Count	176	215	65	91	245	792
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Governor - Recoded * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Governor - Recoded	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	110	43	40	72	67	70	402
		% within region	65.1%	32.6%	33.6%	46.8%	60.9%	61.4%	50.4%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	49	69	64	70	32	34	318
		% within region	29.0%	52.3%	53.8%	45.5%	29.1%	29.8%	39.8%
	Kathie Glass (Libertarian)	Count	7	17	12	9	7	8	60
		% within region	4.1%	12.9%	10.1%	5.8%	6.4%	7.0%	7.5%
	Deb Shafto (Green)	Count	3	2	2	3	4	1	15
		% within region	1.8%	1.5%	1.7%	1.9%	3.6%	.9%	1.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	1	0	0	1	3
		% within region	.0%	.8%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.4%
Total		Count	169	132	119	154	110	114	798
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Lieutenant Governor -	David Dewhurst	Count	1	1	6	37	70	141	145	3	404

Recoded	(Republican)	% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	1.8%	7.0%	23.1%	56.9%	83.4%	85.8%	27.3%	50.9%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	17	53	75	95	31	9	11	4	295
		% within Own ideological placement	89.5%	93.0%	87.2%	59.4%	25.2%	5.3%	6.5%	36.4%	37.2%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	0	0	3	22	18	18	12	2	75
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	3.5%	13.8%	14.6%	10.7%	7.1%	18.2%	9.4%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	1	3	2	5	3	1	0	2	17
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	5.3%	2.3%	3.1%	2.4%	.6%	.0%	18.2%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.8%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	19	57	86	160	123	169	169	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	2	10	2	21	69	72	195	0	371
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	10.4%	4.7%	34.4%	77.5%	85.7%	96.5%	.0%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	152	81	39	10	0	6	1	2	291
		% within 7 point Party ID	97.4%	84.4%	90.7%	16.4%	.0%	7.1%	.5%	100.0%	39.7%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	0	3	0	21	20	5	5	0	54
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	3.1%	.0%	34.4%	22.5%	6.0%	2.5%	.0%	7.4%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	2	2	2	7	0	1	0	0	14
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	2.1%	4.7%	11.5%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	156	96	43	61	89	84	202	2	733
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	37	119	159	89	404
		% within age	35.6%	44.9%	53.7%	66.4%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	47	112	107	34	300
		% within age	45.2%	42.3%	36.1%	25.4%	37.5%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	15	26	25	9	75
		% within age	14.4%	9.8%	8.4%	6.7%	9.4%

	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	5	8	3	1	17
		% within age	4.8%	3.0%	1.0%	.7%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	2	1	3
		% within age	.0%	.0%	.7%	.7%	.4%
Total		Count	104	265	296	134	799
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	11	168	142	16	48	18	403
		% within Education	50.0%	53.3%	52.0%	41.0%	44.9%	43.9%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	6	120	100	10	44	18	298
		% within Education	27.3%	38.1%	36.6%	25.6%	41.1%	43.9%	37.4%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	5	21	24	11	11	4	76
		% within Education	22.7%	6.7%	8.8%	28.2%	10.3%	9.8%	9.5%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	0	5	6	2	3	1	17
		% within Education	.0%	1.6%	2.2%	5.1%	2.8%	2.4%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
		% within Education	.0%	.3%	.4%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	22	315	273	39	107	41	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	74	81	54	116	78	403
		% within Attendance at religious services	69.8%	57.0%	50.5%	52.5%	35.5%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	21	54	37	82	106	300
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.8%	38.0%	34.6%	37.1%	48.2%	37.7%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	11	6	13	17	27	74
		% within Attendance at religious services	10.4%	4.2%	12.1%	7.7%	12.3%	9.3%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	0	1	3	5	8	17

67

		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.7%	2.8%	2.3%	3.6%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	1	1	2
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.5%	.3%
Total		Count	106	142	107	221	220	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	319	10	56	3	5	10	403
		% within Race	61.7%	10.4%	38.6%	30.0%	83.3%	40.0%	50.4%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	127	82	72	7	0	12	300
		% within Race	24.6%	85.4%	49.7%	70.0%	.0%	48.0%	37.5%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	61	1	10	0	1	3	76
		% within Race	11.8%	1.0%	6.9%	.0%	16.7%	12.0%	9.5%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	7	3	7	0	0	0	17
		% within Race	1.4%	3.1%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
		% within Race	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	517	96	145	10	6	25	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	278	4	32	20	52	18	404
		% within Marital Status	57.6%	44.4%	40.0%	58.8%	35.4%	42.9%	50.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	152	4	37	13	74	18	298
		% within Marital Status	31.5%	44.4%	46.3%	38.2%	50.3%	42.9%	37.5%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	46	1	5	1	15	5	73
		% within Marital Status	9.5%	11.1%	6.3%	2.9%	10.2%	11.9%	9.2%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	6	0	5	0	5	1	17
		% within Marital Status	1.2%	.0%	6.3%	.0%	3.4%	2.4%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	1	0	1	0	1	0	3

68

	% within Marital Status	.2%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.4%
Total	Count	483	9	80	34	147	42	795
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	208	193	401
		% within Gender	55.6%	45.8%	50.4%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	113	186	299
		% within Gender	30.2%	44.2%	37.6%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	47	28	75
		% within Gender	12.6%	6.7%	9.4%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	5	13	18
		% within Gender	1.3%	3.1%	2.3%
	Undecided	Count	1	1	2
		% within Gender	.3%	.2%	.3%
Total		Count	374	421	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	98	205	101	404
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	43.2%	52.8%	54.9%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	111	133	56	300
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	48.9%	34.3%	30.4%	37.5%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	13	42	20	75
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	5.7%	10.8%	10.9%	9.4%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	4	7	6	17
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	1.8%	1.8%	3.3%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	1	1	1	3

		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.4%	.3%	.5%	.4%
Total		Count	227	388	184	799
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Lieutenant Governor - Recoded	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	79	97	48	40	137	401
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	45.4%	45.1%	73.8%	44.0%	55.2%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	73	92	13	43	77	298
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	42.0%	42.8%	20.0%	47.3%	31.0%	37.6%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	18	20	3	7	28	76
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	10.3%	9.3%	4.6%	7.7%	11.3%	9.6%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	4	4	0	1	6	15
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	2.3%	1.9%	.0%	1.1%	2.4%	1.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	2	1	0	0	3
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.0%	.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Total		Count	174	215	65	91	248	793
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Lieutenant Governor - Recoded * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Lieutenant Governor -	David Dewhurst	Count	104	47	46	73	68	65	403

70

Recoded	(Republican)	% within region	61.9%	35.6%	38.3%	48.0%	61.8%	57.0%	50.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	44	65	58	63	31	37	298
		% within region	26.2%	49.2%	48.3%	41.4%	28.2%	32.5%	37.4%
	Scott Jameson (Libertarian)	Count	17	15	12	11	11	9	75
		% within region	10.1%	11.4%	10.0%	7.2%	10.0%	7.9%	9.4%
	Herb Gonzales Jr. (Green)	Count	3	3	4	5	0	2	17
		% within region	1.8%	2.3%	3.3%	3.3%	.0%	1.8%	2.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
		% within region	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.4%
Total		Count	168	132	120	152	110	114	796
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	0	3	14	47	71	145	154	2	436
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	5.4%	15.9%	29.4%	57.7%	86.8%	90.6%	18.2%	54.9%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	18	52	66	85	32	7	6	5	271
		% within Own ideological placement	94.7%	92.9%	75.0%	53.1%	26.0%	4.2%	3.5%	45.5%	34.1%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	1	1	8	28	19	15	10	4	86
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	1.8%	9.1%	17.5%	15.4%	9.0%	5.9%	36.4%	10.8%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	19	56	88	160	123	167	170	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	4	26	1	26	73	71	194	0	395
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	26.8%	2.3%	42.6%	82.0%	85.5%	96.0%	.0%	53.9%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	150	67	35	9	0	4	1	2	268
		% within 7 point Party ID	96.2%	69.1%	81.4%	14.8%	.0%	4.8%	.5%	100.0%	36.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	2	4	7	26	16	7	7	0	69
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	4.1%	16.3%	42.6%	18.0%	8.4%	3.5%	.0%	9.4%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.1%

Total		Count	156	97	43	61	89	83	202	2	733
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	42	129	176	87	434
		% within age	40.4%	48.7%	59.5%	65.9%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	46	98	99	33	276
		% within age	44.2%	37.0%	33.4%	25.0%	34.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	16	38	20	12	86
		% within age	15.4%	14.3%	6.8%	9.1%	10.8%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	1	0	1
		% within age	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	104	265	296	132	797
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	15	185	145	18	54	19	436
		% within Education	68.2%	58.5%	53.1%	46.2%	50.5%	46.3%	54.6%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	6	102	97	12	43	16	276
		% within Education	27.3%	32.3%	35.5%	30.8%	40.2%	39.0%	34.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	1	29	30	9	10	6	85
		% within Education	4.5%	9.2%	11.0%	23.1%	9.3%	14.6%	10.7%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within Education	.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	22	316	273	39	107	41	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Attorney General -	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	79	88	60	127	81	435

Recoded								
Recoded		% within Attendance at religious services	73.1%	62.0%	56.6%	57.2%	36.8%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	21	46	30	77	102	276
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.4%	32.4%	28.3%	34.7%	46.4%	34.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	8	8	16	18	36	86
		% within Attendance at religious services	7.4%	5.6%	15.1%	8.1%	16.4%	10.8%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.1%
Total		Count	108	142	106	222	220	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	327	22	66	3	5	10	433
		% within Race	63.5%	23.2%	45.8%	27.3%	100.0%	41.7%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	125	64	68	8	0	10	275
		% within Race	24.3%	67.4%	47.2%	72.7%	.0%	41.7%	34.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	62	9	10	0	0	4	85
		% within Race	12.0%	9.5%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	10.7%
	Undecided	Count	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		% within Race	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	515	95	144	11	5	24	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	302	6	34	20	55	19	436
		% within Marital Status	62.7%	66.7%	42.5%	60.6%	37.2%	43.2%	54.8%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	139	2	34	12	67	20	274
		% within Marital Status	28.8%	22.2%	42.5%	36.4%	45.3%	45.5%	34.4%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	41	1	11	1	26	5	85
		% within Marital Status	8.5%	11.1%	13.8%	3.0%	17.6%	11.4%	10.7%

Undecided	Count	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	% within Marital Status	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	482	9	80	33	148	44	796
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	220	214	434
		% within Gender	58.8%	50.7%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	103	173	276
		% within Gender	27.5%	41.0%	34.7%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	51	34	85
		% within Gender	13.6%	8.1%	10.7%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	1
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
Total		Count	374	422	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	111	218	107	436
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	48.9%	56.0%	58.2%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	97	125	55	277
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.7%	32.1%	29.9%	34.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	19	45	22	86
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	8.4%	11.6%	12.0%	10.8%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.0%	.3%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	227	389	184	800

74

Attorney General - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	111	218	107	436
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	48.9%	56.0%	58.2%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	97	125	55	277
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.7%	32.1%	29.9%	34.6%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	19	45	22	86
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	8.4%	11.6%	12.0%	10.8%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.0%	.3%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	227	389	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	84	101	46	51	150	432
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	47.7%	47.0%	70.8%	56.0%	60.5%	54.3%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	71	82	13	35	77	278
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	40.3%	38.1%	20.0%	38.5%	31.0%	35.0%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	21	31	6	5	21	84
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	11.9%	14.4%	9.2%	5.5%	8.5%	10.6%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	176	215	65	91	248	795

Attorney General - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	84	101	46	51	150	432
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	47.7%	47.0%	70.8%	56.0%	60.5%	54.3%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	71	82	13	35	77	278
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	40.3%	38.1%	20.0%	38.5%	31.0%	35.0%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	21	31	6	5	21	84
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	11.9%	14.4%	9.2%	5.5%	8.5%	10.6%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	176	215	65	91	248	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Attorney General - Recoded * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Attorney General - Recoded	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	109	49	52	85	67	74	436
		% within region	64.5%	37.1%	43.7%	54.8%	60.9%	64.3%	54.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	45	60	53	57	28	33	276
		% within region	26.6%	45.5%	44.5%	36.8%	25.5%	28.7%	34.5%
	Jon Roland (Libertarian)	Count	14	23	14	13	15	8	87
		% within region	8.3%	17.4%	11.8%	8.4%	13.6%	7.0%	10.9%
	Undecided	Count	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		% within region	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	169	132	119	155	110	115	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	1	10	23	36	60	135	135	2	402
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	17.9%	26.4%	22.4%	49.2%	79.9%	81.8%	18.2%	50.9%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	9	15	11	25	7	2	1	0	70
		% within Own ideological placement	47.4%	26.8%	12.6%	15.5%	5.7%	1.2%	.6%	.0%	8.9%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	1	6	11	30	13	13	14	4	92
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	10.7%	12.6%	18.6%	10.7%	7.7%	8.5%	36.4%	11.6%
	Undecided	Count	8	25	42	70	42	19	15	5	226
		% within Own ideological placement	42.1%	44.6%	48.3%	43.5%	34.4%	11.2%	9.1%	45.5%	28.6%
Total		Count	19	56	87	161	122	169	165	11	790
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	19	18	6	19	59	68	189	2	380
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.1%	18.4%	14.0%	31.1%	67.0%	81.9%	93.6%	100.0%	51.8%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	30	12	21	7	0	3	0	0	73
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.1%	12.2%	48.8%	11.5%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	9.9%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	24	9	4	18	13	2	4	0	74
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.3%	9.2%	9.3%	29.5%	14.8%	2.4%	2.0%	.0%	10.1%
	Undecided	Count	84	59	12	17	16	10	9	0	207
		% within 7 point Party ID	53.5%	60.2%	27.9%	27.9%	18.2%	12.0%	4.5%	.0%	28.2%
Total		Count	157	98	43	61	88	83	202	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	42	114	159	88	403
		% within age	40.0%	43.2%	54.5%	66.2%	50.8%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	15	24	27	8	74
		% within age	14.3%	9.1%	9.2%	6.0%	9.3%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	13	42	28	8	91
		% within age	12.4%	15.9%	9.6%	6.0%	11.5%

77

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
	Undecided	Count	35	84	78	29			226
		% within age	33.3%	31.8%	26.7%	21.8%			28.5%
Total		Count	105	264	292	133			794
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	10	170	138	19	45	21	403
		% within Education	43.5%	54.7%	50.4%	47.5%	42.5%	51.2%	50.7%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	1	28	21	3	16	4	73
		% within Education	4.3%	9.0%	7.7%	7.5%	15.1%	9.8%	9.2%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	0	25	37	9	15	5	91
		% within Education	.0%	8.0%	13.5%	22.5%	14.2%	12.2%	11.4%
	Undecided	Count	12	88	78	9	30	11	228
		% within Education	52.2%	28.3%	28.5%	22.5%	28.3%	26.8%	28.7%
Total		Count	23	311	274	40	106	41	795
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	80	73	57	118	75	403
		% within Attendance at religious services	73.4%	51.8%	52.8%	53.4%	34.9%	50.8%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	3	6	13	24	28	74
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	4.3%	12.0%	10.9%	13.0%	9.3%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	7	16	10	20	39	92
		% within Attendance at religious services	6.4%	11.3%	9.3%	9.0%	18.1%	11.6%
	Undecided	Count	19	46	28	59	73	225
		% within Attendance at religious services	17.4%	32.6%	25.9%	26.7%	34.0%	28.3%
Total		Count	109	141	108	221	215	794
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

78

Vote choice for Comptroller * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	316	11	59	3	5	8	402
		% within Race	61.4%	12.1%	41.3%	27.3%	83.3%	33.3%	50.9%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	29	21	18	1	0	3	72
		% within Race	5.6%	23.1%	12.6%	9.1%	.0%	12.5%	9.1%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	65	10	9	3	0	4	91
		% within Race	12.6%	11.0%	6.3%	27.3%	.0%	16.7%	11.5%
	Undecided	Count	105	49	57	4	1	9	225
		% within Race	20.4%	53.8%	39.9%	36.4%	16.7%	37.5%	28.5%
Total		Count	515	91	143	11	6	24	790
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	271	6	33	20	59	13	402
		% within Marital Status	56.1%	60.0%	41.3%	60.6%	40.1%	34.2%	50.8%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	39	1	7	2	17	7	73
		% within Marital Status	8.1%	10.0%	8.8%	6.1%	11.6%	18.4%	9.2%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	53	1	8	2	20	7	91
		% within Marital Status	11.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6.1%	13.6%	18.4%	11.5%
	Undecided	Count	120	2	32	9	51	11	225
		% within Marital Status	24.8%	20.0%	40.0%	27.3%	34.7%	28.9%	28.4%
Total		Count	483	10	80	33	147	38	791
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	200	201	401
		% within Gender	54.2%	47.4%	50.6%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	36	38	74

		% within Gender	9.8%	9.0%	9.3%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	51	41	92
		% within Gender	13.8%	9.7%	11.6%
	Undecided	Count	82	144	226
		% within Gender	22.2%	34.0%	28.5%
Total		Count	369	424	793
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	106	205	93	404
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	46.7%	52.6%	51.7%	50.7%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	23	34	17	74
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	10.1%	8.7%	9.4%	9.3%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	17	49	27	93
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	7.5%	12.6%	15.0%	11.7%
	Undecided	Count	81	102	43	226
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	35.7%	26.2%	23.9%	28.4%
Total		Count	227	390	180	797
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	77	93	48	46	137	401
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	44.0%	44.1%	73.8%	50.5%	55.0%	50.7%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	27	21	6	12	8	74

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	15.4%	10.0%	9.2%	13.2%	3.2%	9.4%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	25	31	4	8	23	91
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.3%	14.7%	6.2%	8.8%	9.2%	11.5%
	Undecided	Count	46	66	7	25	81	225
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.3%	31.3%	10.8%	27.5%	32.5%	28.4%
Total		Count	175	211	65	91	249	791
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Comptroller * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Vote choice for Comptroller	Susan Combs (Republican)	Count	99	50	44	76	69	66	404
		% within region	60.4%	37.6%	37.0%	49.7%	62.7%	57.4%	50.9%
	Ed Lindsay (Green)	Count	9	17	21	16	3	8	74
		% within region	5.5%	12.8%	17.6%	10.5%	2.7%	7.0%	9.3%
	Mary J. Ruwart (Libertarian)	Count	19	22	14	14	14	8	91
		% within region	11.6%	16.5%	11.8%	9.2%	12.7%	7.0%	11.5%
	Undecided	Count	37	44	40	47	24	33	225
		% within region	22.6%	33.1%	33.6%	30.7%	21.8%	28.7%	28.3%
Total		Count	164	133	119	153	110	115	794
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	1	2	11	30	68	135	147	1	395
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	3.6%	12.6%	18.8%	55.3%	80.4%	87.0%	9.1%	49.9%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	18	51	62	98	35	9	10	5	288
		% within Own ideological placement	94.7%	92.7%	71.3%	61.3%	28.5%	5.4%	5.9%	45.5%	36.4%
	James L. Holdar	Count	0	2	10	27	18	23	11	5	96

(Libertarian)	% within Own ideological placement	.0%	3.6%	11.5%	16.9%	14.6%	13.7%	6.5%	45.5%	12.1%
Undecided	Count	0	0	4	5	2	1	1	0	13
	% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	4.6%	3.1%	1.6%	.6%	.6%	.0%	1.6%
Total	Count	19	55	87	160	123	168	169	11	792
	% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	2	10	2	17	62	74	195	0	362
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	10.3%	4.7%	27.9%	69.7%	89.2%	96.5%	.0%	49.5%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	146	82	35	16	0	4	0	0	283
		% within 7 point Party ID	94.2%	84.5%	81.4%	26.2%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	38.7%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	3	5	5	26	27	4	6	2	78
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	5.2%	11.6%	42.6%	30.3%	4.8%	3.0%	100.0%	10.7%
	Undecided	Count	4	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	9
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	.0%	2.3%	3.3%	.0%	1.2%	.5%	.0%	1.2%
Total		Count	155	97	43	61	89	83	202	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	37	111	159	88	395
		% within age	35.6%	42.2%	53.5%	66.2%	49.6%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	54	101	104	34	293
		% within age	51.9%	38.4%	35.0%	25.6%	36.8%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	13	42	31	10	96
		% within age	12.5%	16.0%	10.4%	7.5%	12.0%
	Undecided	Count	0	9	3	1	13
		% within age	.0%	3.4%	1.0%	.8%	1.6%
Total		Count	104	263	297	133	797
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Education Crosstabulation

	Education	Total

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	11	170	130	19	47	17	394
		% within Education	50.0%	53.8%	47.8%	48.7%	44.3%	41.5%	49.5%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	10	108	100	12	46	17	293
		% within Education	45.5%	34.2%	36.8%	30.8%	43.4%	41.5%	36.8%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	1	29	39	8	13	6	96
		% within Education	4.5%	9.2%	14.3%	20.5%	12.3%	14.6%	12.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	9	3	0	0	1	13
		% within Education	.0%	2.8%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	1.6%
Total		Count	22	316	272	39	106	41	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	76	79	56	111	72	394
		% within Attendance at religious services	70.4%	56.4%	52.3%	50.0%	32.9%	49.5%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	23	44	38	84	105	294
		% within Attendance at religious services	21.3%	31.4%	35.5%	37.8%	47.9%	36.9%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	9	12	13	27	35	96
		% within Attendance at religious services	8.3%	8.6%	12.1%	12.2%	16.0%	12.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	5	0	0	7	12
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	1.5%
Total		Count	108	140	107	222	219	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	312	13	52	1	5	8	391
		% within Race	60.5%	13.5%	36.1%	9.1%	100.0%	34.8%	49.2%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	121	78	76	9	0	10	294

		% within Race	23.4%	81.3%	52.8%	81.8%	.0%	43.5%	37.0%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	78	5	8	1	0	5	97
		% within Race	15.1%	5.2%	5.6%	9.1%	.0%	21.7%	12.2%
	Undecided	Count	5	0	8	0	0	0	13
		% within Race	1.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
Total		Count	516	96	144	11	5	23	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	271	5	34	20	46	18	394
		% within Marital Status	56.3%	55.6%	42.5%	60.6%	31.3%	41.9%	49.7%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	147	4	35	13	79	14	292
		% within Marital Status	30.6%	44.4%	43.8%	39.4%	53.7%	32.6%	36.8%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	57	0	9	0	21	7	94
		% within Marital Status	11.9%	.0%	11.3%	.0%	14.3%	16.3%	11.9%
	Undecided	Count	6	0	2	0	1	4	13
		% within Marital Status	1.2%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.7%	9.3%	1.6%
Total		Count	481	9	80	33	147	43	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	201	193	394
		% within Gender	53.6%	45.7%	49.4%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	106	187	293
		% within Gender	28.3%	44.3%	36.8%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	62	35	97
		% within Gender	16.5%	8.3%	12.2%
	Undecided	Count	6	7	13
		% within Gender	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	96	198	102	396
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.3%	51.2%	55.4%	49.6%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	114	127	53	294
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	50.2%	32.8%	28.8%	36.8%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	17	50	28	95
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	7.5%	12.9%	15.2%	11.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	12	1	13
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.0%	3.1%	.5%	1.6%
Total		Count	227	387	184	798
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	77	94	44	43	133	391
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	43.8%	43.7%	69.8%	47.8%	53.6%	49.4%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	76	89	14	30	85	294
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	43.2%	41.4%	22.2%	33.3%	34.3%	37.1%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	22	26	5	13	28	94
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	12.5%	12.1%	7.9%	14.4%	11.3%	11.9%
	Undecided	Count	1	6	0	4	2	13
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.6%	2.8%	.0%	4.4%	.8%	1.6%

Total	Count	176	215	63	90	248	792
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Land Commissioner - Recoded * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Land Commissioner - Recoded	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	104	48	45	74	63	61	395
		% within region	61.9%	36.4%	37.2%	48.4%	57.8%	53.0%	49.5%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	42	63	61	51	30	46	293
		% within region	25.0%	47.7%	50.4%	33.3%	27.5%	40.0%	36.7%
	James L. Holdar (Libertarian)	Count	21	16	14	23	16	7	97
		% within region	12.5%	12.1%	11.6%	15.0%	14.7%	6.1%	12.2%
	Undecided	Count	1	5	1	5	0	1	13
		% within region	.6%	3.8%	.8%	3.3%	.0%	.9%	1.6%
Total		Count	168	132	121	153	109	115	798
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	1	3	6	38	65	137	144	2	396
		% within Own ideological placement	5.0%	5.3%	6.9%	23.9%	53.3%	81.5%	85.2%	18.2%	49.9%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	18	53	71	93	36	6	13	4	294
		% within Own ideological placement	90.0%	93.0%	81.6%	58.5%	29.5%	3.6%	7.7%	36.4%	37.1%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	1	1	10	22	19	24	11	5	93
		% within Own ideological placement	5.0%	1.8%	11.5%	13.8%	15.6%	14.3%	6.5%	45.5%	11.7%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	0	6	2	1	1	0	10
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	1.6%	.6%	.6%	.0%	1.3%
Total		Count	20	57	87	159	122	168	169	11	793
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

86

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	3	10	2	19	62	71	194	2	363
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	10.3%	4.7%	31.1%	69.7%	85.5%	96.5%	100.0%	49.6%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	150	82	35	12	1	5	4	0	289
		% within 7 point Party ID	96.2%	84.5%	81.4%	19.7%	1.1%	6.0%	2.0%	.0%	39.5%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	3	5	5	27	26	6	2	0	74
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	5.2%	11.6%	44.3%	29.2%	7.2%	1.0%	.0%	10.1%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	6
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	2.3%	4.9%	.0%	1.2%	.5%	.0%	.8%
Total		Count	156	97	43	61	89	83	201	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	41	116	155	84	396
		% within age	39.0%	43.9%	52.4%	63.6%	49.7%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	50	106	109	34	299
		% within age	47.6%	40.2%	36.8%	25.8%	37.5%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	14	38	28	12	92
		% within age	13.3%	14.4%	9.5%	9.1%	11.5%
	Undecided	Count	0	4	4	2	10
		% within age	.0%	1.5%	1.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Total		Count	105	264	296	132	797
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	10	174	123	21	48	19	395
		% within Education	45.5%	55.4%	45.1%	53.8%	44.9%	46.3%	49.6%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	10	112	102	12	44	18	298
		% within Education	45.5%	35.7%	37.4%	30.8%	41.1%	43.9%	37.4%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	2	23	45	6	14	3	93
		% within Education	9.1%	7.3%	16.5%	15.4%	13.1%	7.3%	11.7%
	Undecided	Count	0	5	3	0	1	1	10
		% within Education	.0%	1.6%	1.1%	.0%	.9%	2.4%	1.3%

| Total | | Count | 22 | 314 | 273 | 39 | 107 | 41 | 796 |
| | | % within Education | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

| | | | Attendance at religious services | | | | | Total |
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	76	73	55	113	78	395
		% within Attendance at religious services	70.4%	51.4%	51.9%	50.9%	35.8%	49.6%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	22	51	40	88	99	300
		% within Attendance at religious services	20.4%	35.9%	37.7%	39.6%	45.4%	37.7%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	10	17	11	20	34	92
		% within Attendance at religious services	9.3%	12.0%	10.4%	9.0%	15.6%	11.6%
	Undecided	Count	0	1	0	1	7	9
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.7%	.0%	.5%	3.2%	1.1%
Total		Count	108	142	106	222	218	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Race Crosstabulation

| | | | Race | | | | | | Total |
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	307	9	62	2	5	7	392
		% within Race	59.8%	9.4%	43.1%	18.2%	100.0%	29.2%	49.4%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	132	81	69	7	0	9	298
		% within Race	25.7%	84.4%	47.9%	63.6%	.0%	37.5%	37.6%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	68	6	9	2	0	8	93
		% within Race	13.3%	6.3%	6.3%	18.2%	.0%	33.3%	11.7%
	Undecided	Count	6	0	4	0	0	0	10
		% within Race	1.2%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
Total		Count	513	96	144	11	5	24	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	267	5	35	20	48	18	393
		% within Marital Status	55.4%	55.6%	43.2%	60.6%	32.9%	40.9%	49.4%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	159	4	33	13	75	16	300
		% within Marital Status	33.0%	44.4%	40.7%	39.4%	51.4%	36.4%	37.7%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	53	0	11	0	22	6	92
		% within Marital Status	11.0%	.0%	13.6%	.0%	15.1%	13.6%	11.6%
	Undecided	Count	3	0	2	0	1	4	10
		% within Marital Status	.6%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.7%	9.1%	1.3%
Total		Count	482	9	81	33	146	44	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	195	200	395
		% within Gender	52.3%	47.4%	49.7%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	113	186	299
		% within Gender	30.3%	44.1%	37.6%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	62	29	91
		% within Gender	16.6%	6.9%	11.4%
	Undecided	Count	3	7	10
		% within Gender	.8%	1.7%	1.3%
Total		Count	373	422	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	103	196	96	395
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	45.6%	50.5%	52.7%	49.6%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	109	134	56	299

		% within Type of community respondent lives in	48.2%	34.5%	30.8%	37.6%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	14	50	28	92
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	6.2%	12.9%	15.4%	11.6%
	Undecided	Count	0	8	2	10
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.0%	2.1%	1.1%	1.3%
Total		Count	226	388	182	796
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	78	93	45	39	139	394
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	44.3%	43.1%	68.2%	43.3%	56.5%	49.6%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	78	87	14	41	78	298
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	44.3%	40.3%	21.2%	45.6%	31.7%	37.5%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	19	29	6	10	27	91
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	10.8%	13.4%	9.1%	11.1%	11.0%	11.5%
	Undecided	Count	1	7	1	0	2	11
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.6%	3.2%	1.5%	.0%	.8%	1.4%
Total		Count	176	216	66	90	246	794
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded * region Crosstabulation

	region	Total

			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Agriculture Commissioner - Recoded	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	102	46	49	74	61	64	396
		% within region	60.7%	34.8%	40.5%	48.1%	56.0%	56.6%	49.7%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	43	63	58	63	30	41	298
		% within region	25.6%	47.7%	47.9%	40.9%	27.5%	36.3%	37.4%
	Rick Donaldson (Libertarian)	Count	21	18	13	16	18	7	93
		% within region	12.5%	13.6%	10.7%	10.4%	16.5%	6.2%	11.7%
	Undecided	Count	2	5	1	1	0	1	10
		% within region	1.2%	3.8%	.8%	.6%	.0%	.9%	1.3%
Total		Count	168	132	121	154	109	113	797
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	1	4	7	36	61	137	147	2	395
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	7.0%	8.1%	22.5%	50.0%	81.1%	86.5%	22.2%	49.9%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	15	50	63	84	33	8	8	4	265
		% within Own ideological placement	78.9%	87.7%	73.3%	52.5%	27.0%	4.7%	4.7%	44.4%	33.5%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	0	0	8	18	19	22	13	2	82
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	9.3%	11.3%	15.6%	13.0%	7.6%	22.2%	10.4%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	3	3	4	16	7	1	1	1	36
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	5.3%	4.7%	10.0%	5.7%	.6%	.6%	11.1%	4.5%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	4	6	2	1	1	0	14
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	4.7%	3.8%	1.6%	.6%	.6%	.0%	1.8%
Total		Count	19	57	86	160	122	169	170	9	792
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	3	9	1	18	69	71	191	2	364
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	9.3%	2.3%	30.0%	77.5%	84.5%	94.6%	100.0%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	143	79	30	9	0	3	0	0	264
		% within 7 point Party ID	91.1%	81.4%	69.8%	15.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	36.0%

										Total
Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	4	3	5	24	18	5	8	0	67
	% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	3.1%	11.6%	40.0%	20.2%	6.0%	4.0%	.0%	9.1%
Art Browning (Green)	Count	3	6	6	7	2	4	1	0	29
	% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	6.2%	14.0%	11.7%	2.2%	4.8%	.5%	.0%	4.0%
Undecided	Count	4	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	10
	% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	.0%	2.3%	3.3%	.0%	1.2%	1.0%	.0%	1.4%
Total	Count	157	97	43	60	89	84	202	2	734
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	44	115	149	86	394
		% within age	42.3%	43.7%	50.5%	64.7%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	38	95	102	34	269
		% within age	36.5%	36.1%	34.6%	25.6%	33.8%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	11	35	25	10	81
		% within age	10.6%	13.3%	8.5%	7.5%	10.2%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	11	9	14	2	36
		% within age	10.6%	3.4%	4.7%	1.5%	4.5%
	Undecided	Count	0	9	5	1	15
		% within age	.0%	3.4%	1.7%	.8%	1.9%
Total		Count	104	263	295	133	795
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	10	168	134	19	46	18	395
		% within Education	45.5%	53.3%	49.1%	48.7%	43.4%	42.9%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	6	103	90	12	42	17	270
		% within Education	27.3%	32.7%	33.0%	30.8%	39.6%	40.5%	33.9%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	2	20	36	7	14	3	82
		% within Education	9.1%	6.3%	13.2%	17.9%	13.2%	7.1%	10.3%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	4	14	10	1	3	3	35
		% within Education	18.2%	4.4%	3.7%	2.6%	2.8%	7.1%	4.4%
	Undecided	Count	0	10	3	0	1	1	15
		% within Education	.0%	3.2%	1.1%	.0%	.9%	2.4%	1.9%

Total	Count	22	315	273	39	106	42	797
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	77	75	49	118	76	395
		% within Attendance at religious services	70.6%	53.2%	45.8%	53.4%	34.9%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	23	47	36	69	96	271
		% within Attendance at religious services	21.1%	33.3%	33.6%	31.2%	44.0%	34.0%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	9	14	15	16	28	82
		% within Attendance at religious services	8.3%	9.9%	14.0%	7.2%	12.8%	10.3%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	0	0	7	17	11	35
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	.0%	6.5%	7.7%	5.0%	4.4%
	Undecided	Count	0	5	0	1	7	13
		% within Attendance at religious services	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.5%	3.2%	1.6%
Total		Count	109	141	107	221	218	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	314	13	51	0	5	8	391
		% within Race	60.9%	13.7%	36.2%	.0%	100.0%	33.3%	49.4%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	121	68	62	8	0	11	270
		% within Race	23.4%	71.6%	44.0%	72.7%	.0%	45.8%	34.1%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	63	7	6	2	0	4	82
		% within Race	12.2%	7.4%	4.3%	18.2%	.0%	16.7%	10.4%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	12	7	14	1	0	1	35
		% within Race	2.3%	7.4%	9.9%	9.1%	.0%	4.2%	4.4%
	Undecided	Count	6	0	8	0	0	0	14
		% within Race	1.2%	.0%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%

		Count	516	95	141	11	5	24	792
Total		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	273	6	32	20	45	18	394
		% within Marital Status	56.6%	66.7%	41.0%	60.6%	30.6%	40.9%	49.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	142	3	29	12	69	14	269
		% within Marital Status	29.5%	33.3%	37.2%	36.4%	46.9%	31.8%	33.9%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	48	0	11	0	17	5	81
		% within Marital Status	10.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	11.6%	11.4%	10.2%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	12	0	4	1	15	3	35
		% within Marital Status	2.5%	.0%	5.1%	3.0%	10.2%	6.8%	4.4%
	Undecided	Count	7	0	2	0	1	4	14
		% within Marital Status	1.5%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.7%	9.1%	1.8%
Total		Count	482	9	78	33	147	44	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	200	194	394
		% within Gender	53.6%	46.1%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	103	167	270
		% within Gender	27.6%	39.7%	34.0%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	52	28	80
		% within Gender	13.9%	6.7%	10.1%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	11	25	36
		% within Gender	2.9%	5.9%	4.5%
	Undecided	Count	7	7	14
		% within Gender	1.9%	1.7%	1.8%
Total		Count	373	421	794
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

94

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	97	196	102	395
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.9%	50.4%	56.4%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	104	112	54	270
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	46.0%	28.8%	29.8%	33.9%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	12	48	22	82
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	5.3%	12.3%	12.2%	10.3%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	13	20	2	35
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	5.8%	5.1%	1.1%	4.4%
	Undecided	Count	0	13	1	14
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	.0%	3.3%	.6%	1.8%
Total		Count	226	389	181	796
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	75	95	44	41	137	392
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	42.9%	44.0%	66.7%	45.6%	55.0%	49.2%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	72	77	12	35	74	270
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	41.1%	35.6%	18.2%	38.9%	29.7%	33.9%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	19	29	7	7	20	82
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	10.9%	13.4%	10.6%	7.8%	8.0%	10.3%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	8	8	2	3	16	37

95

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	4.6%	3.7%	3.0%	3.3%	6.4%	4.6%
	Undecided	Count	1	7	1	4	2	15
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	.6%	3.2%	1.5%	4.4%	.8%	1.9%
Total		Count	175	216	66	90	249	796
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Railroad Commissioner - Recoded * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Railroad Commissioner - Recoded	David Porter (Republican)	Count	111	44	44	72	66	58	395
		% within region	66.5%	33.3%	36.7%	47.4%	60.0%	50.4%	49.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	38	54	55	58	28	36	269
		% within region	22.8%	40.9%	45.8%	38.2%	25.5%	31.3%	33.8%
	Roger Gary (Libertarian)	Count	13	22	13	13	13	8	82
		% within region	7.8%	16.7%	10.8%	8.6%	11.8%	7.0%	10.3%
	Art Browning (Green)	Count	3	7	7	4	3	12	36
		% within region	1.8%	5.3%	5.8%	2.6%	2.7%	10.4%	4.5%
	Undecided	Count	2	5	1	5	0	1	14
		% within region	1.2%	3.8%	.8%	3.3%	.0%	.9%	1.8%
Total		Count	167	132	120	152	110	115	796
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	0	1	6	27	74	147	148	1	404
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	1.8%	6.8%	16.9%	60.7%	87.0%	87.6%	9.1%	50.9%
	Democratic candidate	Count	17	49	67	87	24	10	4	4	262
		% within Own ideological placement	94.4%	87.5%	76.1%	54.4%	19.7%	5.9%	2.4%	36.4%	33.0%
	Neither	Count	1	3	6	18	13	5	11	5	62
		% within Own ideological placement	5.6%	5.4%	6.8%	11.3%	10.7%	3.0%	6.5%	45.5%	7.8%

Don't know	Count	0	3	9	28	11	7	6	1	65
	% within Own ideological placement	.0%	5.4%	10.2%	17.5%	9.0%	4.1%	3.6%	9.1%	8.2%
Total	Count	18	56	88	160	122	169	169	11	793
	% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	2	7	1	23	77	69	195	0	374
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	7.2%	2.3%	37.7%	87.5%	82.1%	96.5%	.0%	51.0%
	Democratic candidate	Count	142	73	36	8	0	2	3	0	264
		% within 7 point Party ID	90.4%	75.3%	83.7%	13.1%	.0%	2.4%	1.5%	.0%	36.0%
	Neither	Count	5	5	1	22	5	5	1	0	44
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	5.2%	2.3%	36.1%	5.7%	6.0%	.5%	.0%	6.0%
	Don't know	Count	8	12	5	8	6	8	3	2	52
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	12.4%	11.6%	13.1%	6.8%	9.5%	1.5%	100.0%	7.1%
Total		Count	157	97	43	61	88	84	202	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	39	116	157	93	405
		% within age	37.1%	43.8%	52.9%	69.4%	50.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	43	95	97	32	267
		% within age	41.0%	35.8%	32.7%	23.9%	33.3%
	Neither	Count	8	24	27	4	63
		% within age	7.6%	9.1%	9.1%	3.0%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	15	30	16	5	66
		% within age	14.3%	11.3%	5.4%	3.7%	8.2%
Total		Count	105	265	297	134	801
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Education Crosstabulation

	Education						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

97

Vote choice for U.S. Congress									
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	10	166	144	17	49	18	404
		% within Education	45.5%	52.5%	52.7%	43.6%	45.8%	43.9%	50.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	6	98	94	10	40	17	265
		% within Education	27.3%	31.0%	34.4%	25.6%	37.4%	41.5%	33.2%
	Neither	Count	1	21	22	5	9	4	62
		% within Education	4.5%	6.6%	8.1%	12.8%	8.4%	9.8%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	5	31	13	7	9	2	67
		% within Education	22.7%	9.8%	4.8%	17.9%	8.4%	4.9%	8.4%
Total		Count	22	316	273	39	107	41	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	76	81	55	117	75	404
		% within Attendance at religious services	69.7%	57.0%	50.9%	52.9%	33.9%	50.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	21	41	40	74	91	267
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.3%	28.9%	37.0%	33.5%	41.2%	33.3%
	Neither	Count	5	13	6	13	26	63
		% within Attendance at religious services	4.6%	9.2%	5.6%	5.9%	11.8%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	7	7	7	17	29	67
		% within Attendance at religious services	6.4%	4.9%	6.5%	7.7%	13.1%	8.4%
Total		Count	109	142	108	221	221	801
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	330	8	53	0	5	5	401
		% within Race	64.0%	8.3%	36.8%	.0%	83.3%	20.8%	50.3%
	Democratic candidate	Count	116	78	55	7	0	10	266
		% within Race	22.5%	81.3%	38.2%	63.6%	.0%	41.7%	33.4%
	Neither	Count	36	8	11	1	1	7	64

		% within Race	7.0%	8.3%	7.6%	9.1%	16.7%	29.2%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	34	2	25	3	0	2	66
		% within Race	6.6%	2.1%	17.4%	27.3%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%
Total		Count	516	96	144	11	6	24	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	285	5	32	18	44	18	402
		% within Marital Status	59.0%	55.6%	40.5%	54.5%	29.9%	40.9%	50.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	126	3	30	12	78	15	264
		% within Marital Status	26.1%	33.3%	38.0%	36.4%	53.1%	34.1%	33.2%
	Neither	Count	35	0	10	2	10	5	62
		% within Marital Status	7.2%	.0%	12.7%	6.1%	6.8%	11.4%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	37	1	7	1	15	6	67
		% within Marital Status	7.7%	11.1%	8.9%	3.0%	10.2%	13.6%	8.4%
Total		Count	483	9	79	33	147	44	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	216	187	403
		% within Gender	57.6%	44.1%	50.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	111	156	267
		% within Gender	29.6%	36.8%	33.4%
	Neither	Count	27	35	62
		% within Gender	7.2%	8.3%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	21	46	67
		% within Gender	5.6%	10.8%	8.4%
Total		Count	375	424	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

99

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	88	205	111	404
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	38.8%	52.7%	60.3%	50.5%
	Democratic candidate	Count	100	119	48	267
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	44.1%	30.6%	26.1%	33.4%
	Neither	Count	18	33	11	62
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	7.9%	8.5%	6.0%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	21	32	14	67
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	9.3%	8.2%	7.6%	8.4%
Total		Count	227	389	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	74	100	46	43	137	400
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	42.0%	46.5%	70.8%	47.3%	55.5%	50.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	61	85	12	42	67	267
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	34.7%	39.5%	18.5%	46.2%	27.1%	33.6%
	Neither	Count	26	13	4	3	14	60
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.8%	6.0%	6.2%	3.3%	5.7%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	15	17	3	3	29	67
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	8.5%	7.9%	4.6%	3.3%	11.7%	8.4%
Total		Count	176	215	65	91	247	794

100

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	74	100	46	43	137	400
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	42.0%	46.5%	70.8%	47.3%	55.5%	50.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	61	85	12	42	67	267
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	34.7%	39.5%	18.5%	46.2%	27.1%	33.6%
	Neither	Count	26	13	4	3	14	60
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.8%	6.0%	6.2%	3.3%	5.7%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	15	17	3	3	29	67
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	8.5%	7.9%	4.6%	3.3%	11.7%	8.4%
Total		Count	176	215	65	91	247	794
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for U.S. Congress * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Vote choice for U.S. Congress	Republican candidate	Count	105	50	42	77	68	61	403
		% within region	62.9%	37.6%	35.0%	50.3%	62.4%	53.5%	50.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	41	62	44	61	23	33	264
		% within region	24.6%	46.6%	36.7%	39.9%	21.1%	28.9%	33.2%
	Neither	Count	14	8	21	8	6	5	62
		% within region	8.4%	6.0%	17.5%	5.2%	5.5%	4.4%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	7	13	13	7	12	15	67
		% within region	4.2%	9.8%	10.8%	4.6%	11.0%	13.2%	8.4%
Total		Count	167	133	120	153	109	114	796
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	1	0	7	24	65	144	140	1	382
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	.0%	8.0%	15.0%	53.3%	85.7%	86.4%	9.1%	48.7%
	Democratic candidate	Count	17	48	66	91	24	4	4	4	258
		% within Own ideological placement	89.5%	87.3%	75.9%	56.9%	19.7%	2.4%	2.5%	36.4%	32.9%
	Neither	Count	1	2	5	16	20	8	7	5	64
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	3.6%	5.7%	10.0%	16.4%	4.8%	4.3%	45.5%	8.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	5	9	29	13	12	11	1	80
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	9.1%	10.3%	18.1%	10.7%	7.1%	6.8%	9.1%	10.2%
Total		Count	19	55	87	160	122	168	162	11	784
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	2	5	0	18	71	65	191	0	352
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	5.2%	.0%	29.0%	79.8%	78.3%	97.4%	.0%	48.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	144	69	35	9	0	2	1	0	260
		% within 7 point Party ID	92.3%	71.1%	81.4%	14.5%	.0%	2.4%	.5%	.0%	35.7%
	Neither	Count	4	2	1	21	10	4	1	0	43
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	2.1%	2.3%	33.9%	11.2%	4.8%	.5%	.0%	5.9%
	Don't know	Count	6	21	7	14	8	12	3	2	73
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	21.6%	16.3%	22.6%	9.0%	14.5%	1.5%	100.0%	10.0%
Total		Count	156	97	43	62	89	83	196	2	728
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	35	105	152	88	380
		% within age	33.7%	40.2%	51.7%	66.2%	48.0%
	Democratic candidate	Count	43	91	97	33	264
		% within age	41.3%	34.9%	33.0%	24.8%	33.3%
	Neither	Count	16	20	27	3	66
		% within age	15.4%	7.7%	9.2%	2.3%	8.3%

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
	Don't know	Count	10	45	18	9			82
		% within age	9.6%	17.2%	6.1%	6.8%			10.4%
Total		Count	104	261	294	133			792
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	10	155	135	16	49	17	382
		% within Education	43.5%	50.0%	49.6%	40.0%	45.8%	41.5%	48.2%
	Democratic candidate	Count	6	96	92	11	41	17	263
		% within Education	26.1%	31.0%	33.8%	27.5%	38.3%	41.5%	33.2%
	Neither	Count	5	18	25	7	7	5	67
		% within Education	21.7%	5.8%	9.2%	17.5%	6.5%	12.2%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	2	41	20	6	10	2	81
		% within Education	8.7%	13.2%	7.4%	15.0%	9.3%	4.9%	10.2%
Total		Count	23	310	272	40	107	41	793
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	76	71	49	112	71	379
		% within Attendance at religious services	70.4%	52.2%	45.8%	50.7%	32.6%	48.0%
	Democratic candidate	Count	21	40	41	72	90	264
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.4%	29.4%	38.3%	32.6%	41.3%	33.4%
	Neither	Count	4	9	10	16	25	64
		% within Attendance at religious services	3.7%	6.6%	9.3%	7.2%	11.5%	8.1%
	Don't know	Count	7	16	7	21	32	83
		% within Attendance at religious services	6.5%	11.8%	6.5%	9.5%	14.7%	10.5%
Total		Count	108	136	107	221	218	790
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	320	6	43	0	4	5	378
		% within Race	62.3%	6.2%	30.7%	.0%	100.0%	20.8%	47.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	116	76	56	7	0	10	265
		% within Race	22.6%	78.4%	40.0%	63.6%	.0%	41.7%	33.5%
	Neither	Count	39	4	15	1	0	7	66
		% within Race	7.6%	4.1%	10.7%	9.1%	.0%	29.2%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	39	11	26	3	0	2	81
		% within Race	7.6%	11.3%	18.6%	27.3%	.0%	8.3%	10.3%
Total		Count	514	97	140	11	4	24	790
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	270	5	29	19	40	17	380
		% within Marital Status	56.4%	55.6%	36.3%	57.6%	27.2%	40.5%	48.1%
	Democratic candidate	Count	126	3	30	12	77	14	262
		% within Marital Status	26.3%	33.3%	37.5%	36.4%	52.4%	33.3%	33.2%
	Neither	Count	38	0	9	0	13	5	65
		% within Marital Status	7.9%	.0%	11.3%	.0%	8.8%	11.9%	8.2%
	Don't know	Count	45	1	12	2	17	6	83
		% within Marital Status	9.4%	11.1%	15.0%	6.1%	11.6%	14.3%	10.5%
Total		Count	479	9	80	33	147	42	790
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	200	180	380
		% within Gender	53.5%	43.4%	48.2%
	Democratic candidate	Count	106	158	264

			28.3%	38.1%	33.5%
	Neither	Count	32	31	63
		% within Gender	8.6%	7.5%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	36	46	82
		% within Gender	9.6%	11.1%	10.4%
Total		Count	374	415	789
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	86	195	100	381
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	38.6%	50.3%	55.6%	48.2%
	Democratic candidate	Count	95	121	48	264
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.6%	31.2%	26.7%	33.4%
	Neither	Count	11	38	15	64
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	4.9%	9.8%	8.3%	8.1%
	Don't know	Count	31	34	17	82
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	13.9%	8.8%	9.4%	10.4%
Total		Count	223	388	180	791
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	74	100	43	32	129	378
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	42.3%	46.7%	66.2%	36.0%	52.7%	48.0%
	Democratic candidate	Count	63	82	13	40	67	265

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	36.0%	38.3%	20.0%	44.9%	27.3%	33.6%
	Neither	Count	20	13	5	6	20	64
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	11.4%	6.1%	7.7%	6.7%	8.2%	8.1%
	Don't know	Count	18	19	4	11	29	81
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	10.3%	8.9%	6.2%	12.4%	11.8%	10.3%
Total		Count	175	214	65	89	245	788
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote choice for Texas state legislature * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Vote choice for Texas state legislature	Republican candidate	Count	104	53	40	66	61	58	382
		% within region	62.3%	40.2%	33.6%	43.1%	57.5%	50.4%	48.2%
	Democratic candidate	Count	38	60	48	62	23	32	263
		% within region	22.8%	45.5%	40.3%	40.5%	21.7%	27.8%	33.2%
	Neither	Count	17	5	15	10	9	9	65
		% within region	10.2%	3.8%	12.6%	6.5%	8.5%	7.8%	8.2%
	Don't know	Count	8	14	16	15	13	16	82
		% within region	4.8%	10.6%	13.4%	9.8%	12.3%	13.9%	10.4%
Total		Count	167	132	119	153	106	115	792
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	1	1	2	9	38	95	106	0	252
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	1.8%	2.3%	5.6%	31.1%	56.2%	62.7%	.0%	31.7%
	No	Count	18	56	85	152	84	74	63	11	543
		% within Own ideological placement	94.7%	98.2%	97.7%	94.4%	68.9%	43.8%	37.3%	100.0%	68.3%
Total		Count	19	57	87	161	122	169	169	11	795

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	1	1	2	9	38	95	106	0	252
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	1.8%	2.3%	5.6%	31.1%	56.2%	62.7%	.0%	31.7%
	No	Count	18	56	85	152	84	74	63	11	543
		% within Own ideological placement	94.7%	98.2%	97.7%	94.4%	68.9%	43.8%	37.3%	100.0%	68.3%
Total		Count	19	57	87	161	122	169	169	11	795
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	4	0	1	16	56	25	119	0	221
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	.0%	2.3%	26.2%	62.9%	29.8%	58.9%	.0%	30.1%
	No	Count	153	97	42	45	33	59	83	2	514
		% within 7 point Party ID	97.5%	100.0%	97.7%	73.8%	37.1%	70.2%	41.1%	100.0%	69.9%
Total		Count	157	97	43	61	89	84	202	2	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	20	63	105	65	253
		% within age	19.0%	23.8%	35.4%	48.5%	31.6%
	No	Count	85	202	192	69	548
		% within age	81.0%	76.2%	64.6%	51.5%	68.4%
Total		Count	105	265	297	134	801
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Education Crosstabulation

	Education						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	8	106	82	13	30	13	252
		% within Education	36.4%	33.5%	29.9%	33.3%	28.3%	31.0%	31.5%
	No	Count	14	210	192	26	76	29	547
		% within Education	63.6%	66.5%	70.1%	66.7%	71.7%	69.0%	68.5%
Total		Count	22	316	274	39	106	42	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	49	48	33	73	48	251
		% within Attendance at religious services	45.0%	34.0%	30.8%	33.0%	21.8%	31.5%
	No	Count	60	93	74	148	172	547
		% within Attendance at religious services	55.0%	66.0%	69.2%	67.0%	78.2%	68.5%
Total		Count	109	141	107	221	220	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	212	7	24	1	3	4	251
		% within Race	41.1%	7.3%	16.7%	9.1%	60.0%	16.7%	31.5%
	No	Count	304	89	120	10	2	20	545
		% within Race	58.9%	92.7%	83.3%	90.9%	40.0%	83.3%	68.5%
Total		Count	516	96	144	11	5	24	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Marital Status Crosstabulation

	Marital Status	Total

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	184	1	18	13	20	15	251
		% within Marital Status	38.1%	11.1%	22.5%	38.2%	13.5%	34.9%	31.5%
	No	Count	299	8	62	21	128	28	546
		% within Marital Status	61.9%	88.9%	77.5%	61.8%	86.5%	65.1%	68.5%
Total		Count	483	9	80	34	148	43	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	153	99	252
		% within Gender	40.8%	23.4%	31.6%
	No	Count	222	324	546
		% within Gender	59.2%	76.6%	68.4%
Total		Count	375	423	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	42	129	81	252
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	18.5%	33.2%	44.0%	31.5%
	No	Count	185	260	103	548
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	81.5%	66.8%	56.0%	68.5%
Total		Count	227	389	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

	Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	Total

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	53	74	22	23	80	252
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	30.1%	34.3%	34.4%	25.3%	32.3%	31.7%
	No	Count	123	142	42	68	168	543
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	69.9%	65.7%	65.6%	74.7%	67.7%	68.3%
Total		Count	176	216	64	91	248	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Involvement in Tea Party movement * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Involvement in Tea Party movement	Yes	Count	72	37	28	46	41	28	252
		% within region	42.9%	27.8%	23.3%	29.9%	37.3%	24.3%	31.5%
	No	Count	96	96	92	108	69	87	548
		% within region	57.1%	72.2%	76.7%	70.1%	62.7%	75.7%	68.5%
Total		Count	168	133	120	154	110	115	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	1	1	4	16	33	41	52	0	148
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	1.8%	4.5%	10.1%	26.8%	24.3%	30.6%	.0%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	16	52	69	81	24	7	8	4	261
		% within Own ideological placement	84.2%	91.2%	78.4%	50.9%	19.5%	4.1%	4.7%	36.4%	32.8%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	1	0	3	8	28	64	56	0	160
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	.0%	3.4%	5.0%	22.8%	37.9%	32.9%	.0%	20.1%

											Total
Don't know	Count		1	4	12	54	38	57	54	7	227
	% within Own ideological placement		5.3%	7.0%	13.6%	34.0%	30.9%	33.7%	31.8%	63.6%	28.5%
Total	Count		19	57	88	159	123	169	170	11	796
	% within Own ideological placement		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	0	5	1	4	9	36	88	0	143
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	5.1%	2.3%	6.5%	10.2%	42.9%	43.6%	.0%	19.5%
	Democratic candidate	Count	144	74	32	9	0	3	0	0	262
		% within 7 point Party ID	92.3%	75.5%	74.4%	14.5%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	35.6%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	3	1	1	15	41	18	55	0	134
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	1.0%	2.3%	24.2%	46.6%	21.4%	27.2%	.0%	18.2%
	Don't know	Count	9	18	9	34	38	27	59	2	196
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.8%	18.4%	20.9%	54.8%	43.2%	32.1%	29.2%	100.0%	26.7%
Total		Count	156	98	43	62	88	84	202	2	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	20	49	49	29	147
		% within age	18.9%	18.5%	16.6%	21.6%	18.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	36	98	97	36	267
		% within age	34.0%	37.0%	32.8%	26.9%	33.3%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	10	50	73	28	161
		% within age	9.4%	18.9%	24.7%	20.9%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	40	68	77	41	226
		% within age	37.7%	25.7%	26.0%	30.6%	28.2%
Total		Count	106	265	296	134	801
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Education Crosstabulation

		Education						Total
		No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

111

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	4	63	54	2	17	7	147
		% within Education	18.2%	19.9%	19.7%	5.0%	16.2%	16.7%	18.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	6	98	90	10	44	18	266
		% within Education	27.3%	30.9%	32.8%	25.0%	41.9%	42.9%	33.3%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	5	66	49	14	20	7	161
		% within Education	22.7%	20.8%	17.9%	35.0%	19.0%	16.7%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	7	90	81	14	24	10	226
		% within Education	31.8%	28.4%	29.6%	35.0%	22.9%	23.8%	28.2%
Total		Count	22	317	274	40	105	42	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	29	41	19	34	25	148
		% within Attendance at religious services	26.9%	28.9%	17.8%	15.4%	11.4%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	21	44	38	69	93	265
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.4%	31.0%	35.5%	31.2%	42.5%	33.2%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	26	19	22	54	39	160
		% within Attendance at religious services	24.1%	13.4%	20.6%	24.4%	17.8%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	32	38	28	64	62	224
		% within Attendance at religious services	29.6%	26.8%	26.2%	29.0%	28.3%	28.1%
Total		Count	108	142	107	221	219	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Race Crosstabulation

			Race					
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	122	2	16	0	3	3	146
		% within Race	23.6%	2.1%	11.1%	.0%	60.0%	12.0%	18.3%
	Democratic candidate	Count	113	76	59	8	0	10	266
		% within Race	21.9%	79.2%	41.0%	66.7%	.0%	40.0%	33.3%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	126	5	22	1	1	5	160

			% within Race	24.4%	5.2%	15.3%	8.3%	20.0%	20.0%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count		155	13	47	3	1	7	226
		% within Race		30.0%	13.5%	32.6%	25.0%	20.0%	28.0%	28.3%
Total		Count		516	96	144	12	5	25	798
		% within Race		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	97	3	11	11	21	4	147
		% within Marital Status	20.1%	33.3%	13.8%	34.4%	14.3%	9.1%	18.5%
	Democratic candidate	Count	131	3	33	12	70	14	263
		% within Marital Status	27.1%	33.3%	41.3%	37.5%	47.6%	31.8%	33.1%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	113	0	13	2	22	11	161
		% within Marital Status	23.4%	.0%	16.3%	6.3%	15.0%	25.0%	20.3%
	Don't know	Count	142	3	23	7	34	15	224
		% within Marital Status	29.4%	33.3%	28.7%	21.9%	23.1%	34.1%	28.2%
Total		Count	483	9	80	32	147	44	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	57	89	146
		% within Gender	15.2%	21.1%	18.3%
	Democratic candidate	Count	106	160	266
		% within Gender	28.3%	37.9%	33.4%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	110	51	161
		% within Gender	29.3%	12.1%	20.2%
	Don't know	Count	102	122	224
		% within Gender	27.2%	28.9%	28.1%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

113

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	41	77	29	147
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	18.1%	19.7%	15.8%	18.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	106	113	47	266
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	46.7%	29.0%	25.7%	33.3%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	33	80	48	161
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	14.5%	20.5%	26.2%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	47	120	59	226
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	20.7%	30.8%	32.2%	28.2%
Total		Count	227	390	183	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	27	36	21	12	52	148
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	15.4%	16.7%	32.8%	13.0%	21.0%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	66	78	10	41	70	265
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	37.7%	36.1%	15.6%	44.6%	28.2%	33.3%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	38	45	11	16	50	160
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.7%	20.8%	17.2%	17.4%	20.2%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	44	57	22	23	76	222
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	25.1%	26.4%	34.4%	25.0%	30.6%	27.9%
Total		Count	175	216	64	92	248	795

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	27	36	21	12	52	148
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	15.4%	16.7%	32.8%	13.0%	21.0%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	66	78	10	41	70	265
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	37.7%	36.1%	15.6%	44.6%	28.2%	33.3%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	38	45	11	16	50	160
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.7%	20.8%	17.2%	17.4%	20.2%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	44	57	22	23	76	222
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	25.1%	26.4%	34.4%	25.0%	30.6%	27.9%
Total		Count	175	216	64	92	248	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Hypothetical U.S. House candidate choice if Tea Party existed as political party	Republican candidate	Count	36	20	19	18	29	25	147
		% within region	21.6%	15.0%	16.0%	11.7%	26.4%	21.7%	18.4%
	Democratic candidate	Count	40	58	49	62	22	33	264
		% within region	24.0%	43.6%	41.2%	40.3%	20.0%	28.7%	33.1%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	43	22	21	34	24	17	161
		% within region	25.7%	16.5%	17.6%	22.1%	21.8%	14.8%	20.2%
	Don't know	Count	48	33	30	40	35	40	226
		% within region	28.7%	24.8%	25.2%	26.0%	31.8%	34.8%	28.3%
Total		Count	167	133	119	154	110	115	798
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	10	30	35	68	30	27	23	5	228
		% within Own ideological placement	55.6%	52.6%	40.2%	42.5%	25.0%	16.2%	13.7%	45.5%	28.9%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	8	27	52	92	90	140	145	6	560
		% within Own ideological placement	44.4%	47.4%	59.8%	57.5%	75.0%	83.8%	86.3%	54.5%	71.1%
Total		Count	18	57	87	160	120	167	168	11	788
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	86	40	21	8	4	22	37	2	220
		% within 7 point Party ID	55.1%	41.2%	48.8%	13.1%	4.6%	26.2%	18.5%	100.0%	30.1%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	70	57	22	53	83	62	163	0	510
		% within 7 point Party ID	44.9%	58.8%	51.2%	86.9%	95.4%	73.8%	81.5%	.0%	69.9%
Total		Count	156	97	43	61	87	84	200	2	730
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	45	87	76	26	234
		% within age	42.9%	33.0%	25.8%	19.8%	29.4%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	60	177	219	105	561
		% within age	57.1%	67.0%	74.2%	80.2%	70.6%
Total		Count	105	264	295	131	795
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	5	87	88	10	31	13	234
		% within Education	22.7%	27.6%	32.4%	25.0%	29.5%	31.7%	29.4%

	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	17	228	184	30	74	28	561
		% within Education	77.3%	72.4%	67.6%	75.0%	70.5%	68.3%	70.6%
Total		Count	22	315	272	40	105	41	795
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	25	43	39	65	61	233
		% within Attendance at religious services	23.6%	30.3%	36.4%	29.4%	28.0%	29.3%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	81	99	68	156	157	561
		% within Attendance at religious services	76.4%	69.7%	63.6%	70.6%	72.0%	70.7%
Total		Count	106	142	107	221	218	794
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Race Crosstabulation

			Race					
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	122	43	55	8	2	4	234
		% within Race	23.8%	44.8%	38.5%	72.7%	33.3%	16.0%	29.5%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	390	53	88	3	4	21	559
		% within Race	76.2%	55.2%	61.5%	27.3%	66.7%	84.0%	70.5%
Total		Count	512	96	143	11	6	25	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status					
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	130	4	20	12	53	13	232
		% within Marital Status	27.1%	40.0%	25.0%	36.4%	36.1%	29.5%	29.3%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	349	6	60	21	94	31	561
		% within Marital Status	72.9%	60.0%	75.0%	63.6%	63.9%	70.5%	70.7%

Total	Count	479	10	80	33	147	44	793
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	88	146	234
		% within Gender	23.7%	34.7%	29.5%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	284	275	559
		% within Gender	76.3%	65.3%	70.5%
Total		Count	372	421	793
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	71	112	51	234
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	31.4%	29.0%	28.0%	29.5%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	155	274	131	560
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	68.6%	71.0%	72.0%	70.5%
Total		Count	226	386	182	794
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	45	66	11	29	84	235
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	25.6%	30.8%	16.9%	32.2%	34.3%	29.7%
	A candidate who has	Count	131	148	54	61	161	555

			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
	never been in Congress	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	74.4%	69.2%	83.1%	67.8%	65.7%		70.3%
Total		Count	176	214	65	90	245		790
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Preference for experienced congressional candidate * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Preference for experienced congressional candidate	A candidate who has been in Congress	Count	51	44	30	44	28	37	234
		% within region	30.7%	33.3%	25.0%	28.6%	25.5%	32.5%	29.4%
	A candidate who has never been in Congress	Count	115	88	90	110	82	77	562
		% within region	69.3%	66.7%	75.0%	71.4%	74.5%	67.5%	70.6%
Total		Count	166	132	120	154	110	114	796
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	2	0	4	5	0	0	4	4	19
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	.0%	4.6%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	36.4%	2.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	8	18	23	29	20	21	8	1	128
		% within Own ideological placement	40.0%	31.6%	26.4%	18.1%	16.4%	12.6%	4.7%	9.1%	16.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	3	22	30	56	34	55	47	1	248
		% within Own ideological placement	15.0%	38.6%	34.5%	35.0%	27.9%	32.9%	27.6%	9.1%	31.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	5	6	16	43	59	81	105	2	317
		% within Own ideological placement	25.0%	10.5%	18.4%	26.9%	48.4%	48.5%	61.8%	18.2%	39.9%
	Don't know	Count	2	11	14	27	9	10	6	3	82
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	19.3%	16.1%	16.9%	7.4%	6.0%	3.5%	27.3%	10.3%
Total		Count	20	57	87	160	122	167	170	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

119

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	8	5	1	0	0	0	4	0	18
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	5.2%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	54	24	10	8	3	14	14	0	127
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.2%	24.7%	23.8%	13.1%	3.4%	16.9%	6.9%	.0%	17.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	41	31	17	17	36	29	64	0	235
		% within 7 point Party ID	25.9%	32.0%	40.5%	27.9%	40.9%	34.9%	31.5%	.0%	32.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	32	21	7	34	48	36	106	0	284
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.3%	21.6%	16.7%	55.7%	54.5%	43.4%	52.2%	.0%	38.7%
	Don't know	Count	23	16	7	2	1	4	15	2	70
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.6%	16.5%	16.7%	3.3%	1.1%	4.8%	7.4%	100.0%	9.5%
Total		Count	158	97	42	61	88	83	203	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	6	5	6	1	18
		% within age	5.7%	1.9%	2.0%	.8%	2.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	19	48	44	16	127
		% within age	17.9%	18.1%	14.9%	12.2%	15.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	37	73	96	41	247
		% within age	34.9%	27.5%	32.4%	31.3%	31.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	22	102	130	68	322
		% within age	20.8%	38.5%	43.9%	51.9%	40.4%
	Don't know	Count	22	37	20	5	84
		% within age	20.8%	14.0%	6.8%	3.8%	10.5%
Total		Count	106	265	296	131	798
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	0	11	4	1	2	1	19
		% within Education	.0%	3.5%	1.5%	2.6%	1.9%	2.4%	2.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	4	40	54	6	16	8	128

		% within Education	18.2%	12.7%	19.7%	15.4%	15.0%	19.0%	16.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	5	98	76	8	44	15	246
		% within Education	22.7%	31.1%	27.7%	20.5%	41.1%	35.7%	30.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	8	134	104	22	37	18	323
		% within Education	36.4%	42.5%	38.0%	56.4%	34.6%	42.9%	40.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	32	36	2	8	0	83
		% within Education	22.7%	10.2%	13.1%	5.1%	7.5%	.0%	10.4%
Total		Count	22	315	274	39	107	42	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	5	3	2	5	3	18
		% within Attendance at religious services	4.6%	2.1%	1.9%	2.3%	1.4%	2.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	14	26	20	38	29	127
		% within Attendance at religious services	13.0%	18.3%	18.9%	17.1%	13.2%	15.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	41	45	34	73	54	247
		% within Attendance at religious services	38.0%	31.7%	32.1%	32.9%	24.7%	31.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	46	60	42	82	91	321
		% within Attendance at religious services	42.6%	42.3%	39.6%	36.9%	41.6%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	8	8	24	42	84
		% within Attendance at religious services	1.9%	5.6%	7.5%	10.8%	19.2%	10.5%
Total		Count	108	142	106	222	219	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	8	4	4	2	0	1	19
		% within Race	1.6%	4.2%	2.8%	18.2%	.0%	4.2%	2.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	70	20	32	1	0	3	126

			13.6%	20.8%	22.2%	9.1%	.0%	12.5%	15.9%
		% within Race	13.6%	20.8%	22.2%	9.1%	.0%	12.5%	15.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	161	24	43	7	1	11	247
		% within Race	31.3%	25.0%	29.9%	63.6%	20.0%	45.8%	31.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	229	32	47	1	4	6	319
		% within Race	44.6%	33.3%	32.6%	9.1%	80.0%	25.0%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	46	16	18	0	0	3	83
		% within Race	8.9%	16.7%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	10.5%
Total		Count	514	96	144	11	5	24	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	11	0	2	1	5	0	19
		% within Marital Status	2.3%	.0%	2.5%	3.1%	3.4%	.0%	2.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	59	3	16	4	38	7	127
		% within Marital Status	12.3%	30.0%	20.0%	12.5%	25.9%	16.3%	16.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	154	2	19	10	56	3	244
		% within Marital Status	32.0%	20.0%	23.8%	31.3%	38.1%	7.0%	30.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	210	3	35	11	34	27	320
		% within Marital Status	43.7%	30.0%	43.8%	34.4%	23.1%	62.8%	40.4%
	Don't know	Count	47	2	8	6	14	6	83
		% within Marital Status	9.8%	20.0%	10.0%	18.8%	9.5%	14.0%	10.5%
Total		Count	481	10	80	32	147	43	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	5	13	18
		% within Gender	1.3%	3.1%	2.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	53	75	128
		% within Gender	14.2%	17.7%	16.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	93	152	245
		% within Gender	24.9%	35.9%	30.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	201	121	322
		% within Gender	53.9%	28.6%	40.5%

	Don't know	Count		21	62	83
		% within Gender		5.6%	14.7%	10.4%
Total		Count		373	423	796
		% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	8	5	6	19
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	3.5%	1.3%	3.3%	2.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	49	55	23	127
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.6%	14.1%	12.6%	15.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	71	130	47	248
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	31.3%	33.4%	25.7%	31.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	75	161	86	322
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	33.0%	41.4%	47.0%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	24	38	21	83
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	10.6%	9.8%	11.5%	10.4%
Total		Count	227	389	183	799
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
gAgreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	3	4	3	1	8	19
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.7%	1.9%	4.5%	1.1%	3.2%	2.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	32	34	10	17	35	128

	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	18.3%	15.8%	15.2%	18.7%	14.2%	16.1%
Somewhat disagree	Count	43	79	24	32	67	245
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	24.6%	36.7%	36.4%	35.2%	27.1%	30.9%
Strongly disagree	Count	91	74	24	35	97	321
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	52.0%	34.4%	36.4%	38.5%	39.3%	40.4%
Don't know	Count	6	24	5	6	40	81
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	3.4%	11.2%	7.6%	6.6%	16.2%	10.2%
Total	Count	175	215	66	91	247	794
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Agreement - Most representatives deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	6	1	1	3	5	4	20
		% within region	3.6%	.8%	.8%	1.9%	4.5%	3.4%	2.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	23	26	18	24	15	21	127
		% within region	13.8%	19.7%	15.0%	15.6%	13.6%	18.1%	15.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	47	50	30	51	30	40	248
		% within region	28.1%	37.9%	25.0%	33.1%	27.3%	34.5%	31.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	73	37	66	62	48	36	322
		% within region	43.7%	28.0%	55.0%	40.3%	43.6%	31.0%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	18	18	5	14	12	15	82
		% within region	10.8%	13.6%	4.2%	9.1%	10.9%	12.9%	10.3%
Total		Count	167	132	120	154	110	116	799
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Agreement - Most	Strongly agree	Count	1	0	4	5	0	0	3	4	17

Senators deserve re-election											
		% within Own ideological placement	5.6%	.0%	4.5%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	36.4%	2.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	5	14	24	24	12	19	6	1	105
		% within Own ideological placement	27.8%	25.0%	27.3%	15.0%	9.9%	11.2%	3.6%	9.1%	13.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	6	25	29	56	43	50	43	1	253
		% within Own ideological placement	33.3%	44.6%	33.0%	35.0%	35.5%	29.6%	25.4%	9.1%	31.9%
	Strongly disagree	Count	6	7	14	54	58	90	111	2	342
		% within Own ideological placement	33.3%	12.5%	15.9%	33.8%	47.9%	53.3%	65.7%	18.2%	43.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	10	17	21	8	10	6	3	75
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	17.9%	19.3%	13.1%	6.6%	5.9%	3.6%	27.3%	9.5%
Total		Count	18	56	88	160	121	169	169	11	792
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	6	4	1	0	0	0	3	0	14
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	4.1%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	44	17	8	7	2	11	13	0	102
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.2%	17.5%	19.0%	11.5%	2.2%	13.1%	6.5%	.0%	13.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	57	37	15	15	27	28	64	0	243
		% within 7 point Party ID	36.5%	38.1%	35.7%	24.6%	30.3%	33.3%	31.8%	.0%	33.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	26	24	12	37	59	41	112	0	311
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.7%	24.7%	28.6%	60.7%	66.3%	48.8%	55.7%	.0%	42.5%
	Don't know	Count	23	15	6	2	1	4	9	2	62
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.7%	15.5%	14.3%	3.3%	1.1%	4.8%	4.5%	100.0%	8.5%
Total		Count	156	97	42	61	89	84	201	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	6	3	5	1	15
		% within age	5.7%	1.1%	1.7%	.8%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	15	44	32	14	105
		% within age	14.3%	16.6%	10.8%	10.6%	13.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	29	77	104	45	255
		% within age	27.6%	29.1%	35.1%	34.1%	32.0%

	Strongly disagree	Count	32	106	141	69	348
		% within age	30.5%	40.0%	47.6%	52.3%	43.6%
	Don't know	Count	23	35	14	3	75
		% within age	21.9%	13.2%	4.7%	2.3%	9.4%
Total		Count	105	265	296	132	798
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	0	10	3	0	1	1	15
		% within Education	.0%	3.2%	1.1%	.0%	1.0%	2.3%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	4	26	45	6	14	8	103
		% within Education	18.2%	8.3%	16.5%	15.0%	13.3%	18.6%	12.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	10	96	87	9	40	13	255
		% within Education	45.5%	30.6%	32.0%	22.5%	38.1%	30.2%	32.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	4	146	112	24	42	20	348
		% within Education	18.2%	46.5%	41.2%	60.0%	40.0%	46.5%	43.7%
	Don't know	Count	4	36	25	1	8	1	75
		% within Education	18.2%	11.5%	9.2%	2.5%	7.6%	2.3%	9.4%
Total		Count	22	314	272	40	105	43	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	4	3	2	3	2	14
		% within Attendance at religious services	3.7%	2.1%	1.9%	1.4%	.9%	1.8%
	Somewhat agree	Count	10	15	17	33	30	105
		% within Attendance at religious services	9.3%	10.6%	15.9%	14.9%	13.7%	13.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	39	48	36	74	56	253
		% within Attendance at religious services	36.1%	34.0%	33.6%	33.5%	25.6%	31.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	52	66	45	94	91	348

		% within Attendance at religious services	48.1%	46.8%	42.1%	42.5%	41.6%	43.7%
	Don't know	Count	3	9	7	17	40	76
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	6.4%	6.5%	7.7%	18.3%	9.5%
Total		Count	108	141	107	221	219	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	7	2	4	2	0	1	16
		% within Race	1.4%	2.1%	2.8%	18.2%	.0%	4.2%	2.0%
	Somewhat agree	Count	58	19	23	1	0	3	104
		% within Race	11.3%	19.8%	16.0%	9.1%	.0%	12.5%	13.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	163	27	46	7	1	9	253
		% within Race	31.7%	28.1%	31.9%	63.6%	20.0%	37.5%	31.9%
	Strongly disagree	Count	249	31	52	1	4	8	345
		% within Race	48.4%	32.3%	36.1%	9.1%	80.0%	33.3%	43.5%
	Don't know	Count	37	17	19	0	0	3	76
		% within Race	7.2%	17.7%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	9.6%
Total		Count	514	96	144	11	5	24	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	9	0	2	0	4	0	15
		% within Marital Status	1.9%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	44	2	14	6	32	7	105
		% within Marital Status	9.1%	20.0%	17.5%	18.2%	21.9%	16.3%	13.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	160	4	26	11	48	2	251
		% within Marital Status	33.3%	40.0%	32.5%	33.3%	32.9%	4.7%	31.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	219	3	32	13	50	30	347
		% within Marital Status	45.5%	30.0%	40.0%	39.4%	34.2%	69.8%	43.8%
	Don't know	Count	49	1	6	3	12	4	75

	% within Marital Status	10.2%	10.0%	7.5%	9.1%	8.2%	9.3%	9.5%
Total	Count	481	10	80	33	146	43	793
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	4	11	15
		% within Gender	1.1%	2.6%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	47	58	105
		% within Gender	12.6%	13.8%	13.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	100	151	251
		% within Gender	26.7%	36.0%	31.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	212	136	348
		% within Gender	56.7%	32.4%	43.8%
	Don't know	Count	11	64	75
		% within Gender	2.9%	15.2%	9.4%
Total		Count	374	420	794
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	6	5	4	15
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	2.7%	1.3%	2.2%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	41	46	17	104
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	18.1%	11.9%	9.3%	13.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	72	136	46	254
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	31.9%	35.1%	25.1%	31.9%
	Strongly disagree	Count	82	171	94	347
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	36.3%	44.2%	51.4%	43.6%
	Don't know	Count	25	29	22	76

128

	% within Type of community respondent lives in		11.1%	7.5%	12.0%	9.5%
Total	Count		226	387	183	796
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	3	3	2	1	6	15
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.7%	1.4%	3.1%	1.1%	2.4%	1.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	24	38	7	9	27	105
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	13.7%	17.8%	10.8%	9.9%	10.9%	13.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	54	60	29	36	71	250
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	30.9%	28.2%	44.6%	39.6%	28.7%	31.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	85	93	25	40	105	348
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	48.6%	43.7%	38.5%	44.0%	42.5%	44.0%
	Don't know	Count	9	19	2	5	38	73
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	5.1%	8.9%	3.1%	5.5%	15.4%	9.2%
Total		Count	175	213	65	91	247	791
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Agreement - Most Senators deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	4	0	1	3	4	3	15
		% within region	2.4%	.0%	.8%	1.9%	3.6%	2.6%	1.9%

									Total
	Somewhat agree	Count	22	32	12	14	13	12	105
		% within region	13.2%	24.4%	10.1%	9.1%	11.8%	10.5%	13.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	41	36	37	64	27	48	253
		% within region	24.6%	27.5%	31.1%	41.6%	24.5%	42.1%	31.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	80	50	61	61	55	40	347
		% within region	47.9%	38.2%	51.3%	39.6%	50.0%	35.1%	43.6%
	Don't know	Count	20	13	8	12	11	11	75
		% within region	12.0%	9.9%	6.7%	7.8%	10.0%	9.6%	9.4%
Total		Count	167	131	119	154	110	114	795
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	3	6	10	12	4	32	46	3	116
		% within Own ideological placement	15.0%	10.7%	11.4%	7.5%	3.3%	18.9%	27.2%	27.3%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	2	6	19	28	33	53	30	2	173
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	10.7%	21.6%	17.4%	27.5%	31.4%	17.8%	18.2%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	2	13	13	45	42	25	29	0	169
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	23.2%	14.8%	28.0%	35.0%	14.8%	17.2%	.0%	21.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	11	21	21	42	22	43	46	2	208
		% within Own ideological placement	55.0%	37.5%	23.9%	26.1%	18.3%	25.4%	27.2%	18.2%	26.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	10	25	34	19	16	18	4	128
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	17.9%	28.4%	21.1%	15.8%	9.5%	10.7%	36.4%	16.1%
Total		Count	20	56	88	161	120	169	169	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	18	6	5	4	13	10	54	0	110
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.5%	6.2%	11.9%	6.6%	14.8%	11.8%	27.0%	.0%	15.0%
	Somewhat agree	Count	25	18	11	13	25	19	50	0	161
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.9%	18.6%	26.2%	21.3%	28.4%	22.4%	25.0%	.0%	22.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	38	26	8	13	20	18	32	0	155
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.2%	26.8%	19.0%	21.3%	22.7%	21.2%	16.0%	.0%	21.2%

Strongly disagree	Count		46	21	11	25	24	22	40	0	189
	% within 7 point Party ID		29.3%	21.6%	26.2%	41.0%	27.3%	25.9%	20.0%	.0%	25.8%
Don't know	Count		30	26	7	6	6	16	24	2	117
	% within 7 point Party ID		19.1%	26.8%	16.7%	9.8%	6.8%	18.8%	12.0%	100.0%	16.0%
Total	Count		157	97	42	61	88	85	200	2	732
	% within 7 point Party ID		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	17	28	40	31	116
		% within age	16.3%	10.6%	13.6%	23.1%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	15	66	63	29	173
		% within age	14.4%	24.9%	21.4%	21.6%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	27	58	66	21	172
		% within age	26.0%	21.9%	22.4%	15.7%	21.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	16	62	88	42	208
		% within age	15.4%	23.4%	29.9%	31.3%	26.1%
	Don't know	Count	29	51	37	11	128
		% within age	27.9%	19.2%	12.6%	8.2%	16.1%
Total		Count	104	265	294	134	797
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	4	45	44	6	12	5	116
		% within Education	19.0%	14.3%	16.1%	15.0%	11.3%	11.9%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	3	55	63	11	33	6	171
		% within Education	14.3%	17.5%	23.0%	27.5%	31.1%	14.3%	21.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	8	78	53	6	20	8	173
		% within Education	38.1%	24.8%	19.3%	15.0%	18.9%	19.0%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	5	71	70	14	31	19	210
		% within Education	23.8%	22.6%	25.5%	35.0%	29.2%	45.2%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	65	44	3	10	4	127
		% within Education	4.8%	20.7%	16.1%	7.5%	9.4%	9.5%	15.9%
Total		Count	21	314	274	40	106	42	797

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	4	45	44	6	12	5	116
		% within Education	19.0%	14.3%	16.1%	15.0%	11.3%	11.9%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	3	55	63	11	33	6	171
		% within Education	14.3%	17.5%	23.0%	27.5%	31.1%	14.3%	21.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	8	78	53	6	20	8	173
		% within Education	38.1%	24.8%	19.3%	15.0%	18.9%	19.0%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	5	71	70	14	31	19	210
		% within Education	23.8%	22.6%	25.5%	35.0%	29.2%	45.2%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	65	44	3	10	4	127
		% within Education	4.8%	20.7%	16.1%	7.5%	9.4%	9.5%	15.9%
Total		Count	21	314	274	40	106	42	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	27	28	13	31	18	117
		% within Attendance at religious services	24.8%	19.9%	12.0%	13.9%	8.3%	14.7%
	Somewhat agree	Count	24	43	20	51	35	173
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.0%	30.5%	18.5%	22.9%	16.1%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	19	28	29	45	51	172
		% within Attendance at religious services	17.4%	19.9%	26.9%	20.2%	23.5%	21.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	27	28	32	60	61	208
		% within Attendance at religious services	24.8%	19.9%	29.6%	26.9%	28.1%	26.1%
	Don't know	Count	12	14	14	36	52	128
		% within Attendance at religious services	11.0%	9.9%	13.0%	16.1%	24.0%	16.0%
Total		Count	109	141	108	223	217	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Race Crosstabulation

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
					Race				
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	85	5	16	2	3	5	116
		% within Race	16.5%	5.2%	11.3%	18.2%	50.0%	20.8%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	122	13	32	1	0	3	171
		% within Race	23.6%	13.5%	22.7%	9.1%	.0%	12.5%	21.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	95	29	29	7	2	10	172
		% within Race	18.4%	30.2%	20.6%	63.6%	33.3%	41.7%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	143	19	42	1	1	3	209
		% within Race	27.7%	19.8%	29.8%	9.1%	16.7%	12.5%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	71	30	22	0	0	3	126
		% within Race	13.8%	31.3%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	15.9%
Total		Count	516	96	141	11	6	24	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
					Marital Status				
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	85	1	5	3	16	5	115
		% within Marital Status	17.6%	10.0%	6.3%	9.1%	11.0%	11.4%	14.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	105	3	15	3	40	6	172
		% within Marital Status	21.8%	30.0%	18.8%	9.1%	27.4%	13.6%	21.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	95	2	23	5	39	8	172
		% within Marital Status	19.7%	20.0%	28.7%	15.2%	26.7%	18.2%	21.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	124	3	26	13	25	18	209
		% within Marital Status	25.7%	30.0%	32.5%	39.4%	17.1%	40.9%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	73	1	11	9	26	7	127
		% within Marital Status	15.1%	10.0%	13.8%	27.3%	17.8%	15.9%	16.0%
Total		Count	482	10	80	33	146	44	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Gender Crosstabulation

			Male	Female	Total
				Gender	
Agreement - Own	Strongly agree	Count	63	53	116

133

representative deserve re-election		% within Gender	16.8%	12.6%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	97	75	172
		% within Gender	25.9%	17.8%	21.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	68	103	171
		% within Gender	18.2%	24.5%	21.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	116	93	209
		% within Gender	31.0%	22.1%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	30	97	127
		% within Gender	8.0%	23.0%	16.0%
Total		Count	374	421	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	27	60	28	115
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.9%	15.5%	15.2%	14.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	50	82	41	173
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	22.1%	21.2%	22.3%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	46	89	38	173
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	20.4%	23.0%	20.7%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	60	102	47	209
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	26.5%	26.4%	25.5%	26.2%
	Don't know	Count	43	54	30	127
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	19.0%	14.0%	16.3%	15.9%
Total		Count	226	387	184	797
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

	Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	Total

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	26	27	9	13	40	115
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.9%	12.5%	13.6%	14.3%	16.3%	14.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	35	44	14	23	57	173
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	20.1%	20.4%	21.2%	25.3%	23.2%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	40	56	12	15	50	173
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.0%	25.9%	18.2%	16.5%	20.3%	21.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	50	62	23	23	49	207
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	28.7%	28.7%	34.8%	25.3%	19.9%	26.1%
	Don't know	Count	23	27	8	17	50	125
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	13.2%	12.5%	12.1%	18.7%	20.3%	15.8%
Total		Count	174	216	66	91	246	793
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * region Crosstabulation

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	37	12	15	24	19	9	116
		% within region	22.4%	9.1%	12.6%	15.7%	17.1%	7.8%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	43	24	18	34	31	23	173
		% within region	26.1%	18.2%	15.1%	22.2%	27.9%	19.8%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	28	36	29	28	18	34	173
		% within region	17.0%	27.3%	24.4%	18.3%	16.2%	29.3%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	31	41	36	41	22	37	208
		% within region	18.8%	31.1%	30.3%	26.8%	19.8%	31.9%	26.1%
	Don't know	Count	26	19	21	26	21	13	126
		% within region	15.8%	14.4%	17.6%	17.0%	18.9%	11.2%	15.8%
Total		Count	165	132	119	153	111	116	796

Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Agreement - Own representative deserve re-election	Strongly agree	Count	37	12	15	24	19	9	116
		% within region	22.4%	9.1%	12.6%	15.7%	17.1%	7.8%	14.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	43	24	18	34	31	23	173
		% within region	26.1%	18.2%	15.1%	22.2%	27.9%	19.8%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	28	36	29	28	18	34	173
		% within region	17.0%	27.3%	24.4%	18.3%	16.2%	29.3%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	31	41	36	41	22	37	208
		% within region	18.8%	31.1%	30.3%	26.8%	19.8%	31.9%	26.1%
	Don't know	Count	26	19	21	26	21	13	126
		% within region	15.8%	14.4%	17.6%	17.0%	18.9%	11.2%	15.8%
Total		Count	165	132	119	153	111	116	796
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	13	35	30	60	45	67	86	3	339
		% within Own ideological placement	68.4%	62.5%	34.1%	37.5%	36.9%	39.6%	50.9%	27.3%	42.7%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	3	14	28	35	36	49	25	4	194
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	25.0%	31.8%	21.9%	29.5%	29.0%	14.8%	36.4%	24.4%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	1	3	15	25	19	25	17	1	106
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	5.4%	17.0%	15.6%	15.6%	14.8%	10.1%	9.1%	13.4%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	1	4	9	25	11	23	30	0	103
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	7.1%	10.2%	15.6%	9.0%	13.6%	17.8%	.0%	13.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	6	15	11	5	11	3	52
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	.0%	6.8%	9.4%	9.0%	3.0%	6.5%	27.3%	6.5%
Total		Count	19	56	88	160	122	169	169	11	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	

Interest in televised governor's debate											
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	83	24	30	26	37	28	91	0	319
		% within 7 point Party ID	53.2%	24.7%	69.8%	41.9%	42.0%	33.3%	45.0%	.0%	43.5%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	37	28	6	8	27	27	46	0	179
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.7%	28.9%	14.0%	12.9%	30.7%	32.1%	22.8%	.0%	24.4%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	22	15	3	6	11	12	29	0	98
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.1%	15.5%	7.0%	9.7%	12.5%	14.3%	14.4%	.0%	13.4%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	6	20	3	14	11	17	25	2	98
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	20.6%	7.0%	22.6%	12.5%	20.2%	12.4%	100.0%	13.4%
	Don't know	Count	8	10	1	8	2	0	11	0	40
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	10.3%	2.3%	12.9%	2.3%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	5.4%
Total		Count	156	97	43	62	88	84	202	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	39	87	142	77	345
		% within age	36.8%	33.0%	47.8%	57.5%	43.1%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	27	83	64	19	193
		% within age	25.5%	31.4%	21.5%	14.2%	24.1%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	9	41	41	16	107
		% within age	8.5%	15.5%	13.8%	11.9%	13.4%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	11	38	38	16	103
		% within age	10.4%	14.4%	12.8%	11.9%	12.9%
	Don't know	Count	20	15	12	6	53
		% within age	18.9%	5.7%	4.0%	4.5%	6.6%
Total		Count	106	264	297	134	801
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	7	135	117	18	47	20	344
		% within Education	31.8%	42.7%	43.0%	45.0%	43.9%	47.6%	43.1%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	1	66	73	10	34	10	194
		% within Education	4.5%	20.9%	26.8%	25.0%	31.8%	23.8%	24.3%

I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	5	43	33	5	13	7	106
	% within Education	22.7%	13.6%	12.1%	12.5%	12.1%	16.7%	13.3%
I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	5	47	29	6	10	5	102
	% within Education	22.7%	14.9%	10.7%	15.0%	9.3%	11.9%	12.8%
Don't know	Count	4	25	20	1	3	0	53
	% within Education	18.2%	7.9%	7.4%	2.5%	2.8%	.0%	6.6%
Total	Count	22	316	272	40	107	42	799
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	57	57	55	95	81	345
		% within Attendance at religious services	52.8%	40.1%	50.9%	42.6%	37.0%	43.1%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	24	42	27	57	43	193
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.2%	29.6%	25.0%	25.6%	19.6%	24.1%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	12	17	9	31	37	106
		% within Attendance at religious services	11.1%	12.0%	8.3%	13.9%	16.9%	13.3%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	13	19	9	23	38	102
		% within Attendance at religious services	12.0%	13.4%	8.3%	10.3%	17.4%	12.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	8	17	20	54
		% within Attendance at religious services	1.9%	4.9%	7.4%	7.6%	9.1%	6.8%
Total		Count	108	142	108	223	219	800
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	229	45	50	2	3	14	343
		% within Race	44.3%	46.9%	34.5%	20.0%	60.0%	56.0%	43.0%
	I would watch parts of the	Count	134	18	33	4	0	4	193

	debate on TV or certain clips onl	% within Race	25.9%	18.8%	22.8%	40.0%	.0%	16.0%	24.2%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	65	13	22	1	2	3	106
		% within Race	12.6%	13.5%	15.2%	10.0%	40.0%	12.0%	13.3%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	69	10	17	2	0	4	102
		% within Race	13.3%	10.4%	11.7%	20.0%	.0%	16.0%	12.8%
	Don't know	Count	20	10	23	1	0	0	54
		% within Race	3.9%	10.4%	15.9%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
Total		Count	517	96	145	10	5	25	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	205	3	37	19	60	21	345
		% within Marital Status	42.4%	30.0%	46.3%	57.6%	40.5%	48.8%	43.3%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	115	5	16	7	42	9	194
		% within Marital Status	23.8%	50.0%	20.0%	21.2%	28.4%	20.9%	24.3%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	69	2	10	4	15	6	106
		% within Marital Status	14.3%	20.0%	12.5%	12.1%	10.1%	14.0%	13.3%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	66	0	9	1	21	3	100
		% within Marital Status	13.7%	.0%	11.3%	3.0%	14.2%	7.0%	12.5%
	Don't know	Count	28	0	8	2	10	4	52
		% within Marital Status	5.8%	.0%	10.0%	6.1%	6.8%	9.3%	6.5%
Total		Count	483	10	80	33	148	43	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	170	174	344
		% within Gender	45.3%	41.1%	43.1%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	93	100	193
		% within Gender	24.8%	23.6%	24.2%

139

			43	63	106
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	43	63	106
		% within Gender	11.5%	14.9%	13.3%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	58	44	102
		% within Gender	15.5%	10.4%	12.8%
	Don't know	Count	11	42	53
		% within Gender	2.9%	9.9%	6.6%
Total		Count	375	423	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	96	163	86	345
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.1%	41.9%	46.7%	43.1%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	63	99	31	193
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	27.6%	25.4%	16.8%	24.1%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	26	58	23	107
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.4%	14.9%	12.5%	13.4%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	27	51	25	103
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.8%	13.1%	13.6%	12.9%
	Don't know	Count	16	18	19	53
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	7.0%	4.6%	10.3%	6.6%
Total		Count	228	389	184	801
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

	Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
	Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	

Interest in televised governor's debate								
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	76	101	31	42	96	346
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	43.2%	46.8%	47.7%	46.2%	38.6%	43.4%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	42	61	15	23	52	193
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.9%	28.2%	23.1%	25.3%	20.9%	24.2%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	30	26	6	11	34	107
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	17.0%	12.0%	9.2%	12.1%	13.7%	13.4%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	25	18	12	11	34	100
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.2%	8.3%	18.5%	12.1%	13.7%	12.5%
	Don't know	Count	3	10	1	4	33	51
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	1.7%	4.6%	1.5%	4.4%	13.3%	6.4%
Total		Count	176	216	65	91	249	797
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Interest in televised governor's debate * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Interest in televised governor's debate	I would watch the whole debate.	Count	76	64	50	64	49	43	346
		% within region	45.2%	48.5%	42.0%	41.6%	44.5%	37.4%	43.4%
	I would watch parts of the debate on TV or certain clips onl	Count	46	34	28	33	17	33	191
		% within region	27.4%	25.8%	23.5%	21.4%	15.5%	28.7%	23.9%
	I would not watch it, but I would pay attention to media cov	Count	22	17	20	22	15	10	106
		% within region	13.1%	12.9%	16.8%	14.3%	13.6%	8.7%	13.3%
	I would probably not pay attention to it	Count	14	11	17	26	15	19	102
		% within region	8.3%	8.3%	14.3%	16.9%	13.6%	16.5%	12.8%
	Don't know	Count	10	6	4	9	14	10	53
		% within region	6.0%	4.5%	3.4%	5.8%	12.7%	8.7%	6.6%
Total		Count	168	132	119	154	110	115	798
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	16	55	70	99	80	99	92	5	516
		% within Own ideological placement	80.0%	96.5%	80.5%	61.9%	65.6%	58.6%	54.4%	50.0%	65.0%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	2	0	5	18	20	53	50	1	149
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	.0%	5.7%	11.3%	16.4%	31.4%	29.6%	10.0%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	2	12	43	22	17	27	4	129
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	3.5%	13.8%	26.9%	18.0%	10.1%	16.0%	40.0%	16.2%
Total		Count	20	57	87	160	122	169	169	10	794
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	128	61	38	41	59	53	107	0	487
		% within 7 point Party ID	81.5%	62.9%	88.4%	67.2%	66.3%	63.1%	53.0%	.0%	66.3%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	7	8	2	9	22	20	68	0	136
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	8.2%	4.7%	14.8%	24.7%	23.8%	33.7%	.0%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	22	28	3	11	8	11	27	2	112
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.0%	28.9%	7.0%	18.0%	9.0%	13.1%	13.4%	100.0%	15.2%
Total		Count	157	97	43	61	89	84	202	2	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	69	181	185	86	521
		% within age	65.7%	68.6%	62.3%	64.2%	65.1%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	9	34	68	38	149
		% within age	8.6%	12.9%	22.9%	28.4%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	27	49	44	10	130
		% within age	25.7%	18.6%	14.8%	7.5%	16.3%

Total		Count	105	264	297	134	800
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	13	190	183	26	78	32	522
		% within Education	56.5%	59.9%	67.0%	65.0%	73.6%	76.2%	65.2%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	3	55	50	12	20	8	148
		% within Education	13.0%	17.4%	18.3%	30.0%	18.9%	19.0%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	7	72	40	2	8	2	131
		% within Education	30.4%	22.7%	14.7%	5.0%	7.5%	4.8%	16.4%
Total		Count	23	317	273	40	106	42	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	70	89	73	157	132	521
		% within Attendance at religious services	64.2%	62.7%	67.6%	70.7%	60.3%	65.1%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	30	29	22	34	34	149
		% within Attendance at religious services	27.5%	20.4%	20.4%	15.3%	15.5%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	9	24	13	31	53	130
		% within Attendance at religious services	8.3%	16.9%	12.0%	14.0%	24.2%	16.3%
Total		Count	109	142	108	222	219	800
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Race Crosstabulation

	Race						Total
	White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	

Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	332	61	95	7	3	21	519
		% within Race	64.3%	64.2%	66.0%	63.6%	50.0%	87.5%	65.2%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	115	9	20	1	2	0	147
		% within Race	22.3%	9.5%	13.9%	9.1%	33.3%	.0%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	69	25	29	3	1	3	130
		% within Race	13.4%	26.3%	20.1%	27.3%	16.7%	12.5%	16.3%
Total		Count	516	95	144	11	6	24	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	296	8	55	21	112	27	519
		% within Marital Status	61.3%	80.0%	68.8%	63.6%	75.7%	62.8%	65.1%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	106	1	9	9	15	7	147
		% within Marital Status	21.9%	10.0%	11.3%	27.3%	10.1%	16.3%	18.4%
	Don't know	Count	81	1	16	3	21	9	131
		% within Marital Status	16.8%	10.0%	20.0%	9.1%	14.2%	20.9%	16.4%
Total		Count	483	10	80	33	148	43	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	247	273	520
		% within Gender	65.9%	64.5%	65.2%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	96	52	148
		% within Gender	25.6%	12.3%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	32	98	130
		% within Gender	8.5%	23.2%	16.3%
Total		Count	375	423	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

144

Importance of televised governor's debate * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	157	252	111	520
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	69.2%	64.8%	60.3%	65.0%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	30	77	42	149
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	13.2%	19.8%	22.8%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	40	60	31	131
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	17.6%	15.4%	16.8%	16.4%
Total		Count	227	389	184	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	117	147	40	66	151	521
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	66.5%	68.4%	61.5%	72.5%	60.9%	65.5%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	37	34	20	12	42	145
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.0%	15.8%	30.8%	13.2%	16.9%	18.2%
	Don't know	Count	22	34	5	13	55	129
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	12.5%	15.8%	7.7%	14.3%	22.2%	16.2%
Total		Count	176	215	65	91	248	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Importance of televised governor's debate * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Importance of televised governor's debate	Gubernatorial debates are an important part of the political	Count	104	97	82	100	62	75	520
		% within region	61.5%	72.9%	68.9%	64.9%	56.4%	65.2%	65.0%
	Gubernatorial debates are not an important part of the polit	Count	33	18	23	26	24	26	150
		% within region	19.5%	13.5%	19.3%	16.9%	21.8%	22.6%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	32	18	14	28	24	14	130
		% within region	18.9%	13.5%	11.8%	18.2%	21.8%	12.2%	16.3%
Total		Count	169	133	119	154	110	115	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	8	22	25	47	33	36	41	0	212
		% within Own ideological placement	42.1%	39.3%	29.1%	29.4%	26.8%	21.4%	24.3%	.0%	26.8%
	Not much impact	Count	6	18	38	60	46	71	62	1	302
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	32.1%	44.2%	37.5%	37.4%	42.3%	36.7%	9.1%	38.1%
	No impact	Count	3	7	9	33	35	52	52	4	195
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	12.5%	10.5%	20.6%	28.5%	31.0%	30.8%	36.4%	24.6%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	2	9	14	20	9	9	14	6	83
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	16.1%	16.3%	12.5%	7.3%	5.4%	8.3%	54.5%	10.5%
Total		Count	19	56	86	160	123	168	169	11	792
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	55	33	17	17	24	20	45	0	211
		% within 7 point Party ID	35.3%	33.7%	39.5%	27.9%	27.0%	23.8%	22.4%	.0%	28.7%
	Not much impact	Count	66	24	18	20	36	33	68	0	265
		% within 7 point Party ID	42.3%	24.5%	41.9%	32.8%	40.4%	39.3%	33.8%	.0%	36.1%
	No impact	Count	16	24	3	20	22	26	70	2	183
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	24.5%	7.0%	32.8%	24.7%	31.0%	34.8%	100.0%	24.9%

Don't know/can't remember	Count	19	17	5	4	7	5	18	0	75
	% within 7 point Party ID	12.2%	17.3%	11.6%	6.6%	7.9%	6.0%	9.0%	.0%	10.2%
Total	Count	156	98	43	61	89	84	201	2	734
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	23	85	82	27	217
		% within age	21.9%	32.3%	27.8%	20.3%	27.3%
	Not much impact	Count	37	101	110	53	301
		% within age	35.2%	38.4%	37.3%	39.8%	37.8%
	No impact	Count	21	52	77	45	195
		% within age	20.0%	19.8%	26.1%	33.8%	24.5%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	24	25	26	8	83
		% within age	22.9%	9.5%	8.8%	6.0%	10.4%
Total		Count	105	263	295	133	796
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	4	81	75	13	34	10	217
		% within Education	18.2%	25.6%	27.5%	31.7%	32.4%	23.8%	27.2%
	Not much impact	Count	7	117	106	12	41	20	303
		% within Education	31.8%	37.0%	38.8%	29.3%	39.0%	47.6%	37.9%
	No impact	Count	10	90	54	10	23	9	196
		% within Education	45.5%	28.5%	19.8%	24.4%	21.9%	21.4%	24.5%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	1	28	38	6	7	3	83
		% within Education	4.5%	8.9%	13.9%	14.6%	6.7%	7.1%	10.4%
Total		Count	22	316	273	41	105	42	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

	Attendance at religious services	Total

147

			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	26	38	38	68	47	217
		% within Attendance at religious services	24.1%	26.8%	35.2%	30.9%	21.4%	27.2%
	Not much impact	Count	45	50	35	86	86	302
		% within Attendance at religious services	41.7%	35.2%	32.4%	39.1%	39.1%	37.8%
	No impact	Count	28	41	26	43	58	196
		% within Attendance at religious services	25.9%	28.9%	24.1%	19.5%	26.4%	24.6%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	9	13	9	23	29	83
		% within Attendance at religious services	8.3%	9.2%	8.3%	10.5%	13.2%	10.4%
Total		Count	108	142	108	220	220	798
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	133	30	45	1	1	7	217
		% within Race	25.8%	31.6%	31.5%	9.1%	16.7%	29.2%	27.3%
	Not much impact	Count	200	38	44	5	5	10	302
		% within Race	38.8%	40.0%	30.8%	45.5%	83.3%	41.7%	38.0%
	No impact	Count	137	11	38	4	0	4	194
		% within Race	26.6%	11.6%	26.6%	36.4%	.0%	16.7%	24.4%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	46	16	16	1	0	3	82
		% within Race	8.9%	16.8%	11.2%	9.1%	.0%	12.5%	10.3%
Total		Count	516	95	143	11	6	24	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	123	2	25	10	46	11	217
		% within Marital Status	25.5%	22.2%	31.3%	30.3%	31.3%	24.4%	27.3%
	Not much impact	Count	177	6	32	13	48	25	301

		% within Marital Status	36.7%	66.7%	40.0%	39.4%	32.7%	55.6%	37.8%
	No impact	Count	137	0	15	7	31	4	194
		% within Marital Status	28.4%	.0%	18.8%	21.2%	21.1%	8.9%	24.4%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	45	1	8	3	22	5	84
		% within Marital Status	9.3%	11.1%	10.0%	9.1%	15.0%	11.1%	10.6%
Total		Count	482	9	80	33	147	45	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	101	115	216
		% within Gender	26.9%	27.3%	27.1%
	Not much impact	Count	140	161	301
		% within Gender	37.3%	38.2%	37.8%
	No impact	Count	107	89	196
		% within Gender	28.5%	21.1%	24.6%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	27	57	84
		% within Gender	7.2%	13.5%	10.5%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	75	98	45	218
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	33.2%	25.2%	24.5%	27.3%
	Not much impact	Count	85	139	78	302
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	37.6%	35.7%	42.4%	37.8%
	No impact	Count	38	114	44	196
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	16.8%	29.3%	23.9%	24.5%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	28	38	17	83

149

	% within Type of community respondent lives in		12.4%	9.8%	9.2%	10.4%
Total	Count		226	389	184	799
	% within Type of community respondent lives in		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	53	53	21	29	61	217
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	30.1%	24.8%	32.3%	32.2%	24.5%	27.3%
	Not much impact	Count	58	98	19	37	90	302
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	33.0%	45.8%	29.2%	41.1%	36.1%	38.0%
	No impact	Count	46	42	21	20	65	194
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.1%	19.6%	32.3%	22.2%	26.1%	24.4%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	19	21	4	4	33	81
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	10.8%	9.8%	6.2%	4.4%	13.3%	10.2%
Total		Count	176	214	65	90	249	794
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Impact of televised governor's debates on past vote choice	A big impact	Count	41	32	41	44	25	35	218
		% within region	24.3%	24.4%	33.9%	28.6%	22.5%	30.7%	27.3%
	Not much impact	Count	64	64	42	57	43	31	301
		% within region	37.9%	48.9%	34.7%	37.0%	38.7%	27.2%	37.6%
	No impact	Count	41	26	26	41	30	34	198

		% within region	24.3%	19.8%	21.5%	26.6%	27.0%	29.8%	24.8%
	Don't know/can't remember	Count	23	9	12	12	13	14	83
		% within region	13.6%	6.9%	9.9%	7.8%	11.7%	12.3%	10.4%
Total		Count	169	131	121	154	111	114	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	8	27	26	44	20	19	23	4	171
		% within Own ideological placement	44.4%	47.4%	29.5%	27.5%	16.3%	11.3%	13.6%	44.4%	21.6%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	4	10	29	74	73	135	115	3	443
		% within Own ideological placement	22.2%	17.5%	33.0%	46.3%	59.3%	80.4%	68.0%	33.3%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	6	20	33	42	30	14	31	2	178
		% within Own ideological placement	33.3%	35.1%	37.5%	26.3%	24.4%	8.3%	18.3%	22.2%	22.5%
Total		Count	18	57	88	160	123	168	169	9	792
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	62	36	13	11	6	13	30	0	171
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.7%	37.1%	30.2%	18.0%	6.8%	15.5%	14.9%	.0%	23.4%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	42	36	14	43	75	51	140	0	401
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.9%	37.1%	32.6%	70.5%	85.2%	60.7%	69.7%	.0%	54.8%
	Don't know	Count	52	25	16	7	7	20	31	2	160
		% within 7 point Party ID	33.3%	25.8%	37.2%	11.5%	8.0%	23.8%	15.4%	100.0%	21.9%
Total		Count	156	97	43	61	88	84	201	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	32	62	61	21	176
		% within age	30.5%	23.6%	20.6%	15.8%	22.1%
	Experience in the private	Count	32	133	187	92	444

	sector.	% within age	30.5%	50.6%	63.2%	69.2%	55.7%
	Don't know	Count	41	68	48	20	177
		% within age	39.0%	25.9%	16.2%	15.0%	22.2%
Total		Count	105	263	296	133	797
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	3	63	65	11	24	11	177
		% within Education	13.6%	20.1%	23.9%	27.5%	22.2%	26.2%	22.2%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	9	172	151	25	63	24	444
		% within Education	40.9%	54.8%	55.5%	62.5%	58.3%	57.1%	55.6%
	Don't know	Count	10	79	56	4	21	7	177
		% within Education	45.5%	25.2%	20.6%	10.0%	19.4%	16.7%	22.2%
Total		Count	22	314	272	40	108	42	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	20	27	24	51	53	175
		% within Attendance at religious services	18.5%	18.9%	22.4%	23.0%	24.4%	22.0%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	67	89	64	120	104	444
		% within Attendance at religious services	62.0%	62.2%	59.8%	54.1%	47.9%	55.7%
	Don't know	Count	21	27	19	51	60	178
		% within Attendance at religious services	19.4%	18.9%	17.8%	23.0%	27.6%	22.3%
Total		Count	108	143	107	222	217	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Race Crosstabulation

	Race	Total

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	90	30	43	2	2	8	175
		% within Race	17.4%	31.6%	30.3%	18.2%	33.3%	32.0%	22.0%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	329	23	71	5	3	13	444
		% within Race	63.8%	24.2%	50.0%	45.5%	50.0%	52.0%	55.8%
	Don't know	Count	97	42	28	4	1	4	176
		% within Race	18.8%	44.2%	19.7%	36.4%	16.7%	16.0%	22.1%
Total		Count	516	95	142	11	6	25	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	88	1	16	9	55	6	175
		% within Marital Status	18.2%	11.1%	20.5%	27.3%	37.4%	14.0%	22.1%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	296	4	39	17	58	28	442
		% within Marital Status	61.3%	44.4%	50.0%	51.5%	39.5%	65.1%	55.7%
	Don't know	Count	99	4	23	7	34	9	176
		% within Marital Status	20.5%	44.4%	29.5%	21.2%	23.1%	20.9%	22.2%
Total		Count	483	9	78	33	147	43	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	74	102	176
		% within Gender	19.7%	24.2%	22.1%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	253	190	443
		% within Gender	67.5%	45.1%	55.7%
	Don't know	Count	48	129	177
		% within Gender	12.8%	30.6%	22.2%
Total		Count	375	421	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	65	74	37	176
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	28.8%	19.0%	20.3%	22.1%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	97	238	109	444
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	42.9%	61.2%	59.9%	55.7%
	Don't know	Count	64	77	36	177
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	28.3%	19.8%	19.8%	22.2%
Total		Count	226	389	182	797
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	37	44	11	23	57	172
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.1%	20.5%	17.2%	25.6%	23.0%	21.7%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	104	119	40	49	131	443
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	59.4%	55.3%	62.5%	54.4%	52.8%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	34	52	13	18	60	177
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	19.4%	24.2%	20.3%	20.0%	24.2%	22.3%
Total		Count	175	215	64	90	248	792
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office * region Crosstabulation

	region	Total

154

			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Preferred work experience for candidates for statewide office	Experience in elected office.	Count	33	29	27	33	25	28	175
		% within region	19.5%	22.0%	22.5%	21.9%	22.7%	24.3%	22.0%
	Experience in the private sector.	Count	105	66	69	82	59	62	443
		% within region	62.1%	50.0%	57.5%	54.3%	53.6%	53.9%	55.6%
	Don't know	Count	31	37	24	36	26	25	179
		% within region	18.3%	28.0%	20.0%	23.8%	23.6%	21.7%	22.5%
Total		Count	169	132	120	151	110	115	797
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	12	36	61	98	80	124	120	9	540
		% within Own ideological placement	63.2%	64.3%	70.1%	62.0%	66.1%	73.8%	70.6%	81.8%	68.4%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	6	8	5	12	8	15	21	0	75
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	14.3%	5.7%	7.6%	6.6%	8.9%	12.4%	.0%	9.5%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	1	3	12	12	9	9	4	1	51
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	5.4%	13.8%	7.6%	7.4%	5.4%	2.4%	9.1%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	0	9	5	27	17	11	20	1	90
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	16.1%	5.7%	17.1%	14.0%	6.5%	11.8%	9.1%	11.4%
	Something else	Count	0	0	4	9	7	9	5	0	34
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	.0%	4.6%	5.7%	5.8%	5.4%	2.9%	.0%	4.3%
Total		Count	19	56	87	158	121	168	170	11	790
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	91	62	30	43	77	60	136	0	499
		% within 7 point Party ID	58.7%	63.3%	69.8%	71.7%	86.5%	70.6%	67.3%	.0%	68.0%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	22	3	5	1	1	9	29	0	70
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.2%	3.1%	11.6%	1.7%	1.1%	10.6%	14.4%	.0%	9.5%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	13	10	4	3	2	3	12	2	49
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.4%	10.2%	9.3%	5.0%	2.2%	3.5%	5.9%	100.0%	6.7%

155

The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	23	16	4	8	4	11	18	0	84
	% within 7 point Party ID	14.8%	16.3%	9.3%	13.3%	4.5%	12.9%	8.9%	.0%	11.4%
Something else	Count	6	7	0	5	5	2	7	0	32
	% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	7.1%	.0%	8.3%	5.6%	2.4%	3.5%	.0%	4.4%
Total	Count	155	98	43	60	89	85	202	2	734
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	67	188	201	89	545
		% within age	63.2%	71.2%	68.4%	66.4%	68.3%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	14	22	24	15	75
		% within age	13.2%	8.3%	8.2%	11.2%	9.4%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	9	21	14	8	52
		% within age	8.5%	8.0%	4.8%	6.0%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	7	27	39	19	92
		% within age	6.6%	10.2%	13.3%	14.2%	11.5%
	Something else	Count	9	6	16	3	34
		% within age	8.5%	2.3%	5.4%	2.2%	4.3%
Total		Count	106	264	294	134	798
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	13	205	188	28	82	29	545
		% within Education	56.5%	65.1%	68.6%	70.0%	76.6%	69.0%	68.0%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	7	30	23	4	7	5	76
		% within Education	30.4%	9.5%	8.4%	10.0%	6.5%	11.9%	9.5%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	2	20	18	3	6	3	52
		% within Education	8.7%	6.3%	6.6%	7.5%	5.6%	7.1%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	1	47	30	2	8	4	92
		% within Education	4.3%	14.9%	10.9%	5.0%	7.5%	9.5%	11.5%
	Something else	Count	0	13	15	3	4	1	36
		% within Education	.0%	4.1%	5.5%	7.5%	3.7%	2.4%	4.5%
Total		Count	23	315	274	40	107	42	801

156

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Education Crosstabulation

					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	13	205	188	28	82	29	545
		% within Education	56.5%	65.1%	68.6%	70.0%	76.6%	69.0%	68.0%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	7	30	23	4	7	5	76
		% within Education	30.4%	9.5%	8.4%	10.0%	6.5%	11.9%	9.5%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	2	20	18	3	6	3	52
		% within Education	8.7%	6.3%	6.6%	7.5%	5.6%	7.1%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	1	47	30	2	8	4	92
		% within Education	4.3%	14.9%	10.9%	5.0%	7.5%	9.5%	11.5%
	Something else	Count	0	13	15	3	4	1	36
		% within Education	.0%	4.1%	5.5%	7.5%	3.7%	2.4%	4.5%
Total		Count	23	315	274	40	107	42	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	74	83	78	157	152	544
		% within Attendance at religious services	67.9%	58.9%	72.9%	70.7%	70.0%	68.3%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	18	11	10	17	19	75
		% within Attendance at religious services	16.5%	7.8%	9.3%	7.7%	8.8%	9.4%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	3	18	8	9	13	51
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	12.8%	7.5%	4.1%	6.0%	6.4%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	11	24	10	28	18	91
		% within Attendance at religious services	10.1%	17.0%	9.3%	12.6%	8.3%	11.4%
	Something else	Count	3	5	1	11	15	35
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	3.5%	.9%	5.0%	6.9%	4.4%
Total		Count	109	141	107	222	217	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	354	66	94	5	3	20	542
		% within Race	68.5%	68.8%	66.2%	45.5%	60.0%	83.3%	68.2%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	54	10	10	0	1	0	75
		% within Race	10.4%	10.4%	7.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	9.4%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	30	6	8	5	0	3	52
		% within Race	5.8%	6.3%	5.6%	45.5%	.0%	12.5%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	56	9	24	1	0	1	91
		% within Race	10.8%	9.4%	16.9%	9.1%	.0%	4.2%	11.4%
	Something else	Count	23	5	6	0	1	0	35
		% within Race	4.4%	5.2%	4.2%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	4.4%
Total		Count	517	96	142	11	5	24	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	347	5	49	17	92	35	545
		% within Marital Status	72.1%	45.5%	61.3%	50.0%	62.6%	79.5%	68.4%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	37	2	8	7	16	3	73
		% within Marital Status	7.7%	18.2%	10.0%	20.6%	10.9%	6.8%	9.2%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	28	3	4	4	11	2	52
		% within Marital Status	5.8%	27.3%	5.0%	11.8%	7.5%	4.5%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	48	1	16	5	19	3	92
		% within Marital Status	10.0%	9.1%	20.0%	14.7%	12.9%	6.8%	11.5%
	Something else	Count	21	0	3	1	9	1	35
		% within Marital Status	4.4%	.0%	3.8%	2.9%	6.1%	2.3%	4.4%
Total		Count	481	11	80	34	147	44	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Gender Crosstabulation

	Gender	Total

			Male	Female	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	272	271	543
		% within Gender	72.7%	64.2%	68.2%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	28	47	75
		% within Gender	7.5%	11.1%	9.4%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	17	34	51
		% within Gender	4.5%	8.1%	6.4%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	42	50	92
		% within Gender	11.2%	11.8%	11.6%
	Something else	Count	15	20	35
		% within Gender	4.0%	4.7%	4.4%
Total		Count	374	422	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	155	264	125	544
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	68.9%	67.9%	67.9%	68.2%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	23	33	19	75
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	10.2%	8.5%	10.3%	9.4%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	14	33	4	51
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	6.2%	8.5%	2.2%	6.4%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	26	43	24	93
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.6%	11.1%	13.0%	11.7%
	Something else	Count	7	16	12	35
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	3.1%	4.1%	6.5%	4.4%
Total		Count	225	389	184	798
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	127	138	47	59	172	543
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	72.6%	63.9%	72.3%	65.6%	69.6%	68.5%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	8	32	5	7	20	72
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	4.6%	14.8%	7.7%	7.8%	8.1%	9.1%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	9	14	5	12	11	51
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	5.1%	6.5%	7.7%	13.3%	4.5%	6.4%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	26	23	5	10	28	92
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.9%	10.6%	7.7%	11.1%	11.3%	11.6%
	Something else	Count	5	9	3	2	16	35
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	2.9%	4.2%	4.6%	2.2%	6.5%	4.4%
Total		Count	175	216	65	90	247	793
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Most important factor in vote choice for governor * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Most important factor in vote choice for governor	The views of the candidates on the issues	Count	117	85	80	110	69	82	543
		% within region	69.2%	64.4%	67.2%	71.9%	62.7%	71.9%	68.1%
	The party affiliations of the candidates	Count	22	21	6	9	10	7	75
		% within region	13.0%	15.9%	5.0%	5.9%	9.1%	6.1%	9.4%
	The professional backgrounds of the candidates	Count	12	8	4	17	2	9	52
		% within region	7.1%	6.1%	3.4%	11.1%	1.8%	7.9%	6.5%
	The personal qualities of the candidates	Count	13	11	25	11	20	12	92
		% within region	7.7%	8.3%	21.0%	7.2%	18.2%	10.5%	11.5%

160

Something else	Count	5	7	4	6	9	4	35
	% within region	3.0%	5.3%	3.4%	3.9%	8.2%	3.5%	4.4%
Total	Count	169	132	119	153	110	114	797
	% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	1	0	2	5	16	30	52	1	107
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	.0%	2.3%	3.1%	13.0%	17.9%	30.8%	9.1%	13.5%
	Somewhat positive	Count	1	5	12	26	43	93	60	1	241
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	8.8%	13.6%	16.3%	35.0%	55.4%	35.5%	9.1%	30.3%
	Somewhat negative	Count	4	16	27	48	36	30	26	5	192
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	28.1%	30.7%	30.0%	29.3%	17.9%	15.4%	45.5%	24.2%
	Very negative	Count	13	33	33	51	11	7	14	0	162
		% within Own ideological placement	68.4%	57.9%	37.5%	31.9%	8.9%	4.2%	8.3%	.0%	20.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	3	14	30	17	8	17	4	93
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	5.3%	15.9%	18.8%	13.8%	4.8%	10.1%	36.4%	11.7%
Total		Count	19	57	88	160	123	168	169	11	795
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	2	5	0	2	15	11	65	0	100
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	5.2%	.0%	3.3%	16.9%	13.1%	32.0%	.0%	13.6%
	Somewhat positive	Count	9	19	3	18	46	36	92	0	223
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.7%	19.6%	7.1%	30.0%	51.7%	42.9%	45.3%	.0%	30.3%
	Somewhat negative	Count	43	39	17	11	18	24	23	0	175
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.2%	40.2%	40.5%	18.3%	20.2%	28.6%	11.3%	.0%	23.8%
	Very negative	Count	90	18	20	19	3	4	8	0	162
		% within 7 point Party ID	57.0%	18.6%	47.6%	31.7%	3.4%	4.8%	3.9%	.0%	22.0%
	Don't know	Count	14	16	2	10	7	9	15	2	75
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.9%	16.5%	4.8%	16.7%	7.9%	10.7%	7.4%	100.0%	10.2%
Total		Count	158	97	42	60	89	84	203	2	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	9	26	39	32	106
		% within age	8.6%	9.8%	13.2%	24.1%	13.3%
	Somewhat positive	Count	33	76	83	48	240
		% within age	31.4%	28.8%	28.0%	36.1%	30.1%
	Somewhat negative	Count	23	65	88	17	193
		% within age	21.9%	24.6%	29.7%	12.8%	24.2%
	Very negative	Count	12	56	66	32	166
		% within age	11.4%	21.2%	22.3%	24.1%	20.8%
	Don't know	Count	28	41	20	4	93
		% within age	26.7%	15.5%	6.8%	3.0%	11.7%
Total		Count	105	264	296	133	798
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	3	58	25	4	10	7	107
		% within Education	13.6%	18.4%	9.1%	10.0%	9.3%	16.7%	13.4%
	Somewhat positive	Count	6	88	92	9	33	12	240
		% within Education	27.3%	27.9%	33.6%	22.5%	30.8%	28.6%	30.0%
	Somewhat negative	Count	7	74	52	19	29	12	193
		% within Education	31.8%	23.5%	19.0%	47.5%	27.1%	28.6%	24.1%
	Very negative	Count	0	54	70	7	26	10	167
		% within Education	.0%	17.1%	25.5%	17.5%	24.3%	23.8%	20.9%
	Don't know	Count	6	41	35	1	9	1	93
		% within Education	27.3%	13.0%	12.8%	2.5%	8.4%	2.4%	11.6%
Total		Count	22	315	274	40	107	42	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

	Attendance at religious services	Total

			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	26	23	9	27	20	105
		% within Attendance at religious services	24.1%	16.3%	8.5%	12.2%	9.1%	13.2%
	Somewhat positive	Count	41	42	44	70	43	240
		% within Attendance at religious services	38.0%	29.8%	41.5%	31.7%	19.5%	30.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	23	34	19	52	65	193
		% within Attendance at religious services	21.3%	24.1%	17.9%	23.5%	29.5%	24.2%
	Very negative	Count	15	26	25	47	52	165
		% within Attendance at religious services	13.9%	18.4%	23.6%	21.3%	23.6%	20.7%
	Don't know	Count	3	16	9	25	40	93
		% within Attendance at religious services	2.8%	11.3%	8.5%	11.3%	18.2%	11.7%
Total		Count	108	141	106	221	220	796
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	80	0	22	0	3	0	105
		% within Race	15.5%	.0%	15.2%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	13.2%
	Somewhat positive	Count	183	8	34	5	1	10	241
		% within Race	35.5%	8.3%	23.4%	45.5%	20.0%	41.7%	30.3%
	Somewhat negative	Count	101	42	38	3	0	8	192
		% within Race	19.6%	43.8%	26.2%	27.3%	.0%	33.3%	24.1%
	Very negative	Count	96	37	27	2	1	4	167
		% within Race	18.6%	38.5%	18.6%	18.2%	20.0%	16.7%	21.0%
	Don't know	Count	55	9	24	1	0	2	91
		% within Race	10.7%	9.4%	16.6%	9.1%	.0%	8.3%	11.4%
Total		Count	515	96	145	11	5	24	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Marital Status Crosstabulation

	Marital Status	Total

163

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	72	0	6	10	13	5	106
		% within Marital Status	15.0%	.0%	7.4%	30.3%	8.8%	11.6%	13.3%
	Somewhat positive	Count	161	4	21	7	38	8	239
		% within Marital Status	33.5%	40.0%	25.9%	21.2%	25.9%	18.6%	30.1%
	Somewhat negative	Count	103	5	22	5	42	16	193
		% within Marital Status	21.4%	50.0%	27.2%	15.2%	28.6%	37.2%	24.3%
	Very negative	Count	86	0	25	7	36	9	163
		% within Marital Status	17.9%	.0%	30.9%	21.2%	24.5%	20.9%	20.5%
	Don't know	Count	59	1	7	4	18	5	94
		% within Marital Status	12.3%	10.0%	8.6%	12.1%	12.2%	11.6%	11.8%
Total		Count	481	10	81	33	147	43	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	51	55	106
		% within Gender	13.6%	13.0%	13.3%
	Somewhat positive	Count	130	111	241
		% within Gender	34.7%	26.3%	30.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	92	100	192
		% within Gender	24.5%	23.7%	24.1%
	Very negative	Count	76	89	165
		% within Gender	20.3%	21.1%	20.7%
	Don't know	Count	26	67	93
		% within Gender	6.9%	15.9%	11.7%
Total		Count	375	422	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	25	50	32	107
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.0%	12.9%	17.5%	13.4%

	Somewhat positive	Count	59	121	61	241
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	25.9%	31.2%	33.3%	30.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	64	92	36	192
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	28.1%	23.7%	19.7%	24.0%
	Very negative	Count	53	79	34	166
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.2%	20.4%	18.6%	20.8%
	Don't know	Count	27	46	20	93
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	11.8%	11.9%	10.9%	11.6%
Total		Count	228	388	183	799
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	15	16	17	9	45	102
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	8.6%	7.4%	26.2%	9.9%	18.3%	12.9%
	Somewhat positive	Count	59	62	21	23	75	240
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	33.7%	28.8%	32.3%	25.3%	30.5%	30.3%
	Somewhat negative	Count	49	57	12	32	42	192
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	28.0%	26.5%	18.5%	35.2%	17.1%	24.2%
	Very negative	Count	44	59	12	19	33	167
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	25.1%	27.4%	18.5%	20.9%	13.4%	21.1%
	Don't know	Count	8	21	3	8	51	91
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	4.6%	9.8%	4.6%	8.8%	20.7%	11.5%

Total	Count		175	215	65	91	246	792
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Tenor of Rick Perry's campaign	Very positive	Count	28	6	8	15	24	24	105
		% within region	16.9%	4.5%	6.7%	9.7%	21.8%	20.9%	13.2%
	Somewhat positive	Count	61	35	34	50	29	32	241
		% within region	36.7%	26.3%	28.6%	32.5%	26.4%	27.8%	30.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	32	35	36	46	16	28	193
		% within region	19.3%	26.3%	30.3%	29.9%	14.5%	24.3%	24.2%
	Very negative	Count	25	43	33	29	21	15	166
		% within region	15.1%	32.3%	27.7%	18.8%	19.1%	13.0%	20.8%
	Don't know	Count	20	14	8	14	20	16	92
		% within region	12.0%	10.5%	6.7%	9.1%	18.2%	13.9%	11.5%
Total		Count	166	133	119	154	110	115	797
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	8	8	7	11	8	0	8	3	53
		% within Own ideological placement	42.1%	14.3%	7.9%	6.9%	6.5%	.0%	4.7%	27.3%	6.7%
	Somewhat positive	Count	6	23	46	65	34	31	18	1	224
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	41.1%	51.7%	40.6%	27.6%	18.5%	10.7%	9.1%	28.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	3	18	22	43	47	73	50	3	259
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	32.1%	24.7%	26.9%	38.2%	43.5%	29.6%	27.3%	32.6%
	Very negative	Count	2	2	1	8	17	45	70	0	145
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	3.6%	1.1%	5.0%	13.8%	26.8%	41.4%	.0%	18.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	5	13	33	17	19	23	4	114
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	8.9%	14.6%	20.6%	13.8%	11.3%	13.6%	36.4%	14.3%
Total		Count	19	56	89	160	123	168	169	11	795

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	8	8	7	11	8	0	8	3	53
		% within Own ideological placement	42.1%	14.3%	7.9%	6.9%	6.5%	.0%	4.7%	27.3%	6.7%
	Somewhat positive	Count	6	23	46	65	34	31	18	1	224
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	41.1%	51.7%	40.6%	27.6%	18.5%	10.7%	9.1%	28.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	3	18	22	43	47	73	50	3	259
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	32.1%	24.7%	26.9%	38.2%	43.5%	29.6%	27.3%	32.6%
	Very negative	Count	2	2	1	8	17	45	70	0	145
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	3.6%	1.1%	5.0%	13.8%	26.8%	41.4%	.0%	18.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	5	13	33	17	19	23	4	114
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	8.9%	14.6%	20.6%	13.8%	11.3%	13.6%	36.4%	14.3%
Total		Count	19	56	89	160	123	168	169	11	795
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	34	7	4	1	1	1	7	0	55
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.7%	7.3%	9.3%	1.6%	1.1%	1.2%	3.5%	.0%	7.5%
	Somewhat positive	Count	68	48	27	16	13	15	29	0	216
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.3%	50.0%	62.8%	26.2%	14.6%	17.9%	14.4%	.0%	29.5%
	Somewhat negative	Count	38	24	6	22	34	41	72	0	237
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.2%	25.0%	14.0%	36.1%	38.2%	48.8%	35.8%	.0%	32.3%
	Very negative	Count	3	2	2	9	31	14	69	0	130
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	2.1%	4.7%	14.8%	34.8%	16.7%	34.3%	.0%	17.7%
	Don't know	Count	14	15	4	13	10	13	24	2	95
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.9%	15.6%	9.3%	21.3%	11.2%	15.5%	11.9%	100.0%	13.0%
Total		Count	157	96	43	61	89	84	201	2	733
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	5	14	28	10	57
		% within age	4.7%	5.3%	9.4%	7.4%	7.1%

167

Somewhat positive	Count	36	87	72	30	225	
	% within age	34.0%	32.8%	24.2%	22.2%	28.0%	
Somewhat negative	Count	28	79	107	45	259	
	% within age	26.4%	29.8%	36.0%	33.3%	32.3%	
Very negative	Count	7	30	66	44	147	
	% within age	6.6%	11.3%	22.2%	32.6%	18.3%	
Don't know	Count	30	55	24	6	115	
	% within age	28.3%	20.8%	8.1%	4.4%	14.3%	
Total	Count	106	265	297	135	803	
	% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	1	26	20	3	5	3	58
		% within Education	4.5%	8.2%	7.3%	7.5%	4.7%	7.1%	7.2%
	Somewhat positive	Count	6	77	83	11	36	12	225
		% within Education	27.3%	24.2%	30.3%	27.5%	33.6%	28.6%	28.0%
	Somewhat negative	Count	12	92	82	17	42	15	260
		% within Education	54.5%	28.9%	29.9%	42.5%	39.3%	35.7%	32.4%
	Very negative	Count	2	71	40	7	14	11	145
		% within Education	9.1%	22.3%	14.6%	17.5%	13.1%	26.2%	18.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	52	49	2	10	1	115
		% within Education	4.5%	16.4%	17.9%	5.0%	9.3%	2.4%	14.3%
Total		Count	22	318	274	40	107	42	803
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	11	8	8	14	16	57
		% within Attendance at religious services	10.1%	5.6%	7.3%	6.3%	7.3%	7.1%
	Somewhat positive	Count	26	51	42	61	45	225
		% within Attendance at religious services	23.9%	35.9%	38.5%	27.6%	20.5%	28.1%

168

	Somewhat negative	Count	31	39	38	73	79	260
		% within Attendance at religious services	28.4%	27.5%	34.9%	33.0%	35.9%	32.5%
	Very negative	Count	31	28	14	43	29	145
		% within Attendance at religious services	28.4%	19.7%	12.8%	19.5%	13.2%	18.1%
	Don't know	Count	10	16	7	30	51	114
		% within Attendance at religious services	9.2%	11.3%	6.4%	13.6%	23.2%	14.2%
Total		Count	109	142	109	221	220	801
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	31	15	7	1	1	1	56
		% within Race	6.0%	15.6%	4.9%	9.1%	20.0%	4.2%	7.0%
	Somewhat positive	Count	113	45	47	6	1	13	225
		% within Race	21.8%	46.9%	32.9%	54.5%	20.0%	54.2%	28.2%
	Somewhat negative	Count	189	20	42	2	1	4	258
		% within Race	36.5%	20.8%	29.4%	18.2%	20.0%	16.7%	32.4%
	Very negative	Count	113	5	21	1	2	4	146
		% within Race	21.8%	5.2%	14.7%	9.1%	40.0%	16.7%	18.3%
	Don't know	Count	72	11	26	1	0	2	112
		% within Race	13.9%	11.5%	18.2%	9.1%	.0%	8.3%	14.1%
Total		Count	518	96	143	11	5	24	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	33	0	8	2	11	2	56
		% within Marital Status	6.8%	.0%	10.0%	6.1%	7.5%	4.5%	7.0%
	Somewhat positive	Count	121	2	28	11	49	11	222
		% within Marital Status	25.1%	22.2%	35.0%	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	27.9%
	Somewhat negative	Count	164	3	29	8	40	15	259
		% within Marital Status	34.0%	33.3%	36.3%	24.2%	27.2%	34.1%	32.6%

169

	Very negative	Count	99	1	7	9	17	12	145
		% within Marital Status	20.5%	11.1%	8.8%	27.3%	11.6%	27.3%	18.2%
	Don't know	Count	65	3	8	3	30	4	113
		% within Marital Status	13.5%	33.3%	10.0%	9.1%	20.4%	9.1%	14.2%
Total		Count	482	9	80	33	147	44	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	28	29	57
		% within Gender	7.5%	6.9%	7.1%
	Somewhat positive	Count	99	125	224
		% within Gender	26.4%	29.6%	28.1%
	Somewhat negative	Count	128	129	257
		% within Gender	34.1%	30.5%	32.2%
	Very negative	Count	84	62	146
		% within Gender	22.4%	14.7%	18.3%
	Don't know	Count	36	78	114
		% within Gender	9.6%	18.4%	14.3%
Total		Count	375	423	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	22	19	16	57
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	9.7%	4.9%	8.7%	7.1%
	Somewhat positive	Count	77	113	34	224
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	33.9%	29.0%	18.6%	28.0%
	Somewhat negative	Count	62	137	61	260
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	27.3%	35.1%	33.3%	32.5%
	Very negative	Count	28	70	47	145

170

			12.3%	17.9%	25.7%	18.1%
		% within Type of community respondent lives in				
	Don't know	Count	38	51	25	114
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	16.7%	13.1%	13.7%	14.2%
Total		Count	227	390	183	800
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	13	13	3	5	22	56
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	7.4%	6.0%	4.7%	5.5%	8.8%	7.0%
	Somewhat positive	Count	57	66	17	30	55	225
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	32.4%	30.7%	26.6%	33.0%	22.1%	28.3%
	Somewhat negative	Count	67	65	26	33	69	260
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	38.1%	30.2%	40.6%	36.3%	27.7%	32.7%
	Very negative	Count	25	46	14	9	49	143
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.2%	21.4%	21.9%	9.9%	19.7%	18.0%
	Don't know	Count	14	25	4	14	54	111
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	8.0%	11.6%	6.3%	15.4%	21.7%	14.0%
Total		Count	176	215	64	91	249	795
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Tenor of Bill White's campaign * region Crosstabulation

	region	Total

			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Tenor of Bill White's campaign	Very positive	Count	11	8	12	13	7	6	57
		% within region	6.5%	6.0%	10.0%	8.4%	6.3%	5.3%	7.1%
	Somewhat positive	Count	31	50	39	46	32	26	224
		% within region	18.5%	37.6%	32.5%	29.9%	28.8%	22.8%	28.0%
	Somewhat negative	Count	50	39	41	55	30	44	259
		% within region	29.8%	29.3%	34.2%	35.7%	27.0%	38.6%	32.4%
	Very negative	Count	45	23	17	19	20	23	147
		% within region	26.8%	17.3%	14.2%	12.3%	18.0%	20.2%	18.4%
	Don't know	Count	31	13	11	21	22	15	113
		% within region	18.5%	9.8%	9.2%	13.6%	19.8%	13.2%	14.1%
Total		Count	168	133	120	154	111	114	800
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	8	22	32	50	31	23	37	3	206
		% within Own ideological placement	44.4%	38.6%	36.8%	31.6%	25.8%	13.6%	22.0%	30.0%	26.2%
	Sometimes	Count	3	18	22	65	21	25	21	2	177
		% within Own ideological placement	16.7%	31.6%	25.3%	41.1%	17.5%	14.8%	12.5%	20.0%	22.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	4	9	23	24	38	56	47	1	202
		% within Own ideological placement	22.2%	15.8%	26.4%	15.2%	31.7%	33.1%	28.0%	10.0%	25.7%
	Never	Count	3	8	10	19	30	65	63	4	202
		% within Own ideological placement	16.7%	14.0%	11.5%	12.0%	25.0%	38.5%	37.5%	40.0%	25.7%
Total		Count	18	57	87	158	120	169	168	10	787
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	64	38	17	13	14	19	40	0	205
		% within 7 point Party ID	40.8%	39.6%	41.5%	21.7%	15.7%	22.9%	20.1%	.0%	28.2%
	Sometimes	Count	51	33	11	16	9	19	32	2	173

		% within 7 point Party ID	32.5%	34.4%	26.8%	26.7%	10.1%	22.9%	16.1%	100.0%	23.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	31	13	5	13	35	22	57	0	176
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.7%	13.5%	12.2%	21.7%	39.3%	26.5%	28.6%	.0%	24.2%
	Never	Count	11	12	8	18	31	23	70	0	173
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.0%	12.5%	19.5%	30.0%	34.8%	27.7%	35.2%	.0%	23.8%
Total		Count	157	96	41	60	89	83	199	2	727
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	23	60	91	38	212
		% within age	21.7%	22.6%	30.8%	29.2%	26.6%
	Sometimes	Count	29	61	61	28	179
		% within age	27.4%	23.0%	20.7%	21.5%	22.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	29	70	68	35	202
		% within age	27.4%	26.4%	23.1%	26.9%	25.4%
	Never	Count	25	74	75	29	203
		% within age	23.6%	27.9%	25.4%	22.3%	25.5%
Total		Count	106	265	295	130	796
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	7	96	65	12	23	7	210
		% within Education	31.8%	30.6%	23.9%	30.8%	21.7%	17.5%	26.5%
	Sometimes	Count	1	65	69	5	27	12	179
		% within Education	4.5%	20.7%	25.4%	12.8%	25.5%	30.0%	22.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	2	74	73	11	30	12	202
		% within Education	9.1%	23.6%	26.8%	28.2%	28.3%	30.0%	25.5%
	Never	Count	12	79	65	11	26	9	202
		% within Education	54.5%	25.2%	23.9%	28.2%	24.5%	22.5%	25.5%

Total	Count	22	314	272	39	106	40	793
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	26	47	30	58	50	211
		% within Attendance at religious services	24.1%	33.1%	27.8%	26.5%	22.7%	26.5%
	Sometimes	Count	17	29	23	65	46	180
		% within Attendance at religious services	15.7%	20.4%	21.3%	29.7%	20.9%	22.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	37	31	33	45	57	203
		% within Attendance at religious services	34.3%	21.8%	30.6%	20.5%	25.9%	25.5%
	Never	Count	28	35	22	51	67	203
		% within Attendance at religious services	25.9%	24.6%	20.4%	23.3%	30.5%	25.5%
Total		Count	108	142	108	219	220	797
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	116	45	39	1	4	6	211
		% within Race	22.7%	46.9%	27.1%	9.1%	66.7%	24.0%	26.6%
	Sometimes	Count	105	30	32	7	0	6	180
		% within Race	20.5%	31.3%	22.2%	63.6%	.0%	24.0%	22.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	148	16	32	0	0	5	201
		% within Race	28.9%	16.7%	22.2%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	25.3%
	Never	Count	143	5	41	3	2	8	202
		% within Race	27.9%	5.2%	28.5%	27.3%	33.3%	32.0%	25.4%
Total		Count	512	96	144	11	6	25	794

Frequency - National nightly network news * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	116	45	39	1	4	6	211
		% within Race	22.7%	46.9%	27.1%	9.1%	66.7%	24.0%	26.6%
	Sometimes	Count	105	30	32	7	0	6	180
		% within Race	20.5%	31.3%	22.2%	63.6%	.0%	24.0%	22.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	148	16	32	0	0	5	201
		% within Race	28.9%	16.7%	22.2%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	25.3%
	Never	Count	143	5	41	3	2	8	202
		% within Race	27.9%	5.2%	28.5%	27.3%	33.3%	32.0%	25.4%
Total		Count	512	96	144	11	6	25	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	114	1	27	12	48	8	210
		% within Marital Status	23.7%	11.1%	34.2%	36.4%	32.9%	18.6%	26.5%
	Sometimes	Count	106	3	21	10	31	5	176
		% within Marital Status	22.0%	33.3%	26.6%	30.3%	21.2%	11.6%	22.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	128	1	17	7	34	15	202
		% within Marital Status	26.6%	11.1%	21.5%	21.2%	23.3%	34.9%	25.5%
	Never	Count	133	4	14	4	33	15	203
		% within Marital Status	27.7%	44.4%	17.7%	12.1%	22.6%	34.9%	25.7%
Total		Count	481	9	79	33	146	43	791
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	80	130	210
		% within Gender	21.5%	31.0%	26.5%
	Sometimes	Count	70	109	179

		% within Gender	18.8%	26.0%	22.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	120	82	202
		% within Gender	32.3%	19.5%	25.5%
	Never	Count	102	99	201
		% within Gender	27.4%	23.6%	25.4%
Total		Count	372	420	792
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	68	91	52	211
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	30.4%	23.4%	28.6%	26.5%
	Sometimes	Count	47	100	33	180
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.0%	25.7%	18.1%	22.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	58	98	46	202
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	25.9%	25.2%	25.3%	25.4%
	Never	Count	51	100	51	202
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	22.8%	25.7%	28.0%	25.4%
Total		Count	224	389	182	795
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	56	58	18	17	62	211
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	32.2%	27.0%	28.1%	18.7%	25.4%	26.8%
	Sometimes	Count	39	48	15	28	48	178

		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	22.4%	22.3%	23.4%	30.8%	19.7%	22.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	37	62	13	28	61	201
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.3%	28.8%	20.3%	30.8%	25.0%	25.5%
	Never	Count	42	47	18	18	73	198
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	24.1%	21.9%	28.1%	19.8%	29.9%	25.1%
Total		Count	174	215	64	91	244	788
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - National nightly network news * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency - National nightly network news	Regularly	Count	37	36	41	34	30	33	211
		% within region	22.3%	27.5%	34.2%	22.4%	27.3%	28.7%	26.6%
	Sometimes	Count	32	34	29	38	17	28	178
		% within region	19.3%	26.0%	24.2%	25.0%	15.5%	24.3%	22.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	46	35	25	47	26	24	203
		% within region	27.7%	26.7%	20.8%	30.9%	23.6%	20.9%	25.6%
	Never	Count	51	26	25	33	37	30	202
		% within region	30.7%	19.8%	20.8%	21.7%	33.6%	26.1%	25.4%
Total		Count	166	131	120	152	110	115	794
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	8	16	27	43	42	97	90	4	327
		% within Own ideological placement	44.4%	29.1%	31.8%	27.0%	35.6%	57.7%	53.6%	36.4%	41.8%
	Sometimes	Count	2	20	18	61	37	33	36	1	208
		% within Own ideological placement	11.1%	36.4%	21.2%	38.4%	31.4%	19.6%	21.4%	9.1%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	3	13	20	24	18	23	19	0	120
		% within Own ideological placement	16.7%	23.6%	23.5%	15.1%	15.3%	13.7%	11.3%	.0%	15.3%

177

Never	Count	5	6	20	31	21	15	23	6	127
	% within Own ideological placement	27.8%	10.9%	23.5%	19.5%	17.8%	8.9%	13.7%	54.5%	16.2%
Total	Count	18	55	85	159	118	168	168	11	782
	% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	51	22	17	24	57	25	115	0	311
		% within 7 point Party ID	32.7%	23.2%	41.5%	39.3%	65.5%	30.9%	57.8%	.0%	43.1%
	Sometimes	Count	45	42	13	17	11	20	38	0	186
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.8%	44.2%	31.7%	27.9%	12.6%	24.7%	19.1%	.0%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	29	11	3	12	11	16	29	0	111
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.6%	11.6%	7.3%	19.7%	12.6%	19.8%	14.6%	.0%	15.4%
	Never	Count	31	20	8	8	8	20	17	2	114
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.9%	21.1%	19.5%	13.1%	9.2%	24.7%	8.5%	100.0%	15.8%
Total		Count	156	95	41	61	87	81	199	2	722
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * age Crosstabulation

			age				
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	29	74	137	92	332
		% within age	27.4%	28.4%	47.2%	69.7%	42.1%
	Sometimes	Count	27	82	83	18	210
		% within age	25.5%	31.4%	28.6%	13.6%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	25	56	26	14	121
		% within age	23.6%	21.5%	9.0%	10.6%	15.3%
	Never	Count	25	49	44	8	126
		% within age	23.6%	18.8%	15.2%	6.1%	16.0%
Total		Count	106	261	290	132	789
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

178

Frequency - Cable news * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	7	144	97	15	49	19	331
		% within Education	31.8%	46.2%	36.2%	38.5%	47.1%	46.3%	42.1%
	Sometimes	Count	7	77	77	8	29	11	209
		% within Education	31.8%	24.7%	28.7%	20.5%	27.9%	26.8%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	0	41	48	8	15	8	120
		% within Education	.0%	13.1%	17.9%	20.5%	14.4%	19.5%	15.3%
	Never	Count	8	50	46	8	11	3	126
		% within Education	36.4%	16.0%	17.2%	20.5%	10.6%	7.3%	16.0%
Total		Count	22	312	268	39	104	41	786
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	41	59	60	98	74	332
		% within Attendance at religious services	38.7%	42.1%	56.1%	45.2%	33.6%	42.0%
	Sometimes	Count	29	40	25	60	57	211
		% within Attendance at religious services	27.4%	28.6%	23.4%	27.6%	25.9%	26.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	19	18	16	31	36	120
		% within Attendance at religious services	17.9%	12.9%	15.0%	14.3%	16.4%	15.2%
	Never	Count	17	23	6	28	53	127
		% within Attendance at religious services	16.0%	16.4%	5.6%	12.9%	24.1%	16.1%
Total		Count	106	140	107	217	220	790
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	225	36	55	1	2	12	331
		% within Race	44.2%	39.1%	38.5%	9.1%	33.3%	48.0%	42.1%
	Sometimes	Count	121	35	31	9	2	11	209
		% within Race	23.8%	38.0%	21.7%	81.8%	33.3%	44.0%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	83	14	22	0	0	0	119
		% within Race	16.3%	15.2%	15.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.1%
	Never	Count	80	7	35	1	2	2	127
		% within Race	15.7%	7.6%	24.5%	9.1%	33.3%	8.0%	16.2%
Total		Count	509	92	143	11	6	25	786
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	222	2	34	15	42	16	331
		% within Marital Status	46.3%	22.2%	43.0%	48.4%	29.0%	36.4%	42.1%
	Sometimes	Count	119	3	20	7	49	11	209
		% within Marital Status	24.8%	33.3%	25.3%	22.6%	33.8%	25.0%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	66	1	14	5	26	8	120
		% within Marital Status	13.8%	11.1%	17.7%	16.1%	17.9%	18.2%	15.2%
	Never	Count	72	3	11	4	28	9	127
		% within Marital Status	15.0%	33.3%	13.9%	12.9%	19.3%	20.5%	16.1%
Total		Count	479	9	79	31	145	44	787
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	184	147	331

		% within Gender	49.9%	35.2%	42.1%
	Sometimes	Count	92	117	209
		% within Gender	24.9%	28.0%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	55	65	120
		% within Gender	14.9%	15.6%	15.2%
	Never	Count	38	89	127
		% within Gender	10.3%	21.3%	16.1%
Total		Count	369	418	787
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	82	175	75	332
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	36.6%	45.0%	42.4%	42.0%
	Sometimes	Count	64	97	49	210
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	28.6%	24.9%	27.7%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	40	59	22	121
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	17.9%	15.2%	12.4%	15.3%
	Never	Count	38	58	31	127
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	17.0%	14.9%	17.5%	16.1%
Total		Count	224	389	177	790
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	79	87	34	35	95	330
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	45.9%	40.8%	52.3%	39.8%	38.8%	42.1%

								Total
Sometimes	Count	48	60	15	25	59		207
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	27.9%	28.2%	23.1%	28.4%	24.1%		26.4%
Hardly ever	Count	22	34	9	12	43		120
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	12.8%	16.0%	13.8%	13.6%	17.6%		15.3%
Never	Count	23	32	7	16	48		126
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	13.4%	15.0%	10.8%	18.2%	19.6%		16.1%
Total	Count	172	213	65	88	245		783
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Frequency - Cable news * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency - Cable news	Regularly	Count	78	55	48	67	43	40	331
		% within region	47.0%	42.0%	41.0%	44.7%	39.4%	35.1%	42.1%
	Sometimes	Count	46	32	39	36	21	35	209
		% within region	27.7%	24.4%	33.3%	24.0%	19.3%	30.7%	26.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	22	21	15	18	25	19	120
		% within region	13.3%	16.0%	12.8%	12.0%	22.9%	16.7%	15.2%
	Never	Count	20	23	15	29	20	20	127
		% within region	12.0%	17.6%	12.8%	19.3%	18.3%	17.5%	16.1%
Total		Count	166	131	117	150	109	114	787
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	6	31	33	76	45	28	29	1	249
		% within Own ideological placement	46.2%	83.8%	56.9%	57.1%	46.4%	23.9%	24.0%	33.3%	43.0%
	No	Count	7	6	25	57	52	89	92	2	330
		% within Own ideological placement	53.8%	16.2%	43.1%	42.9%	53.6%	76.1%	76.0%	66.7%	57.0%
Total		Count	13	37	58	133	97	117	121	3	579
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	86	44	20	19	18	28	24	0	239
		% within 7 point Party ID	67.2%	65.7%	74.1%	43.2%	26.9%	47.5%	15.8%	.0%	43.8%
	No	Count	42	23	7	25	49	31	128	2	307
		% within 7 point Party ID	32.8%	34.3%	25.9%	56.8%	73.1%	52.5%	84.2%	100.0%	56.2%
Total		Count	128	67	27	44	67	59	152	2	546
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	26	80	107	40	253
		% within age	44.1%	44.4%	45.7%	36.4%	43.4%
	No	Count	33	100	127	70	330
		% within age	55.9%	55.6%	54.3%	63.6%	56.6%
Total		Count	59	180	234	110	583
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	7	97	85	11	34	19	253
		% within Education	70.0%	39.0%	45.2%	44.0%	44.2%	57.6%	43.5%
	No	Count	3	152	103	14	43	14	329
		% within Education	30.0%	61.0%	54.8%	56.0%	55.8%	42.4%	56.5%
Total		Count	10	249	188	25	77	33	582
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

183

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	30	49	47	65	62	253
		% within Attendance at religious services	36.1%	47.1%	51.6%	40.6%	43.1%	43.5%
	No	Count	53	55	44	95	82	329
		% within Attendance at religious services	63.9%	52.9%	48.4%	59.4%	56.9%	56.5%
Total		Count	83	104	91	160	144	582
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	132	62	49	6	1	4	254
		% within Race	35.8%	79.5%	47.1%	60.0%	20.0%	26.7%	43.7%
	No	Count	237	16	55	4	4	11	327
		% within Race	64.2%	20.5%	52.9%	40.0%	80.0%	73.3%	56.3%
Total		Count	369	78	104	10	5	15	581
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	147	4	28	12	47	15	253
		% within Marital Status	40.7%	50.0%	45.9%	41.4%	48.5%	60.0%	43.5%
	No	Count	214	4	33	17	50	10	328
		% within Marital Status	59.3%	50.0%	54.1%	58.6%	51.5%	40.0%	56.5%
Total		Count	361	8	61	29	97	25	581
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	123	130	253
		% within Gender	45.6%	41.7%	43.5%
	No	Count	147	182	329
		% within Gender	54.4%	58.3%	56.5%
Total		Count	270	312	582
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	78	118	57	253
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	46.4%	42.9%	40.7%	43.4%
	No	Count	90	157	83	330
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	53.6%	57.1%	59.3%	56.6%
Total		Count	168	275	140	583
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	59	66	21	39	67	252
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	45.7%	44.3%	41.2%	52.7%	37.9%	43.4%
	No	Count	70	83	30	35	110	328
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	54.3%	55.7%	58.8%	47.3%	62.1%	56.6%
Total		Count	129	149	51	74	177	580

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	59	66	21	39	67	252
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	45.7%	44.3%	41.2%	52.7%	37.9%	43.4%
	No	Count	70	83	30	35	110	328
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	54.3%	55.7%	58.8%	47.3%	62.1%	56.6%
Total		Count	129	149	51	74	177	580
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - CNN * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Cable news channel viewership - CNN	Yes	Count	51	33	43	55	33	36	251
		% within region	42.9%	38.4%	48.3%	45.5%	41.3%	41.4%	43.1%
	No	Count	68	53	46	66	47	51	331
		% within region	57.1%	61.6%	51.7%	54.5%	58.8%	58.6%	56.9%
Total		Count	119	86	89	121	80	87	582
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	3	6	7	24	12	10	12	0	74
		% within Own ideological placement	23.1%	16.7%	12.1%	18.0%	12.4%	8.5%	9.9%	.0%	12.8%
	No	Count	10	30	51	109	85	108	109	3	505
		% within Own ideological placement	76.9%	83.3%	87.9%	82.0%	87.6%	91.5%	90.1%	100.0%	87.2%

| Total | | Count | 13 | 36 | 58 | 133 | 97 | 118 | 121 | 3 | 579 |
| | | % within Own ideological placement | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

| | | | 7 point Party ID | | | | | | | | |
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	15	10	11	10	7	6	9	0	68
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.8%	14.9%	40.7%	22.7%	10.4%	10.2%	5.9%	.0%	12.5%
	No	Count	112	57	16	34	60	53	143	2	477
		% within 7 point Party ID	88.2%	85.1%	59.3%	77.3%	89.6%	89.8%	94.1%	100.0%	87.5%
Total		Count	127	67	27	44	67	59	152	2	545
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * age Crosstabulation

| | | | age | | | | Total |
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	12	18	35	8	73
		% within age	20.3%	10.0%	14.9%	7.3%	12.5%
	No	Count	47	162	200	102	511
		% within age	79.7%	90.0%	85.1%	92.7%	87.5%
Total		Count	59	180	235	110	584
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Education Crosstabulation

| | | | Education | | | | | | Total |
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	1	24	21	7	15	5	73
		% within Education	10.0%	9.6%	11.1%	26.9%	19.5%	15.6%	12.5%
	No	Count	9	225	168	19	62	27	510
		% within Education	90.0%	90.4%	88.9%	73.1%	80.5%	84.4%	87.5%
Total		Count	10	249	189	26	77	32	583
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

187

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	8	13	9	21	21	72
		% within Attendance at religious services	9.6%	12.5%	9.9%	13.1%	14.5%	12.3%
	No	Count	75	91	82	139	124	511
		% within Attendance at religious services	90.4%	87.5%	90.1%	86.9%	85.5%	87.7%
Total		Count	83	104	91	160	145	583
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	44	9	14	1	2	0	70
		% within Race	11.9%	11.5%	13.5%	10.0%	40.0%	.0%	12.0%
	No	Count	325	69	90	9	3	15	511
		% within Race	88.1%	88.5%	86.5%	90.0%	60.0%	100.0%	88.0%
Total		Count	369	78	104	10	5	15	581
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	42	0	7	4	13	7	73
		% within Marital Status	11.6%	.0%	11.7%	13.3%	13.4%	28.0%	12.6%
	No	Count	319	8	53	26	84	18	508
		% within Marital Status	88.4%	100.0%	88.3%	86.7%	86.6%	72.0%	87.4%
Total		Count	361	8	60	30	97	25	581
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	38	35	73
		% within Gender	14.0%	11.2%	12.5%
	No	Count	233	277	510
		% within Gender	86.0%	88.8%	87.5%
Total		Count	271	312	583
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	17	38	18	73
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	10.1%	13.8%	12.9%	12.5%
	No	Count	151	237	122	510
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	89.9%	86.2%	87.1%	87.5%
Total		Count	168	275	140	583
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	25	12	7	14	15	73
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	19.4%	8.0%	13.7%	18.9%	8.4%	12.5%
	No	Count	104	138	44	60	163	509

	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	80.6%	92.0%	86.3%	81.1%	91.6%	87.5%	
Total	Count	129	150	51	74	178	582	
	% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Cable news channel viewership - BBC * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Cable news channel viewership - BBC	Yes	Count	7	7	17	17	12	12	72
		% within region	5.9%	8.1%	19.3%	13.9%	15.0%	13.8%	12.4%
	No	Count	112	79	71	105	68	75	510
		% within region	94.1%	91.9%	80.7%	86.1%	85.0%	86.2%	87.6%
Total		Count	119	86	88	122	80	87	582
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	1	4	17	59	70	99	101	1	352
		% within Own ideological placement	7.7%	11.1%	29.3%	44.7%	72.9%	83.9%	83.5%	33.3%	61.0%
	No	Count	12	32	41	73	26	19	20	2	225
		% within Own ideological placement	92.3%	88.9%	70.7%	55.3%	27.1%	16.1%	16.5%	66.7%	39.0%
Total		Count	13	36	58	132	96	118	121	3	577
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Cable news channel	Yes	Count	33	35	3	24	63	38	127	0	323

viewership - Fox News		% within 7 point Party ID	26.0%	52.2%	11.1%	54.5%	92.6%	64.4%	83.6%	.0%	59.2%
	No	Count	94	32	24	20	5	21	25	2	223
		% within 7 point Party ID	74.0%	47.8%	88.9%	45.5%	7.4%	35.6%	16.4%	100.0%	40.8%
Total		Count	127	67	27	44	68	59	152	2	546
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	38	101	142	71	352
		% within age	64.4%	56.1%	60.7%	65.1%	60.5%
	No	Count	21	79	92	38	230
		% within age	35.6%	43.9%	39.3%	34.9%	39.5%
Total		Count	59	180	234	109	582
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	6	156	111	18	43	19	353
		% within Education	66.7%	62.4%	59.0%	69.2%	55.8%	57.6%	60.5%
	No	Count	3	94	77	8	34	14	230
		% within Education	33.3%	37.6%	41.0%	30.8%	44.2%	42.4%	39.5%
Total		Count	9	250	188	26	77	33	583
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	59	73	50	99	72	353
		% within Attendance at religious services	71.1%	69.5%	54.9%	61.9%	50.0%	60.5%
	No	Count	24	32	41	61	72	230

			28.9%	30.5%	45.1%	38.1%	50.0%	39.5%
		% within Attendance at religious services						
Total		Count	83	105	91	160	144	583
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	242	29	58	7	3	11	350
		% within Race	65.6%	37.2%	55.8%	70.0%	60.0%	73.3%	60.2%
	No	Count	127	49	46	3	2	4	231
		% within Race	34.4%	62.8%	44.2%	30.0%	40.0%	26.7%	39.8%
Total		Count	369	78	104	10	5	15	581
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	238	4	28	15	50	15	350
		% within Marital Status	66.1%	50.0%	46.7%	51.7%	51.5%	60.0%	60.4%
	No	Count	122	4	32	14	47	10	229
		% within Marital Status	33.9%	50.0%	53.3%	48.3%	48.5%	40.0%	39.6%
Total		Count	360	8	60	29	97	25	579
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	185	167	352
		% within Gender	68.5%	53.5%	60.5%
	No	Count	85	145	230
		% within Gender	31.5%	46.5%	39.5%

Total		Count	270	312	582
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	87	175	90	352
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	51.8%	63.6%	64.3%	60.4%
	No	Count	81	100	50	231
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	48.2%	36.4%	35.7%	39.6%
Total		Count	168	275	140	583
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	76	83	32	40	121	352
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	58.9%	55.7%	62.7%	54.1%	68.0%	60.6%
	No	Count	53	66	19	34	57	229
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	41.1%	44.3%	37.3%	45.9%	32.0%	39.4%
Total		Count	129	149	51	74	178	581
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Fox News * region Crosstabulation

	region	Total

			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Cable news channel viewership - Fox News	Yes	Count	81	40	53	75	49	55	353
		% within region	67.5%	46.5%	60.2%	61.5%	61.3%	63.2%	60.5%
	No	Count	39	46	35	47	31	32	230
		% within region	32.5%	53.5%	39.8%	38.5%	38.8%	36.8%	39.5%
Total		Count	120	86	88	122	80	87	583
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	9	23	28	49	33	20	17	1	180
		% within Own ideological placement	69.2%	63.9%	49.1%	37.1%	34.0%	16.9%	14.0%	33.3%	31.2%
	No	Count	4	13	29	83	64	98	104	2	397
		% within Own ideological placement	30.8%	36.1%	50.9%	62.9%	66.0%	83.1%	86.0%	66.7%	68.8%
Total		Count	13	36	57	132	97	118	121	3	577
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	66	35	20	9	13	13	21	0	177
		% within 7 point Party ID	51.6%	53.0%	74.1%	20.5%	19.4%	22.0%	13.9%	.0%	32.5%
	No	Count	62	31	7	35	54	46	130	2	367
		% within 7 point Party ID	48.4%	47.0%	25.9%	79.5%	80.6%	78.0%	86.1%	100.0%	67.5%
Total		Count	128	66	27	44	67	59	151	2	544
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Cable news channel	Yes	Count	26	53	76	29	184

194

viewership - MSNBC		% within age	44.1%	29.4%	32.5%	26.4%	31.6%
	No	Count	33	127	158	81	399
		% within age	55.9%	70.6%	67.5%	73.6%	68.4%
Total		Count	59	180	234	110	583
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	3	68	68	7	27	11	184
		% within Education	33.3%	27.3%	36.0%	28.0%	35.1%	33.3%	31.6%
	No	Count	6	181	121	18	50	22	398
		% within Education	66.7%	72.7%	64.0%	72.0%	64.9%	66.7%	68.4%
Total		Count	9	249	189	25	77	33	582
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	23	25	47	52	37	184
		% within Attendance at religious services	27.7%	24.0%	51.6%	32.5%	25.7%	31.6%
	No	Count	60	79	44	108	107	398
		% within Attendance at religious services	72.3%	76.0%	48.4%	67.5%	74.3%	68.4%
Total		Count	83	104	91	160	144	582
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Race Crosstabulation

		Race						Total
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	98	45	29	5	1	6	184
		% within Race	26.6%	57.7%	27.9%	50.0%	20.0%	40.0%	31.7%
	No	Count	270	33	75	5	4	9	396
		% within Race	73.4%	42.3%	72.1%	50.0%	80.0%	60.0%	68.3%
Total		Count	368	78	104	10	5	15	580
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	108	3	22	7	37	8	185
		% within Marital Status	29.9%	37.5%	36.1%	24.1%	38.1%	32.0%	31.8%
	No	Count	253	5	39	22	60	17	396
		% within Marital Status	70.1%	62.5%	63.9%	75.9%	61.9%	68.0%	68.2%
Total		Count	361	8	61	29	97	25	581
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	87	98	185
		% within Gender	32.1%	31.4%	31.7%
	No	Count	184	214	398
		% within Gender	67.9%	68.6%	68.3%
Total		Count	271	312	583
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	57	80	47	184
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	33.9%	29.1%	33.8%	31.6%

No	Count	111	195	92	398
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	66.1%	70.9%	66.2%	68.4%
Total	Count	168	275	139	582
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	41	44	15	32	53	185
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	31.8%	29.3%	29.4%	43.2%	29.8%	31.8%
	No	Count	88	106	36	42	125	397
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	68.2%	70.7%	70.6%	56.8%	70.2%	68.2%
Total		Count	129	150	51	74	178	582
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Cable news channel viewership - MSNBC	Yes	Count	34	19	27	45	32	26	183
		% within region	28.6%	22.1%	30.3%	36.9%	40.0%	30.2%	31.4%
	No	Count	85	67	62	77	48	60	399
		% within region	71.4%	77.9%	69.7%	63.1%	60.0%	69.8%	68.6%
Total		Count	119	86	89	122	80	86	582
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

197

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	5	8	14	33	15	9	20	3	107
		% within Own ideological placement	38.5%	22.2%	24.6%	25.0%	15.5%	7.7%	16.5%	100.0%	18.6%
	No	Count	8	28	43	99	82	108	101	0	469
		% within Own ideological placement	61.5%	77.8%	75.4%	75.0%	84.5%	92.3%	83.5%	.0%	81.4%
Total		Count	13	36	57	132	97	117	121	3	576
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	30	18	4	16	7	10	18	2	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.4%	26.9%	14.8%	36.4%	10.3%	16.9%	11.8%	100.0%	19.2%
	No	Count	98	49	23	28	61	49	134	0	442
		% within 7 point Party ID	76.6%	73.1%	85.2%	63.6%	89.7%	83.1%	88.2%	.0%	80.8%
Total		Count	128	67	27	44	68	59	152	2	547
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	20	40	36	16	112
		% within age	33.9%	22.3%	15.3%	14.5%	19.2%
	No	Count	39	139	199	94	471
		% within age	66.1%	77.7%	84.7%	85.5%	80.8%
Total		Count	59	179	235	110	583
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Education Crosstabulation

	Education						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	1	53	39	0	14	4	111
		% within Education	10.0%	21.2%	20.7%	.0%	18.2%	12.1%	19.0%
	No	Count	9	197	149	25	63	29	472
		% within Education	90.0%	78.8%	79.3%	100.0%	81.8%	87.9%	81.0%
Total		Count	10	250	188	25	77	33	583
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	18	15	18	25	35	111
		% within Attendance at religious services	21.7%	14.4%	19.8%	15.6%	24.3%	19.1%
	No	Count	65	89	73	135	109	471
		% within Attendance at religious services	78.3%	85.6%	80.2%	84.4%	75.7%	80.9%
Total		Count	83	104	91	160	144	582
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	64	28	16	0	3	1	112
		% within Race	17.3%	35.9%	15.4%	.0%	60.0%	6.7%	19.3%
	No	Count	305	50	88	10	2	14	469
		% within Race	82.7%	64.1%	84.6%	100.0%	40.0%	93.3%	80.7%
Total		Count	369	78	104	10	5	15	581
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Marital Status Crosstabulation

	Marital Status	Total

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	60	3	14	5	23	6	111
		% within Marital Status	16.7%	37.5%	23.3%	17.2%	23.7%	24.0%	19.2%
	No	Count	300	5	46	24	74	19	468
		% within Marital Status	83.3%	62.5%	76.7%	82.8%	76.3%	76.0%	80.8%
Total		Count	360	8	60	29	97	25	579
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	32	80	112
		% within Gender	11.9%	25.6%	19.2%
	No	Count	238	232	470
		% within Gender	88.1%	74.4%	80.8%
Total		Count	270	312	582
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	40	46	26	112
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.8%	16.7%	18.6%	19.2%
	No	Count	128	229	114	471
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	76.2%	83.3%	81.4%	80.8%
Total		Count	168	275	140	583
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

	Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	Total

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	26	27	8	12	39	112
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	20.0%	18.0%	15.4%	16.2%	21.9%	19.2%
	No	Count	104	123	44	62	139	472
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	80.0%	82.0%	84.6%	83.8%	78.1%	80.8%
Total		Count	130	150	52	74	178	584
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cable news channel viewership - Other * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Cable news channel viewership - Other	Yes	Count	21	21	18	20	15	17	112
		% within region	17.6%	24.4%	20.2%	16.5%	18.8%	19.5%	19.2%
	No	Count	98	65	71	101	65	70	470
		% within region	82.4%	75.6%	79.8%	83.5%	81.3%	80.5%	80.8%
Total		Count	119	86	89	121	80	87	582
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	9	24	37	90	70	69	72	2	373
		% within Own ideological placement	47.4%	42.9%	42.0%	56.6%	58.3%	41.3%	43.6%	18.2%	47.5%
	Sometimes	Count	4	13	21	43	26	49	49	1	206
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	23.2%	23.9%	27.0%	21.7%	29.3%	29.7%	9.1%	26.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	3	15	18	20	11	34	28	1	130
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	26.8%	20.5%	12.6%	9.2%	20.4%	17.0%	9.1%	16.6%

201

	Never	Count		3	4	12	6	13	15	16	7	76
		% within Own ideological placement		15.8%	7.1%	13.6%	3.8%	10.8%	9.0%	9.7%	63.6%	9.7%
Total		Count		19	56	88	159	120	167	165	11	785
		% within Own ideological placement		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	92	48	20	28	36	43	97	0	364
		% within 7 point Party ID	59.0%	50.0%	47.6%	45.9%	40.9%	52.4%	49.2%	.0%	50.3%
	Sometimes	Count	36	19	7	16	32	16	54	2	182
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.1%	19.8%	16.7%	26.2%	36.4%	19.5%	27.4%	100.0%	25.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	18	17	9	10	11	13	36	0	114
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.5%	17.7%	21.4%	16.4%	12.5%	15.9%	18.3%	.0%	15.7%
	Never	Count	10	12	6	7	9	10	10	0	64
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.4%	12.5%	14.3%	11.5%	10.2%	12.2%	5.1%	.0%	8.8%
Total		Count	156	96	42	61	88	82	197	2	724
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	31	109	151	86	377
		% within age	29.5%	41.4%	51.9%	66.7%	47.8%
	Sometimes	Count	28	71	84	24	207
		% within age	26.7%	27.0%	28.9%	18.6%	26.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	31	49	35	14	129
		% within age	29.5%	18.6%	12.0%	10.9%	16.4%
	Never	Count	15	34	21	5	75
		% within age	14.3%	12.9%	7.2%	3.9%	9.5%
Total		Count	105	263	291	129	788
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	9	175	117	17	41	19	378
		% within Education	39.1%	55.7%	44.2%	41.5%	38.7%	45.2%	47.8%
	Sometimes	Count	1	75	71	9	36	14	206
		% within Education	4.3%	23.9%	26.8%	22.0%	34.0%	33.3%	26.0%
	Hardly ever	Count	6	40	53	6	18	6	129
		% within Education	26.1%	12.7%	20.0%	14.6%	17.0%	14.3%	16.3%
	Never	Count	7	24	24	9	11	3	78
		% within Education	30.4%	7.6%	9.1%	22.0%	10.4%	7.1%	9.9%
Total		Count	23	314	265	41	106	42	791
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	46	68	69	104	91	378
		% within Attendance at religious services	43.4%	47.9%	63.9%	47.9%	41.9%	47.8%
	Sometimes	Count	37	37	22	56	54	206
		% within Attendance at religious services	34.9%	26.1%	20.4%	25.8%	24.9%	26.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	12	25	11	41	41	130
		% within Attendance at religious services	11.3%	17.6%	10.2%	18.9%	18.9%	16.5%
	Never	Count	11	12	6	16	31	76
		% within Attendance at religious services	10.4%	8.5%	5.6%	7.4%	14.3%	9.6%
Total		Count	106	142	108	217	217	790
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	224	59	75	6	5	8	377
		% within Race	44.1%	63.4%	52.1%	54.5%	83.3%	33.3%	48.0%
	Sometimes	Count	144	19	29	4	0	7	203
		% within Race	28.3%	20.4%	20.1%	36.4%	.0%	29.2%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	89	13	21	0	1	5	129
		% within Race	17.5%	14.0%	14.6%	.0%	16.7%	20.8%	16.4%
	Never	Count	51	2	19	1	0	4	77
		% within Race	10.0%	2.2%	13.2%	9.1%	.0%	16.7%	9.8%
Total		Count	508	93	144	11	6	24	786
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	230	4	38	22	63	19	376
		% within Marital Status	48.4%	36.4%	47.5%	66.7%	42.9%	44.2%	47.7%
	Sometimes	Count	131	5	22	8	34	6	206
		% within Marital Status	27.6%	45.5%	27.5%	24.2%	23.1%	14.0%	26.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	65	1	14	1	36	12	129
		% within Marital Status	13.7%	9.1%	17.5%	3.0%	24.5%	27.9%	16.3%
	Never	Count	49	1	6	2	14	6	78
		% within Marital Status	10.3%	9.1%	7.5%	6.1%	9.5%	14.0%	9.9%
Total		Count	475	11	80	33	147	43	789
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	169	207	376

		% within Gender	46.2%	49.3%	47.8%
	Sometimes	Count	101	105	206
		% within Gender	27.6%	25.0%	26.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	64	65	129
		% within Gender	17.5%	15.5%	16.4%
	Never	Count	32	43	75
		% within Gender	8.7%	10.2%	9.5%
Total		Count	366	420	786
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	120	166	91	377
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	53.6%	43.1%	50.6%	47.8%
	Sometimes	Count	48	109	49	206
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.4%	28.3%	27.2%	26.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	36	72	21	129
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	16.1%	18.7%	11.7%	16.3%
	Never	Count	20	38	19	77
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	8.9%	9.9%	10.6%	9.8%
Total		Count	224	385	180	789
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	88	101	35	35	117	376
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	51.2%	47.6%	53.8%	38.5%	48.3%	48.1%

								Total
	Sometimes	Count	41	49	17	39	60	206
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.8%	23.1%	26.2%	42.9%	24.8%	26.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	30	45	9	9	35	128
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	17.4%	21.2%	13.8%	9.9%	14.5%	16.4%
	Never	Count	13	17	4	8	30	72
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	7.6%	8.0%	6.2%	8.8%	12.4%	9.2%
Total		Count	172	212	65	91	242	782
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Local news * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency - Local news	Regularly	Count	85	57	60	73	46	57	378
		% within region	51.8%	43.5%	50.8%	48.3%	42.2%	50.0%	48.0%
	Sometimes	Count	34	30	28	49	35	30	206
		% within region	20.7%	22.9%	23.7%	32.5%	32.1%	26.3%	26.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	28	34	20	17	17	12	128
		% within region	17.1%	26.0%	16.9%	11.3%	15.6%	10.5%	16.3%
	Never	Count	17	10	10	12	11	15	75
		% within region	10.4%	7.6%	8.5%	7.9%	10.1%	13.2%	9.5%
Total		Count	164	131	118	151	109	114	787
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	0	6	13	9	31	81	83	0	223
		% within Own ideological placement	.0%	10.5%	15.5%	5.7%	27.0%	49.1%	50.0%	.0%	28.8%
	Sometimes	Count	1	10	16	29	18	33	34	3	144
		% within Own ideological placement	5.9%	17.5%	19.0%	18.4%	15.7%	20.0%	20.5%	27.3%	18.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	8	16	15	51	35	25	20	3	173

											Total
		% within Own ideological placement	47.1%	28.1%	17.9%	32.3%	30.4%	15.2%	12.0%	27.3%	22.4%
	Never	Count	8	25	40	69	31	26	29	5	233
		% within Own ideological placement	47.1%	43.9%	47.6%	43.7%	27.0%	15.8%	17.5%	45.5%	30.1%
Total		Count	17	57	84	158	115	165	166	11	773
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	21	3	5	12	42	22	92	0	197
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.2%	3.1%	11.9%	20.3%	48.3%	26.5%	46.0%	.0%	27.5%
	Sometimes	Count	23	19	10	15	21	7	43	2	140
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.5%	19.8%	23.8%	25.4%	24.1%	8.4%	21.5%	100.0%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	25	15	10	13	30	27	0	161
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.7%	26.0%	35.7%	16.9%	14.9%	36.1%	13.5%	.0%	22.5%
	Never	Count	63	49	12	22	11	24	38	0	219
		% within 7 point Party ID	42.6%	51.0%	28.6%	37.3%	12.6%	28.9%	19.0%	.0%	30.5%
Total		Count	148	96	42	59	87	83	200	2	717
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	14	73	92	45	224
		% within age	13.3%	27.8%	32.6%	34.6%	28.7%
	Sometimes	Count	19	49	52	30	150
		% within age	18.1%	18.6%	18.4%	23.1%	19.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	53	53	26	173
		% within age	39.0%	20.2%	18.8%	20.0%	22.2%
	Never	Count	31	88	85	29	233
		% within age	29.5%	33.5%	30.1%	22.3%	29.9%
Total		Count	105	263	282	130	780

Frequency - Talk radio * age Crosstabulation

			age				
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	14	73	92	45	224
		% within age	13.3%	27.8%	32.6%	34.6%	28.7%
	Sometimes	Count	19	49	52	30	150
		% within age	18.1%	18.6%	18.4%	23.1%	19.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	53	53	26	173
		% within age	39.0%	20.2%	18.8%	20.0%	22.2%
	Never	Count	31	88	85	29	233
		% within age	29.5%	33.5%	30.1%	22.3%	29.9%
Total		Count	105	263	282	130	780
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	4	85	74	13	37	12	225
		% within Education	18.2%	27.8%	27.7%	32.5%	35.2%	30.0%	28.8%
	Sometimes	Count	0	60	45	9	24	11	149
		% within Education	.0%	19.6%	16.9%	22.5%	22.9%	27.5%	19.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	7	62	69	11	16	9	174
		% within Education	31.8%	20.3%	25.8%	27.5%	15.2%	22.5%	22.3%
	Never	Count	11	99	79	7	28	8	232
		% within Education	50.0%	32.4%	29.6%	17.5%	26.7%	20.0%	29.7%
Total		Count	22	306	267	40	105	40	780
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	34	45	39	59	46	223
		% within Attendance at religious services	32.1%	32.8%	37.5%	27.1%	21.5%	28.6%

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
	Sometimes	Count	22	26	20	46	35		149
		% within Attendance at religious services	20.8%	19.0%	19.2%	21.1%	16.4%		19.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	25	28	20	56	45		174
		% within Attendance at religious services	23.6%	20.4%	19.2%	25.7%	21.0%		22.3%
	Never	Count	25	38	25	57	88		233
		% within Attendance at religious services	23.6%	27.7%	24.0%	26.1%	41.1%		29.9%
Total		Count	106	137	104	218	214		779
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	162	15	35	1	3	5	221
		% within Race	31.9%	17.0%	24.6%	9.1%	60.0%	20.0%	28.4%
	Sometimes	Count	98	25	25	1	0	2	151
		% within Race	19.3%	28.4%	17.6%	9.1%	.0%	8.0%	19.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	108	28	29	4	1	4	174
		% within Race	21.3%	31.8%	20.4%	36.4%	20.0%	16.0%	22.3%
	Never	Count	140	20	53	5	1	14	233
		% within Race	27.6%	22.7%	37.3%	45.5%	20.0%	56.0%	29.9%
Total		Count	508	88	142	11	5	25	779
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	163	1	10	9	25	16	224
		% within Marital Status	34.3%	12.5%	14.1%	27.3%	17.1%	37.2%	28.9%
	Sometimes	Count	99	2	16	6	23	3	149
		% within Marital Status	20.8%	25.0%	22.5%	18.2%	15.8%	7.0%	19.2%

									Total
Hardly ever	Count		99	4	15	5	40	11	174
	% within Marital Status		20.8%	50.0%	21.1%	15.2%	27.4%	25.6%	22.4%
Never	Count		114	1	30	13	58	13	229
	% within Marital Status		24.0%	12.5%	42.3%	39.4%	39.7%	30.2%	29.5%
Total		Count	475	8	71	33	146	43	776
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	142	81	223
		% within Gender	39.0%	19.6%	28.7%
	Sometimes	Count	80	69	149
		% within Gender	22.0%	16.7%	19.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	62	112	174
		% within Gender	17.0%	27.1%	22.4%
	Never	Count	80	151	231
		% within Gender	22.0%	36.6%	29.7%
Total		Count	364	413	777
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	51	113	60	224
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.5%	29.6%	33.3%	28.8%
	Sometimes	Count	40	73	37	150
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	18.4%	19.1%	20.6%	19.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	54	79	41	174
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.9%	20.7%	22.8%	22.3%
	Never	Count	72	117	42	231
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	33.2%	30.6%	23.3%	29.7%

Total	Count	217	382	180	779
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	45	59	30	30	60	224
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.2%	27.8%	47.6%	34.5%	24.9%	28.9%
	Sometimes	Count	46	42	5	20	33	146
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.7%	19.8%	7.9%	23.0%	13.7%	18.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	55	12	12	54	174
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.8%	25.9%	19.0%	13.8%	22.4%	22.5%
	Never	Count	40	56	16	25	94	231
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.3%	26.4%	25.4%	28.7%	39.0%	29.8%
Total		Count	172	212	63	87	241	775
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Talk radio * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Frequency - Talk radio	Regularly	Count	51	34	24	47	34	34	224
		% within region	30.4%	26.2%	20.7%	32.4%	31.5%	30.6%	28.8%
	Sometimes	Count	38	24	32	29	12	14	149

211

		% within region	22.6%	18.5%	27.6%	20.0%	11.1%	12.6%	19.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	33	28	22	28	22	174
		% within region	24.4%	25.4%	24.1%	15.2%	25.9%	19.8%	22.4%
	Never	Count	38	39	32	47	34	41	231
		% within region	22.6%	30.0%	27.6%	32.4%	31.5%	36.9%	29.7%
Total		Count	168	130	116	145	108	111	778
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	6	17	19	24	10	4	7	0	87
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	30.4%	21.6%	15.2%	8.3%	2.4%	4.2%	.0%	11.1%
	Sometimes	Count	4	20	32	34	28	21	15	1	155
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	35.7%	36.4%	21.5%	23.3%	12.5%	9.0%	9.1%	19.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	5	10	21	46	32	41	30	0	185
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	17.9%	23.9%	29.1%	26.7%	24.4%	18.0%	.0%	23.5%
	Never	Count	4	9	16	54	50	102	115	10	360
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	16.1%	18.2%	34.2%	41.7%	60.7%	68.9%	90.9%	45.7%
Total		Count	19	56	88	158	120	168	167	11	787
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	34	11	14	8	7	4	8	0	86
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.8%	11.5%	33.3%	13.3%	8.0%	4.8%	4.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Sometimes	Count	48	21	16	15	12	16	18	0	146
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.8%	21.9%	38.1%	25.0%	13.6%	19.3%	9.0%	.0%	20.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	37	25	9	11	24	22	47	2	177
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.7%	26.0%	21.4%	18.3%	27.3%	26.5%	23.6%	100.0%	24.4%
	Never	Count	37	39	3	26	45	41	126	0	317

	% within 7 point Party ID	23.7%	40.6%	7.1%	43.3%	51.1%	49.4%	63.3%	.0%	43.7%
Total	Count	156	96	42	60	88	83	199	2	726
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	26	29	30	3	88
		% within age	24.8%	11.0%	10.2%	2.3%	11.1%
	Sometimes	Count	24	61	52	17	154
		% within age	22.9%	23.2%	17.7%	13.2%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	26	60	73	32	191
		% within age	24.8%	22.8%	24.8%	24.8%	24.1%
	Never	Count	29	113	139	77	358
		% within age	27.6%	43.0%	47.3%	59.7%	45.3%
Total		Count	105	263	294	129	791
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	0	23	32	2	23	7	87
		% within Education	.0%	7.3%	11.9%	5.1%	21.7%	16.3%	11.0%
	Sometimes	Count	0	62	50	11	21	12	156
		% within Education	.0%	19.8%	18.5%	28.2%	19.8%	27.9%	19.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	3	71	73	8	25	12	192
		% within Education	13.6%	22.7%	27.0%	20.5%	23.6%	27.9%	24.2%
	Never	Count	19	157	115	18	37	12	358
		% within Education	86.4%	50.2%	42.6%	46.2%	34.9%	27.9%	45.1%
Total		Count	22	313	270	39	106	43	793
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

213

Frequency - Comedy news * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	5	13	15	29	26	88
		% within Attendance at religious services	4.7%	9.2%	14.0%	13.3%	12.0%	11.1%
	Sometimes	Count	11	24	24	41	54	154
		% within Attendance at religious services	10.3%	17.0%	22.4%	18.8%	24.9%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	19	33	36	58	45	191
		% within Attendance at religious services	17.8%	23.4%	33.6%	26.6%	20.7%	24.2%
	Never	Count	72	71	32	90	92	357
		% within Attendance at religious services	67.3%	50.4%	29.9%	41.3%	42.4%	45.2%
Total		Count	107	141	107	218	217	790
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	58	13	11	2	0	3	87
		% within Race	11.4%	13.7%	7.7%	20.0%	.0%	12.0%	11.1%
	Sometimes	Count	88	25	33	3	0	6	155
		% within Race	17.2%	26.3%	23.2%	30.0%	.0%	24.0%	19.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	118	25	33	2	1	11	190
		% within Race	23.1%	26.3%	23.2%	20.0%	25.0%	44.0%	24.1%
	Never	Count	247	32	65	3	3	5	355
		% within Race	48.3%	33.7%	45.8%	30.0%	75.0%	20.0%	45.1%
Total		Count	511	95	142	10	4	25	787
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Marital Status Crosstabulation

214

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	40	1	7	1	30	8	87
		% within Marital Status	8.4%	11.1%	8.9%	3.1%	20.4%	18.6%	11.1%
	Sometimes	Count	79	1	17	5	46	7	155
		% within Marital Status	16.6%	11.1%	21.5%	15.6%	31.3%	16.3%	19.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	115	1	22	8	34	10	190
		% within Marital Status	24.1%	11.1%	27.8%	25.0%	23.1%	23.3%	24.1%
	Never	Count	243	6	33	18	37	18	355
		% within Marital Status	50.9%	66.7%	41.8%	56.3%	25.2%	41.9%	45.1%
Total		Count	477	9	79	32	147	43	787
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	39	49	88
		% within Gender	10.5%	11.7%	11.2%
	Sometimes	Count	73	81	154
		% within Gender	19.7%	19.4%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	102	89	191
		% within Gender	27.5%	21.3%	24.2%
	Never	Count	157	199	356
		% within Gender	42.3%	47.6%	45.1%
Total		Count	371	418	789
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	23	55	9	87
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	10.1%	14.3%	5.0%	11.0%
	Sometimes	Count	54	76	25	155

		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.8%	19.7%	14.0%	19.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	49	92	49	190
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.6%	23.9%	27.4%	24.0%
	Never	Count	101	162	96	359
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	44.5%	42.1%	53.6%	45.4%
Total		Count	227	385	179	791
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	22	33	6	17	9	87
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	12.6%	15.6%	9.4%	18.7%	3.7%	11.1%
	Sometimes	Count	46	39	9	27	33	154
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.4%	18.5%	14.1%	29.7%	13.6%	19.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	48	13	18	69	189
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.6%	22.7%	20.3%	19.8%	28.4%	24.1%
	Never	Count	65	91	36	29	132	353
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	37.4%	43.1%	56.3%	31.9%	54.3%	45.1%
Total		Count	174	211	64	91	243	783
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency - Comedy news * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Frequency - Comedy news	Regularly	Count	13	21	15	22	8	7	86
		% within region	7.8%	16.2%	12.6%	14.5%	7.3%	6.3%	10.9%

									Total
Sometimes	Count	25	27	35	37	16	14		154
	% within region	15.0%	20.8%	29.4%	24.3%	14.5%	12.5%		19.5%
Hardly ever	Count	44	25	28	31	28	35		191
	% within region	26.3%	19.2%	23.5%	20.4%	25.5%	31.3%		24.2%
Never	Count	85	57	41	62	58	56		359
	% within region	50.9%	43.8%	34.5%	40.8%	52.7%	50.0%		45.4%
Total	Count	167	130	119	152	110	112		790
	% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	6	14	21	49	28	40	42	3	203
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	25.0%	24.1%	30.6%	23.1%	24.2%	25.0%	27.3%	25.8%
	Sometimes	Count	1	19	25	42	31	35	38	2	193
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	33.9%	28.7%	26.3%	25.6%	21.2%	22.6%	18.2%	24.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	4	12	25	38	32	49	43	0	203
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	21.4%	28.7%	23.8%	26.4%	29.7%	25.6%	.0%	25.8%
	Never	Count	8	11	16	31	30	41	45	6	188
		% within Own ideological placement	42.1%	19.6%	18.4%	19.4%	24.8%	24.8%	26.8%	54.5%	23.9%
Total		Count	19	56	87	160	121	165	168	11	787
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	47	27	14	14	22	14	53	0	191
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.3%	27.8%	32.6%	23.7%	25.0%	16.9%	26.6%	.0%	26.3%
	Sometimes	Count	48	29	8	21	12	23	46	0	187
		% within 7 point Party ID	31.0%	29.9%	18.6%	35.6%	13.6%	27.7%	23.1%	.0%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	29	19	11	12	33	26	51	0	181

		% within 7 point Party ID	18.7%	19.6%	25.6%	20.3%	37.5%	31.3%	25.6%	.0%	24.9%
	Never	Count	31	22	10	12	21	20	49	2	167
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.0%	22.7%	23.3%	20.3%	23.9%	24.1%	24.6%	100.0%	23.0%
Total		Count	155	97	43	59	88	83	199	2	726
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	15	41	90	56	202
		% within age	14.3%	15.6%	30.8%	42.7%	25.6%
	Sometimes	Count	27	62	72	37	198
		% within age	25.7%	23.7%	24.7%	28.2%	25.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	30	87	65	21	203
		% within age	28.6%	33.2%	22.3%	16.0%	25.7%
	Never	Count	33	72	65	17	187
		% within age	31.4%	27.5%	22.3%	13.0%	23.7%
Total		Count	105	262	292	131	790
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	3	85	57	15	26	16	202
		% within Education	13.6%	27.2%	21.3%	39.5%	24.3%	39.0%	25.6%
	Sometimes	Count	4	79	73	6	29	6	197
		% within Education	18.2%	25.3%	27.2%	15.8%	27.1%	14.6%	25.0%
	Hardly ever	Count	5	77	71	9	30	11	203
		% within Education	22.7%	24.7%	26.5%	23.7%	28.0%	26.8%	25.8%
	Never	Count	10	71	67	8	22	8	186
		% within Education	45.5%	22.8%	25.0%	21.1%	20.6%	19.5%	23.6%
Total		Count	22	312	268	38	107	41	788
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	28	40	26	63	44	201
		% within Attendance at religious services	26.2%	28.6%	24.5%	28.9%	20.3%	25.5%
	Sometimes	Count	24	39	38	54	44	199
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.4%	27.9%	35.8%	24.8%	20.3%	25.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	30	24	30	55	63	202
		% within Attendance at religious services	28.0%	17.1%	28.3%	25.2%	29.0%	25.6%
	Never	Count	25	37	12	46	66	186
		% within Attendance at religious services	23.4%	26.4%	11.3%	21.1%	30.4%	23.6%
Total		Count	107	140	106	218	217	788
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	137	24	33	1	3	3	201
		% within Race	26.9%	25.3%	22.9%	10.0%	60.0%	12.0%	25.5%
	Sometimes	Count	110	35	44	3	0	7	199
		% within Race	21.6%	36.8%	30.6%	30.0%	.0%	28.0%	25.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	139	17	34	4	0	8	202
		% within Race	27.3%	17.9%	23.6%	40.0%	.0%	32.0%	25.6%
	Never	Count	123	19	33	2	2	7	186
		% within Race	24.2%	20.0%	22.9%	20.0%	40.0%	28.0%	23.6%
Total		Count	509	95	144	10	5	25	788
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	141	2	14	14	24	7	202
		% within Marital Status	29.7%	20.0%	17.9%	42.4%	16.3%	15.9%	25.7%
	Sometimes	Count	112	1	25	6	44	11	199
		% within Marital Status	23.6%	10.0%	32.1%	18.2%	29.9%	25.0%	25.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	117	3	18	7	37	17	199
		% within Marital Status	24.6%	30.0%	23.1%	21.2%	25.2%	38.6%	25.3%
	Never	Count	105	4	21	6	42	9	187
		% within Marital Status	22.1%	40.0%	26.9%	18.2%	28.6%	20.5%	23.8%
Total		Count	475	10	78	33	147	44	787
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	87	114	201
		% within Gender	23.5%	27.3%	25.5%
	Sometimes	Count	90	107	197
		% within Gender	24.3%	25.7%	25.0%
	Hardly ever	Count	96	106	202
		% within Gender	25.9%	25.4%	25.7%
	Never	Count	97	90	187
		% within Gender	26.2%	21.6%	23.8%
Total		Count	370	417	787
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Publication readership - Local	Regularly	Count	62	91	50	203

print newspaper			27.4%	23.6%	27.8%	25.7%
	Sometimes	Count	54	96	48	198
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.9%	24.9%	26.7%	25.0%
	Hardly ever	Count	58	104	41	203
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	25.7%	27.0%	22.8%	25.7%
	Never	Count	52	94	41	187
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.0%	24.4%	22.8%	23.6%
Total		Count	226	385	180	791
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	44	51	24	17	66	202
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	25.4%	23.7%	36.9%	19.3%	27.0%	25.7%
	Sometimes	Count	37	66	16	17	62	198
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.4%	30.7%	24.6%	19.3%	25.4%	25.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	45	55	14	29	60	203
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.0%	25.6%	21.5%	33.0%	24.6%	25.9%
	Never	Count	47	43	11	25	56	182
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	27.2%	20.0%	16.9%	28.4%	23.0%	23.2%
Total		Count	173	215	65	88	244	785
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local print newspaper * region Crosstabulation

	region	Total

Publication readership - Local print newspaper			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Publication readership - Local print newspaper	Regularly	Count	42	33	29	34	27	38	203
		% within region	25.3%	25.0%	24.2%	22.5%	24.8%	33.0%	25.6%
	Sometimes	Count	46	38	31	29	27	28	199
		% within region	27.7%	28.8%	25.8%	19.2%	24.8%	24.3%	25.1%
	Hardly ever	Count	34	35	34	42	36	23	204
		% within region	20.5%	26.5%	28.3%	27.8%	33.0%	20.0%	25.7%
	Never	Count	44	26	26	46	19	26	187
		% within region	26.5%	19.7%	21.7%	30.5%	17.4%	22.6%	23.6%
Total		Count	166	132	120	151	109	115	793
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	6	16	25	35	27	19	33	0	161
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	28.1%	29.1%	22.2%	22.1%	11.4%	19.6%	.0%	20.5%
	Sometimes	Count	4	23	25	37	30	37	35	6	197
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	40.4%	29.1%	23.4%	24.6%	22.3%	20.8%	54.5%	25.0%
	Hardly ever	Count	5	12	17	45	30	46	31	0	186
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	21.1%	19.8%	28.5%	24.6%	27.7%	18.5%	.0%	23.6%
	Never	Count	4	6	19	41	35	64	69	5	243
		% within Own ideological placement	21.1%	10.5%	22.1%	25.9%	28.7%	38.6%	41.1%	45.5%	30.9%
Total		Count	19	57	86	158	122	166	168	11	787
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	46	21	18	8	13	13	30	0	149
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.3%	21.9%	42.9%	12.9%	14.9%	15.5%	15.1%	.0%	20.6%
	Sometimes	Count	51	26	10	17	22	22	43	0	191

		% within 7 point Party ID	33.6%	27.1%	23.8%	27.4%	25.3%	26.2%	21.6%	.0%	26.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	21	25	10	16	20	29	43	0	164
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.8%	26.0%	23.8%	25.8%	23.0%	34.5%	21.6%	.0%	22.7%
	Never	Count	34	24	4	21	32	20	83	2	220
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.4%	25.0%	9.5%	33.9%	36.8%	23.8%	41.7%	100.0%	30.4%
Total		Count	152	96	42	62	87	84	199	2	724
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	27	61	58	14	160
		% within age	26.0%	23.2%	20.0%	10.6%	20.3%
	Sometimes	Count	24	73	70	33	200
		% within age	23.1%	27.8%	24.1%	25.0%	25.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	24	62	64	37	187
		% within age	23.1%	23.6%	22.1%	28.0%	23.7%
	Never	Count	29	67	98	48	242
		% within age	27.9%	25.5%	33.8%	36.4%	30.7%
Total		Count	104	263	290	132	789
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	2	47	66	11	23	9	158
		% within Education	9.1%	15.0%	24.4%	28.2%	22.3%	21.4%	20.0%
	Sometimes	Count	4	74	70	8	29	17	202
		% within Education	18.2%	23.6%	25.9%	20.5%	28.2%	40.5%	25.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	5	68	68	9	28	9	187
		% within Education	22.7%	21.7%	25.2%	23.1%	27.2%	21.4%	23.7%
	Never	Count	11	125	66	11	23	7	243
		% within Education	50.0%	39.8%	24.4%	28.2%	22.3%	16.7%	30.8%

| Total | Count | 22 | 314 | 270 | 39 | 103 | 42 | 790 |
| | % within Education | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Publication readership - TV news websites * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	22	36	33	44	24	159
		% within Attendance at religious services	20.6%	25.5%	31.4%	20.4%	11.0%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	23	31	33	64	50	201
		% within Attendance at religious services	21.5%	22.0%	31.4%	29.6%	22.9%	25.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	33	28	19	52	54	186
		% within Attendance at religious services	30.8%	19.9%	18.1%	24.1%	24.8%	23.6%
	Never	Count	29	46	20	56	90	241
		% within Attendance at religious services	27.1%	32.6%	19.0%	25.9%	41.3%	30.6%
Total		Count	107	141	105	216	218	787
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	89	26	32	3	3	6	159
		% within Race	17.4%	28.0%	22.5%	27.3%	60.0%	25.0%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	125	33	32	4	1	6	201
		% within Race	24.5%	35.5%	22.5%	36.4%	20.0%	25.0%	25.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	134	16	27	2	0	4	183
		% within Race	26.2%	17.2%	19.0%	18.2%	.0%	16.7%	23.3%
	Never	Count	163	18	51	2	1	8	243
		% within Race	31.9%	19.4%	35.9%	18.2%	20.0%	33.3%	30.9%
Total		Count	511	93	142	11	5	24	786

Publication readership - TV news websites * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	89	26	32	3	3	6	159
		% within Race	17.4%	28.0%	22.5%	27.3%	60.0%	25.0%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	125	33	32	4	1	6	201
		% within Race	24.5%	35.5%	22.5%	36.4%	20.0%	25.0%	25.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	134	16	27	2	0	4	183
		% within Race	26.2%	17.2%	19.0%	18.2%	.0%	16.7%	23.3%
	Never	Count	163	18	51	2	1	8	243
		% within Race	31.9%	19.4%	35.9%	18.2%	20.0%	33.3%	30.9%
Total		Count	511	93	142	11	5	24	786
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	92	2	11	9	34	12	160
		% within Marital Status	19.4%	20.0%	13.8%	27.3%	23.1%	28.6%	20.3%
	Sometimes	Count	113	3	20	7	42	14	199
		% within Marital Status	23.8%	30.0%	25.0%	21.2%	28.6%	33.3%	25.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	111	3	21	6	33	12	186
		% within Marital Status	23.4%	30.0%	26.3%	18.2%	22.4%	28.6%	23.6%
	Never	Count	159	2	28	11	38	4	242
		% within Marital Status	33.5%	20.0%	35.0%	33.3%	25.9%	9.5%	30.7%
Total		Count	475	10	80	33	147	42	787
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	76	83	159
		% within Gender	20.5%	20.0%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	99	101	200

		% within Gender	26.7%	24.3%	25.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	89	97	186
		% within Gender	24.0%	23.4%	23.7%
	Never	Count	107	134	241
		% within Gender	28.8%	32.3%	30.7%
Total		Count	371	415	786
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	37	88	34	159
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	16.5%	22.9%	18.7%	20.1%
	Sometimes	Count	55	99	47	201
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.6%	25.8%	25.8%	25.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	55	89	44	188
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.6%	23.2%	24.2%	23.8%
	Never	Count	77	108	57	242
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	34.4%	28.1%	31.3%	30.6%
Total		Count	224	384	182	790
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	31	53	13	29	34	160
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	18.1%	24.8%	20.3%	31.9%	13.9%	20.4%
	Sometimes	Count	48	52	20	22	55	197

			28.1%	24.3%	31.3%	24.2%	22.4%	25.1%
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area						
	Hardly ever	Count	37	54	12	18	66	187
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	21.6%	25.2%	18.8%	19.8%	26.9%	23.8%
	Never	Count	55	55	19	22	90	241
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	32.2%	25.7%	29.7%	24.2%	36.7%	30.7%
Total		Count	171	214	64	91	245	785
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - TV news websites * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Publication readership - TV news websites	Regularly	Count	39	29	20	37	13	21	159
		% within region	23.5%	22.0%	17.2%	24.2%	11.9%	18.3%	20.1%
	Sometimes	Count	39	29	37	32	24	40	201
		% within region	23.5%	22.0%	31.9%	20.9%	22.0%	34.8%	25.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	39	34	25	35	35	20	188
		% within region	23.5%	25.8%	21.6%	22.9%	32.1%	17.4%	23.8%
	Never	Count	49	40	34	49	37	34	243
		% within region	29.5%	30.3%	29.3%	32.0%	33.9%	29.6%	30.7%
Total		Count	166	132	116	153	109	115	791
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	8	10	14	20	18	17	27	0	114
		% within Own ideological placement	40.0%	17.9%	15.9%	12.7%	14.9%	10.2%	16.3%	.0%	14.5%
	Sometimes	Count	2	16	30	29	28	38	38	5	186
		% within Own ideological placement	10.0%	28.6%	34.1%	18.5%	23.1%	22.8%	22.9%	45.5%	23.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	5	21	15	46	27	45	23	0	182
		% within Own ideological placement	25.0%	37.5%	17.0%	29.3%	22.3%	26.9%	13.9%	.0%	23.2%

227

			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
	Never	Count	5	9	29	62	48	67	78	6	304
		% within Own ideological placement	25.0%	16.1%	33.0%	39.5%	39.7%	40.1%	47.0%	54.5%	38.7%
Total		Count	20	56	88	157	121	167	166	11	786
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	26	13	12	9	9	8	25	0	102
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.6%	13.5%	29.3%	15.0%	10.5%	9.5%	12.6%	.0%	14.1%
	Sometimes	Count	41	19	14	15	21	22	46	0	178
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.1%	19.8%	34.1%	25.0%	24.4%	26.2%	23.1%	.0%	24.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	41	26	8	11	25	24	33	0	168
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.1%	27.1%	19.5%	18.3%	29.1%	28.6%	16.6%	.0%	23.2%
	Never	Count	49	38	7	25	31	30	95	2	277
		% within 7 point Party ID	31.2%	39.6%	17.1%	41.7%	36.0%	35.7%	47.7%	100.0%	38.2%
Total		Count	157	96	41	60	86	84	199	2	725
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	15	41	49	8	113
		% within age	14.3%	15.5%	16.7%	6.2%	14.3%
	Sometimes	Count	24	64	69	31	188
		% within age	22.9%	24.2%	23.5%	24.0%	23.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	29	60	61	37	187
		% within age	27.6%	22.6%	20.8%	28.7%	23.6%
	Never	Count	37	100	114	53	304
		% within age	35.2%	37.7%	38.9%	41.1%	38.4%
Total		Count	105	265	293	129	792
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

228

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	0	20	51	8	20	14	113
		% within Education	.0%	6.4%	18.8%	20.5%	19.0%	33.3%	14.3%
	Sometimes	Count	4	52	77	9	30	15	187
		% within Education	19.0%	16.7%	28.4%	23.1%	28.6%	35.7%	23.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	4	83	57	8	28	6	186
		% within Education	19.0%	26.7%	21.0%	20.5%	26.7%	14.3%	23.6%
	Never	Count	13	156	86	14	27	7	303
		% within Education	61.9%	50.2%	31.7%	35.9%	25.7%	16.7%	38.4%
Total		Count	21	311	271	39	105	42	789
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	15	25	18	28	27	113
		% within Attendance at religious services	14.2%	18.0%	17.0%	12.8%	12.4%	14.4%
	Sometimes	Count	20	27	40	55	45	187
		% within Attendance at religious services	18.9%	19.4%	37.7%	25.1%	20.7%	23.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	34	25	21	61	45	186
		% within Attendance at religious services	32.1%	18.0%	19.8%	27.9%	20.7%	23.6%
	Never	Count	37	62	27	75	100	301
		% within Attendance at religious services	34.9%	44.6%	25.5%	34.2%	46.1%	38.2%
Total		Count	106	139	106	219	217	787
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	63	16	20	5	2	7	113
		% within Race	12.4%	16.7%	14.1%	45.5%	40.0%	29.2%	14.3%
	Sometimes	Count	130	30	21	2	0	3	186
		% within Race	25.5%	31.3%	14.8%	18.2%	.0%	12.5%	23.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	119	25	35	2	0	4	185
		% within Race	23.3%	26.0%	24.6%	18.2%	.0%	16.7%	23.5%
	Never	Count	198	25	66	2	3	10	304
		% within Race	38.8%	26.0%	46.5%	18.2%	60.0%	41.7%	38.6%
Total		Count	510	96	142	11	5	24	788
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	68	1	11	2	20	12	114
		% within Marital Status	14.2%	10.0%	14.1%	6.1%	13.6%	27.9%	14.4%
	Sometimes	Count	111	3	18	9	38	7	186
		% within Marital Status	23.2%	30.0%	23.1%	27.3%	25.9%	16.3%	23.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	114	1	18	5	38	11	187
		% within Marital Status	23.8%	10.0%	23.1%	15.2%	25.9%	25.6%	23.7%
	Never	Count	185	5	31	17	51	13	302
		% within Marital Status	38.7%	50.0%	39.7%	51.5%	34.7%	30.2%	38.3%
Total		Count	478	10	78	33	147	43	789
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Publication readership -	Regularly	Count	60	52	112

230

National newspaper websites					
		% within Gender	16.1%	12.5%	14.2%
	Sometimes	Count	95	92	187
		% within Gender	25.5%	22.1%	23.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	91	95	186
		% within Gender	24.5%	22.8%	23.6%
	Never	Count	126	177	303
		% within Gender	33.9%	42.5%	38.5%
Total		Count	372	416	788
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	28	61	24	113
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	12.4%	15.9%	13.2%	14.3%
	Sometimes	Count	52	100	35	187
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	23.1%	26.0%	19.2%	23.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	62	82	43	187
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	27.6%	21.4%	23.6%	23.6%
	Never	Count	83	141	80	304
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	36.9%	36.7%	44.0%	38.4%
Total		Count	225	384	182	791
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	26	40	11	16	21	114
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.9%	18.7%	17.2%	18.0%	8.6%	14.5%

								Total
	Sometimes	Count	46	59	16	20	48	189
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	26.3%	27.6%	25.0%	22.5%	19.7%	24.0%
	Hardly ever	Count	33	54	14	26	58	185
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	18.9%	25.2%	21.9%	29.2%	23.8%	23.5%
	Never	Count	70	61	23	27	117	298
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	40.0%	28.5%	35.9%	30.3%	48.0%	37.9%
Total		Count	175	214	64	89	244	786
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - National newspaper websites * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Publication readership - National newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	32	18	16	25	10	12	113
		% within region	19.3%	13.7%	13.4%	16.4%	9.3%	10.7%	14.3%
	Sometimes	Count	32	45	33	27	24	26	187
		% within region	19.3%	34.4%	27.7%	17.8%	22.2%	23.2%	23.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	45	27	24	39	27	24	186
		% within region	27.1%	20.6%	20.2%	25.7%	25.0%	21.4%	23.6%
	Never	Count	57	41	46	61	47	50	302
		% within region	34.3%	31.3%	38.7%	40.1%	43.5%	44.6%	38.3%
Total		Count	166	131	119	152	108	112	788
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	5	12	21	42	21	20	34	4	159
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	21.4%	23.9%	26.9%	17.5%	11.8%	20.2%	36.4%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	3	19	26	50	41	53	41	1	234
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	33.9%	29.5%	32.1%	34.2%	31.4%	24.4%	9.1%	29.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	6	18	18	30	36	54	43	0	205

			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
		% within Own ideological placement	31.6%	32.1%	20.5%	19.2%	30.0%	32.0%	25.6%	.0%	26.0%
	Never	Count	5	7	23	34	22	42	50	6	189
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	12.5%	26.1%	21.8%	18.3%	24.9%	29.8%	54.5%	24.0%
Total		Count	19	56	88	156	120	169	168	11	787
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

| | | | 7 point Party ID | | | | | | | | |
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	39	26	12	9	13	13	37	0	149
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.8%	27.1%	30.0%	14.5%	14.9%	15.7%	18.4%	.0%	20.5%
	Sometimes	Count	46	27	13	22	29	24	63	0	224
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.3%	28.1%	32.5%	35.5%	33.3%	28.9%	31.3%	.0%	30.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	36	19	10	10	31	32	46	0	184
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.9%	19.8%	25.0%	16.1%	35.6%	38.6%	22.9%	.0%	25.3%
	Never	Count	36	24	5	21	14	14	55	2	171
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.9%	25.0%	12.5%	33.9%	16.1%	16.9%	27.4%	100.0%	23.5%
Total		Count	157	96	40	62	87	83	201	2	728
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * age Crosstabulation

| | | | age | | | | Total |
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	20	54	69	16	159
		% within age	19.2%	20.4%	23.5%	12.2%	20.1%
	Sometimes	Count	22	79	98	36	235
		% within age	21.2%	29.8%	33.4%	27.5%	29.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	32	65	69	44	210
		% within age	30.8%	24.5%	23.5%	33.6%	26.5%
	Never	Count	30	67	57	35	189
		% within age	28.8%	25.3%	19.5%	26.7%	23.8%
Total		Count	104	265	293	131	793

233

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * age Crosstabulation

			age				
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	20	54	69	16	159
		% within age	19.2%	20.4%	23.5%	12.2%	20.1%
	Sometimes	Count	22	79	98	36	235
		% within age	21.2%	29.8%	33.4%	27.5%	29.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	32	65	69	44	210
		% within age	30.8%	24.5%	23.5%	33.6%	26.5%
	Never	Count	30	67	57	35	189
		% within age	28.8%	25.3%	19.5%	26.7%	23.8%
Total		Count	104	265	293	131	793
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	3	58	61	11	20	7	160
		% within Education	13.6%	18.5%	22.6%	27.5%	18.9%	17.1%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	5	78	78	11	43	19	234
		% within Education	22.7%	24.9%	28.9%	27.5%	40.6%	46.3%	29.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	8	78	78	9	25	11	209
		% within Education	36.4%	24.9%	28.9%	22.5%	23.6%	26.8%	26.4%
	Never	Count	6	99	53	9	18	4	189
		% within Education	27.3%	31.6%	19.6%	22.5%	17.0%	9.8%	23.9%
Total		Count	22	313	270	40	106	41	792
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	18	29	28	46	39	160
		% within Attendance at religious services	16.5%	20.9%	26.2%	20.9%	17.6%	20.1%

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
	Sometimes	Count	35	36	36	80	48	235	
		% within Attendance at religious services	32.1%	25.9%	33.6%	36.4%	21.7%	29.5%	
	Hardly ever	Count	31	36	28	53	64	212	
		% within Attendance at religious services	28.4%	25.9%	26.2%	24.1%	29.0%	26.6%	
	Never	Count	25	38	15	41	70	189	
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.9%	27.3%	14.0%	18.6%	31.7%	23.7%	
Total		Count	109	139	107	220	221	796	
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Race Crosstabulation

| | | | Race | | | | | | |
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	87	32	27	1	3	6	156
		% within Race	17.0%	33.3%	19.1%	9.1%	60.0%	25.0%	19.8%
	Sometimes	Count	162	22	36	7	1	5	233
		% within Race	31.7%	22.9%	25.5%	63.6%	20.0%	20.8%	29.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	142	27	34	1	0	6	210
		% within Race	27.8%	28.1%	24.1%	9.1%	.0%	25.0%	26.6%
	Never	Count	120	15	44	2	1	7	189
		% within Race	23.5%	15.6%	31.2%	18.2%	20.0%	29.2%	24.0%
Total		Count	511	96	141	11	5	24	788
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Marital Status Crosstabulation

| | | | Marital Status | | | | | | |
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	103	2	12	7	23	13	160
		% within Marital Status	21.5%	25.0%	15.0%	21.2%	15.9%	29.5%	20.3%
	Sometimes	Count	146	4	22	8	43	9	232
		% within Marital Status	30.4%	50.0%	27.5%	24.2%	29.7%	20.5%	29.4%

235

								Total
Hardly ever	Count	119	1	22	5	46	16	209
	% within Marital Status	24.8%	12.5%	27.5%	15.2%	31.7%	36.4%	26.5%
Never	Count	112	1	24	13	33	6	189
	% within Marital Status	23.3%	12.5%	30.0%	39.4%	22.8%	13.6%	23.9%
Total	Count	480	8	80	33	145	44	790
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	75	85	160
		% within Gender	20.2%	20.2%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	103	132	235
		% within Gender	27.8%	31.4%	29.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	108	102	210
		% within Gender	29.1%	24.2%	26.5%
	Never	Count	85	102	187
		% within Gender	22.9%	24.2%	23.6%
Total		Count	371	421	792
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	54	75	30	159
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.3%	19.3%	16.3%	20.0%
	Sometimes	Count	68	120	47	235
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	30.6%	30.9%	25.5%	29.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	58	98	55	211
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	26.1%	25.3%	29.9%	26.6%
	Never	Count	42	95	52	189
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	18.9%	24.5%	28.3%	23.8%

Total	Count	222	388	184	794
	% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	36	39	14	21	49	159
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	20.7%	18.1%	21.9%	23.9%	20.0%	20.2%
	Sometimes	Count	51	73	18	25	66	233
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	29.3%	34.0%	28.1%	28.4%	26.9%	29.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	44	61	18	24	63	210
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	25.3%	28.4%	28.1%	27.3%	25.7%	26.7%
	Never	Count	43	42	14	18	67	184
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	24.7%	19.5%	21.9%	20.5%	27.3%	23.4%
Total		Count	174	215	64	88	245	786
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * region Crosstabulation

			region						
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	Total
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	39	23	22	35	18	22	159
		% within region	23.2%	17.3%	18.5%	23.2%	16.8%	19.3%	20.1%
	Sometimes	Count	38	49	42	37	34	34	234
		% within region	22.6%	36.8%	35.3%	24.5%	31.8%	29.8%	29.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	59	33	22	44	24	29	211
		% within region	35.1%	24.8%	18.5%	29.1%	22.4%	25.4%	26.6%
	Never	Count	32	28	33	35	31	29	188
		% within region	19.0%	21.1%	27.7%	23.2%	29.0%	25.4%	23.7%
Total		Count	168	133	119	151	107	114	792

Publication readership - Local newspaper websites * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Publication readership - Local newspaper websites	Regularly	Count	39	23	22	35	18	22	159
		% within region	23.2%	17.3%	18.5%	23.2%	16.8%	19.3%	20.1%
	Sometimes	Count	38	49	42	37	34	34	234
		% within region	22.6%	36.8%	35.3%	24.5%	31.8%	29.8%	29.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	59	33	22	44	24	29	211
		% within region	35.1%	24.8%	18.5%	29.1%	22.4%	25.4%	26.6%
	Never	Count	32	28	33	35	31	29	188
		% within region	19.0%	21.1%	27.7%	23.2%	29.0%	25.4%	23.7%
Total		Count	168	133	119	151	107	114	792
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	5	4	9	5	3	10	17	0	53
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	7.0%	10.7%	3.1%	2.5%	6.0%	10.4%	.0%	6.8%
	Sometimes	Count	2	6	8	23	20	19	31	4	113
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	10.5%	9.5%	14.5%	16.7%	11.4%	19.0%	36.4%	14.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	7	20	28	28	36	52	39	1	211
		% within Own ideological placement	36.8%	35.1%	33.3%	17.6%	30.0%	31.3%	23.9%	9.1%	27.1%
	Never	Count	5	27	39	103	61	85	76	6	402
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	47.4%	46.4%	64.8%	50.8%	51.2%	46.6%	54.5%	51.6%
Total		Count	19	57	84	159	120	166	163	11	779
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency of use for	Regularly	Count	12	1	6	8	5	2	13	0	47

238

news - Individual bloggers											
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.8%	1.1%	14.3%	13.1%	5.7%	2.4%	6.7%	.0%	6.5%
	Sometimes	Count	22	6	15	10	15	11	26	0	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.3%	6.4%	35.7%	16.4%	17.0%	13.1%	13.5%	.0%	14.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	46	26	10	8	31	30	53	0	204
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.9%	27.7%	23.8%	13.1%	35.2%	35.7%	27.5%	.0%	28.4%
	Never	Count	74	61	11	35	37	41	101	2	362
		% within 7 point Party ID	48.1%	64.9%	26.2%	57.4%	42.0%	48.8%	52.3%	100.0%	50.4%
Total		Count	154	94	42	61	88	84	193	2	718
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	4	22	22	6	54
		% within age	3.9%	8.4%	7.6%	4.7%	6.9%
	Sometimes	Count	20	36	39	18	113
		% within age	19.6%	13.7%	13.4%	14.1%	14.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	28	71	79	37	215
		% within age	27.5%	27.0%	27.2%	28.9%	27.5%
	Never	Count	50	134	150	67	401
		% within age	49.0%	51.0%	51.7%	52.3%	51.2%
Total		Count	102	263	290	128	783
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	1	9	23	2	11	7	53
		% within Education	4.8%	2.9%	8.6%	5.1%	10.6%	17.1%	6.8%
	Sometimes	Count	1	43	41	5	15	8	113
		% within Education	4.8%	13.8%	15.4%	12.8%	14.4%	19.5%	14.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	8	70	79	13	33	12	215
		% within Education	38.1%	22.5%	29.7%	33.3%	31.7%	29.3%	27.5%

Never	Count	11	189	123	19	45	14	401
	% within Education	52.4%	60.8%	46.2%	48.7%	43.3%	34.1%	51.3%
Total	Count	21	311	266	39	104	41	782
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	7	11	7	14	15	54
		% within Attendance at religious services	6.7%	7.9%	6.7%	6.4%	6.9%	6.9%
	Sometimes	Count	11	21	18	37	26	113
		% within Attendance at religious services	10.6%	15.1%	17.3%	16.8%	11.9%	14.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	26	36	37	65	52	216
		% within Attendance at religious services	25.0%	25.9%	35.6%	29.5%	23.9%	27.5%
	Never	Count	60	71	42	104	125	402
		% within Attendance at religious services	57.7%	51.1%	40.4%	47.3%	57.3%	51.2%
Total		Count	104	139	104	220	218	785
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	41	3	7	0	0	2	53
		% within Race	8.1%	3.2%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	6.8%
	Sometimes	Count	79	12	16	1	3	4	115
		% within Race	15.6%	12.8%	11.3%	11.1%	50.0%	16.0%	14.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	137	28	35	8	1	5	214
		% within Race	27.0%	29.8%	24.6%	88.9%	16.7%	20.0%	27.3%
	Never	Count	251	51	84	0	2	14	402

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
		% within Race	49.4%	54.3%	59.2%	.0%	33.3%	56.0%	51.3%
Total		Count	508	94	142	9	6	25	784
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	34	0	6	1	11	2	54
		% within Marital Status	7.2%	.0%	7.8%	3.1%	7.5%	4.5%	6.9%
	Sometimes	Count	73	2	7	2	23	6	113
		% within Marital Status	15.4%	20.0%	9.1%	6.3%	15.8%	13.6%	14.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	124	2	18	7	50	15	216
		% within Marital Status	26.1%	20.0%	23.4%	21.9%	34.2%	34.1%	27.6%
	Never	Count	244	6	46	22	62	21	401
		% within Marital Status	51.4%	60.0%	59.7%	68.8%	42.5%	47.7%	51.1%
Total		Count	475	10	77	32	146	44	784
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	37	17	54
		% within Gender	10.0%	4.1%	6.9%
	Sometimes	Count	54	60	114
		% within Gender	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	125	89	214
		% within Gender	33.8%	21.6%	27.4%
	Never	Count	154	246	400
		% within Gender	41.6%	59.7%	51.2%
Total		Count	370	412	782
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

241

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	16	30	8	54
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	7.2%	7.8%	4.5%	6.9%
	Sometimes	Count	30	51	32	113
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	13.5%	13.3%	18.0%	14.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	62	113	40	215
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	27.9%	29.5%	22.5%	27.5%
	Never	Count	114	189	98	401
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	51.4%	49.3%	55.1%	51.2%
Total		Count	222	383	178	783
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	14	19	5	7	9	54
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	8.1%	8.9%	8.1%	7.7%	3.7%	6.9%
	Sometimes	Count	29	35	5	11	34	114
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	16.9%	16.4%	8.1%	12.1%	14.1%	14.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	52	48	18	33	64	215
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	30.2%	22.4%	29.0%	36.3%	26.6%	27.6%
	Never	Count	77	112	34	40	134	397
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	44.8%	52.3%	54.8%	44.0%	55.6%	50.9%
Total		Count	172	214	62	91	241	780
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency of use for news - Individual bloggers	Regularly	Count	15	10	10	12	4	4	55
		% within region	9.0%	7.6%	8.4%	8.0%	3.7%	3.6%	7.0%
	Sometimes	Count	34	21	17	18	15	10	115
		% within region	20.5%	15.9%	14.3%	12.0%	14.0%	8.9%	14.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	37	35	36	50	30	28	216
		% within region	22.3%	26.5%	30.3%	33.3%	28.0%	25.0%	27.5%
	Never	Count	80	66	56	70	58	70	400
		% within region	48.2%	50.0%	47.1%	46.7%	54.2%	62.5%	50.9%
Total		Count	166	132	119	150	107	112	786
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	5	9	12	13	21	35	46	0	141
		% within Own ideological placement	27.8%	16.4%	14.3%	8.4%	17.5%	20.7%	28.0%	.0%	18.2%
	Sometimes	Count	5	16	15	29	21	37	28	1	152
		% within Own ideological placement	27.8%	29.1%	17.9%	18.7%	17.5%	21.9%	17.1%	9.1%	19.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	3	14	21	32	27	47	26	1	171
		% within Own ideological placement	16.7%	25.5%	25.0%	20.6%	22.5%	27.8%	15.9%	9.1%	22.0%
	Never	Count	5	16	36	81	51	50	64	9	312
		% within Own ideological placement	27.8%	29.1%	42.9%	52.3%	42.5%	29.6%	39.0%	81.8%	40.2%
Total		Count	18	55	84	155	120	169	164	11	776
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency of use for	Regularly	Count	25	2	11	13	19	14	43	0	127

news - Websites with mix of news and commentary		% within 7 point Party ID	16.2%	2.1%	27.5%	22.0%	21.8%	16.9%	21.6%	.0%	17.7%
	Sometimes	Count	30	12	15	15	18	12	37	0	139
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.5%	12.6%	37.5%	25.4%	20.7%	14.5%	18.6%	.0%	19.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	43	26	7	4	26	27	34	0	167
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.9%	27.4%	17.5%	6.8%	29.9%	32.5%	17.1%	.0%	23.2%
	Never	Count	56	55	7	27	24	30	85	2	286
		% within 7 point Party ID	36.4%	57.9%	17.5%	45.8%	27.6%	36.1%	42.7%	100.0%	39.8%
Total		Count	154	95	40	59	87	83	199	2	719
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	21	48	51	24	144
		% within age	20.4%	18.6%	17.5%	18.6%	18.4%
	Sometimes	Count	19	45	68	19	151
		% within age	18.4%	17.4%	23.3%	14.7%	19.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	18	60	60	37	175
		% within age	17.5%	23.3%	20.5%	28.7%	22.4%
	Never	Count	45	105	113	49	312
		% within age	43.7%	40.7%	38.7%	38.0%	39.9%
Total		Count	103	258	292	129	782
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	1	40	55	10	26	12	144
		% within Education	4.8%	12.9%	20.6%	26.3%	24.3%	30.0%	18.4%
	Sometimes	Count	1	41	62	10	27	11	152
		% within Education	4.8%	13.2%	23.2%	26.3%	25.2%	27.5%	19.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	10	72	55	5	23	10	175
		% within Education	47.6%	23.2%	20.6%	13.2%	21.5%	25.0%	22.3%

			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Never	Count		9	157	95	13	31	7	312
	% within Education		42.9%	50.6%	35.6%	34.2%	29.0%	17.5%	39.8%
Total	Count		21	310	267	38	107	40	783
	% within Education		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	19	23	26	50	24	142
		% within Attendance at religious services	18.1%	16.7%	24.5%	22.7%	11.3%	18.2%
	Sometimes	Count	24	26	24	33	45	152
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.9%	18.8%	22.6%	15.0%	21.1%	19.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	17	26	32	56	45	176
		% within Attendance at religious services	16.2%	18.8%	30.2%	25.5%	21.1%	22.5%
	Never	Count	45	63	24	81	99	312
		% within Attendance at religious services	42.9%	45.7%	22.6%	36.8%	46.5%	39.9%
Total		Count	105	138	106	220	213	782
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	108	11	16	1	2	4	142
		% within Race	21.3%	11.8%	11.4%	11.1%	40.0%	16.7%	18.3%
	Sometimes	Count	107	14	17	4	1	7	150
		% within Race	21.1%	15.1%	12.1%	44.4%	20.0%	29.2%	19.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	107	26	35	3	0	4	175
		% within Race	21.1%	28.0%	25.0%	33.3%	.0%	16.7%	22.5%
	Never	Count	185	42	72	1	2	9	311

245

			36.5%	45.2%	51.4%	11.1%	40.0%	37.5%	40.0%
		% within Race							
Total		Count	507	93	140	9	5	24	778
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	91	1	15	4	22	11	144
		% within Marital Status	19.2%	11.1%	19.5%	12.5%	15.2%	25.6%	18.4%
	Sometimes	Count	94	2	12	5	33	6	152
		% within Marital Status	19.8%	22.2%	15.6%	15.6%	22.8%	14.0%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	95	2	20	7	39	12	175
		% within Marital Status	20.0%	22.2%	26.0%	21.9%	26.9%	27.9%	22.4%
	Never	Count	195	4	30	16	51	14	310
		% within Marital Status	41.1%	44.4%	39.0%	50.0%	35.2%	32.6%	39.7%
Total		Count	475	9	77	32	145	43	781
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	92	52	144
		% within Gender	24.9%	12.6%	18.4%
	Sometimes	Count	71	80	151
		% within Gender	19.2%	19.4%	19.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	106	70	176
		% within Gender	28.6%	17.0%	22.5%
	Never	Count	101	210	311
		% within Gender	27.3%	51.0%	39.8%
Total		Count	370	412	782
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	32	78	34	144
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	14.2%	20.5%	19.0%	18.3%
	Sometimes	Count	48	70	35	153
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	21.3%	18.4%	19.6%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	54	81	41	176
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.0%	21.3%	22.9%	22.4%
	Never	Count	91	152	69	312
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	40.4%	39.9%	38.5%	39.7%
Total		Count	225	381	179	785
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	38	41	18	12	34	143
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	22.0%	19.5%	28.6%	13.6%	14.0%	18.4%
	Sometimes	Count	33	38	13	21	46	151
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	19.1%	18.1%	20.6%	23.9%	18.9%	19.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	40	52	8	26	49	175
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	23.1%	24.8%	12.7%	29.5%	20.2%	22.5%
	Never	Count	62	79	24	29	114	308
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	35.8%	37.6%	38.1%	33.0%	46.9%	39.6%
Total		Count	173	210	63	88	243	777
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency of use for news - Websites with mix of news and commentary	Regularly	Count	31	23	24	28	19	18	143
		% within region	18.9%	17.7%	20.0%	18.8%	17.9%	16.2%	18.3%
	Sometimes	Count	38	25	23	29	21	16	152
		% within region	23.2%	19.2%	19.2%	19.5%	19.8%	14.4%	19.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	31	32	29	37	30	17	176
		% within region	18.9%	24.6%	24.2%	24.8%	28.3%	15.3%	22.6%
	Never	Count	64	50	44	55	36	60	309
		% within region	39.0%	38.5%	36.7%	36.9%	34.0%	54.1%	39.6%
Total		Count	164	130	120	149	106	111	780
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	4	8	23	30	15	25	36	0	141
		% within Own ideological placement	22.2%	13.8%	27.1%	18.9%	12.3%	15.0%	21.6%	.0%	17.9%
	Sometimes	Count	1	10	21	22	22	27	23	1	127
		% within Own ideological placement	5.6%	17.2%	24.7%	13.8%	18.0%	16.2%	13.8%	10.0%	16.2%
	Hardly ever	Count	9	12	18	29	33	39	32	4	176
		% within Own ideological placement	50.0%	20.7%	21.2%	18.2%	27.0%	23.4%	19.2%	40.0%	22.4%
	Never	Count	4	28	23	78	52	76	76	5	342
		% within Own ideological placement	22.2%	48.3%	27.1%	49.1%	42.6%	45.5%	45.5%	50.0%	43.5%
Total		Count	18	58	85	159	122	167	167	10	786
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency of use for	Regularly	Count	39	14	10	8	9	10	36	0	126

news - Social networking sites											
news - Social networking sites		% within 7 point Party ID	25.0%	14.7%	23.8%	13.1%	10.3%	11.9%	18.2%	.0%	17.4%
	Sometimes	Count	31	14	8	11	11	10	37	0	122
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.9%	14.7%	19.0%	18.0%	12.6%	11.9%	18.7%	.0%	16.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	33	21	4	15	27	25	38	0	163
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.2%	22.1%	9.5%	24.6%	31.0%	29.8%	19.2%	.0%	22.5%
	Never	Count	53	46	20	27	40	39	87	2	314
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.0%	48.4%	47.6%	44.3%	46.0%	46.4%	43.9%	100.0%	43.3%
Total		Count	156	95	42	61	87	84	198	2	725
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	23	62	48	9	142
		% within age	22.5%	23.6%	16.3%	6.9%	18.0%
	Sometimes	Count	17	45	44	26	132
		% within age	16.7%	17.1%	14.9%	19.8%	16.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	27	53	62	32	174
		% within age	26.5%	20.2%	21.0%	24.4%	22.0%
	Never	Count	35	103	141	64	343
		% within age	34.3%	39.2%	47.8%	48.9%	43.4%
Total		Count	102	263	295	131	791
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	2	52	52	11	19	7	143
		% within Education	9.5%	16.7%	19.1%	27.5%	18.1%	16.7%	18.1%
	Sometimes	Count	6	54	40	4	20	8	132
		% within Education	28.6%	17.4%	14.7%	10.0%	19.0%	19.0%	16.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	1	61	66	11	24	11	174
		% within Education	4.8%	19.6%	24.3%	27.5%	22.9%	26.2%	22.0%

	Never	Count	12	144	114	14	42	16	342
		% within Education	57.1%	46.3%	41.9%	35.0%	40.0%	38.1%	43.2%
Total		Count	21	311	272	40	105	42	791
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	19	31	16	43	32	141
		% within Attendance at religious services	17.8%	22.0%	15.0%	19.8%	14.6%	17.8%
	Sometimes	Count	24	22	29	32	25	132
		% within Attendance at religious services	22.4%	15.6%	27.1%	14.7%	11.4%	16.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	22	30	28	52	43	175
		% within Attendance at religious services	20.6%	21.3%	26.2%	24.0%	19.6%	22.1%
	Never	Count	42	58	34	90	119	343
		% within Attendance at religious services	39.3%	41.1%	31.8%	41.5%	54.3%	43.4%
Total		Count	107	141	107	217	219	791
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	86	15	31	1	0	8	141
		% within Race	16.8%	15.5%	21.7%	11.1%	.0%	34.8%	17.8%
	Sometimes	Count	79	21	24	1	2	6	133
		% within Race	15.4%	21.6%	16.8%	11.1%	33.3%	26.1%	16.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	126	21	18	5	3	2	175
		% within Race	24.6%	21.6%	12.6%	55.6%	50.0%	8.7%	22.1%
	Never	Count	222	40	70	2	1	7	342

			% within Race	43.3%	41.2%	49.0%	22.2%	16.7%	30.4%	43.2%
Total			Count	513	97	143	9	6	23	791
			% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	88	1	17	2	25	8	141
		% within Marital Status	18.4%	11.1%	21.3%	5.9%	17.4%	18.6%	17.9%
	Sometimes	Count	85	2	11	6	22	6	132
		% within Marital Status	17.8%	22.2%	13.8%	17.6%	15.3%	14.0%	16.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	102	3	16	6	39	6	172
		% within Marital Status	21.4%	33.3%	20.0%	17.6%	27.1%	14.0%	21.9%
	Never	Count	202	3	36	20	58	23	342
		% within Marital Status	42.3%	33.3%	45.0%	58.8%	40.3%	53.5%	43.5%
Total		Count	477	9	80	34	144	43	787
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	55	87	142
		% within Gender	14.8%	20.9%	18.0%
	Sometimes	Count	64	68	132
		% within Gender	17.2%	16.3%	16.7%
	Hardly ever	Count	93	80	173
		% within Gender	25.0%	19.2%	21.9%
	Never	Count	160	182	342
		% within Gender	43.0%	43.6%	43.3%
Total		Count	372	417	789
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

251

| | | | Type of community respondent lives in | | | |
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	37	69	37	143
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	16.5%	17.8%	20.3%	18.0%
	Sometimes	Count	31	70	31	132
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	13.8%	18.1%	17.0%	16.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	58	85	32	175
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	25.9%	22.0%	17.6%	22.1%
	Never	Count	98	163	82	343
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	43.8%	42.1%	45.1%	43.3%
Total		Count	224	387	182	793
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

| | | | Residence in major Texas metropolitan area | | | | | |
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	33	39	12	10	49	143
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	19.0%	18.4%	19.0%	11.0%	19.8%	18.2%
	Sometimes	Count	33	37	6	16	41	133
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	19.0%	17.5%	9.5%	17.6%	16.6%	16.9%
	Hardly ever	Count	47	36	15	21	55	174
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	27.0%	17.0%	23.8%	23.1%	22.3%	22.1%
	Never	Count	61	100	30	44	102	337
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	35.1%	47.2%	47.6%	48.4%	41.3%	42.8%
Total		Count	174	212	63	91	247	787
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency of use for news - Social networking sites	Regularly	Count	31	20	25	24	25	17	142
		% within region	18.5%	15.4%	21.0%	15.8%	23.1%	14.9%	18.0%
	Sometimes	Count	29	23	23	26	19	13	133
		% within region	17.3%	17.7%	19.3%	17.1%	17.6%	11.4%	16.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	42	22	31	35	24	20	174
		% within region	25.0%	16.9%	26.1%	23.0%	22.2%	17.5%	22.0%
	Never	Count	66	65	40	67	40	64	342
		% within region	39.3%	50.0%	33.6%	44.1%	37.0%	56.1%	43.2%
Total		Count	168	130	119	152	108	114	791
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	2	3	6	3	1	7	7	0	29
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	5.4%	7.1%	1.9%	.8%	4.2%	4.3%	.0%	3.7%
	Sometimes	Count	1	0	3	8	8	6	15	0	41
		% within Own ideological placement	5.3%	.0%	3.6%	5.1%	6.8%	3.6%	9.2%	.0%	5.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	7	2	25	19	16	31	16	1	117
		% within Own ideological placement	36.8%	3.6%	29.8%	12.1%	13.6%	18.7%	9.8%	9.1%	15.1%
	Never	Count	9	51	50	127	93	122	125	10	587
		% within Own ideological placement	47.4%	91.1%	59.5%	80.9%	78.8%	73.5%	76.7%	90.9%	75.8%
Total		Count	19	56	84	157	118	166	163	11	774
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency of use for	Regularly	Count	6	2	3	4	1	1	10	0	27

253

news - Twitter updates										Total
	% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	2.1%	7.1%	6.9%	1.1%	1.2%	5.2%	.0%	3.8%
Sometimes	Count	6	4	5	5	2	5	15	0	42
	% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	4.3%	11.9%	8.6%	2.3%	6.1%	7.8%	.0%	5.9%
Hardly ever	Count	40	14	4	9	16	15	18	0	116
	% within 7 point Party ID	25.8%	14.9%	9.5%	15.5%	18.2%	18.3%	9.3%	.0%	16.2%
Never	Count	103	74	30	40	69	61	150	2	529
	% within 7 point Party ID	66.5%	78.7%	71.4%	69.0%	78.4%	74.4%	77.7%	100.0%	74.1%
Total	Count	155	94	42	58	88	82	193	2	714
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	8	13	8	0	29
		% within age	7.8%	4.9%	2.8%	.0%	3.7%
	Sometimes	Count	4	20	14	3	41
		% within age	3.9%	7.6%	4.9%	2.4%	5.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	20	52	31	19	122
		% within age	19.4%	19.8%	10.8%	15.1%	15.7%
	Never	Count	71	178	233	104	586
		% within age	68.9%	67.7%	81.5%	82.5%	75.3%
Total		Count	103	263	286	126	778
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	0	6	12	0	9	2	29
		% within Education	.0%	2.0%	4.5%	.0%	8.4%	4.8%	3.7%
	Sometimes	Count	2	18	11	1	7	3	42
		% within Education	9.5%	5.9%	4.2%	2.5%	6.5%	7.1%	5.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	0	53	43	4	14	9	123
		% within Education	.0%	17.3%	16.3%	10.0%	13.1%	21.4%	15.8%

			19	229	198	35	77	28	586
Never		Count	19	229	198	35	77	28	586
		% within Education	90.5%	74.8%	75.0%	87.5%	72.0%	66.7%	75.1%
Total		Count	21	306	264	40	107	42	780
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	5	9	4	7	3	28
		% within Attendance at religious services	4.7%	6.5%	3.8%	3.3%	1.4%	3.6%
	Sometimes	Count	5	14	6	7	10	42
		% within Attendance at religious services	4.7%	10.1%	5.8%	3.3%	4.7%	5.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	18	25	27	27	25	122
		% within Attendance at religious services	16.8%	18.1%	26.0%	12.6%	11.7%	15.7%
	Never	Count	79	90	67	174	176	586
		% within Attendance at religious services	73.8%	65.2%	64.4%	80.9%	82.2%	75.3%
Total		Count	107	138	104	215	214	778
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	19	5	4	0	2	0	30
		% within Race	3.8%	5.3%	2.9%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	3.9%
	Sometimes	Count	25	5	8	1	0	2	41
		% within Race	5.0%	5.3%	5.8%	11.1%	.0%	8.0%	5.3%
	Hardly ever	Count	67	24	21	4	1	5	122
		% within Race	13.3%	25.3%	15.1%	44.4%	16.7%	20.0%	15.7%
	Never	Count	393	61	106	4	3	18	585

255

			% within Race	78.0%	64.2%	76.3%	44.4%	50.0%	72.0%	75.2%
Total			Count	504	95	139	9	6	25	778
			% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Marital Status Crosstabulation

				Marital Status						Total
				Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count		17	0	3	0	5	4	29
		% within Marital Status		3.6%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	3.5%	9.3%	3.7%
	Sometimes	Count		23	0	2	2	14	1	42
		% within Marital Status		4.9%	.0%	2.6%	6.3%	9.7%	2.3%	5.4%
	Hardly ever	Count		68	2	8	3	37	3	121
		% within Marital Status		14.4%	22.2%	10.4%	9.4%	25.7%	7.0%	15.6%
	Never	Count		364	7	64	27	88	35	585
		% within Marital Status		77.1%	77.8%	83.1%	84.4%	61.1%	81.4%	75.3%
Total		Count		472	9	77	32	144	43	777
		% within Marital Status		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	8	21	29
		% within Gender	2.2%	5.1%	3.7%
	Sometimes	Count	17	25	42
		% within Gender	4.7%	6.1%	5.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	72	49	121
		% within Gender	19.7%	11.9%	15.6%
	Never	Count	268	317	585
		% within Gender	73.4%	76.9%	75.3%
Total		Count	365	412	777
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	10	16	4	30
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	4.5%	4.2%	2.2%	3.8%
	Sometimes	Count	11	22	10	43
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	4.9%	5.8%	5.6%	5.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	43	56	24	123
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	19.3%	14.7%	13.4%	15.7%
	Never	Count	159	286	141	586
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	71.3%	75.3%	78.8%	74.9%
Total		Count	223	380	179	782
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	Total
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	7	12	3	3	4	29
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	4.1%	5.7%	4.8%	3.4%	1.7%	3.7%
	Sometimes	Count	13	13	1	2	13	42
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	7.6%	6.1%	1.6%	2.3%	5.4%	5.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	24	33	7	20	38	122
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	14.0%	15.6%	11.1%	22.7%	15.8%	15.7%
	Never	Count	127	154	52	63	186	582
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	74.3%	72.6%	82.5%	71.6%	77.2%	75.1%
Total		Count	171	212	63	88	241	775
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency of use for news - Twitter updates	Regularly	Count	7	7	4	4	3	3	28
		% within region	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%	3.6%
	Sometimes	Count	13	7	9	7	5	1	42
		% within region	8.0%	5.3%	7.8%	4.7%	4.7%	.9%	5.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	22	21	21	23	20	16	123
		% within region	13.6%	15.9%	18.1%	15.5%	18.9%	14.2%	15.8%
	Never	Count	120	97	82	114	78	93	584
		% within region	74.1%	73.5%	70.7%	77.0%	73.6%	82.3%	75.2%
Total		Count	162	132	116	148	106	113	777
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Own ideological placement Crosstabulation

			Own ideological placement								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	2	2	5	8	7	13	13	2	52
		% within Own ideological placement	10.5%	3.5%	5.8%	5.0%	5.8%	7.7%	7.8%	20.0%	6.6%
	Sometimes	Count	3	11	27	43	25	39	52	0	200
		% within Own ideological placement	15.8%	19.3%	31.4%	27.0%	20.7%	23.1%	31.1%	.0%	25.4%
	Hardly ever	Count	9	15	27	30	33	57	29	1	201
		% within Own ideological placement	47.4%	26.3%	31.4%	18.9%	27.3%	33.7%	17.4%	10.0%	25.5%
	Never	Count	5	29	27	78	56	60	73	7	335
		% within Own ideological placement	26.3%	50.9%	31.4%	49.1%	46.3%	35.5%	43.7%	70.0%	42.5%
Total		Count	19	57	86	159	121	169	167	10	788
		% within Own ideological placement	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								Total
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Frequency of use for	Regularly	Count	9	6	4	5	6	3	12	0	45

news - Video sharing sites											Total
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.8%	6.3%	9.8%	8.3%	6.8%	3.6%	6.0%	.0%	6.2%
	Sometimes	Count	43	13	12	17	26	17	59	0	187
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.6%	13.7%	29.3%	28.3%	29.5%	20.2%	29.6%	.0%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	43	19	7	16	29	27	45	0	186
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.6%	20.0%	17.1%	26.7%	33.0%	32.1%	22.6%	.0%	25.7%
	Never	Count	61	57	18	22	27	37	83	2	307
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.1%	60.0%	43.9%	36.7%	30.7%	44.0%	41.7%	100.0%	42.3%
Total		Count	156	95	41	60	88	84	199	2	725
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * age Crosstabulation

			age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and up	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	14	19	12	7	52
		% within age	13.6%	7.2%	4.1%	5.4%	6.6%
	Sometimes	Count	21	74	73	36	204
		% within age	20.4%	27.9%	24.8%	27.7%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	21	72	78	30	201
		% within age	20.4%	27.2%	26.5%	23.1%	25.4%
	Never	Count	47	100	131	57	335
		% within age	45.6%	37.7%	44.6%	43.8%	42.3%
Total		Count	103	265	294	130	792
		% within age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Education Crosstabulation

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	1	13	23	4	9	2	52
		% within Education	4.5%	4.2%	8.5%	10.0%	8.5%	4.8%	6.6%
	Sometimes	Count	4	82	68	9	26	14	203
		% within Education	18.2%	26.3%	25.1%	22.5%	24.5%	33.3%	25.6%
	Hardly ever	Count	4	71	76	9	28	14	202
		% within Education	18.2%	22.8%	28.0%	22.5%	26.4%	33.3%	25.5%

	Never	Count	13	146	104	18	43	12	336
		% within Education	59.1%	46.8%	38.4%	45.0%	40.6%	28.6%	42.4%
Total		Count	22	312	271	40	106	42	793
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Attendance at religious services Crosstabulation

			Attendance at religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	9	10	12	13	8	52
		% within Attendance at religious services	8.3%	7.1%	11.2%	5.9%	3.7%	6.5%
	Sometimes	Count	25	40	32	61	47	205
		% within Attendance at religious services	23.1%	28.4%	29.9%	27.7%	21.5%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	32	29	26	62	53	202
		% within Attendance at religious services	29.6%	20.6%	24.3%	28.2%	24.2%	25.4%
	Never	Count	42	62	37	84	111	336
		% within Attendance at religious services	38.9%	44.0%	34.6%	38.2%	50.7%	42.3%
Total		Count	108	141	107	220	219	795
		% within Attendance at religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Race Crosstabulation

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	29	8	9	0	2	4	52
		% within Race	5.7%	8.3%	6.3%	.0%	33.3%	16.7%	6.6%
	Sometimes	Count	135	17	35	6	1	8	202
		% within Race	26.3%	17.7%	24.5%	66.7%	16.7%	33.3%	25.5%
	Hardly ever	Count	136	25	33	1	1	5	201
		% within Race	26.5%	26.0%	23.1%	11.1%	16.7%	20.8%	25.4%
	Never	Count	213	46	66	2	2	7	336

260

			41.5%	47.9%	46.2%	22.2%	33.3%	29.2%	42.5%
	% within Race								
Total	Count		513	96	143	9	6	24	791
	% within Race		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	23	0	9	1	11	7	51
		% within Marital Status	4.8%	.0%	11.3%	3.1%	7.6%	15.9%	6.5%
	Sometimes	Count	135	1	14	8	38	8	204
		% within Marital Status	28.2%	11.1%	17.5%	25.0%	26.2%	18.2%	25.9%
	Hardly ever	Count	119	5	15	5	46	9	199
		% within Marital Status	24.8%	55.6%	18.8%	15.6%	31.7%	20.5%	25.2%
	Never	Count	202	3	42	18	50	20	335
		% within Marital Status	42.2%	33.3%	52.5%	56.3%	34.5%	45.5%	42.5%
Total		Count	479	9	80	32	145	44	789
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Gender Crosstabulation

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	28	23	51
		% within Gender	7.5%	5.5%	6.5%
	Sometimes	Count	100	104	204
		% within Gender	27.0%	24.9%	25.9%
	Hardly ever	Count	118	83	201
		% within Gender	31.8%	19.9%	25.5%
	Never	Count	125	208	333
		% within Gender	33.7%	49.8%	42.2%
Total		Count	371	418	789
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Type of community respondent lives in Crosstabulation

			Type of community respondent lives in			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	15	26	10	51
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	6.7%	6.8%	5.5%	6.4%
	Sometimes	Count	57	96	51	204
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	25.3%	24.9%	28.2%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	54	107	40	201
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	24.0%	27.8%	22.1%	25.4%
	Never	Count	99	156	80	335
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	44.0%	40.5%	44.2%	42.4%
Total		Count	225	385	181	791
		% within Type of community respondent lives in	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * Residence in major Texas metropolitan area Crosstabulation

			Residence in major Texas metropolitan area					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	20	13	6	3	10	52
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	11.6%	6.0%	9.5%	3.3%	4.0%	6.6%
	Sometimes	Count	34	59	18	20	73	204
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	19.8%	27.3%	28.6%	21.7%	29.6%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	61	44	13	29	56	203
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	35.5%	20.4%	20.6%	31.5%	22.7%	25.7%
	Never	Count	57	100	26	40	108	331
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	33.1%	46.3%	41.3%	43.5%	43.7%	41.9%
Total		Count	172	216	63	92	247	790
		% within Residence in major Texas metropolitan area	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites * region Crosstabulation

			region						Total
			East Texas	Dallas/Ft. Worth	Houston	South Central	West	South/Hispanic	
Frequency of use for news - Video sharing sites	Regularly	Count	13	5	13	6	7	8	52
		% within region	7.7%	3.8%	10.8%	4.0%	6.5%	7.1%	6.6%
	Sometimes	Count	49	37	27	38	22	31	204
		% within region	29.0%	28.0%	22.5%	25.2%	20.6%	27.4%	25.8%
	Hardly ever	Count	34	25	43	46	35	18	201
		% within region	20.1%	18.9%	35.8%	30.5%	32.7%	15.9%	25.4%
	Never	Count	73	65	37	61	43	56	335
		% within region	43.2%	49.2%	30.8%	40.4%	40.2%	49.6%	42.3%
Total		Count	169	132	120	151	107	113	792
		% within region	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%