

**UT/Texas Tribune Statewide Poll  
May 2010  
Crosstabs**

<b>Political Interest</b>	<b>5-11</b>
<b>Approval Ratings</b>	
Obama Approval	11-18
Perry Approval	18-26
<b>Mood of Texans</b>	
Country Right Track/Wrong Direction	26-32
Texas Right Track/Wrong Direction	32-38
Country Economic Retrospection	38-45
Family Economic Retrospection	45-52
<b>Electoral Matchups</b>	
Governor	52-58
Lieutenant Governor	59-65
Attorney General	65-71
Land Commissioner	71-76
Agriculture Commissioner	76-82
Railroad Commissioner	82-88
<b>Generic Ballots</b>	
U.S. Congress Generic Ballot	88-93
State Legislature Generic Ballot	93-99
Generic Tea Party v Dem & GOP	99-104

<b>National Policy Questions</b>	
Health Care Reform Approval	105-110
Health Care Reform Knowledge	110-114
Support for AG Lawsuit Against Health Care Law	115-120
<b>Education Questions</b>	
Confidence in Texas Public Schools – Pre-Treatment	120-126
State Board of Education Curriculum Questions	
Approval – Offering Bible Course	126-134
Approval – Presenting Evidence For and Against Evolution	134-142
Approval – Discussing Christian Beliefs of Founding Fathers	142-150
Approval – Discussing Importance of Free-Market Economy	150-158
Approval – Reducing Time Spent Discussing Racial Minorities	158-166
Confidence in Texas Public Schools – Post-Treatment	166-173
Necessity of College Education in Today’s Work World	173-178
Extent of Opportunity to Attend College	178-183
Education as a Federal or State-Level Issue	183-187
Rating Severity of Education Problems	
Not Enough Funding	188-194
Overcrowding in the Schools	194-199
Crime in the Schools	200-205
Low Academic Standards	205-211
Lack of Parental Involvement	212-218
Poor Teacher Quality	218-223
Liberal Bias in the Classroom	224-230
Conservative Bias in the Classroom	230-235
Hostility Toward Religion in the Schools	236-242
Too Much Religion in the Schools	242-247

## **Immigration Policy Questions**

<b>Support</b>	<b>- Comprehensive Immigration Overhaul</b>	<b>248-254</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Ending In-State Tuition for Undocumented Immigrants</b>	<b>254-260</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Passing English-Only Amendment</b>	<b>260-267</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Requiring Businesses to Verify Immigration Status</b>	<b>267-274</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Ending Bilingual Education in Texas</b>	<b>274-280</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Requiring Law Enforcement to Check Status</b>	<b>280-287</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Prohibiting Businesses from Soliciting Day Laborers</b>	<b>287-294</b>
<b>Support</b>	<b>- Prohibiting Cities from Restricting Fed Enforcement</b>	<b>294-301</b>

## **Miscellaneous State Policy Questions**

<b>State Revenue for Education</b>	<b>301-308</b>
<b>Abortion</b>	<b>308-315</b>
<b>Marijuana Legalization</b>	<b>316-322</b>
<b>Texas as a Model</b>	<b>322-328</b>
<b>Redistricting Favor/Oppose</b>	<b>329-333</b>

## **Religiosity and Social Justice Questions**

<b>Biblical Literalism</b>	<b>334-339</b>
<b>Religion Battery</b>	
<b>Agree - God Instructs to Protect Poor</b>	<b>339-345</b>
<b>Agree - Failure to Confront Social Unfairness in a Sin</b>	<b>346-352</b>
<b>Agree - Social Justice is at Heart of Gospel</b>	<b>352-359</b>
<b>Agree - God More Concerned with Morality than Inequalities</b>	
<b>359-365</b>	
<b>Agree - Addressing Social Issues Distracts from Salvation</b>	<b>366-372</b>
<b>Agree - Building Kingdom of God not about Social Structures</b>	<b>372-378</b>

[This page intentionally left blank]

Political interest \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	17	17	37	66	49	115	140	2	443
		% within Ideology scale	65.4%	37.0%	45.1%	36.3%	44.1%	69.3%	82.8%	13.3%	55.6%
	Somewhat interested	Count	9	23	38	82	53	45	24	6	280
		% within Ideology scale	34.6%	50.0%	46.3%	45.1%	47.7%	27.1%	14.2%	40.0%	35.1%
	Not very interested	Count	0	4	6	24	5	6	1	6	52
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	8.7%	7.3%	13.2%	4.5%	3.6%	.6%	40.0%	6.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	2	1	9	4	0	4	1	21
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.3%	1.2%	4.9%	3.6%	.0%	2.4%	6.7%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	26	46	82	182	111	166	169	15	797	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Political interest \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	72	23	25	48	82	27	129	1	407
		% within 7 point Party ID	45.6%	23.0%	59.5%	65.8%	74.5%	43.5%	70.1%	100.0%	55.8%
	Somewhat interested	Count	76	56	13	17	28	29	44	0	263
		% within 7 point Party ID	48.1%	56.0%	31.0%	23.3%	25.5%	46.8%	23.9%	.0%	36.0%
	Not very interested	Count	8	13	4	5	0	4	8	0	42
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	13.0%	9.5%	6.8%	.0%	6.5%	4.3%	.0%	5.8%
	Not at all interested	Count	2	8	0	3	0	2	3	0	18
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	8.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	3.2%	1.6%	.0%	2.5%
	Total	Count	158	100	42	73	110	62	184	1	730
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	115	223	107	445
		% within Population density	49.8%	57.3%	59.8%	55.7%
	Somewhat interested	Count	90	131	60	281
		% within Population density	39.0%	33.7%	33.5%	35.2%
	Not very interested	Count	15	29	7	51
		% within Population density	6.5%	7.5%	3.9%	6.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	11	5	5	21
		% within Population density	4.8%	1.3%	2.8%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	1
		% within Population density	.0%	.3%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	231	389	179	799	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Political interest \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	96	147	34	35	134	446
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	56.8%	61.3%	51.5%	56.5%	51.5%	56.0%
	Somewhat interested	Count	69	68	27	24	93	281
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	40.8%	28.3%	40.9%	38.7%	35.8%	35.3%
	Not very interested	Count	3	19	5	1	22	50
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.8%	7.9%	7.6%	1.6%	8.5%	6.3%
Not at all interested	Count	1	6	0	2	10	19
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	2.5%	.0%	3.2%	3.8%	2.4%
Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.1%
Total	Count	169	240	66	62	260	797
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	13	155	124	18	95	40	445
		% within Education	44.8%	53.3%	51.0%	62.1%	62.5%	71.4%	55.6%
	Somewhat interested	Count	16	95	92	11	53	15	282
		% within Education	55.2%	32.6%	37.9%	37.9%	34.9%	26.8%	35.3%
	Not very interested	Count	0	29	18	0	3	1	51
		% within Education	.0%	10.0%	7.4%	.0%	2.0%	1.8%	6.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	12	8	0	1	0	21
		% within Education	.0%	4.1%	3.3%	.0%	.7%	.0%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within Education	.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	29	291	243	29	152	56	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	88	85	37	55	71	104	440
		% within Frequency attend religious services	60.7%	53.5%	56.9%	60.4%	49.0%	55.0%	55.4%
	Somewhat interested	Count	46	62	22	32	62	57	281
		% within Frequency attend religious services	31.7%	39.0%	33.8%	35.2%	42.8%	30.2%	35.4%
	Not very interested	Count	4	10	5	2	9	21	51
		% within Frequency attend religious services	2.8%	6.3%	7.7%	2.2%	6.2%	11.1%	6.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	7	2	1	2	3	6	21
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.8%	1.3%	1.5%	2.2%	2.1%	3.2%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.1%
Total		Count	145	159	65	91	145	189	794
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	316	40	55	4	6	25	446
		% within Race	62.0%	42.1%	38.2%	57.1%	75.0%	71.4%	55.8%
	Somewhat interested	Count	160	44	70	2	1	4	281
		% within Race	31.4%	46.3%	48.6%	28.6%	12.5%	11.4%	35.2%
	Not very interested	Count	21	7	15	1	0	6	50
		% within Race	4.8%	4.4%	23.1%	2.2%	0.0%	4.7%	6.4%



	% within Race	4.1%	7.4%	10.4%	14.3%	.0%	17.1%	6.3%
Not at all interested	Count	13	4	4	0	0	0	21
	% within Race	2.5%	4.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	% within Race	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.1%
Total	Count	510	95	144	7	8	35	799
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	360	86	446
		% within Own or rent home	60.1%	43.4%	56.0%
	Somewhat interested	Count	193	86	279
		% within Own or rent home	32.2%	43.4%	35.0%
	Not very interested	Count	33	17	50
		% within Own or rent home	5.5%	8.6%	6.3%
	Not at all interested	Count	13	8	21
		% within Own or rent home	2.2%	4.0%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within Own or rent home	.0%	.5%	.1%
Total		Count	599	198	797
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	

Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	270	6	50	22	78	18	444
		% within Marital Status	59.9%	37.5%	64.1%	59.5%	43.3%	48.6%	55.6%
	Somewhat interested	Count	154	6	21	15	71	15	282
		% within Marital Status	34.1%	37.5%	26.9%	40.5%	39.4%	40.5%	35.3%
	Not very interested	Count	19	1	5	0	24	3	52
		% within Marital Status	4.2%	6.3%	6.4%	.0%	13.3%	8.1%	6.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	8	3	2	0	7	0	20
		% within Marital Status	1.8%	18.8%	2.6%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	2.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within Marital Status	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	.1%
Total		Count	451	16	78	37	180	37	799
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	257	187	444
		% within Gender	69.6%	43.6%	55.6%
	Somewhat interested	Count	96	186	282
		% within Gender	26.0%	43.4%	35.3%
	Not very interested	Count	13	38	51
		% within Gender	3.5%	8.9%	6.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	3	17	20
		% within Gender	.8%	4.0%	2.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
Total		Count	369	429	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Political interest \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	39	114	188	105	446
		% within Age	37.1%	45.2%	62.3%	73.4%	55.6%
	Somewhat interested	Count	53	98	96	35	282
		% within Age	50.5%	38.9%	31.8%	24.5%	35.2%
	Not very interested	Count	8	30	13	1	52
		% within Age	7.6%	11.9%	4.3%	.7%	6.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	5	9	5	2	21
		% within Age	4.8%	3.6%	1.7%	1.4%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	0	1
		% within Age	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	105	252	302	143	802
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	16	19	41	52	11	3	5	2	149
		% within Ideology scale	61.5%	42.2%	50.0%	28.3%	9.9%	1.8%	3.0%	13.3%	18.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	7	15	32	49	13	7	1	4	128
		% within Ideology scale	26.9%	33.3%	39.0%	26.6%	11.7%	4.2%	.6%	26.7%	16.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	0	7	4	18	11	1	0	3	44
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	15.6%	4.9%	9.8%	9.9%	.6%	.0%	20.0%	5.5%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	3	3	1	13	19	16	9	4	68
		% within Ideology scale	11.5%	6.7%	1.2%	7.1%	17.1%	9.7%	5.3%	26.7%	8.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	0	0	4	48	57	138	154	1	402
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	4.9%	26.1%	51.4%	83.6%	91.1%	6.7%	50.4%

Don't know	Count	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	6
	% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	.8%
Total	Count	26	45	82	184	111	165	169	15	797
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate Obama \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	91	23	20	9	2	2	1	0	148
		% within 7 point Party ID	56.9%	23.0%	46.5%	12.2%	1.8%	3.3%	.5%	.0%	20.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	44	41	18	10	2	5	1	0	121
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.5%	41.0%	41.9%	13.5%	1.8%	8.2%	.5%	.0%	16.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	10	17	2	6	2	5	1	0	43
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	17.0%	4.7%	8.1%	1.8%	8.2%	.5%	.0%	5.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	4	9	1	8	13	9	11	0	55
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	9.0%	2.3%	10.8%	11.7%	14.8%	5.9%	.0%	7.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	8	9	1	41	92	40	171	1	363
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.0%	9.0%	2.3%	55.4%	82.9%	65.6%	92.4%	100.0%	49.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	1.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Total	Count		160	100	43	74	111	61	185	1	735
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate Obama \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	60	76	15	151
		% within Population density	26.0%	19.5%	8.3%	18.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	53	59	17	129
		% within Population density	22.9%	15.1%	9.4%	16.1%

Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	14	20	12	46
	% within Population density	6.1%	5.1%	6.7%	5.7%
Disapprove somewhat	Count	21	28	18	67
	% within Population density	9.1%	7.2%	10.0%	8.4%
Disapprove strongly	Count	83	203	116	402
	% within Population density	35.9%	52.1%	64.4%	50.2%
Don't know	Count	0	4	2	6
	% within Population density	.0%	1.0%	1.1%	.7%
Total	Count	231	390	180	801
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	35	47	17	11	42	152
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	20.5%	19.6%	25.4%	17.5%	16.1%	19.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	22	38	18	15	36	129
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.9%	15.8%	26.9%	23.8%	13.8%	16.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	12	9	2	3	21	47
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.0%	3.8%	3.0%	4.8%	8.0%	5.9%	
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	18	14	4	7	23	66
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.5%	5.8%	6.0%	11.1%	8.8%	8.2%	
	Disapprove strongly	Count	83	130	26	26	137	402

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	48.5%	54.2%	38.8%	41.3%	52.5%	50.1%
Don't know	Count	1	2	0	1	2	6
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	.8%	.0%	1.6%	.8%	.7%
Total	Count	171	240	67	63	261	802
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	5	38	51	7	33	17	151
		% within Education	17.9%	13.1%	21.1%	23.3%	21.7%	30.4%	18.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	2	33	50	6	29	9	129
		% within Education	7.1%	11.3%	20.7%	20.0%	19.1%	16.1%	16.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	0	19	17	2	6	2	46
		% within Education	.0%	6.5%	7.0%	6.7%	3.9%	3.6%	5.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	1	27	16	3	16	4	67
		% within Education	3.6%	9.3%	6.6%	10.0%	10.5%	7.1%	8.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	20	173	104	12	68	24	401
		% within Education	71.4%	59.5%	43.0%	40.0%	44.7%	42.9%	50.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	4	0	0	0	5
		% within Education	.0%	.3%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Total	Count	28	291	242	30	152	56	799	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Rate Obama \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	27	27	6	9	32	50	151
		% within Frequency attend religious services	18.6%	17.1%	9.4%	9.9%	22.1%	26.3%	19.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	10	20	9	19	29	39	126
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.9%	12.7%	14.1%	20.9%	20.0%	20.5%	15.9%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	7	9	2	5	7	16	46
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.8%	5.7%	3.1%	5.5%	4.8%	8.4%	5.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	13	9	9	7	13	16	67
% within Frequency attend religious services		9.0%	5.7%	14.1%	7.7%	9.0%	8.4%	8.4%	
Disapprove strongly	Count	88	93	38	50	63	65	397	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	60.7%	58.9%	59.4%	54.9%	43.4%	34.2%	50.1%	
Don't know	Count	0	0	0	1	1	4	6	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.7%	2.1%	.8%	
Total	Count	145	158	64	91	145	190	793	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Rate Obama \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	57	48	32	3	3	8	151
		% within Race	11.2%	50.0%	22.2%	42.9%	37.5%	22.9%	18.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	55	28	38	2	0	5	128
		% within Race	10.8%	29.2%	26.4%	28.6%	.0%	14.3%	16.0%
	Neither approve nor	Count	25	8	11	0	1	2	47
		% within Race	17.2%	8.3%	8.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.3%	5.1%

	% within Race	4.9%	8.3%	7.6%	.0%	12.5%	5.7%	5.9%
Disapprove somewhat	Count	39	6	19	1	0	1	66
	% within Race	7.6%	6.3%	13.2%	14.3%	.0%	2.9%	8.3%
Disapprove strongly	Count	331	4	44	1	3	19	402
	% within Race	64.9%	4.2%	30.6%	14.3%	37.5%	54.3%	50.3%
Don't know	Count	3	2	0	0	1	0	6
	% within Race	.6%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.8%
Total	Count	510	96	144	7	8	35	800
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	107	44	151
		% within Own or rent home	17.9%	22.2%	18.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	86	43	129
		% within Own or rent home	14.4%	21.7%	16.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	30	16	46
		% within Own or rent home	5.0%	8.1%	5.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	45	19	64
		% within Own or rent home	7.5%	9.6%	8.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	327	74	401
		% within Own or rent home	54.6%	37.4%	50.3%
	Don't know	Count	4	2	6
		% within Own or rent home	.7%	1.0%	.8%
Total		Count	599	198	797
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**



			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	68	3	19	6	46	10	152
		% within Marital Status	15.0%	18.8%	24.4%	16.2%	25.6%	27.0%	19.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	62	3	12	3	39	9	128
		% within Marital Status	13.7%	18.8%	15.4%	8.1%	21.7%	24.3%	16.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	16	1	2	0	25	3	47
		% within Marital Status	3.5%	6.3%	2.6%	.0%	13.9%	8.1%	5.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	31	1	6	1	21	6	66
		% within Marital Status	6.9%	6.3%	7.7%	2.7%	11.7%	16.2%	8.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	273	8	39	27	46	8	401
		% within Marital Status	60.4%	50.0%	50.0%	73.0%	25.6%	21.6%	50.1%
	Don't know	Count	2	0	0	0	3	1	6
		% within Marital Status	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.7%	.8%
	Total	Count	452	16	78	37	180	37	800
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	69	82	151
		% within Gender	18.7%	19.2%	18.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	47	82	129
		% within Gender	12.7%	19.2%	16.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	12	33	45
		% within Gender	3.3%	7.7%	5.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	26	40	66
		% within Gender	7.0%	9.3%	8.3%

	Disapprove strongly	Count	215	186	401
		% within Gender	58.3%	43.5%	50.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	5	5
		% within Gender	.0%	1.2%	.6%
Total		Count	369	428	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Obama \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Rate Obama	Approve strongly	Count	17	54	60	20	151
		% within Age	16.2%	21.5%	19.8%	14.1%	18.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	29	46	48	7	130
		% within Age	27.6%	18.3%	15.8%	4.9%	16.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	13	19	11	3	46
		% within Age	12.4%	7.6%	3.6%	2.1%	5.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	12	24	22	8	66
		% within Age	11.4%	9.6%	7.3%	5.6%	8.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	33	106	160	104	403
		% within Age	31.4%	42.2%	52.8%	73.2%	50.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	2	0	5
		% within Age	1.0%	.8%	.7%	.0%	.6%
Total		Count	105	251	303	142	801
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale							Total
		Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>	

Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	2	0	2	12	10	33	46	1	106
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	.0%	2.4%	6.6%	9.0%	20.1%	27.1%	7.1%	13.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	1	1	8	28	38	70	79	2	227
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	2.3%	9.8%	15.3%	34.2%	42.7%	46.5%	14.3%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	0	8	9	48	24	23	12	7	131
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	18.2%	11.0%	26.2%	21.6%	14.0%	7.1%	50.0%	16.5%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	5	11	19	33	20	20	19	0	127
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	25.0%	23.2%	18.0%	18.0%	12.2%	11.2%	.0%	16.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	19	22	43	49	18	16	13	3	183
		% within Ideology scale	70.4%	50.0%	52.4%	26.8%	16.2%	9.8%	7.6%	21.4%	23.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	1	13	1	2	1	1	21
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.5%	1.2%	7.1%	.9%	1.2%	.6%	7.1%	2.6%
Total		Count	27	44	82	183	111	164	170	14	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate Rick Perry \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	6	5	0	3	15	12	55	1	97
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	5.0%	.0%	4.1%	13.6%	19.4%	30.1%	100.0%	13.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	10	18	6	15	50	24	84	0	207
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	18.0%	14.0%	20.5%	45.5%	38.7%	45.9%	.0%	28.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	24	24	5	18	18	10	20	0	119
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.2%	24.0%	11.6%	24.7%	16.4%	16.1%	10.9%	.0%	16.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	31	20	11	12	16	12	15	0	117
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.6%	20.0%	25.6%	16.4%	14.5%	19.4%	8.2%	.0%	16.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	79	28	20	25	10	4	8	0	174
		% within 7 point Party ID	50.0%	28.0%	46.5%	34.2%	9.1%	6.5%	4.4%	.0%	23.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	5	1	0	1	0	1	0	16
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	5.0%	2.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.5%	.0%	2.2%
Total		Count	158	100	43	73	110	62	183	1	730
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rate Rick Perry \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	28	51	29	108
		% within Population density	12.1%	13.1%	16.2%	13.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	46	119	63	228
		% within Population density	19.9%	30.7%	35.2%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	48	55	28	131
		% within Population density	20.8%	14.2%	15.6%	16.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	37	65	25	127
		% within Population density	16.0%	16.8%	14.0%	15.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	66	88	29	183
		% within Population density	28.6%	22.7%	16.2%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	6	10	5	21
		% within Population density	2.6%	2.6%	2.8%	2.6%
	Total	Count	231	388	179	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	32	26	7	8	34	107
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.0%	10.8%	10.6%	12.9%	13.1%	13.4%
	Approve somewhat	Count	39	67	24	12	86	228
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.2%	27.9%	36.4%	19.4%	33.1%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor	Count	18	39	5	11	58	131
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.7%	15.2%	4.9%	13.8%	17.2%	16.9%

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.7%	16.3%	7.6%	17.7%	22.3%	16.5%
Disapprove somewhat	Count	31	47	11	12	26	127
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.5%	19.6%	16.7%	19.4%	10.0%	16.0%
Disapprove strongly	Count	46	56	19	18	43	182
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.4%	23.3%	28.8%	29.0%	16.5%	22.9%
Don't know	Count	2	5	0	1	13	21
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	2.1%	.0%	1.6%	5.0%	2.6%
Total	Count	168	240	66	62	260	796
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	5	44	28	3	20	7	107
		% within Education	17.2%	15.1%	11.6%	10.3%	13.2%	12.1%	13.4%
	Approve somewhat	Count	12	85	59	9	46	18	229
		% within Education	41.4%	29.2%	24.4%	31.0%	30.5%	31.0%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	6	56	42	5	16	7	132
		% within Education	20.7%	19.2%	17.4%	17.2%	10.6%	12.1%	16.5%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	2	52	39	5	25	5	128
		% within Education	6.9%	17.9%	16.1%	17.2%	16.6%	8.6%	16.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	4	41	66	7	44	21	183
		% within Education	13.8%	14.1%	27.3%	24.1%	29.1%	36.2%	22.9%

Don't know	Count	0	13	8	0	0	0	21
	% within Education	.0%	4.5%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
Total	Count	29	291	242	29	151	58	800
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	27	28	10	10	18	12	105
		% within Frequency attend religious services	18.9%	17.7%	15.6%	10.9%	12.5%	6.3%	13.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	48	50	24	35	38	32	227
		% within Frequency attend religious services	33.6%	31.6%	37.5%	38.0%	26.4%	16.8%	28.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	18	24	8	15	25	40	130
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.6%	15.2%	12.5%	16.3%	17.4%	21.1%	16.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	25	7	9	27	42	125
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.5%	15.8%	10.9%	9.8%	18.8%	22.1%	15.8%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	34	28	15	17	32	57	183
		% within Frequency attend religious services	23.8%	17.7%	23.4%	18.5%	22.2%	30.0%	23.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	0	6	4	7	21
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.7%	1.9%	.0%	6.5%	2.8%	3.7%	2.7%
Total		Count	143	158	64	92	144	190	791
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Race Crosstabulation**

	Race	Total
--	------	-------

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	76	4	21	0	1	4	106
		% within Race	15.0%	4.2%	14.6%	.0%	14.3%	11.4%	13.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	170	10	35	0	1	12	228
		% within Race	33.5%	10.5%	24.3%	.0%	14.3%	34.3%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	76	16	31	4	1	3	131
		% within Race	15.0%	16.8%	21.5%	50.0%	14.3%	8.6%	16.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	73	24	19	1	0	10	127
		% within Race	14.4%	25.3%	13.2%	12.5%	.0%	28.6%	15.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	99	37	36	3	3	6	184
		% within Race	19.5%	38.9%	25.0%	37.5%	42.9%	17.1%	23.1%
	Don't know	Count	14	4	2	0	1	0	21
		% within Race	2.8%	4.2%	1.4%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	2.6%
Total		Count	508	95	144	8	7	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	86	21	107
		% within Own or rent home	14.4%	10.7%	13.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	180	48	228
		% within Own or rent home	30.2%	24.4%	28.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	95	36	131
		% within Own or rent home	15.9%	18.3%	16.5%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	96	31	127
		% within Own or rent home	16.1%	15.7%	16.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	126	55	181
		% within Own or rent home	21.1%	27.9%	22.8%

Don't know	Count	14	6	20
	% within Own or rent home	2.3%	3.0%	2.5%
Total	Count	597	197	794
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	70	1	11	10	13	3	108
		% within Marital Status	15.6%	5.9%	13.9%	26.3%	7.2%	8.1%	13.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	143	7	24	17	31	7	229
		% within Marital Status	31.8%	41.2%	30.4%	44.7%	17.2%	18.9%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	73	2	10	1	38	7	131
		% within Marital Status	16.2%	11.8%	12.7%	2.6%	21.1%	18.9%	16.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	67	5	12	5	35	4	128
		% within Marital Status	14.9%	29.4%	15.2%	13.2%	19.4%	10.8%	16.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	89	2	22	5	53	13	184
		% within Marital Status	19.8%	11.8%	27.8%	13.2%	29.4%	35.1%	23.0%
	Don't know	Count	8	0	0	0	10	3	21
		% within Marital Status	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	8.1%	2.6%
Total		Count	450	17	79	38	180	37	801
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	50	58	108



	% within Gender	13.5%	13.6%	13.5%
Approve somewhat	Count	120	108	228
	% within Gender	32.3%	25.3%	28.6%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	49	82	131
	% within Gender	13.2%	19.2%	16.4%
Disapprove somewhat	Count	59	69	128
	% within Gender	15.9%	16.2%	16.0%
Disapprove strongly	Count	91	92	183
	% within Gender	24.5%	21.5%	22.9%
Don't know	Count	2	18	20
	% within Gender	.5%	4.2%	2.5%
Total	Count	371	427	798
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Rate Rick Perry \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Rate Rick Perry	Approve strongly	Count	12	31	34	30	107
		% within Age	11.3%	12.4%	11.3%	21.1%	13.4%
	Approve somewhat	Count	17	60	100	52	229
		% within Age	16.0%	24.0%	33.3%	36.6%	28.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	21	48	40	22	131
		% within Age	19.8%	19.2%	13.3%	15.5%	16.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	18	47	50	13	128
		% within Age	17.0%	18.8%	16.7%	9.2%	16.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	24	60	74	25	183
		% within Age	22.6%	24.0%	24.7%	17.6%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	14	4	2	0	20
		% within Age	13.2%	1.6%	.7%	.0%	2.5%

Total	Count	106	250	300	142	798
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Country direction	Right direction	Count	106	48	27	10	2	8	2	0	203
		% within 7 point Party ID	66.7%	48.0%	64.3%	13.7%	1.8%	12.9%	1.1%	.0%	27.7%
	Wrong track	Count	25	32	8	50	105	46	178	1	445
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.7%	32.0%	19.0%	68.5%	94.6%	74.2%	96.7%	100.0%	60.8%
	Don't know	Count	28	20	7	13	4	8	4	0	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.6%	20.0%	16.7%	17.8%	3.6%	12.9%	2.2%	.0%	11.5%
Total		Count	159	100	42	73	111	62	184	1	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Country direction	Right direction	Count	88	102	22	212
		% within Population density	37.9%	26.4%	12.2%	26.5%
	Wrong track	Count	113	247	137	497
		% within Population density	48.7%	63.8%	76.1%	62.2%
	Don't know	Count	31	38	21	90
		% within Population density	13.4%	9.8%	11.7%	11.3%
Total		Count	232	387	180	799
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Country direction	Right direction	Count	44	64	27	16	60	211
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.0%	26.6%	40.3%	25.4%	23.1%	26.4%
	Wrong track	Count	109	153	32	37	167	498
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	64.5%	63.5%	47.8%	58.7%	64.2%	62.3%
	Don't know	Count	16	24	8	10	33	91
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.5%	10.0%	11.9%	15.9%	12.7%	11.4%
Total	Count	169	241	67	63	260	800	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Country direction \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Country direction	Right direction	Count	7	54	73	7	50	21	212
		% within Education	24.1%	18.6%	30.0%	24.1%	33.1%	36.8%	26.5%
	Wrong track	Count	22	207	131	15	89	33	497
		% within Education	75.9%	71.1%	53.9%	51.7%	58.9%	57.9%	62.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	30	39	7	12	3	91
		% within Education	.0%	10.3%	16.0%	24.1%	7.9%	5.3%	11.4%
Total	Count	29	291	243	29	151	57	800	

**Country direction \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Country direction	Right direction	Count	7	54	73	7	50	21	212
		% within Education	24.1%	18.6%	30.0%	24.1%	33.1%	36.8%	26.5%
	Wrong track	Count	22	207	131	15	89	33	497
		% within Education	75.9%	71.1%	53.9%	51.7%	58.9%	57.9%	62.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	30	39	7	12	3	91
		% within Education	.0%	10.3%	16.0%	24.1%	7.9%	5.3%	11.4%
		Count	29	291	243	29	151	57	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Country direction	Right direction	Count	29	37	13	21	41	70	211
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.0%	23.4%	20.0%	22.8%	28.5%	37.0%	26.6%
	Wrong track	Count	104	108	47	59	79	94	491
		% within Frequency attend religious services	71.7%	68.4%	72.3%	64.1%	54.9%	49.7%	61.9%
	Don't know	Count	12	13	5	12	24	25	91
		% within Frequency attend religious services	8.3%	8.2%	7.7%	13.0%	16.7%	13.2%	11.5%
Total		Count	145	158	65	92	144	189	793
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Country direction	Right direction	Count	81	61	51	5	3	10	211
		% within Race	15.9%	63.5%	35.9%	62.5%	37.5%	29.4%	26.4%
	Wrong track	Count	384	16	69	3	4	21	497
		% within Race	75.3%	16.7%	48.6%	37.5%	50.0%	61.8%	62.3%
	Don't know	Count	45	19	22	0	1	3	90
		% within Race	8.8%	19.8%	15.5%	.0%	12.5%	8.8%	11.3%
Total		Count	510	96	142	8	8	34	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Country direction	Right direction	Count	143	68	211
		% within Own or rent home	23.9%	34.5%	26.5%
	Wrong track	Count	390	103	493
		% within Own or rent home	65.2%	52.3%	62.0%
	Don't know	Count	65	26	91
		% within Own or rent home	10.9%	13.2%	11.4%
Total		Count	598	197	795
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Country direction \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	

Country direction	Right direction	Count	97	4	23	7	68	14	213
		% within Marital Status	21.5%	23.5%	29.5%	18.9%	37.8%	37.8%	26.6%
	Wrong track	Count	316	11	45	28	80	17	497
		% within Marital Status	69.9%	64.7%	57.7%	75.7%	44.4%	45.9%	62.0%
	Don't know	Count	39	2	10	2	32	6	91
		% within Marital Status	8.6%	11.8%	12.8%	5.4%	17.8%	16.2%	11.4%
Total	Count	452	17	78	37	180	37	801	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Country direction \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Country direction	Right direction	Count	93	119	212
		% within Gender	25.1%	27.9%	26.6%
	Wrong track	Count	254	241	495
		% within Gender	68.6%	56.4%	62.1%
	Don't know	Count	23	67	90
		% within Gender	6.2%	15.7%	11.3%
Total	Count	370	427	797	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Country direction \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Country direction	Right direction	Count	33	77	78	23	211
		% within Age	31.4%	30.8%	25.9%	16.2%	26.4%
	Wrong track	Count	51	140	191	114	496
		% within Age	48.6%	56.0%	63.5%	80.3%	62.2%

	Don't know	Count	21	33	32	5	91
		% within Age	20.0%	13.2%	10.6%	3.5%	11.4%
Total		Count	105	250	301	142	798
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	1	7	18	65	52	98	117	2	360
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	15.2%	22.2%	35.7%	46.8%	59.4%	69.2%	13.3%	45.3%
	Wrong track	Count	23	30	55	82	28	40	35	4	297
		% within Ideology scale	88.5%	65.2%	67.9%	45.1%	25.2%	24.2%	20.7%	26.7%	37.4%
	Don't know	Count	2	9	8	35	31	27	17	9	138
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	19.6%	9.9%	19.2%	27.9%	16.4%	10.1%	60.0%	17.4%
Total		Count	26	46	81	182	111	165	169	15	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	40	35	5	23	62	38	122	1	326
		% within 7 point Party ID	25.3%	35.0%	11.6%	31.1%	55.9%	62.3%	66.7%	100.0%	44.6%
	Wrong track	Count	95	49	29	33	31	11	38	0	286
		% within 7 point Party ID	60.1%	49.0%	67.4%	44.6%	27.9%	18.0%	20.8%	.0%	39.1%
	Don't know	Count	23	16	9	18	18	12	23	0	119
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.6%	16.0%	20.9%	24.3%	16.2%	19.7%	12.6%	.0%	16.3%
Total		Count	158	100	43	74	111	61	183	1	731
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	91	191	78	360
		% within Population density	39.4%	49.2%	43.6%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	97	138	67	302
		% within Population density	42.0%	35.6%	37.4%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	43	59	34	136
		% within Population density	18.6%	15.2%	19.0%	17.0%
Total		Count	231	388	179	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	72	107	24	28	129	360
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	42.6%	44.6%	35.8%	44.4%	49.8%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	72	94	24	26	85	301
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	42.6%	39.2%	35.8%	41.3%	32.8%	37.7%
	Don't know	Count	25	39	19	9	45	137
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.8%	16.3%	28.4%	14.3%	17.4%	17.2%
Total		Count	169	240	67	63	259	798



**Texas direction \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	72	107	24	28	129	360
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	42.6%	44.6%	35.8%	44.4%	49.8%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	72	94	24	26	85	301
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	42.6%	39.2%	35.8%	41.3%	32.8%	37.7%
	Don't know	Count	25	39	19	9	45	137
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.8%	16.3%	28.4%	14.3%	17.4%	17.2%
		Count	169	240	67	63	259	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	19	139	101	15	65	22	361
		% within Education	65.5%	47.8%	41.7%	50.0%	42.5%	40.0%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	7	104	92	8	65	25	301
		% within Education	24.1%	35.7%	38.0%	26.7%	42.5%	45.5%	37.6%
	Don't know	Count	3	48	49	7	23	8	138
		% within Education	10.3%	16.5%	20.2%	23.3%	15.0%	14.5%	17.3%
Total		Count	29	291	242	30	153	55	800

**Texas direction \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	19	139	101	15	65	22	361
		% within Education	65.5%	47.8%	41.7%	50.0%	42.5%	40.0%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	7	104	92	8	65	25	301
		% within Education	24.1%	35.7%	38.0%	26.7%	42.5%	45.5%	37.6%
	Don't know	Count	3	48	49	7	23	8	138
		% within Education	10.3%	16.5%	20.2%	23.3%	15.0%	14.5%	17.3%
		Count	29	291	242	30	153	55	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	75	89	27	49	56	61	357
		% within Frequency attend religious services	51.4%	56.0%	42.2%	53.3%	38.9%	32.1%	44.9%
	Wrong track	Count	43	44	25	30	57	101	300
		% within Frequency attend religious services	29.5%	27.7%	39.1%	32.6%	39.6%	53.2%	37.7%
	Don't know	Count	28	26	12	13	31	28	138
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.2%	16.4%	18.8%	14.1%	21.5%	14.7%	17.4%
Total		Count	146	159	64	92	144	190	795
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	239	27	71	5	5	14	361
		% within Race	46.8%	28.4%	49.7%	62.5%	62.5%	40.0%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	187	45	51	3	1	15	302
		% within Race	36.6%	47.4%	35.7%	37.5%	12.5%	42.9%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	85	23	21	0	2	6	137
		% within Race	16.6%	24.2%	14.7%	.0%	25.0%	17.1%	17.1%
Total		Count	511	95	143	8	8	35	800
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	273	87	360
		% within Own or rent home	45.6%	44.2%	45.2%
	Wrong track	Count	221	78	299
		% within Own or rent home	36.9%	39.6%	37.6%
	Don't know	Count	105	32	137
		% within Own or rent home	17.5%	16.2%	17.2%
Total		Count	599	197	796
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas direction \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	

Texas direction	Right direction	Count	228	6	33	23	59	11	360
		% within Marital Status	50.4%	37.5%	42.9%	62.2%	32.8%	30.6%	45.1%
	Wrong track	Count	157	6	29	8	80	22	302
		% within Marital Status	34.7%	37.5%	37.7%	21.6%	44.4%	61.1%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	67	4	15	6	41	3	136
		% within Marital Status	14.8%	25.0%	19.5%	16.2%	22.8%	8.3%	17.0%
Total	Count	452	16	77	37	180	36	798	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas direction \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	181	180	361
		% within Gender	48.9%	42.1%	45.2%
	Wrong track	Count	141	160	301
		% within Gender	38.1%	37.4%	37.7%
	Don't know	Count	48	88	136
		% within Gender	13.0%	20.6%	17.0%
Total	Count	370	428	798	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas direction \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas direction	Right direction	Count	41	99	138	83	361
		% within Age	39.0%	39.6%	45.7%	58.5%	45.2%
	Wrong track	Count	42	101	118	41	302
		% within Age	40.0%	40.4%	39.1%	28.9%	37.8%

Don't know	Count	22	50	46	18	136
	% within Age	21.0%	20.0%	15.2%	12.7%	17.0%
Total	Count	105	250	302	142	799
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	7	3	9	14	4	2	2	1	42
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	6.7%	11.0%	7.8%	3.6%	1.2%	1.2%	7.1%	5.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	11	21	39	52	27	15	12	1	178
		% within Ideology scale	40.7%	46.7%	47.6%	28.9%	24.1%	9.1%	7.1%	7.1%	22.4%
	About the same	Count	4	15	24	61	32	30	19	6	191
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	33.3%	29.3%	33.9%	28.6%	18.2%	11.2%	42.9%	24.0%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	1	3	7	27	19	34	30	2	123
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.7%	8.5%	15.0%	17.0%	20.6%	17.6%	14.3%	15.5%
	A lot worse off	Count	3	2	3	23	30	83	106	3	253
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	4.4%	3.7%	12.8%	26.8%	50.3%	62.4%	21.4%	31.8%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	8
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	2.2%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.6%	.6%	7.1%	1.0%
Total	Count	27	45	82	180	112	165	170	14	795	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Compared to year ago country economically better off \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	23	10	5	0	0	2	1	0	41
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.4%	9.9%	11.9%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.5%	.0%	5.6%
	Somewhat better off	Count	71	35	19	11	12	12	10	0	170
		% within 7 point Party ID	44.4%	34.7%	45.2%	15.1%	10.8%	19.4%	5.5%	.0%	23.2%
	About the same	Count	38	36	13	21	25	15	29	1	178
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.4%	34.7%	45.2%	15.1%	10.8%	19.4%	5.5%	.0%	23.2%

	% within 7 point Party ID	23.8%	35.6%	31.0%	28.8%	22.5%	24.2%	15.8%	50.0%	24.3%
Somewhat worse off	Count	8	14	2	16	16	20	35	0	111
	% within 7 point Party ID	5.0%	13.9%	4.8%	21.9%	14.4%	32.3%	19.1%	.0%	15.1%
A lot worse off	Count	15	5	3	25	58	13	108	1	228
	% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	5.0%	7.1%	34.2%	52.3%	21.0%	59.0%	50.0%	31.1%
Don't know	Count	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	% within 7 point Party ID	3.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Total	Count	160	101	42	73	111	62	183	2	734
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	18	16	6	40
		% within Population density	7.8%	4.1%	3.4%	5.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	71	90	19	180
		% within Population density	30.7%	23.2%	10.6%	22.6%
	About the same	Count	55	90	49	194
		% within Population density	23.8%	23.2%	27.4%	24.3%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	39	60	24	123
		% within Population density	16.9%	15.5%	13.4%	15.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	47	127	79	253
		% within Population density	20.3%	32.7%	44.1%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	5	2	8
		% within Population density	.4%	1.3%	1.1%	1.0%
Total		Count	231	388	179	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

	Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	Total
--	--	-------

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	16	9	4	6	6	41
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.4%	3.8%	6.2%	9.5%	2.3%	5.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	34	61	25	16	45	181
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	20.0%	25.4%	38.5%	25.4%	17.2%	22.7%
	About the same	Count	45	56	12	16	64	193
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.5%	23.3%	18.5%	25.4%	24.5%	24.2%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	22	36	8	10	47	123
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.9%	15.0%	12.3%	15.9%	18.0%	15.4%	
A lot worse off	Count	53	77	16	14	93	253	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	31.2%	32.1%	24.6%	22.2%	35.6%	31.7%	
Don't know	Count	0	1	0	1	6	8	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	.4%	.0%	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%	
Total	Count	170	240	65	63	261	799	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	0	11	15	0	11	3	40

	% within Education	.0%	3.8%	6.2%	.0%	7.3%	5.3%	5.0%
Somewhat better off	Count	5	38	67	9	42	20	181
	% within Education	17.2%	13.1%	27.7%	31.0%	27.8%	35.1%	22.7%
About the same	Count	4	71	63	7	38	11	194
	% within Education	13.8%	24.4%	26.0%	24.1%	25.2%	19.3%	24.3%
Somewhat worse off	Count	8	58	26	3	21	8	124
	% within Education	27.6%	19.9%	10.7%	10.3%	13.9%	14.0%	15.5%
A lot worse off	Count	12	109	67	10	39	15	252
	% within Education	41.4%	37.5%	27.7%	34.5%	25.8%	26.3%	31.5%
Don't know	Count	0	4	4	0	0	0	8
	% within Education	.0%	1.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
Total	Count	29	291	242	29	151	57	799
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

		Frequency attend religious services						Total
		More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	Count	6	7	0	6	8	14	41
	% within Frequency attend religious services	4.2%	4.4%	.0%	6.5%	5.5%	7.4%	5.2%
Somewhat better off	Count	31	39	10	20	32	48	180
	% within Frequency attend religious services	21.5%	24.4%	15.9%	21.7%	22.1%	25.3%	22.7%
About the same	Count	20	35	17	31	38	52	193
	% within Frequency attend religious services	13.9%	21.9%	27.0%	33.7%	26.2%	27.4%	24.3%
Somewhat worse off	Count	26	27	9	12	24	25	123
	% within Frequency attend religious services	18.1%	16.9%	14.3%	13.0%	16.6%	13.2%	15.5%
A lot worse off	Count	61	49	27	22	41	49	249
	% within Frequency attend religious services	42.4%	30.6%	42.9%	23.9%	28.3%	25.8%	31.4%
Don't know	Count	0	3	0	1	2	2	8
	% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	0.9%



	% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	1.9%	.0%	1.1%	1.4%	1.1%	1.0%
Total	Count	144	160	63	92	145	190	794
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	14	15	9	0	1	1	40
		% within Race	2.7%	15.8%	6.3%	.0%	12.5%	2.9%	5.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	78	43	49	4	2	5	181
		% within Race	15.3%	45.3%	34.0%	57.1%	25.0%	14.3%	22.6%
	About the same	Count	122	20	37	2	1	12	194
		% within Race	23.9%	21.1%	25.7%	28.6%	12.5%	34.3%	24.3%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	90	7	19	1	0	6	123
		% within Race	17.6%	7.4%	13.2%	14.3%	.0%	17.1%	15.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	203	6	30	0	3	11	253
		% within Race	39.7%	6.3%	20.8%	.0%	37.5%	31.4%	31.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	4	0	0	1	0	9
		% within Race	.8%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	1.1%
	Total	Count	511	95	144	7	8	35	800
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	29	12	41
		% within Own or rent home	4.8%	6.1%	5.2%
	Somewhat better off	Count	127	52	179

	% within Own or rent home	21.2%	26.4%	22.5%
About the same	Count	151	43	194
	% within Own or rent home	25.2%	21.8%	24.4%
Somewhat worse off	Count	88	35	123
	% within Own or rent home	14.7%	17.8%	15.5%
A lot worse off	Count	200	51	251
	% within Own or rent home	33.4%	25.9%	31.5%
Don't know	Count	4	4	8
	% within Own or rent home	.7%	2.0%	1.0%
Total	Count	599	197	796
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	17	3	1	1	13	6	41
		% within Marital Status	3.8%	18.8%	1.3%	2.6%	7.2%	16.2%	5.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	92	5	16	6	55	7	181
		% within Marital Status	20.4%	31.3%	20.5%	15.8%	30.6%	18.9%	22.6%
	About the same	Count	98	3	19	5	62	6	193
		% within Marital Status	21.7%	18.8%	24.4%	13.2%	34.4%	16.2%	24.1%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	82	1	10	3	17	10	123
		% within Marital Status	18.1%	6.3%	12.8%	7.9%	9.4%	27.0%	15.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	163	4	30	23	27	7	254
		% within Marital Status	36.1%	25.0%	38.5%	60.5%	15.0%	18.9%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	2	0	6	1	9
		% within Marital Status	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	3.3%	2.7%	1.1%
Total	Count		452	16	78	38	180	37	801
	% within Marital Status		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	25	16	41
		% within Gender	6.8%	3.7%	5.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	83	98	181
		% within Gender	22.4%	22.8%	22.6%
	About the same	Count	77	116	193
		% within Gender	20.8%	27.0%	24.1%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	55	68	123
		% within Gender	14.9%	15.8%	15.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	129	124	253
		% within Gender	34.9%	28.8%	31.6%
	Don't know	Count	1	8	9
		% within Gender	.3%	1.9%	1.1%
	Total	Count	370	430	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	6	16	16	3	41
		% within Age	5.7%	6.4%	5.3%	2.1%	5.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	35	60	64	21	180
		% within Age	33.0%	23.9%	21.1%	14.8%	22.4%
	About the same	Count	30	81	68	15	194
		% within Age	28.3%	32.3%	22.4%	10.6%	24.2%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	16	40	46	22	124

	% within Age	15.1%	15.9%	15.2%	15.5%	15.5%
A lot worse off	Count	14	51	108	81	254
	% within Age	13.2%	20.3%	35.6%	57.0%	31.7%
Don't know	Count	5	3	1	0	9
	% within Age	4.7%	1.2%	.3%	.0%	1.1%
Total	Count	106	251	303	142	802
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	4	3	7	4	3	3	4	0	28
		% within Ideology scale	15.4%	6.5%	8.8%	2.2%	2.7%	1.8%	2.4%	.0%	3.5%
	Somewhat better off	Count	9	10	24	32	16	21	13	1	126
		% within Ideology scale	34.6%	21.7%	30.0%	17.8%	14.5%	12.9%	7.6%	7.1%	16.0%
	About the same	Count	12	26	36	78	49	63	57	7	328
		% within Ideology scale	46.2%	56.5%	45.0%	43.3%	44.5%	38.7%	33.5%	50.0%	41.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	1	6	12	39	31	49	56	3	197
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	13.0%	15.0%	21.7%	28.2%	30.1%	32.9%	21.4%	25.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	0	1	1	21	11	27	40	2	103
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.3%	11.7%	10.0%	16.6%	23.5%	14.3%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	.9%
	Total	Count	26	46	80	180	110	163	170	14	789
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago family economically better off \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	13	4	2	2	1	1	2	0	25

	% within 7 point Party ID	8.1%	4.0%	4.8%	2.8%	.9%	1.6%	1.1%	.0%	3.4%
Somewhat better off	Count	41	25	10	5	12	9	17	1	120
	% within 7 point Party ID	25.6%	24.8%	23.8%	7.0%	11.0%	14.8%	9.3%	50.0%	16.5%
About the same	Count	78	44	23	27	41	23	72	0	308
	% within 7 point Party ID	48.8%	43.6%	54.8%	38.0%	37.6%	37.7%	39.6%	.0%	42.3%
Somewhat worse off	Count	15	20	6	26	36	20	54	0	177
	% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	19.8%	14.3%	36.6%	33.0%	32.8%	29.7%	.0%	24.3%
A lot worse off	Count	7	8	1	10	19	8	37	1	91
	% within 7 point Party ID	4.4%	7.9%	2.4%	14.1%	17.4%	13.1%	20.3%	50.0%	12.5%
Don't know	Count	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%
Total	Count	160	101	42	71	109	61	182	2	728
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	10	13	5	28
		% within Population density	4.3%	3.4%	2.8%	3.5%
	Somewhat better off	Count	40	69	17	126
		% within Population density	17.3%	17.9%	9.7%	15.9%
	About the same	Count	110	153	66	329
		% within Population density	47.6%	39.6%	37.5%	41.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	50	96	53	199
		% within Population density	21.6%	24.9%	30.1%	25.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	20	50	34	104
		% within Population density	8.7%	13.0%	19.3%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	5	1	7
		% within Population density	.4%	1.3%	.6%	.9%
Total	Count		231	386	176	793
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	6	8	2	0	11	27
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	3.3%	3.0%	.0%	4.3%	3.4%
	Somewhat better off	Count	31	41	15	17	23	127
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.3%	17.1%	22.7%	27.4%	9.0%	16.0%
	About the same	Count	62	100	26	26	116	330
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.7%	41.7%	39.4%	41.9%	45.3%	41.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	47	58	16	14	64	199
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		27.8%	24.2%	24.2%	22.6%	25.0%	25.1%	
A lot worse off	Count	22	33	7	4	37	103	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.0%	13.8%	10.6%	6.5%	14.5%	13.0%	
Don't know	Count	1	0	0	1	5	7	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	2.0%	.9%	
Total	Count	169	240	66	62	256	793	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Education Crosstabulation

	Education	Total
--	-----------	-------

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	0	5	7	3	11	2	28
		% within Education	.0%	1.7%	2.9%	10.0%	7.2%	3.5%	3.5%
	Somewhat better off	Count	4	27	51	3	29	14	128
		% within Education	14.8%	9.3%	21.3%	10.0%	19.0%	24.6%	16.1%
	About the same	Count	10	113	98	14	65	30	330
		% within Education	37.0%	39.1%	40.8%	46.7%	42.5%	52.6%	41.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	11	90	50	5	38	6	200
		% within Education	40.7%	31.1%	20.8%	16.7%	24.8%	10.5%	25.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	1	51	32	5	10	4	103
		% within Education	3.7%	17.6%	13.3%	16.7%	6.5%	7.0%	12.9%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	2	0	0	1	7
		% within Education	3.7%	1.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.9%
Total		Count	27	289	240	30	153	57	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	6	3	0	6	6	8	29
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.1%	1.9%	.0%	6.5%	4.2%	4.2%	3.7%
	Somewhat better off	Count	19	28	11	12	25	30	125
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.1%	17.8%	17.7%	13.0%	17.5%	15.9%	15.9%
	About the same	Count	54	62	19	45	67	81	328
		% within Frequency attend religious services	37.2%	39.5%	30.6%	48.9%	46.9%	42.9%	41.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	40	37	19	17	35	47	195
		% within Frequency attend religious services	27.6%	23.6%	30.6%	18.5%	24.5%	24.9%	24.7%
	A lot worse off	Count	26	24	13	9	10	22	104

	% within Frequency attend religious services	17.9%	15.3%	21.0%	9.8%	7.0%	11.6%	13.2%
Don't know	Count	0	3	0	3	0	1	7
	% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	1.9%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.5%	.9%
Total	Count	145	157	62	92	143	189	788
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race						Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	12	11	5	0	0	1	29
		% within Race	2.4%	11.5%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	3.6%
Somewhat better off	Count	61	25	36	3	1	2	128	
	% within Race	12.1%	26.0%	25.4%	37.5%	14.3%	5.6%	16.1%	
About the same	Count	207	41	57	2	2	20	329	
	% within Race	40.9%	42.7%	40.1%	25.0%	28.6%	55.6%	41.4%	
Somewhat worse off	Count	144	11	28	3	2	11	199	
	% within Race	28.5%	11.5%	19.7%	37.5%	28.6%	30.6%	25.0%	
A lot worse off	Count	80	4	16	0	1	2	103	
	% within Race	15.8%	4.2%	11.3%	.0%	14.3%	5.6%	13.0%	
Don't know	Count	2	4	0	0	1	0	7	
	% within Race	.4%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	.9%	
Total	Count	506	96	142	8	7	36	795	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	18	9	27



	% within Own or rent home	3.0%	4.6%	3.4%
Somewhat better off	Count	89	38	127
	% within Own or rent home	14.9%	19.4%	16.0%
About the same	Count	262	67	329
	% within Own or rent home	44.0%	34.2%	41.5%
Somewhat worse off	Count	150	49	199
	% within Own or rent home	25.2%	25.0%	25.1%
A lot worse off	Count	74	29	103
	% within Own or rent home	12.4%	14.8%	13.0%
Don't know	Count	3	4	7
	% within Own or rent home	.5%	2.0%	.9%
Total	Count	596	196	792
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	16	0	1	0	8	4	29
		% within Marital Status	3.6%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	4.5%	10.8%	3.6%
	Somewhat better off	Count	67	1	12	2	38	7	127
		% within Marital Status	14.9%	6.3%	15.4%	5.6%	21.3%	18.9%	16.0%
	About the same	Count	194	6	31	13	76	10	330
		% within Marital Status	43.1%	37.5%	39.7%	36.1%	42.7%	27.0%	41.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	120	4	22	15	33	4	198
		% within Marital Status	26.7%	25.0%	28.2%	41.7%	18.5%	10.8%	24.9%
	A lot worse off	Count	52	5	12	6	18	11	104
		% within Marital Status	11.6%	31.3%	15.4%	16.7%	10.1%	29.7%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	0	5	1	7
		% within Marital Status	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	2.7%	.9%
Total		Count	450	16	78	36	178	37	795

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	16	0	1	0	8	4	29
		% within Marital Status	3.6%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	4.5%	10.8%	3.6%
	Somewhat better off	Count	67	1	12	2	38	7	127
		% within Marital Status	14.9%	6.3%	15.4%	5.6%	21.3%	18.9%	16.0%
	About the same	Count	194	6	31	13	76	10	330
		% within Marital Status	43.1%	37.5%	39.7%	36.1%	42.7%	27.0%	41.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	120	4	22	15	33	4	198
		% within Marital Status	26.7%	25.0%	28.2%	41.7%	18.5%	10.8%	24.9%
	A lot worse off	Count	52	5	12	6	18	11	104
		% within Marital Status	11.6%	31.3%	15.4%	16.7%	10.1%	29.7%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	0	5	1	7
		% within Marital Status	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	2.7%	.9%
Total			450	16	78	36	178	37	795
			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	15	13	28
		% within Gender	4.1%	3.0%	3.5%
	Somewhat better off	Count	50	76	126
		% within Gender	13.7%	17.8%	15.9%
	About the same	Count	154	175	329
		% within Gender	42.3%	40.9%	41.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	98	100	198
		% within Gender	26.9%	23.4%	25.0%

	A lot worse off	Count	45	59	104
		% within Gender	12.4%	13.8%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	2	5	7
		% within Gender	.5%	1.2%	.9%
Total		Count	364	428	792
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total	
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over		
Compared to year ago family economically better off	A lot better off	Count	5	13	9	1	28	
		% within Age	4.9%	5.2%	3.0%	.7%	3.5%	
	Somewhat better off	Count	28	55	35	8	126	
		% within Age	27.2%	22.2%	11.6%	5.7%	15.9%	
	About the same	Count	38	108	130	53	329	
		% within Age	36.9%	43.5%	43.0%	37.9%	41.5%	
	Somewhat worse off	Count	18	46	84	51	199	
		% within Age	17.5%	18.5%	27.8%	36.4%	25.1%	
	A lot worse off	Count	10	25	42	27	104	
		% within Age	9.7%	10.1%	13.9%	19.3%	13.1%	
	Don't know	Count	4	1	2	0	7	
		% within Age	3.9%	.4%	.7%	.0%	.9%	
	Total		Count	103	248	302	140	793
			% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale							Total
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	

Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	2	2	1	32	52	117	140	2	348
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	4.3%	1.2%	17.5%	46.8%	70.9%	82.8%	14.3%	43.7%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	23	36	70	92	22	16	12	4	275
		% within Ideology scale	85.2%	78.3%	85.4%	50.3%	19.8%	9.7%	7.1%	28.6%	34.5%
	Someone else	Count	1	3	2	8	15	20	7	0	56
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.5%	2.4%	4.4%	13.5%	12.1%	4.1%	.0%	7.0%
	Undecided	Count	1	5	9	51	22	12	10	8	118
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	10.9%	11.0%	27.9%	19.8%	7.3%	5.9%	57.1%	14.8%
Total		Count	27	46	82	183	111	165	169	14	797
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas Gov vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	10	10	1	15	81	43	155	1	316
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	10.0%	2.3%	20.8%	73.0%	68.3%	84.2%	100.0%	43.1%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	126	67	31	18	7	8	7	0	264
		% within 7 point Party ID	79.2%	67.0%	72.1%	25.0%	6.3%	12.7%	3.8%	.0%	36.0%
	Someone else	Count	3	2	3	16	14	1	9	0	48
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	2.0%	7.0%	22.2%	12.6%	1.6%	4.9%	.0%	6.5%
	Undecided	Count	20	21	8	23	9	11	13	0	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.6%	21.0%	18.6%	31.9%	8.1%	17.5%	7.1%	.0%	14.3%
Total		Count	159	100	43	72	111	63	184	1	733
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas Gov vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	72	179	97	348
		% within Population density	31.2%	46.0%	54.2%	43.6%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	110	125	42	277
		% within Population density	47.6%	32.1%	23.5%	34.7%

	Someone else	Count	14	29	12	55
		% within Population density	6.1%	7.5%	6.7%	6.9%
	Undecided	Count	35	56	28	119
		% within Population density	15.2%	14.4%	15.6%	14.9%
Total		Count	231	389	179	799
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	68	100	28	25	127	348
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	40.0%	41.7%	42.4%	39.7%	48.7%	43.5%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	77	79	29	25	67	277
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	45.3%	32.9%	43.9%	39.7%	25.7%	34.6%
Someone else	Count	13	24	2	5	12	56	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	10.0%	3.0%	7.9%	4.6%	7.0%	
Undecided	Count	12	37	7	8	55	119	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.1%	15.4%	10.6%	12.7%	21.1%	14.9%	
Total		Count	170	240	66	63	261	800
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas Gov vote intent Rick Perry (Republican)	Count		19	139	89	10	68	24	349
	% within Education		67.9%	47.8%	36.6%	34.5%	44.7%	43.6%	43.7%
Bill White (Democrat)	Count		8	70	95	13	68	23	277
	% within Education		28.6%	24.1%	39.1%	44.8%	44.7%	41.8%	34.7%
Someone else	Count		0	20	18	4	10	3	55
	% within Education		.0%	6.9%	7.4%	13.8%	6.6%	5.5%	6.9%
Undecided	Count		1	62	41	2	6	5	117
	% within Education		3.6%	21.3%	16.9%	6.9%	3.9%	9.1%	14.7%
Total	Count		28	291	243	29	152	55	798
	% within Education		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Texas Gov vote intent Rick Perry (Republican)	Count		82	79	32	44	59	46	342
	% within Frequency attend religious services		56.6%	50.0%	50.0%	47.8%	41.0%	24.2%	43.1%
Bill White (Democrat)	Count		40	42	13	27	64	90	276
	% within Frequency attend religious services		27.6%	26.6%	20.3%	29.3%	44.4%	47.4%	34.8%
Someone else	Count		10	14	9	7	5	11	56
	% within Frequency attend religious services		6.9%	8.9%	14.1%	7.6%	3.5%	5.8%	7.1%
Undecided	Count		13	23	10	14	16	43	119
	% within Frequency attend religious services		9.0%	14.6%	15.6%	15.2%	11.1%	22.6%	15.0%
Total	Count		145	158	64	92	144	190	793
	% within Frequency attend religious services		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	278	5	47	0	3	15	348
		% within Race	54.6%	5.2%	32.4%	.0%	37.5%	42.9%	43.5%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	128	66	63	6	4	11	278
		% within Race	25.1%	68.8%	43.4%	85.7%	50.0%	31.4%	34.8%
	Someone else	Count	41	4	9	0	0	2	56
		% within Race	8.1%	4.2%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	7.0%
	Undecided	Count	62	21	26	1	1	7	118
		% within Race	12.2%	21.9%	17.9%	14.3%	12.5%	20.0%	14.8%
Total		Count	509	96	145	7	8	35	800
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	282	65	347
		% within Own or rent home	47.0%	33.0%	43.5%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	186	91	277
		% within Own or rent home	31.0%	46.2%	34.8%
	Someone else	Count	44	10	54
		% within Own or rent home	7.3%	5.1%	6.8%
	Undecided	Count	88	31	119
		% within Own or rent home	14.7%	15.7%	14.9%
Total		Count	600	197	797
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	235	6	33	23	44	7	348
		% within Marital Status	52.0%	37.5%	42.3%	62.2%	24.6%	18.9%	43.6%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	126	5	29	9	84	25	278
		% within Marital Status	27.9%	31.3%	37.2%	24.3%	46.9%	67.6%	34.8%
	Someone else	Count	33	2	6	0	14	0	55
		% within Marital Status	7.3%	12.5%	7.7%	.0%	7.8%	.0%	6.9%
	Undecided	Count	58	3	10	5	37	5	118
		% within Marital Status	12.8%	18.8%	12.8%	13.5%	20.7%	13.5%	14.8%
Total		Count	452	16	78	37	179	37	799
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	177	170	347
		% within Gender	48.0%	39.7%	43.5%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	126	151	277
		% within Gender	34.1%	35.3%	34.8%
	Someone else	Count	36	19	55
		% within Gender	9.8%	4.4%	6.9%
	Undecided	Count	30	88	118
		% within Gender	8.1%	20.6%	14.8%
Total		Count	369	428	797



**Texas Gov vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	177	170	347
		% within Gender	48.0%	39.7%	43.5%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	126	151	277
		% within Gender	34.1%	35.3%	34.8%
	Someone else	Count	36	19	55
		% within Gender	9.8%	4.4%	6.9%
	Undecided	Count	30	88	118
		% within Gender	8.1%	20.6%	14.8%
		Count	369	428	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Gov vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas Gov vote intent	Rick Perry (Republican)	Count	34	88	137	88	347
		% within Age	32.1%	35.1%	45.5%	62.4%	43.4%
	Bill White (Democrat)	Count	46	92	105	34	277
		% within Age	43.4%	36.7%	34.9%	24.1%	34.7%
	Someone else	Count	9	15	25	7	56
		% within Age	8.5%	6.0%	8.3%	5.0%	7.0%
	Undecided	Count	17	56	34	12	119
		% within Age	16.0%	22.3%	11.3%	8.5%	14.9%
Total		Count	106	251	301	141	799
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	1	1	2	28	55	119	144	0	350
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	2.2%	2.5%	15.6%	49.1%	72.1%	84.7%	.0%	44.1%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	23	35	63	73	20	11	5	3	233
		% within Ideology scale	88.5%	77.8%	77.8%	40.6%	17.9%	6.7%	2.9%	20.0%	29.3%
	Someone else	Count	0	1	1	11	10	15	10	1	49
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	6.1%	8.9%	9.1%	5.9%	6.7%	6.2%
	Undecided	Count	2	8	15	68	27	20	11	11	162
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	17.8%	18.5%	37.8%	24.1%	12.1%	6.5%	73.3%	20.4%
Total	Count	26	45	81	180	112	165	170	15	794	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	4	6	1	17	83	42	163	1	317
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	6.0%	2.3%	23.3%	74.8%	67.7%	88.6%	100.0%	43.2%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	125	59	30	17	1	0	1	0	233
		% within 7 point Party ID	78.6%	59.0%	68.2%	23.3%	.9%	.0%	.5%	.0%	31.7%
	Someone else	Count	4	2	1	16	11	1	7	0	42
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	2.0%	2.3%	21.9%	9.9%	1.6%	3.8%	.0%	5.7%
	Undecided	Count	26	33	12	23	16	19	13	0	142
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.4%	33.0%	27.3%	31.5%	14.4%	30.6%	7.1%	.0%	19.3%
Total	Count	159	100	44	73	111	62	184	1	734	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	

Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	68	185	97	350
		% within Population density	29.4%	47.7%	54.2%	43.9%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	105	103	28	236
		% within Population density	45.5%	26.5%	15.6%	29.6%
	Someone else	Count	9	28	13	50
		% within Population density	3.9%	7.2%	7.3%	6.3%
	Undecided	Count	49	72	41	162
		% within Population density	21.2%	18.6%	22.9%	20.3%
Total	Count	231	388	179	798	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	75	114	24	22	115	350
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.4%	47.7%	35.8%	34.9%	44.1%	43.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	54	62	27	28	65	236
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	32.0%	25.9%	40.3%	44.4%	24.9%	29.5%
	Someone else	Count	10	17	3	5	15	50
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	7.1%	4.5%	7.9%	5.7%	6.3%
	Undecided	Count	30	46	13	8	66	163
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	19.2%	19.4%	12.7%	25.3%	20.4%
Total		Count	169	239	67	63	261	799

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas		
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	75	114	24	22	115	350	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.4%	47.7%	35.8%	34.9%	44.1%	43.8%	
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	54	62	27	28	65	236	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	32.0%	25.9%	40.3%	44.4%	24.9%	29.5%	
	Someone else	Count	10	17	3	5	15	50	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	7.1%	4.5%	7.9%	5.7%	6.3%	
	Undecided	Count	30	46	13	8	66	163	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	19.2%	19.4%	12.7%	25.3%	20.4%	
			Count	169	239	67	63	261	799
			% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	16	141	93	11	67	23	351
		% within Education	57.1%	48.5%	38.4%	37.9%	43.8%	41.8%	44.0%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	8	55	82	12	56	22	235
		% within Education	28.6%	18.9%	33.9%	41.4%	36.6%	40.0%	29.4%
	Someone else	Count	1	19	12	3	11	3	49
		% within Education	3.6%	6.5%	5.0%	10.3%	7.2%	5.5%	6.1%

Undecided	Count	3	76	55	3	19	7	163
	% within Education	10.7%	26.1%	22.7%	10.3%	12.4%	12.7%	20.4%
Total	Count	28	291	242	29	153	55	798
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	83	74	34	50	55	51	347
		% within Frequency attend religious services	57.2%	46.8%	53.1%	54.3%	37.9%	26.8%	43.7%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	29	42	11	21	55	78	236
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.0%	26.6%	17.2%	22.8%	37.9%	41.1%	29.7%
	Someone else	Count	13	12	6	3	7	9	50
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.0%	7.6%	9.4%	3.3%	4.8%	4.7%	6.3%
	Undecided	Count	20	30	13	18	28	52	161
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.8%	19.0%	20.3%	19.6%	19.3%	27.4%	20.3%
Total		Count	145	158	64	92	145	190	794
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	294	5	32	1	3	15	350
		% within Race	57.6%	5.2%	22.2%	14.3%	37.5%	44.1%	43.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	96	62	62	5	4	7	236
		% within Race	18.8%	64.6%	43.1%	71.4%	50.0%	20.6%	29.5%
	Someone else	Count	33	4	10	0	0	3	50

	% within Race	6.5%	4.2%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	8.8%	6.3%
Undecided	Count	87	25	40	1	1	9	163
	% within Race	17.1%	26.0%	27.8%	14.3%	12.5%	26.5%	20.4%
Total	Count	510	96	144	7	8	34	799
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	284	66	350
		% within Own or rent home	47.5%	33.7%	44.1%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	157	79	236
		% within Own or rent home	26.3%	40.3%	29.7%
	Someone else	Count	40	8	48
		% within Own or rent home	6.7%	4.1%	6.0%
	Undecided	Count	117	43	160
		% within Own or rent home	19.6%	21.9%	20.2%
Total		Count	598	196	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	241	6	31	25	40	6	349
		% within Marital Status	53.3%	37.5%	39.7%	67.6%	22.3%	16.2%	43.7%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	103	5	30	7	70	21	236
		% within Marital Status	22.8%	31.3%	38.5%	18.9%	39.1%	56.8%	29.5%
	Someone else	Count	29	4	5	0	12	0	50

	% within Marital Status	6.4%	25.0%	6.4%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	6.3%
Undecided	Count	79	1	12	5	57	10	164
	% within Marital Status	17.5%	6.3%	15.4%	13.5%	31.8%	27.0%	20.5%
Total	Count	452	16	78	37	179	37	799
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	188	163	351
		% within Gender	50.8%	38.1%	44.0%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	105	130	235
		% within Gender	28.4%	30.4%	29.4%
	Someone else	Count	31	19	50
		% within Gender	8.4%	4.4%	6.3%
	Undecided	Count	46	116	162
		% within Gender	12.4%	27.1%	20.3%
Total		Count	370	428	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas lieutenant governor vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas lieutenant governor vote intent	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	32	86	140	93	351
		% within Age	30.5%	34.4%	46.2%	65.5%	43.9%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson (Democrat)	Count	38	78	95	25	236
		% within Age	36.2%	31.2%	31.4%	17.6%	29.5%
	Someone else	Count	4	14	23	8	49

	% within Age	3.8%	5.6%	7.6%	5.6%	6.1%
Undecided	Count	31	72	45	16	164
	% within Age	29.5%	28.8%	14.9%	11.3%	20.5%
Total	Count	105	250	303	142	800
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	2	4	6	29	57	128	143	1	370
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	8.7%	7.3%	15.9%	52.3%	78.5%	84.6%	7.1%	46.7%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	24	30	60	73	17	7	6	3	220
		% within Ideology scale	88.9%	65.2%	73.2%	40.1%	15.6%	4.3%	3.6%	21.4%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	1	3	1	12	11	10	6	1	45
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.5%	1.2%	6.6%	10.1%	6.1%	3.6%	7.1%	5.7%
	Undecided	Count	0	9	15	68	24	18	14	9	157
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	19.6%	18.3%	37.4%	22.0%	11.0%	8.3%	64.3%	19.8%
Total	Count	27	46	82	182	109	163	169	14	792	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	8	8	2	22	91	44	167	1	343
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	8.0%	4.8%	30.6%	81.3%	72.1%	90.8%	100.0%	47.0%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	118	58	27	14	0	0	1	0	218
		% within 7 point Party ID	74.7%	58.0%	64.3%	19.4%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	29.9%
	Someone else	Count	5	1	3	14	6	1	4	0	34
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	1.0%	7.1%	19.4%	5.4%	1.6%	2.2%	.0%	4.7%
	Undecided	Count	27	33	10	22	15	16	12	0	135
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.1%	33.0%	23.8%	30.6%	13.4%	26.2%	6.5%	.0%	18.5%



Total	Count	158	100	42	72	112	61	184	1	730
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	78	188	103	369
		% within Population density	33.8%	48.6%	58.5%	46.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	94	98	30	222
		% within Population density	40.7%	25.3%	17.0%	28.0%
	Someone else	Count	5	30	8	43
		% within Population density	2.2%	7.8%	4.5%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	54	71	35	160
		% within Population density	23.4%	18.3%	19.9%	20.2%
Total	Count	231	387	176	794	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	84	108	28	25	125	370
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	49.7%	45.2%	42.4%	39.7%	48.4%	46.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	46	66	23	23	63	221
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.2%	27.6%	34.8%	36.5%	24.4%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	9	19	4	4	8	44
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.3%	7.9%	6.1%	6.3%	3.1%	5.5%
Undecided	Count	30	46	11	11	62	160
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	19.2%	16.7%	17.5%	24.0%	20.1%
Total	Count	169	239	66	63	258	795
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	18	145	99	10	71	27	370
		% within Education	62.1%	50.0%	41.3%	33.3%	46.7%	48.2%	46.4%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	8	52	79	12	52	19	222
		% within Education	27.6%	17.9%	32.9%	40.0%	34.2%	33.9%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	0	16	14	4	7	3	44
		% within Education	.0%	5.5%	5.8%	13.3%	4.6%	5.4%	5.5%
	Undecided	Count	3	77	48	4	22	7	161
		% within Education	10.3%	26.6%	20.0%	13.3%	14.5%	12.5%	20.2%
Total		Count	29	290	240	30	152	56	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	83	84	34	52	59	55	367
		% within Frequency attend religious services	57.2%	53.5%	53.1%	57.1%	40.7%	28.9%	46.3%

Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	29	35	9	22	53	74	222
	% within Frequency attend religious services	20.0%	22.3%	14.1%	24.2%	36.6%	38.9%	28.0%
Someone else	Count	10	8	6	2	6	12	44
	% within Frequency attend religious services	6.9%	5.1%	9.4%	2.2%	4.1%	6.3%	5.6%
Undecided	Count	23	30	15	15	27	49	159
	% within Frequency attend religious services	15.9%	19.1%	23.4%	16.5%	18.6%	25.8%	20.1%
Total	Count	145	157	64	91	145	190	792
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	297	8	47	1	3	14	370
		% within Race	58.3%	8.4%	32.9%	14.3%	37.5%	40.0%	46.4%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	91	60	53	5	3	10	222
		% within Race	17.9%	63.2%	37.1%	71.4%	37.5%	28.6%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	30	4	8	0	1	2	45
		% within Race	5.9%	4.2%	5.6%	.0%	12.5%	5.7%	5.6%
	Undecided	Count	91	23	35	1	1	9	160
		% within Race	17.9%	24.2%	24.5%	14.3%	12.5%	25.7%	20.1%
Total		Count	509	95	143	7	8	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas Attorney General vote	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	300	68	368

	% within Own or rent home	50.3%	34.7%	46.5%
Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	146	76	222
	% within Own or rent home	24.5%	38.8%	28.0%
Someone else	Count	35	8	43
	% within Own or rent home	5.9%	4.1%	5.4%
Undecided	Count	115	44	159
	% within Own or rent home	19.3%	22.4%	20.1%
Total	Count	596	196	792
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	251	7	35	20	48	10	371
		% within Marital Status	55.8%	43.8%	44.9%	52.6%	26.8%	27.0%	46.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	97	5	26	8	68	18	222
		% within Marital Status	21.6%	31.3%	33.3%	21.1%	38.0%	48.6%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	25	2	4	0	13	0	44
		% within Marital Status	5.6%	12.5%	5.1%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	5.5%
	Undecided	Count	77	2	13	10	50	9	161
		% within Marital Status	17.1%	12.5%	16.7%	26.3%	27.9%	24.3%	20.2%
Total		Count	450	16	78	38	179	37	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas Attorney General vote	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	201	169	370

	% within Gender	54.9%	39.4%	46.5%
Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	98	124	222
	% within Gender	26.8%	28.9%	27.9%
Someone else	Count	30	13	43
	% within Gender	8.2%	3.0%	5.4%
Undecided	Count	37	123	160
	% within Gender	10.1%	28.7%	20.1%
Total	Count	366	429	795
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas Attorney General vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas Attorney General vote intent	Greg Abbott (Republican)	Count	36	96	150	88	370
		% within Age	34.6%	38.4%	49.8%	62.9%	46.5%
	Barbara Ann Radnofsky (Democrat)	Count	36	78	82	26	222
		% within Age	34.6%	31.2%	27.2%	18.6%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	2	12	21	8	43
		% within Age	1.9%	4.8%	7.0%	5.7%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	30	64	48	18	160
		% within Age	28.8%	25.6%	15.9%	12.9%	20.1%
Total	Count	104	250	301	140	795	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Texas State Land	Jerry Patterson	Count	0	2	5	23	43	109	129	0	311

	% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.5%	6.1%	12.8%	38.7%	66.1%	76.8%	.0%	39.4%
Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	23	31	52	69	20	13	5	3	216
	% within Ideology scale	95.8%	70.5%	63.4%	38.3%	18.0%	7.9%	3.0%	20.0%	27.4%
Someone else	Count	0	1	4	17	12	12	11	1	58
	% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.3%	4.9%	9.4%	10.8%	7.3%	6.5%	6.7%	7.4%
Undecided	Count	1	10	21	71	36	31	23	11	204
	% within Ideology scale	4.2%	22.7%	25.6%	39.4%	32.4%	18.8%	13.7%	73.3%	25.9%
Total	Count	24	44	82	180	111	165	168	15	789
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID							Total		
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	4	4	1	16	75	32	156	1	289
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	4.0%	2.3%	22.2%	68.8%	52.5%	85.2%	100.0%	39.8%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	118	57	23	9	1	2	2	0	212
		% within 7 point Party ID	75.2%	57.0%	52.3%	12.5%	.9%	3.3%	1.1%	.0%	29.2%
	Someone else	Count	7	2	4	20	9	1	6	0	49
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	2.0%	9.1%	27.8%	8.3%	1.6%	3.3%	.0%	6.7%
	Undecided	Count	28	37	16	27	24	26	19	0	177
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.8%	37.0%	36.4%	37.5%	22.0%	42.6%	10.4%	.0%	24.3%
Total		Count	157	100	44	72	109	61	183	1	727
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	61	169	81	311
		% within Population density	26.9%	43.6%	45.3%	39.2%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	99	91	28	218
		% within Population density	43.6%	23.5%	15.6%	27.5%
	Someone else	Count	10	32	17	59

	% within Population density	4.4%	8.2%	9.5%	7.4%
Undecided	Count	57	96	53	206
	% within Population density	25.1%	24.7%	29.6%	25.9%
Total	Count	227	388	179	794
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	70	96	23	21	103	313
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	41.2%	40.0%	34.8%	33.3%	40.1%	39.3%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	47	60	25	21	64	217
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.6%	25.0%	37.9%	33.3%	24.9%	27.3%
	Someone else	Count	13	22	5	5	15	60
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	9.2%	7.6%	7.9%	5.8%	7.5%
	Undecided	Count	40	62	13	16	75	206
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.5%	25.8%	19.7%	25.4%	29.2%	25.9%
Total		Count	170	240	66	63	257	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

	Education	Total
--	-----------	-------

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	16	123	83	9	57	24	312
		% within Education	57.1%	42.7%	34.6%	31.0%	37.3%	43.6%	39.3%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	8	52	73	13	53	18	217
		% within Education	28.6%	18.1%	30.4%	44.8%	34.6%	32.7%	27.4%
	Someone else	Count	1	23	17	3	10	4	58
		% within Education	3.6%	8.0%	7.1%	10.3%	6.5%	7.3%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	3	90	67	4	33	9	206
		% within Education	10.7%	31.3%	27.9%	13.8%	21.6%	16.4%	26.0%
Total	Count	28	288	240	29	153	55	793	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	73	71	29	43	47	46	309
		% within Frequency attend religious services	51.0%	44.9%	46.8%	46.7%	32.4%	24.3%	39.2%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	30	35	6	22	55	69	217
		% within Frequency attend religious services	21.0%	22.2%	9.7%	23.9%	37.9%	36.5%	27.5%
	Someone else	Count	14	10	9	3	8	15	59
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.8%	6.3%	14.5%	3.3%	5.5%	7.9%	7.5%
	Undecided	Count	26	42	18	24	35	59	204
		% within Frequency attend religious services	18.2%	26.6%	29.0%	26.1%	24.1%	31.2%	25.9%
Total	Count	143	158	62	92	145	189	789	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**



			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	261	4	30	1	4	11	311
		% within Race	51.4%	4.2%	21.6%	14.3%	50.0%	32.4%	39.3%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	88	56	63	4	2	5	218
		% within Race	17.3%	58.3%	45.3%	57.1%	25.0%	14.7%	27.5%
	Someone else	Count	34	5	10	0	1	8	58
		% within Race	6.7%	5.2%	7.2%	.0%	12.5%	23.5%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	125	31	36	2	1	10	205
		% within Race	24.6%	32.3%	25.9%	28.6%	12.5%	29.4%	25.9%
Total	Count	508	96	139	7	8	34	792	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	255	58	313
		% within Own or rent home	42.9%	29.6%	39.6%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	138	78	216
		% within Own or rent home	23.2%	39.8%	27.3%
	Someone else	Count	48	10	58
		% within Own or rent home	8.1%	5.1%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	153	50	203
		% within Own or rent home	25.8%	25.5%	25.7%
Total	Count	594	196	790	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	220	4	28	22	31	7	312
		% within Marital Status	49.0%	25.0%	36.8%	59.5%	17.5%	18.9%	39.4%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	98	5	25	6	65	18	217
		% within Marital Status	21.8%	31.3%	32.9%	16.2%	36.7%	48.6%	27.4%
	Someone else	Count	29	4	6	0	18	1	58
		% within Marital Status	6.5%	25.0%	7.9%	.0%	10.2%	2.7%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	102	3	17	9	63	11	205
		% within Marital Status	22.7%	18.8%	22.4%	24.3%	35.6%	29.7%	25.9%
Total		Count	449	16	76	37	177	37	792
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	171	141	312
		% within Gender	46.3%	33.3%	39.4%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	94	124	218
		% within Gender	25.5%	29.3%	27.5%
	Someone else	Count	36	22	58
		% within Gender	9.8%	5.2%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	68	136	204
		% within Gender	18.4%	32.2%	25.8%
Total		Count	369	423	792
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas State Land Commissioner vote intent	Jerry Patterson (Republican)	Count	24	81	126	81	312
		% within Age	23.3%	32.8%	41.9%	56.6%	39.3%
	Hector Uribe (Democrat)	Count	39	75	78	25	217
		% within Age	37.9%	30.4%	25.9%	17.5%	27.3%
	Someone else	Count	6	12	29	12	59
		% within Age	5.8%	4.9%	9.6%	8.4%	7.4%
	Undecided	Count	34	79	68	25	206
		% within Age	33.0%	32.0%	22.6%	17.5%	25.9%
	Total	Count	103	247	301	143	794
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	0	1	8	25	39	111	129	0	313
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	9.9%	13.9%	35.1%	67.3%	76.3%	.0%	39.4%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	26	30	52	70	19	13	8	3	221
		% within Ideology scale	96.3%	65.2%	64.2%	38.9%	17.1%	7.9%	4.7%	20.0%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	0	3	1	14	11	10	9	1	49
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	6.5%	1.2%	7.8%	9.9%	6.1%	5.3%	6.7%	6.2%
	Undecided	Count	1	12	20	71	42	31	23	11	211
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	26.1%	24.7%	39.4%	37.8%	18.8%	13.6%	73.3%	26.6%
	Total	Count	27	46	81	180	111	165	169	15	794
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID	Total

			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	2	6	3	17	68	33	161	1	291
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	6.0%	7.1%	23.0%	61.8%	53.2%	88.0%	100.0%	39.9%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	122	54	21	10	4	2	2	0	215
		% within 7 point Party ID	77.2%	54.0%	50.0%	13.5%	3.6%	3.2%	1.1%	.0%	29.5%
	Someone else	Count	3	2	4	19	6	1	5	0	40
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	2.0%	9.5%	25.7%	5.5%	1.6%	2.7%	.0%	5.5%
	Undecided	Count	31	38	14	28	32	26	15	0	184
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.6%	38.0%	33.3%	37.8%	29.1%	41.9%	8.2%	.0%	25.2%
Total	Count	158	100	42	74	110	62	183	1	730	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	66	160	87	313
		% within Population density	28.6%	41.3%	48.6%	39.3%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	88	104	31	223
		% within Population density	38.1%	26.9%	17.3%	28.0%
	Someone else	Count	10	27	12	49
		% within Population density	4.3%	7.0%	6.7%	6.1%
	Undecided	Count	67	96	49	212
		% within Population density	29.0%	24.8%	27.4%	26.6%
Total	Count	231	387	179	797	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

	Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
	Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	

Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	65	92	27	23	107	314
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	38.7%	38.2%	40.9%	36.5%	41.0%	39.3%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	45	68	20	23	67	223
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.8%	28.2%	30.3%	36.5%	25.7%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	10	20	4	4	12	50
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.0%	8.3%	6.1%	6.3%	4.6%	6.3%
	Undecided	Count	48	61	15	13	75	212
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28.6%	25.3%	22.7%	20.6%	28.7%	26.5%
Total		Count	168	241	66	63	261	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	16	123	87	9	58	21	314
		% within Education	57.1%	42.1%	36.1%	30.0%	38.4%	38.2%	39.4%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	8	56	74	12	51	21	222
		% within Education	28.6%	19.2%	30.7%	40.0%	33.8%	38.2%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	1	21	12	4	7	4	49
		% within Education	3.6%	7.2%	5.0%	13.3%	4.6%	7.3%	6.1%
	Undecided	Count	3	92	68	5	35	9	212
		% within Education	10.7%	31.5%	28.2%	16.7%	23.2%	16.4%	26.6%
Total		Count	28	292	241	30	151	55	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	76	71	30	39	43	52	311
		% within Frequency attend religious services	52.4%	44.9%	47.6%	42.4%	30.1%	27.5%	39.4%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	32	32	10	21	56	69	220
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.1%	20.3%	15.9%	22.8%	39.2%	36.5%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	11	9	5	3	8	13	49
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.6%	5.7%	7.9%	3.3%	5.6%	6.9%	6.2%
	Undecided	Count	26	46	18	29	36	55	210
		% within Frequency attend religious services	17.9%	29.1%	28.6%	31.5%	25.2%	29.1%	26.6%
Total	Count	145	158	63	92	143	189	790	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	256	5	36	1	4	11	313
		% within Race	50.2%	5.3%	25.2%	14.3%	50.0%	30.6%	39.2%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	98	54	55	4	1	11	223
		% within Race	19.2%	56.8%	38.5%	57.1%	12.5%	30.6%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	31	4	8	0	2	4	49
		% within Race	6.1%	4.2%	5.6%	.0%	25.0%	11.1%	6.1%
	Undecided	Count	125	32	44	2	1	10	214
		% within Race	24.5%	33.7%	30.8%	28.6%	12.5%	27.8%	26.8%
Total	Count	510	95	143	7	8	36	799	

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total		
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial	
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	256	5	36	1	4	11	313	
		% within Race	50.2%	5.3%	25.2%	14.3%	50.0%	30.6%	39.2%	
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	98	54	55	4	1	11	223	
		% within Race	19.2%	56.8%	38.5%	57.1%	12.5%	30.6%	27.9%	
	Someone else	Count	31	4	8	0	2	4	49	
		% within Race	6.1%	4.2%	5.6%	.0%	25.0%	11.1%	6.1%	
	Undecided	Count	125	32	44	2	1	10	214	
		% within Race	24.5%	33.7%	30.8%	28.6%	12.5%	27.8%	26.8%	
			Count	510	95	143	7	8	36	799
			% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	252	61	313
		% within Own or rent home	42.1%	31.1%	39.4%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	147	74	221
		% within Own or rent home	24.6%	37.8%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	39	10	49
		% within Own or rent home	6.5%	5.1%	6.2%
	Undecided	Count	160	51	211
		% within Own or rent home	26.8%	26.0%	26.6%
	Total	Count	598	196	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	217	4	26	19	38	9	313
		% within Marital Status	48.2%	23.5%	33.3%	51.4%	21.2%	25.0%	39.3%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	97	6	25	8	70	16	222
		% within Marital Status	21.6%	35.3%	32.1%	21.6%	39.1%	44.4%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	30	4	5	0	11	0	50
		% within Marital Status	6.7%	23.5%	6.4%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	6.3%
	Undecided	Count	106	3	22	10	60	11	212
		% within Marital Status	23.6%	17.6%	28.2%	27.0%	33.5%	30.6%	26.6%
Total	Count		450	17	78	37	179	36	797
	% within Marital Status		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	163	151	314
		% within Gender	44.1%	35.3%	39.3%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	96	127	223
		% within Gender	25.9%	29.7%	27.9%
	Someone else	Count	34	15	49
		% within Gender	9.2%	3.5%	6.1%
	Undecided	Count	77	135	212
		% within Gender	20.8%	31.5%	26.6%
Total	Count		370	428	798
	% within Gender		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



**Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas State Agriculture Commissioner vote intent	Todd Staples (Republican)	Count	28	79	126	80	313
		% within Age	26.4%	31.5%	42.0%	56.7%	39.2%
	Hank Gilbert (Democrat)	Count	37	76	82	27	222
		% within Age	34.9%	30.3%	27.3%	19.1%	27.8%
	Someone else	Count	3	13	24	9	49
		% within Age	2.8%	5.2%	8.0%	6.4%	6.1%
	Undecided	Count	38	83	68	25	214
		% within Age	35.8%	33.1%	22.7%	17.7%	26.8%
Total		Count	106	251	300	141	798
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	1	4	5	22	43	105	129	0	309
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	8.7%	6.2%	12.2%	38.4%	63.6%	76.3%	.0%	38.8%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	25	24	56	70	13	12	9	3	212
		% within Ideology scale	89.3%	52.2%	69.1%	38.7%	11.6%	7.3%	5.3%	20.0%	26.6%
	Someone else	Count	1	3	1	12	11	11	7	0	46
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	6.5%	1.2%	6.6%	9.8%	6.7%	4.1%	.0%	5.8%
	Undecided	Count	1	15	19	77	45	37	24	12	230
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	32.6%	23.5%	42.5%	40.2%	22.4%	14.2%	80.0%	28.9%
Total		Count	28	46	81	181	112	165	169	15	797
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	9	5	3	12	66	32	161	1	289
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.7%	5.0%	7.1%	16.7%	59.5%	52.5%	87.5%	50.0%	39.6%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	110	57	22	13	3	2	4	0	211
		% within 7 point Party ID	70.1%	57.0%	52.4%	18.1%	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%	.0%	28.9%
	Someone else	Count	4	2	4	14	6	1	4	0	35
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	2.0%	9.5%	19.4%	5.4%	1.6%	2.2%	.0%	4.8%
	Undecided	Count	34	36	13	33	36	26	15	1	194
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.7%	36.0%	31.0%	45.8%	32.4%	42.6%	8.2%	50.0%	26.6%
Total	Count	157	100	42	72	111	61	184	2	729	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	70	162	75	307
		% within Population density	30.4%	41.9%	41.7%	38.5%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	80	98	36	214
		% within Population density	34.8%	25.3%	20.0%	26.9%
	Someone else	Count	9	25	11	45
		% within Population density	3.9%	6.5%	6.1%	5.6%
	Undecided	Count	71	102	58	231
		% within Population density	30.9%	26.4%	32.2%	29.0%
Total	Count	230	387	180	797	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

	Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	Total

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	64	95	22	19	108	308
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	37.6%	39.7%	33.3%	30.6%	41.7%	38.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	45	68	18	20	62	213
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.5%	28.5%	27.3%	32.3%	23.9%	26.8%
	Someone else	Count	8	18	6	5	8	45
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.7%	7.5%	9.1%	8.1%	3.1%	5.7%
	Undecided	Count	53	58	20	18	81	230
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	31.2%	24.3%	30.3%	29.0%	31.3%	28.9%
Total		Count	170	239	66	62	259	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	17	119	86	9	55	23	309
		% within Education	60.7%	41.2%	35.4%	30.0%	36.2%	40.4%	38.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	8	59	72	11	46	18	214
		% within Education	28.6%	20.4%	29.6%	36.7%	30.3%	31.6%	26.8%
	Someone else	Count	0	15	16	3	9	2	45
		% within Education	.0%	5.2%	6.6%	10.0%	5.9%	3.5%	5.6%
	Undecided	Count	3	96	69	7	42	14	231
		% within Education	10.7%	33.2%	28.4%	23.3%	27.6%	24.6%	28.9%

Total	Count	28	289	243	30	152	57	799
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	74	72	28	39	42	49	304
		% within Frequency attend religious services	51.4%	45.3%	44.4%	42.9%	29.4%	25.8%	38.5%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	32	31	11	21	54	63	212
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.2%	19.5%	17.5%	23.1%	37.8%	33.2%	26.8%
	Someone else	Count	9	6	5	2	7	16	45
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.3%	3.8%	7.9%	2.2%	4.9%	8.4%	5.7%
	Undecided	Count	29	50	19	29	40	62	229
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.1%	31.4%	30.2%	31.9%	28.0%	32.6%	29.0%
Total		Count	144	159	63	91	143	190	790
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	250	7	33	1	3	14	308
		% within Race	49.4%	7.3%	22.9%	14.3%	37.5%	40.0%	38.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	92	50	53	4	2	11	212
		% within Race	18.2%	52.1%	36.8%	57.1%	25.0%	31.4%	26.6%
	Someone else	Count	29	3	10	0	2	1	45
		% within Race	5.7%	3.1%	6.9%	.0%	25.0%	2.9%	5.7%
Undecided		Count	135	36	48	2	1	9	231

	% within Race	26.7%	37.5%	33.3%	28.6%	12.5%	25.7%	29.0%
Total	Count	506	96	144	7	8	35	796
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	250	58	308
		% within Own or rent home	41.9%	29.6%	38.8%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	140	72	212
		% within Own or rent home	23.5%	36.7%	26.7%
	Someone else	Count	36	9	45
		% within Own or rent home	6.0%	4.6%	5.7%
	Undecided	Count	171	57	228
		% within Own or rent home	28.6%	29.1%	28.8%
Total		Count	597	196	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	214	5	29	16	36	9	309
		% within Marital Status	47.7%	29.4%	37.2%	42.1%	20.1%	24.3%	38.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	93	6	22	10	66	17	214
		% within Marital Status	20.7%	35.3%	28.2%	26.3%	36.9%	45.9%	26.8%
	Someone else	Count	26	3	5	0	10	0	44
		% within Marital Status	5.8%	17.6%	6.4%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	5.5%
	Undecided	Count	116	3	22	12	67	11	231

	% within Marital Status	25.8%	17.6%	28.2%	31.6%	37.4%	29.7%	28.9%
Total	Count	449	17	78	38	179	37	798
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	167	141	308
		% within Gender	45.5%	32.9%	38.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	90	123	213
		% within Gender	24.5%	28.7%	26.8%
	Someone else	Count	31	14	45
		% within Gender	8.4%	3.3%	5.7%
Undecided	Count	79	150	229	
	% within Gender	21.5%	35.0%	28.8%	
Total	Count	367	428	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas State Railroad Commissioner vote intent	David Porter (Republican)	Count	29	80	119	80	308
		% within Age	27.6%	31.9%	39.7%	57.1%	38.7%
	Jeff Weems (Democrat)	Count	33	79	75	26	213
		% within Age	31.4%	31.5%	25.0%	18.6%	26.8%
	Someone else	Count	3	12	22	7	44
		% within Age	2.9%	4.8%	7.3%	5.0%	5.5%
Undecided	Count	40	80	84	27	231	

	% within Age	38.1%	31.9%	28.0%	19.3%	29.0%
Total	Count	105	251	300	140	796
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Congress vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	0	2	1	30	55	131	146	0	365
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.4%	1.2%	16.6%	49.5%	79.4%	85.9%	.0%	45.9%
	Democratic candidate	Count	26	35	70	98	20	10	7	4	270
		% within Ideology scale	96.3%	77.8%	86.4%	54.1%	18.0%	6.1%	4.1%	26.7%	34.0%
	Someone else	Count	1	1	1	7	13	8	8	0	39
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	2.2%	1.2%	3.9%	11.7%	4.8%	4.7%	.0%	4.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	7	9	46	23	16	9	11	121
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	15.6%	11.1%	25.4%	20.7%	9.7%	5.3%	73.3%	15.2%
Total		Count	27	45	81	181	111	165	170	15	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Congress vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	1	7	1	22	87	48	173	1	340
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	7.0%	2.3%	30.6%	78.4%	76.2%	94.0%	50.0%	46.3%
	Democratic candidate	Count	142	70	33	18	1	1	1	0	266
		% within 7 point Party ID	89.3%	70.0%	76.7%	25.0%	.9%	1.6%	.5%	.0%	36.2%
	Someone else	Count	0	1	2	11	8	0	4	0	26
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	1.0%	4.7%	15.3%	7.2%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	3.5%
	Undecided	Count	16	22	7	21	15	14	6	1	102
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.1%	22.0%	16.3%	29.2%	13.5%	22.2%	3.3%	50.0%	13.9%
Total		Count	159	100	43	72	111	63	184	2	734
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	76	190	101	367
		% within Population density	32.9%	48.8%	56.4%	45.9%
	Democratic candidate	Count	111	122	38	271
		% within Population density	48.1%	31.4%	21.2%	33.9%
	Someone else	Count	4	25	9	38
		% within Population density	1.7%	6.4%	5.0%	4.8%
	Undecided	Count	40	52	31	123
		% within Population density	17.3%	13.4%	17.3%	15.4%
Total	Count	231	389	179	799	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	78	115	24	31	118	366
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	46.2%	47.9%	35.8%	50.0%	45.4%	45.9%
	Democratic candidate	Count	59	82	31	27	71	270
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.9%	34.2%	46.3%	43.5%	27.3%	33.8%
	Someone else	Count	10	14	2	3	11	40
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	5.8%	3.0%	4.8%	4.2%	5.0%
	Undecided	Count	22	29	10	1	60	122



	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.0%	12.1%	14.9%	1.6%	23.1%	15.3%
Total	Count	169	240	67	62	260	798
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	18	143	98	10	70	28	367
		% within Education	62.1%	49.0%	40.5%	33.3%	46.4%	49.1%	45.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	10	75	92	13	60	21	271
		% within Education	34.5%	25.7%	38.0%	43.3%	39.7%	36.8%	33.8%
	Someone else	Count	1	17	11	3	5	2	39
		% within Education	3.4%	5.8%	4.5%	10.0%	3.3%	3.5%	4.9%
	Undecided	Count	0	57	41	4	16	6	124
		% within Education	.0%	19.5%	16.9%	13.3%	10.6%	10.5%	15.5%
Total		Count	29	292	242	30	151	57	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	76	94	34	50	54	54	362
		% within Frequency attend religious services	52.1%	59.5%	53.1%	54.3%	37.5%	28.4%	45.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	38	43	16	23	65	84	269
		% within Frequency attend religious services	26.0%	27.2%	25.0%	25.0%	45.1%	44.2%	33.9%
	Someone else	Count	9	2	6	2	6	14	39

	% within Frequency attend religious services	6.2%	1.3%	9.4%	2.2%	4.2%	7.4%	4.9%
Undecided	Count	23	19	8	17	19	38	124
	% within Frequency attend religious services	15.8%	12.0%	12.5%	18.5%	13.2%	20.0%	15.6%
Total	Count	146	158	64	92	144	190	794
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	293	10	43	1	3	17	367
		% within Race	57.5%	10.4%	29.9%	14.3%	37.5%	48.6%	45.9%
	Democratic candidate	Count	110	68	69	5	4	14	270
		% within Race	21.6%	70.8%	47.9%	71.4%	50.0%	40.0%	33.8%
	Someone else	Count	35	1	3	0	0	0	39
		% within Race	6.9%	1.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
	Undecided	Count	72	17	29	1	1	4	124
		% within Race	14.1%	17.7%	20.1%	14.3%	12.5%	11.4%	15.5%
Total		Count	510	96	144	7	8	35	800
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	299	65	364
		% within Own or rent home	50.0%	33.0%	45.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	180	89	269
		% within Own or rent home	30.1%	45.2%	33.8%

	Someone else	Count	31	7	38
		% within Own or rent home	5.2%	3.6%	4.8%
	Undecided	Count	88	36	124
		% within Own or rent home	14.7%	18.3%	15.6%
Total		Count	598	197	795
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	246	5	35	23	48	9	366
		% within Marital Status	54.4%	29.4%	44.9%	62.2%	27.0%	24.3%	45.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	126	5	22	10	85	23	271
		% within Marital Status	27.9%	29.4%	28.2%	27.0%	47.8%	62.2%	33.9%
	Someone else	Count	20	4	3	1	9	2	39
		% within Marital Status	4.4%	23.5%	3.8%	2.7%	5.1%	5.4%	4.9%
	Undecided	Count	60	3	18	3	36	3	123
		% within Marital Status	13.3%	17.6%	23.1%	8.1%	20.2%	8.1%	15.4%
Total		Count	452	17	78	37	178	37	799
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	194	171	365
		% within Gender	52.4%	40.0%	45.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	107	164	271
		% within Gender	28.9%	38.4%	34.0%

	Someone else	Count	25	13	38
		% within Gender	6.8%	3.0%	4.8%
	Undecided	Count	44	79	123
		% within Gender	11.9%	18.5%	15.4%
Total		Count	370	427	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Congress vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
2010 Congress vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	33	97	144	92	366
		% within Age	31.4%	38.8%	47.8%	65.2%	45.9%
	Democratic candidate	Count	43	99	98	30	270
		% within Age	41.0%	39.6%	32.6%	21.3%	33.9%
	Someone else	Count	5	10	16	7	38
		% within Age	4.8%	4.0%	5.3%	5.0%	4.8%
	Undecided	Count	24	44	43	12	123
		% within Age	22.9%	17.6%	14.3%	8.5%	15.4%
Total		Count	105	250	301	141	797
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	0	2	3	30	49	121	143	0	348
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.3%	3.7%	16.6%	44.1%	74.2%	84.1%	.0%	43.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	26	34	70	96	20	9	5	4	264
		% within Ideology scale	96.3%	73.9%	85.4%	53.0%	18.0%	5.5%	2.9%	26.7%	33.2%

	Someone else	Count	0	1	1	9	12	13	7	0	43
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	5.0%	10.8%	8.0%	4.1%	.0%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	1	9	8	46	30	20	15	11	140
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	19.6%	9.8%	25.4%	27.0%	12.3%	8.8%	73.3%	17.6%
Total		Count	27	46	82	181	111	163	170	15	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas state legislature vote intent \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	3	6	2	14	83	42	170	1	321
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	6.0%	4.7%	19.4%	74.8%	67.7%	92.4%	50.0%	43.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	140	69	30	16	1	2	1	0	259
		% within 7 point Party ID	88.1%	69.0%	69.8%	22.2%	.9%	3.2%	.5%	.0%	35.3%
	Someone else	Count	1	1	1	15	9	2	4	0	33
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	1.0%	2.3%	20.8%	8.1%	3.2%	2.2%	.0%	4.5%
	Undecided	Count	15	24	10	27	18	16	9	1	120
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	24.0%	23.3%	37.5%	16.2%	25.8%	4.9%	50.0%	16.4%
Total		Count	159	100	43	72	111	62	184	2	733
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas state legislature vote intent \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	73	180	95	348
		% within Population density	31.5%	46.6%	53.1%	43.7%
	Democratic candidate	Count	111	118	37	266
		% within Population density	47.8%	30.6%	20.7%	33.4%
	Someone else	Count	7	28	8	43
		% within Population density	3.0%	7.3%	4.5%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	41	60	39	140

	% within Population density	17.7%	15.5%	21.8%	17.6%
Total	Count	232	386	179	797
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	77	100	24	27	119	347
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	45.8%	41.7%	36.4%	43.5%	45.6%	43.5%
	Democratic candidate	Count	58	82	29	27	71	267
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.5%	34.2%	43.9%	43.5%	27.2%	33.5%
	Someone else	Count	10	16	1	3	12	42
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.0%	6.7%	1.5%	4.8%	4.6%	5.3%
	Undecided	Count	23	42	12	5	59	141
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.7%	17.5%	18.2%	8.1%	22.6%	17.7%
Total		Count	168	240	66	62	261	797
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Education Crosstabulation**

		Education						Total
		No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	

Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	16	142	92	12	61	24	347
		% within Education	57.1%	48.8%	38.0%	41.4%	40.7%	42.9%	43.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	8	73	92	11	59	22	265
		% within Education	28.6%	25.1%	38.0%	37.9%	39.3%	39.3%	33.3%
	Someone else	Count	1	14	15	4	8	1	43
		% within Education	3.6%	4.8%	6.2%	13.8%	5.3%	1.8%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	3	62	43	2	22	9	141
		% within Education	10.7%	21.3%	17.8%	6.9%	14.7%	16.1%	17.7%
Total	Count	28	291	242	29	150	56	796	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Texas state legislature vote intent \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	73	86	33	49	51	51	343
		% within Frequency attend religious services	50.3%	54.4%	52.4%	53.3%	35.4%	26.8%	43.3%
	Democratic candidate	Count	34	42	15	23	65	85	264
		% within Frequency attend religious services	23.4%	26.6%	23.8%	25.0%	45.1%	44.7%	33.3%
	Someone else	Count	9	4	7	3	7	13	43
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.2%	2.5%	11.1%	3.3%	4.9%	6.8%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	29	26	8	17	21	41	142
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.0%	16.5%	12.7%	18.5%	14.6%	21.6%	17.9%
Total	Count	145	158	63	92	144	190	792	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Texas state legislature vote intent \* Race Crosstabulation

	Race	Total

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	279	5	43	3	2	16	348
		% within Race	54.8%	5.3%	29.9%	37.5%	28.6%	45.7%	43.7%
	Democratic candidate	Count	107	69	67	5	4	13	265
		% within Race	21.0%	73.4%	46.5%	62.5%	57.1%	37.1%	33.2%
	Someone else	Count	32	4	6	0	0	1	43
		% within Race	6.3%	4.3%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	91	16	28	0	1	5	141
		% within Race	17.9%	17.0%	19.4%	.0%	14.3%	14.3%	17.7%
Total	Count	509	94	144	8	7	35	797	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	290	58	348
		% within Own or rent home	48.5%	29.6%	43.8%
	Democratic candidate	Count	177	87	264
		% within Own or rent home	29.6%	44.4%	33.2%
	Someone else	Count	33	9	42
		% within Own or rent home	5.5%	4.6%	5.3%
	Undecided	Count	98	42	140
		% within Own or rent home	16.4%	21.4%	17.6%
Total	Count	598	196	794	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

		Marital Status	Total



			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	237	5	31	23	44	8	348
		% within Marital Status	52.5%	29.4%	40.3%	60.5%	24.6%	22.2%	43.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	121	6	24	9	84	22	266
		% within Marital Status	26.8%	35.3%	31.2%	23.7%	46.9%	61.1%	33.3%
	Someone else	Count	20	4	5	0	12	2	43
		% within Marital Status	4.4%	23.5%	6.5%	.0%	6.7%	5.6%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	73	2	17	6	39	4	141
		% within Marital Status	16.2%	11.8%	22.1%	15.8%	21.8%	11.1%	17.7%
Total	Count	451	17	77	38	179	36	798	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	179	168	347
		% within Gender	48.4%	39.4%	43.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	108	158	266
		% within Gender	29.2%	37.1%	33.4%
	Someone else	Count	28	15	43
		% within Gender	7.6%	3.5%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	55	85	140
		% within Gender	14.9%	20.0%	17.6%
Total	Count	370	426	796	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas state legislature vote intent \* Age Crosstabulation**

		Age	Total

			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas state legislature vote intent	Republican candidate	Count	33	94	133	88	348
		% within Age	31.4%	37.6%	44.0%	62.4%	43.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	40	98	101	27	266
		% within Age	38.1%	39.2%	33.4%	19.1%	33.3%
	Someone else	Count	6	11	19	7	43
		% within Age	5.7%	4.4%	6.3%	5.0%	5.4%
	Undecided	Count	26	47	49	19	141
		% within Age	24.8%	18.8%	16.2%	13.5%	17.7%
Total	Count	105	250	302	141	798	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	0	1	1	13	23	51	58	0	147
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.3%	1.2%	7.1%	20.7%	31.1%	34.7%	.0%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	27	35	71	88	21	7	5	6	260
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	79.5%	87.7%	48.4%	18.9%	4.3%	3.0%	40.0%	32.9%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	0	1	5	12	35	54	73	0	180
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.3%	6.2%	6.6%	31.5%	32.9%	43.7%	.0%	22.8%
	Don't know	Count	0	7	4	69	32	52	31	9	204
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	15.9%	4.9%	37.9%	28.8%	31.7%	18.6%	60.0%	25.8%
Total	Count	27	44	81	182	111	164	167	15	791	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID							Total	
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	3	3	0	3	20	16	95	0	140
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	3.0%	.0%	4.1%	18.2%	25.8%	51.9%	.0%	19.2%
	Democratic candidate	Count	133	70	35	15	1	1	1	0	256
		% within 7 point Party ID	84.2%	70.0%	83.3%	20.5%	.9%	1.6%	.5%	.0%	35.1%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	1	6	3	26	51	23	45	1	156
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	6.0%	7.1%	35.6%	46.4%	37.1%	24.6%	100.0%	21.4%
	Don't know	Count	21	21	4	29	38	22	42	0	177
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.3%	21.0%	9.5%	39.7%	34.5%	35.5%	23.0%	.0%	24.3%
Total	Count	158	100	42	73	110	62	183	1	729	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	31	78	39	148
		% within Population density	13.4%	20.1%	22.0%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	110	119	33	262
		% within Population density	47.6%	30.7%	18.6%	32.9%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	39	94	49	182
		% within Population density	16.9%	24.2%	27.7%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	51	97	56	204
		% within Population density	22.1%	25.0%	31.6%	25.6%
Total	Count	231	388	177	796	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Party choice if Tea Party	Republican candidate	Count	27	54	13	8	46	148

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	16.1%	22.4%	19.7%	12.7%	17.7%	18.5%
Democratic candidate	Count	58	77	30	22	76	263
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.5%	32.0%	45.5%	34.9%	29.2%	33.0%
Tea Party candidate	Count	40	57	9	18	58	182
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.8%	23.7%	13.6%	28.6%	22.3%	22.8%
Don't know	Count	43	53	14	15	80	205
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.6%	22.0%	21.2%	23.8%	30.8%	25.7%
Total	Count	168	241	66	63	260	798
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	9	57	36	3	29	15	149
		% within Education	31.0%	19.6%	14.9%	10.3%	19.1%	26.8%	18.7%
	Democratic candidate	Count	8	63	93	12	64	22	262
		% within Education	27.6%	21.6%	38.6%	41.4%	42.1%	39.3%	32.8%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	4	78	53	7	32	8	182
		% within Education	13.8%	26.8%	22.0%	24.1%	21.1%	14.3%	22.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	93	59	7	27	11	205
		% within Education	27.6%	32.0%	24.5%	24.1%	17.8%	19.6%	25.7%
Total		Count	29	291	241	29	152	56	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	36	40	16	16	21	17	146
		% within Frequency attend religious services	25.4%	25.5%	25.0%	17.4%	14.5%	9.0%	18.5%
	Democratic candidate	Count	36	38	15	25	63	83	260
		% within Frequency attend religious services	25.4%	24.2%	23.4%	27.2%	43.4%	43.9%	33.0%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	35	34	17	22	32	39	179
		% within Frequency attend religious services	24.6%	21.7%	26.6%	23.9%	22.1%	20.6%	22.7%
	Don't know	Count	35	45	16	29	29	50	204
		% within Frequency attend religious services	24.6%	28.7%	25.0%	31.5%	20.0%	26.5%	25.9%
Total		Count	142	157	64	92	145	189	789
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	120	2	19	1	1	5	148
		% within Race	23.7%	2.1%	13.2%	16.7%	14.3%	14.3%	18.7%
	Democratic candidate	Count	101	75	64	4	2	15	261
		% within Race	20.0%	78.9%	44.4%	66.7%	28.6%	42.9%	32.9%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	141	4	25	0	3	8	181
		% within Race	27.9%	4.2%	17.4%	.0%	42.9%	22.9%	22.8%
	Don't know	Count	144	14	36	1	1	7	203
		% within Race	28.5%	14.7%	25.0%	16.7%	14.3%	20.0%	25.6%
Total		Count	506	95	144	6	7	35	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	124	24	148
		% within Own or rent home	20.8%	12.2%	18.7%
	Democratic candidate	Count	171	91	262
		% within Own or rent home	28.6%	46.4%	33.0%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	144	36	180
		% within Own or rent home	24.1%	18.4%	22.7%
	Don't know	Count	158	45	203
		% within Own or rent home	26.5%	23.0%	25.6%
Total	Count	597	196	793	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	102	4	9	12	20	1	148
		% within Marital Status	22.7%	23.5%	11.5%	31.6%	11.2%	2.8%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	114	5	28	8	89	19	263
		% within Marital Status	25.4%	29.4%	35.9%	21.1%	49.7%	52.8%	33.0%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	116	4	19	8	28	6	181
		% within Marital Status	25.8%	23.5%	24.4%	21.1%	15.6%	16.7%	22.7%
	Don't know	Count	117	4	22	10	42	10	205
		% within Marital Status	26.1%	23.5%	28.2%	26.3%	23.5%	27.8%	25.7%
	Total	Count	449	17	78	38	179	36	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	79	69	148
		% within Gender	21.5%	16.2%	18.6%
	Democratic candidate	Count	102	159	261
		% within Gender	27.7%	37.3%	32.9%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	116	65	181
		% within Gender	31.5%	15.3%	22.8%
	Don't know	Count	71	133	204
		% within Gender	19.3%	31.2%	25.7%
Total	Count	368	426	794	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	Republican candidate	Count	20	42	50	36	148
		% within Age	19.0%	16.7%	16.6%	25.5%	18.5%
	Democratic candidate	Count	44	99	92	27	262
		% within Age	41.9%	39.4%	30.6%	19.1%	32.8%
	Tea Party candidate	Count	14	50	77	42	183
		% within Age	13.3%	19.9%	25.6%	29.8%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	27	60	82	36	205
		% within Age	25.7%	23.9%	27.2%	25.5%	25.7%
Total	Count	105	251	301	141	798	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

National health care reform approval \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	21	34	60	75	19	6	5	4	224
		% within Ideology scale	80.8%	75.6%	73.2%	41.0%	17.0%	3.7%	3.0%	26.7%	28.1%
	Disapprove	Count	2	4	11	68	78	152	163	4	482
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	8.9%	13.4%	37.2%	69.6%	92.7%	96.4%	26.7%	60.6%
	Don't know	Count	3	7	11	40	15	6	1	7	90
		% within Ideology scale	11.5%	15.6%	13.4%	21.9%	13.4%	3.7%	.6%	46.7%	11.3%
Total	Count	26	45	82	183	112	164	169	15	796	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

National health care reform approval \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	112	50	33	14	3	3	2	0	217
		% within 7 point Party ID	70.4%	50.0%	76.7%	19.2%	2.7%	4.9%	1.1%	.0%	29.7%
	Disapprove	Count	18	26	3	51	105	49	178	1	431
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.3%	26.0%	7.0%	69.9%	94.6%	80.3%	97.3%	100.0%	59.0%
	Don't know	Count	29	24	7	8	3	9	3	0	83
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.2%	24.0%	16.3%	11.0%	2.7%	14.8%	1.6%	.0%	11.4%
Total	Count	159	100	43	73	111	61	183	1	731	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

National health care reform approval \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
National health care reform	Approve	Count	97	106	23	226



	% within Population density	41.8%	27.2%	12.8%	28.3%
Disapprove	Count	107	236	139	482
	% within Population density	46.1%	60.7%	77.7%	60.3%
Don't know	Count	28	47	17	92
	% within Population density	12.1%	12.1%	9.5%	11.5%
Total	Count	232	389	179	800
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform approval \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	46	71	27	25	58	227
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.1%	29.5%	40.3%	39.7%	22.3%	28.3%
	Disapprove	Count	108	143	32	34	166	483
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	63.5%	59.3%	47.8%	54.0%	63.8%	60.3%
	Don't know	Count	16	27	8	4	36	91
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.4%	11.2%	11.9%	6.3%	13.8%	11.4%
Total	Count	170	241	67	63	260	801	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**National health care reform approval \* Education Crosstabulation**

	Education	Total

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	7	50	76	11	59	24	227
		% within Education	25.0%	17.2%	31.3%	37.9%	38.8%	42.9%	28.4%
	Disapprove	Count	20	207	126	15	84	30	482
		% within Education	71.4%	71.1%	51.9%	51.7%	55.3%	53.6%	60.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	34	41	3	9	2	90
		% within Education	3.6%	11.7%	16.9%	10.3%	5.9%	3.6%	11.3%
Total	Count	28	291	243	29	152	56	799	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**National health care reform approval \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	35	40	9	16	49	76	225
		% within Frequency attend religious services	24.1%	25.3%	14.1%	17.4%	33.8%	40.2%	28.4%
	Disapprove	Count	104	102	47	58	78	88	477
		% within Frequency attend religious services	71.7%	64.6%	73.4%	63.0%	53.8%	46.6%	60.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	16	8	18	18	25	91
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.1%	10.1%	12.5%	19.6%	12.4%	13.2%	11.5%
Total	Count	145	158	64	92	145	189	793	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**National health care reform approval \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race	Total

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	88	60	59	6	3	10	226
		% within Race	17.3%	63.2%	41.0%	85.7%	37.5%	28.6%	28.3%
	Disapprove	Count	378	14	66	1	3	20	482
		% within Race	74.1%	14.7%	45.8%	14.3%	37.5%	57.1%	60.3%
	Don't know	Count	44	21	19	0	2	5	91
		% within Race	8.6%	22.1%	13.2%	.0%	25.0%	14.3%	11.4%
Total	Count	510	95	144	7	8	35	799	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**National health care reform approval \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	157	69	226
		% within Own or rent home	26.2%	35.0%	28.4%
	Disapprove	Count	385	93	478
		% within Own or rent home	64.3%	47.2%	60.1%
	Don't know	Count	57	35	92
		% within Own or rent home	9.5%	17.8%	11.6%
Total	Count	599	197	796	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**National health care reform approval \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	104	5	25	7	67	19	227
		% within Marital Status	23.0%	29.4%	32.1%	18.9%	37.2%	51.4%	28.3%

	Disapprove	Count	312	8	45	27	76	15	483
		% within Marital Status	69.0%	47.1%	57.7%	73.0%	42.2%	40.5%	60.3%
	Don't know	Count	36	4	8	3	37	3	91
		% within Marital Status	8.0%	23.5%	10.3%	8.1%	20.6%	8.1%	11.4%
Total		Count	452	17	78	37	180	37	801
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform approval \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	102	124	226
		% within Gender	27.6%	28.9%	28.3%
	Disapprove	Count	244	237	481
		% within Gender	65.9%	55.2%	60.2%
	Don't know	Count	24	68	92
		% within Gender	6.5%	15.9%	11.5%
Total		Count	370	429	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform approval \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
National health care reform approval	Approve	Count	31	89	82	23	225
		% within Age	29.2%	35.6%	27.2%	16.3%	28.2%
	Disapprove	Count	49	132	190	111	482
		% within Age	46.2%	52.8%	62.9%	78.7%	60.3%
	Don't know	Count	26	29	30	7	92
		% within Age	24.5%	11.6%	9.9%	5.0%	11.5%

Total	Count	106	250	302	141	799
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	17	20	35	56	48	71	93	5	345
		% within Ideology scale	63.0%	44.4%	42.7%	30.8%	44.0%	43.0%	55.0%	35.7%	43.5%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	7	19	38	90	46	73	61	3	337
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	42.2%	46.3%	49.5%	42.2%	44.2%	36.1%	21.4%	42.5%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	3	6	9	36	15	21	15	6	111
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	13.3%	11.0%	19.8%	13.8%	12.7%	8.9%	42.9%	14.0%
Total		Count	27	45	82	182	109	165	169	14	793
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	69	25	21	39	46	21	94	1	316
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.4%	25.0%	50.0%	53.4%	41.8%	33.9%	51.1%	100.0%	43.2%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	69	47	15	28	55	32	65	0	311
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.4%	47.0%	35.7%	38.4%	50.0%	51.6%	35.3%	.0%	42.5%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	21	28	6	6	9	9	25	0	104
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.2%	28.0%	14.3%	8.2%	8.2%	14.5%	13.6%	.0%	14.2%
Total		Count	159	100	42	73	110	62	184	1	731
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Population density Crosstabulation**

	Population density	Total
--	--------------------	-------

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	94	183	69	346
		% within Population density	40.7%	47.3%	38.3%	43.4%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	107	153	81	341
		% within Population density	46.3%	39.5%	45.0%	42.7%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	30	51	30	111
		% within Population density	13.0%	13.2%	16.7%	13.9%
Total		Count	231	387	180	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	77	117	31	30	92	347
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	45.6%	48.8%	47.0%	47.6%	35.4%	43.5%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	80	92	28	27	114	341
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	47.3%	38.3%	42.4%	42.9%	43.8%	42.7%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	12	31	7	6	54	110
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.1%	12.9%	10.6%	9.5%	20.8%	13.8%
Total		Count	169	240	66	63	260	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	6	95	109	18	87	33	348
		% within Education	21.4%	32.5%	44.9%	62.1%	57.6%	58.9%	43.6%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	16	140	101	9	54	21	341
		% within Education	57.1%	47.9%	41.6%	31.0%	35.8%	37.5%	42.7%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	6	57	33	2	10	2	110
		% within Education	21.4%	19.5%	13.6%	6.9%	6.6%	3.6%	13.8%
Total		Count	28	292	243	29	151	56	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

National health care reform knowledge \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	68	67	28	37	65	79	344
		% within Frequency attend religious services	47.2%	42.4%	43.8%	40.2%	45.1%	41.6%	43.4%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	63	64	29	39	64	78	337
		% within Frequency attend religious services	43.8%	40.5%	45.3%	42.4%	44.4%	41.1%	42.6%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	13	27	7	16	15	33	111
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.0%	17.1%	10.9%	17.4%	10.4%	17.4%	14.0%
Total		Count	144	158	64	92	144	190	792
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

National health care reform knowledge \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	

National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	232	33	51	4	5	22	347
		% within Race	45.7%	34.4%	35.4%	57.1%	62.5%	62.9%	43.5%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	210	41	75	2	2	9	339
		% within Race	41.3%	42.7%	52.1%	28.6%	25.0%	25.7%	42.5%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	66	22	18	1	1	4	112
		% within Race	13.0%	22.9%	12.5%	14.3%	12.5%	11.4%	14.0%
Total		Count	508	96	144	7	8	35	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	272	74	346
		% within Own or rent home	45.4%	37.4%	43.4%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	249	90	339
		% within Own or rent home	41.6%	45.5%	42.5%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	78	34	112
		% within Own or rent home	13.0%	17.2%	14.1%
Total		Count	599	198	797
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	209	6	36	11	69	15	346
		% within Marital Status	46.3%	35.3%	46.8%	29.7%	38.5%	40.5%	43.4%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	193	7	25	17	81	18	341
		% within Marital Status	42.8%	41.2%	32.5%	45.9%	45.3%	48.6%	42.7%



	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	49	4	16	9	29	4	111
		% within Marital Status	10.9%	23.5%	20.8%	24.3%	16.2%	10.8%	13.9%
Total		Count	451	17	77	37	179	37	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	183	162	345
		% within Gender	49.5%	37.9%	43.3%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	144	197	341
		% within Gender	38.9%	46.1%	42.8%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	43	68	111
		% within Gender	11.6%	15.9%	13.9%
Total		Count	370	427	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**National health care reform knowledge \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
National health care reform knowledge	I have a good understanding of its basic elements.	Count	41	119	127	60	347
		% within Age	38.7%	47.6%	42.1%	42.6%	43.4%
	I have a good understanding of a few of its basic elements.	Count	47	107	130	56	340
		% within Age	44.3%	42.8%	43.0%	39.7%	42.6%
	I don't know or understand much about the legislation.	Count	18	24	45	25	112
		% within Age	17.0%	9.6%	14.9%	17.7%	14.0%
Total		Count	106	250	302	141	799
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	3	3	7	56	72	149	158	3	451
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	6.5%	8.4%	30.8%	64.9%	89.8%	93.5%	20.0%	56.4%
	Oppose	Count	23	34	64	78	19	7	3	3	231
		% within Ideology scale	85.2%	73.9%	77.1%	42.9%	17.1%	4.2%	1.8%	20.0%	28.9%
	Don't know	Count	1	9	12	48	20	10	8	9	117
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	19.6%	14.5%	26.4%	18.0%	6.0%	4.7%	60.0%	14.6%
Total	Count	27	46	83	182	111	166	169	15	799	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	13	22	1	48	103	49	171	1	408
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.1%	22.0%	2.4%	65.8%	93.6%	79.0%	93.4%	100.0%	55.8%
	Oppose	Count	115	45	36	18	5	4	1	0	224
		% within 7 point Party ID	71.9%	45.0%	85.7%	24.7%	4.5%	6.5%	.5%	.0%	30.6%
	Don't know	Count	32	33	5	7	2	9	11	0	99
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.0%	33.0%	11.9%	9.6%	1.8%	14.5%	6.0%	.0%	13.5%
Total	Count	160	100	42	73	110	62	183	1	731	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support of Texas Attorney	Support	Count	104	227	121	452

	% within Population density	45.0%	58.4%	67.6%	56.6%
Oppose	Count	83	121	26	230
	% within Population density	35.9%	31.1%	14.5%	28.8%
Don't know	Count	44	41	32	117
	% within Population density	19.0%	10.5%	17.9%	14.6%
Total	Count	231	389	179	799
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	98	143	33	33	146	453
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	57.6%	59.3%	49.3%	52.4%	56.2%	56.6%
	Oppose	Count	48	73	26	24	60	231
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28.2%	30.3%	38.8%	38.1%	23.1%	28.8%
	Don't know	Count	24	25	8	6	54	117
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.1%	10.4%	11.9%	9.5%	20.8%	14.6%
Total	Count	170	241	67	63	260	801	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Education Crosstabulation**

	Education	Total

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	18	193	115	16	85	27	454
		% within Education	62.1%	66.1%	47.3%	53.3%	55.9%	48.2%	56.6%
	Oppose	Count	8	52	81	11	53	26	231
		% within Education	27.6%	17.8%	33.3%	36.7%	34.9%	46.4%	28.8%
	Don't know	Count	3	47	47	3	14	3	117
		% within Education	10.3%	16.1%	19.3%	10.0%	9.2%	5.4%	14.6%
Total	Count	29	292	243	30	152	56	802	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	100	98	45	52	75	77	447
		% within Frequency attend religious services	69.0%	62.0%	70.3%	56.5%	51.7%	40.7%	56.4%
	Oppose	Count	32	38	11	21	51	77	230
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.1%	24.1%	17.2%	22.8%	35.2%	40.7%	29.0%
	Don't know	Count	13	22	8	19	19	35	116
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.0%	13.9%	12.5%	20.7%	13.1%	18.5%	14.6%
Total	Count	145	158	64	92	145	189	793	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Race Crosstabulation**

	Race	Total

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	356	16	57	1	3	19	452
		% within Race	69.9%	16.8%	39.6%	14.3%	42.9%	54.3%	56.7%
	Oppose	Count	98	63	49	3	2	14	229
		% within Race	19.3%	66.3%	34.0%	42.9%	28.6%	40.0%	28.7%
	Don't know	Count	55	16	38	3	2	2	116
		% within Race	10.8%	16.8%	26.4%	42.9%	28.6%	5.7%	14.6%
Total	Count	509	95	144	7	7	35	797	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	363	87	450
		% within Own or rent home	60.5%	44.4%	56.5%
	Oppose	Count	154	76	230
		% within Own or rent home	25.7%	38.8%	28.9%
	Don't know	Count	83	33	116
		% within Own or rent home	13.8%	16.8%	14.6%
Total	Count	600	196	796	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	288	10	43	27	74	11	453
		% within Marital Status	63.7%	58.8%	55.1%	73.0%	41.1%	30.6%	56.6%
	Oppose	Count	154	76	230	230	230	230	230
		% within Marital Status	25.7%	38.8%	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%	28.9%
Don't know	Count	83	33	116	116	116	116	116	
	% within Marital Status	13.8%	16.8%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%	14.6%	
Total	Count	600	196	796	796	796	796	796	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	Oppose	Count	106	3	22	9	75	15	230
		% within Marital Status	23.5%	17.6%	28.2%	24.3%	41.7%	41.7%	28.8%
	Don't know	Count	58	4	13	1	31	10	117
		% within Marital Status	12.8%	23.5%	16.7%	2.7%	17.2%	27.8%	14.6%
Total		Count	452	17	78	37	180	36	800
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	238	214	452
		% within Gender	64.3%	49.9%	56.6%
	Oppose	Count	108	122	230
		% within Gender	29.2%	28.4%	28.8%
	Don't know	Count	24	93	117
		% within Gender	6.5%	21.7%	14.6%
Total	Count	370	429	799	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support of Texas Attorney General's participation in lawsuit	Support	Count	41	128	178	106	453
		% within Age	39.0%	51.2%	58.7%	74.6%	56.6%
	Oppose	Count	33	85	88	24	230
		% within Age	31.4%	34.0%	29.0%	16.9%	28.8%
	Don't know	Count	31	37	37	12	117
		% within Age	29.5%	14.8%	12.2%	8.5%	14.6%

Total	Count	105	250	303	142	800
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	1	0	0	4	1	4	7	0	17
		% within Ideology scale	8.3%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	1.6%	4.9%	8.8%	.0%	4.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	1	5	3	20	15	19	23	2	88
		% within Ideology scale	8.3%	18.5%	9.4%	21.3%	24.2%	23.5%	28.8%	25.0%	22.2%
	Only some confidence	Count	6	10	14	41	25	40	24	2	162
		% within Ideology scale	50.0%	37.0%	43.8%	43.6%	40.3%	49.4%	30.0%	25.0%	40.9%
	Very little confidence	Count	3	8	12	18	18	12	19	3	93
		% within Ideology scale	25.0%	29.6%	37.5%	19.1%	29.0%	14.8%	23.8%	37.5%	23.5%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	1	4	3	11	3	6	7	1	36
		% within Ideology scale	8.3%	14.8%	9.4%	11.7%	4.8%	7.4%	8.8%	12.5%	9.1%
Total	Count	12	27	32	94	62	81	80	8	396	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	8	3	0	1	0	0	5	0	17
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.5%	7.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	4.6%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	11	8	5	7	13	12	29	1	86
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.7%	18.6%	21.7%	17.1%	24.5%	36.4%	35.8%	100.0%	23.3%
	Only some confidence	Count	41	19	6	13	27	14	31	0	151
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.6%	44.2%	26.1%	31.7%	50.9%	42.4%	38.3%	.0%	40.9%
	Very little confidence	Count	23	9	8	13	12	4	14	0	83
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.5%	20.9%	34.8%	31.7%	22.6%	12.1%	17.3%	.0%	22.5%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	11	4	4	7	1	3	2	0	32
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.7%	9.3%	17.4%	17.1%	1.9%	9.1%	2.5%	.0%	8.7%

Total	Count	94	43	23	41	53	33	81	1	369
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	3	6	9	18
		% within Population density	2.4%	3.4%	9.3%	4.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	31	34	23	88
		% within Population density	24.4%	19.5%	23.7%	22.1%
	Only some confidence	Count	48	79	36	163
		% within Population density	37.8%	45.4%	37.1%	41.0%
	Very little confidence	Count	35	36	22	93
		% within Population density	27.6%	20.7%	22.7%	23.4%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	10	19	7	36
		% within Population density	7.9%	10.9%	7.2%	9.0%
Total	Count	127	174	97	398	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	3	3	1	0	11	18
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.8%	2.7%	2.7%	.0%	7.9%	4.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	17	25	9	7	30	88



	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.3%	22.1%	24.3%	25.0%	21.4%	22.1%
Only some confidence	Count	31	45	18	9	59	162
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	38.8%	39.8%	48.6%	32.1%	42.1%	40.7%
Very little confidence	Count	19	30	7	7	30	93
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.8%	26.5%	18.9%	25.0%	21.4%	23.4%
Hardly any confidence at all	Count	10	10	2	5	10	37
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.5%	8.8%	5.4%	17.9%	7.1%	9.3%
Total	Count	80	113	37	28	140	398
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	0	10	2	2	3	1	18
		% within Education	.0%	7.4%	1.5%	15.4%	4.1%	3.2%	4.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	3	26	30	3	18	8	88
		% within Education	18.8%	19.3%	23.1%	23.1%	24.3%	25.8%	22.1%
	Only some confidence	Count	6	56	55	6	28	12	163
		% within Education	37.5%	41.5%	42.3%	46.2%	37.8%	38.7%	40.9%
	Very little confidence	Count	6	30	34	1	17	7	95
		% within Education	37.5%	22.2%	26.2%	7.7%	23.0%	22.6%	23.8%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	1	13	9	1	8	3	35
		% within Education	6.3%	9.6%	6.9%	7.7%	10.8%	9.7%	8.8%
Total	Count	16	135	130	13	74	31	399	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	0	10	2	2	3	1	18
		% within Education	.0%	7.4%	1.5%	15.4%	4.1%	3.2%	4.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	3	26	30	3	18	8	88
		% within Education	18.8%	19.3%	23.1%	23.1%	24.3%	25.8%	22.1%
	Only some confidence	Count	6	56	55	6	28	12	163
		% within Education	37.5%	41.5%	42.3%	46.2%	37.8%	38.7%	40.9%
	Very little confidence	Count	6	30	34	1	17	7	95
		% within Education	37.5%	22.2%	26.2%	7.7%	23.0%	22.6%	23.8%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	1	13	9	1	8	3	35
		% within Education	6.3%	9.6%	6.9%	7.7%	10.8%	9.7%	8.8%
		Count	16	135	130	13	74	31	399
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	4	4	1	1	4	3	17
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.0%	4.5%	2.9%	2.7%	5.9%	3.0%	4.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	19	28	7	8	14	12	88
		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.4%	31.5%	20.6%	21.6%	20.6%	11.9%	22.2%
	Only some confidence	Count	22	35	14	17	35	37	160
		% within Frequency attend religious services	32.8%	39.3%	41.2%	45.9%	51.5%	36.6%	40.4%
	Very little confidence	Count	14	16	9	11	12	33	95
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.9%	18.0%	26.5%	29.7%	17.6%	32.7%	24.0%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	8	6	3	0	3	16	36

	% within Frequency attend religious services	11.9%	6.7%	8.8%	.0%	4.4%	15.8%	9.1%
Total	Count	67	89	34	37	68	101	396
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	10	4	4	0	0	0	18
		% within Race	4.0%	8.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	62	10	13	1	0	1	87
		% within Race	24.9%	20.0%	16.9%	25.0%	.0%	7.7%	21.9%
	Only some confidence	Count	98	14	39	3	3	6	163
		% within Race	39.4%	28.0%	50.6%	75.0%	60.0%	46.2%	41.0%
	Very little confidence	Count	58	13	18	0	2	3	94
		% within Race	23.3%	26.0%	23.4%	.0%	40.0%	23.1%	23.6%
Total	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	21	9	3	0	0	3	36
		% within Race	8.4%	18.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	23.1%	9.0%
	Count	249	50	77	4	5	13	398	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	10	7	17
		% within Own or rent home	3.6%	6.0%	4.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	64	24	88
		% within Own or rent home	22.9%	20.7%	22.3%
	Count	116	45	161	

		% within Own or rent home	41.6%	38.8%	40.8%
Very little confidence	Count		64	29	93
		% within Own or rent home	22.9%	25.0%	23.5%
Hardly any confidence at all	Count		25	11	36
		% within Own or rent home	9.0%	9.5%	9.1%
Total	Count		279	116	395
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	8	0	1	3	4	1	17
		% within Marital Status	3.6%	.0%	2.3%	16.7%	4.7%	4.5%	4.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	61	2	5	7	12	1	88
		% within Marital Status	27.7%	33.3%	11.4%	38.9%	14.0%	4.5%	22.2%
	Only some confidence	Count	92	1	20	5	36	9	163
		% within Marital Status	41.8%	16.7%	45.5%	27.8%	41.9%	40.9%	41.2%
	Very little confidence	Count	42	3	12	3	26	6	92
		% within Marital Status	19.1%	50.0%	27.3%	16.7%	30.2%	27.3%	23.2%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	17	0	6	0	8	5	36
		% within Marital Status	7.7%	.0%	13.6%	.0%	9.3%	22.7%	9.1%
Total	Count		220	6	44	18	86	22	396
	% within Marital Status		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas public schools	Complete confidence	Count	4	14	18

	% within Gender	2.1%	6.7%	4.5%
A great deal of confidence	Count	42	45	87
	% within Gender	22.3%	21.5%	21.9%
Only some confidence	Count	85	77	162
	% within Gender	45.2%	36.8%	40.8%
Very little confidence	Count	43	50	93
	% within Gender	22.9%	23.9%	23.4%
Hardly any confidence at all	Count	14	23	37
	% within Gender	7.4%	11.0%	9.3%
Total	Count	188	209	397
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split A \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas public schools confidence - Split A	Complete confidence	Count	2	5	5	6	18
		% within Age	3.2%	4.5%	3.2%	8.6%	4.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	13	27	29	19	88
		% within Age	20.6%	24.5%	18.7%	27.1%	22.1%
	Only some confidence	Count	28	44	67	24	163
		% within Age	44.4%	40.0%	43.2%	34.3%	41.0%
	Very little confidence	Count	14	20	42	17	93
		% within Age	22.2%	18.2%	27.1%	24.3%	23.4%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	6	14	12	4	36
		% within Age	9.5%	12.7%	7.7%	5.7%	9.0%
Total	Count	63	110	155	70	398	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	2	2	15	65	56	99	133	8	380
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	4.5%	18.5%	36.1%	50.5%	60.0%	78.7%	53.3%	48.0%
	Somewhat approve	Count	1	8	13	40	28	32	14	3	139
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	18.2%	16.0%	22.2%	25.2%	19.4%	8.3%	20.0%	17.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	4	8	18	38	18	20	15	2	123
		% within Ideology scale	15.4%	18.2%	22.2%	21.1%	16.2%	12.1%	8.9%	13.3%	15.5%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	1	7	7	7	6	9	4	1	42
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	15.9%	8.6%	3.9%	5.4%	5.5%	2.4%	6.7%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	18	19	28	27	3	4	3	0	102
		% within Ideology scale	69.2%	43.2%	34.6%	15.0%	2.7%	2.4%	1.8%	.0%	12.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	5
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.6%	.0%	6.7%	.6%
	Total	Count	26	44	81	180	111	165	169	15	791
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	45	35	7	28	66	33	130	1	345
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.5%	35.4%	16.7%	38.9%	58.9%	54.1%	70.3%	50.0%	47.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	21	21	11	14	20	11	26	1	125
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.3%	21.2%	26.2%	19.4%	17.9%	18.0%	14.1%	50.0%	17.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	29	22	5	12	17	8	21	0	114
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.4%	22.2%	11.9%	16.7%	15.2%	13.1%	11.4%	.0%	15.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	13	9	0	4	6	5	4	0	41
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.2%	9.1%	.0%	5.6%	5.4%	8.2%	2.2%	.0%	5.6%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	48	11	19	12	3	4	4	0	101
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.4%	11.1%	45.2%	16.7%	2.7%	6.6%	2.2%	.0%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	1.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	Total	Count	158	99	42	72	112	61	185	2	731

Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	45	35	7	28	66	33	130	1	345	
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.5%	35.4%	16.7%	38.9%	58.9%	54.1%	70.3%	50.0%	47.2%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	21	21	11	14	20	11	26	1	125	
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.3%	21.2%	26.2%	19.4%	17.9%	18.0%	14.1%	50.0%	17.1%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	29	22	5	12	17	8	21	0	114	
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.4%	22.2%	11.9%	16.7%	15.2%	13.1%	11.4%	.0%	15.6%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	13	9	0	4	6	5	4	0	41	
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.2%	9.1%	.0%	5.6%	5.4%	8.2%	2.2%	.0%	5.6%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	48	11	19	12	3	4	4	0	101	
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.4%	11.1%	45.2%	16.7%	2.7%	6.6%	2.2%	.0%	13.8%	
	Don't know	Count	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	1.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	
			Count	158	99	42	72	112	61	185	2	731
			% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	97	177	108	382
		% within Population density	42.2%	45.6%	60.3%	47.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	44	66	29	139
		% within Population density	19.1%	17.0%	16.2%	17.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	34	71	19	124
		% within Population density	14.8%	18.3%	10.6%	15.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	16	17	9	42
		% within Population density	7.0%	4.4%	5.0%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	36	55	14	105
		% within Population density	15.7%	14.2%	7.8%	13.2%
	Don't know	Count	3	2	0	5
		% within Population density	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%

	% within Population density	1.3%	.5%	.0%	.6%
Total	Count	230	388	179	797
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	89	115	21	20	137	382
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	52.4%	48.1%	31.3%	31.7%	52.3%	47.7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	25	45	14	13	43	140
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.7%	18.8%	20.9%	20.6%	16.4%	17.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	28	39	13	10	35	125
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	16.5%	16.3%	19.4%	15.9%	13.4%	15.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	8	10	5	4	15	42
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		4.7%	4.2%	7.5%	6.3%	5.7%	5.2%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	20	29	13	15	29	106	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.8%	12.1%	19.4%	23.8%	11.1%	13.2%	
Don't know	Count	0	1	1	1	3	6	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	.4%	1.5%	1.6%	1.1%	.7%	
Total	Count	170	239	67	63	262	801	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	16	172	104	15	55	18	380
		% within Education	57.1%	59.1%	43.0%	53.6%	36.4%	32.7%	47.8%
	Somewhat approve	Count	0	40	44	4	34	16	138
		% within Education	.0%	13.7%	18.2%	14.3%	22.5%	29.1%	17.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	5	51	39	4	21	6	126
		% within Education	17.9%	17.5%	16.1%	14.3%	13.9%	10.9%	15.8%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	1	13	11	1	13	3	42
		% within Education	3.6%	4.5%	4.5%	3.6%	8.6%	5.5%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	6	14	42	4	26	12	104
		% within Education	21.4%	4.8%	17.4%	14.3%	17.2%	21.8%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	2	0	2	0	5
		% within Education	.0%	.3%	.8%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.6%
Total	Count	28	291	242	28	151	55	795	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	98	96	35	46	60	42	377
		% within Frequency attend religious services	67.6%	61.1%	54.7%	50.5%	42.0%	22.1%	47.7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	24	31	10	13	21	38	137
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.6%	19.7%	15.6%	14.3%	14.7%	20.0%	17.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	15	13	10	17	28	42	125
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.3%	8.3%	15.6%	18.7%	19.6%	22.1%	15.8%

Somewhat disapprove	Count	3	8	2	7	10	12	42
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.1%	5.1%	3.1%	7.7%	7.0%	6.3%	5.3%
Strongly disapprove	Count	5	8	7	7	23	55	105
	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.4%	5.1%	10.9%	7.7%	16.1%	28.9%	13.3%
Don't know	Count	0	1	0	1	1	1	4
	% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	.6%	.0%	1.1%	.7%	.5%	.5%
Total	Count	145	157	64	91	143	190	790
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	265	41	57	0	3	15	381
		% within Race	52.0%	43.6%	39.3%	.0%	37.5%	44.1%	47.7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	81	15	31	0	1	11	139
		% within Race	15.9%	16.0%	21.4%	.0%	12.5%	32.4%	17.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	73	20	22	4	2	5	126
		% within Race	14.3%	21.3%	15.2%	50.0%	25.0%	14.7%	15.8%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	26	3	12	1	0	0	42
		% within Race	5.1%	3.2%	8.3%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	64	14	20	3	2	3	106
		% within Race	12.5%	14.9%	13.8%	37.5%	25.0%	8.8%	13.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	3	0	0	0	5
		% within Race	.2%	1.1%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Total	Count	510	94	145	8	8	34	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	293	86	379
		% within Own or rent home	48.9%	43.7%	47.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	109	30	139
		% within Own or rent home	18.2%	15.2%	17.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	83	41	124
		% within Own or rent home	13.9%	20.8%	15.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	30	12	42
		% within Own or rent home	5.0%	6.1%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	79	27	106
		% within Own or rent home	13.2%	13.7%	13.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	1	6
		% within Own or rent home	.8%	.5%	.8%
Total	Count	599	197	796	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	236	8	40	23	63	11	381
		% within Marital Status	52.3%	47.1%	51.3%	60.5%	35.4%	31.4%	47.8%
	Somewhat approve	Count	83	4	11	3	31	6	138
		% within Marital Status	18.4%	23.5%	14.1%	7.9%	17.4%	17.1%	17.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	62	4	13	5	33	9	126
		% within Marital Status	13.7%	23.5%	16.7%	13.2%	18.5%	25.7%	15.8%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	24	0	2	3	12	1	42
		% within Marital Status	5.3%	.0%	2.6%	7.9%	6.7%	2.9%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	45	1	11	4	38	7	106
		% within Marital Status	10.0%	1.0%	13.7%	5.3%	23.1%	17.1%	13.3%

	% within Marital Status	10.0%	5.9%	14.1%	10.5%	21.3%	20.0%	13.3%
Don't know	Count	1	0	1	0	1	1	4
	% within Marital Status	.2%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.6%	2.9%	.5%
Total	Count	451	17	78	38	178	35	797
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools	Strongly approve	Count	177	204	381
		% within Gender	48.0%	47.8%	47.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	61	77	138
		% within Gender	16.5%	18.0%	17.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	57	69	126
		% within Gender	15.4%	16.2%	15.8%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	17	25	42
		% within Gender	4.6%	5.9%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	56	48	104
		% within Gender	15.2%	11.2%	13.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	4	5
		% within Gender	.3%	.9%	.6%
Total	Count	369	427	796	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - Course on the Bible in public schools \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Approval - Course on the Bible	Strongly approve	Count	29	110	152	90	381

	% within Age	27.6%	44.2%	50.3%	63.4%	47.7%
Somewhat approve	Count	19	52	52	16	139
	% within Age	18.1%	20.9%	17.2%	11.3%	17.4%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	25	38	49	14	126
	% within Age	23.8%	15.3%	16.2%	9.9%	15.8%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	11	10	8	13	42
	% within Age	10.5%	4.0%	2.6%	9.2%	5.3%
Strongly disapprove	Count	20	38	39	8	105
	% within Age	19.0%	15.3%	12.9%	5.6%	13.2%
Don't know	Count	1	1	2	1	5
	% within Age	1.0%	.4%	.7%	.7%	.6%
Total	Count	105	249	302	142	798
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	2	2	13	34	38	63	71	6	229
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	4.3%	15.9%	19.0%	34.5%	38.0%	42.0%	42.9%	28.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	2	5	13	38	36	47	39	0	180
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	10.9%	15.9%	21.2%	32.7%	28.3%	23.1%	.0%	22.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	2	7	14	52	18	23	21	6	143
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	15.2%	17.1%	29.1%	16.4%	13.9%	12.4%	42.9%	18.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	2	9	9	20	7	13	11	0	71
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	19.6%	11.0%	11.2%	6.4%	7.8%	6.5%	.0%	9.0%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	18	23	33	27	8	17	21	1	148
		% within Ideology scale	69.2%	50.0%	40.2%	15.1%	7.3%	10.2%	12.4%	7.1%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	8	3	3	6	1	21
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	2.7%	1.8%	3.6%	7.1%	2.7%
	Total	Count	26	46	82	179	110	166	169	14	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	20	22	5	23	40	22	67	0	199
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.7%	22.0%	11.9%	31.9%	36.0%	35.5%	36.8%	.0%	27.4%
	Somewhat approve	Count	24	21	9	19	31	21	43	1	169
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.3%	21.0%	21.4%	26.4%	27.9%	33.9%	23.6%	100.0%	23.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	32	27	3	15	16	9	31	0	133
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.4%	27.0%	7.1%	20.8%	14.4%	14.5%	17.0%	.0%	18.3%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	14	13	7	2	11	4	14	0	65
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.9%	13.0%	16.7%	2.8%	9.9%	6.5%	7.7%	.0%	8.9%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	60	15	18	12	12	3	22	0	142
		% within 7 point Party ID	38.2%	15.0%	42.9%	16.7%	10.8%	4.8%	12.1%	.0%	19.5%
	Don't know	Count	7	2	0	1	1	3	5	0	19
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	2.0%	.0%	1.4%	.9%	4.8%	2.7%	.0%	2.6%
	Total	Count	157	100	42	72	111	62	182	1	727
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	40	133	57	230
		% within Population density	17.5%	34.4%	31.8%	28.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	59	83	40	182
		% within Population density	25.8%	21.4%	22.3%	22.9%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	50	56	37	143
		% within Population density	21.8%	14.5%	20.7%	18.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	23	33	13	69
		% within Population density	10.0%	8.5%	7.3%	8.7%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	51	72	27	150
		% within Population density	10.0%	18.5%	10.0%	12.7%

	% within Population density	22.3%	18.6%	15.1%	18.9%
Don't know	Count	6	10	5	21
	% within Population density	2.6%	2.6%	2.8%	2.6%
Total	Count	229	387	179	795
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	61	56	12	16	86	231
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	35.9%	23.5%	18.2%	25.4%	33.2%	29.0%
	Somewhat approve	Count	39	60	19	16	47	181
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.9%	25.2%	28.8%	25.4%	18.1%	22.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	22	46	16	7	53	144
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.9%	19.3%	24.2%	11.1%	20.5%	18.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	10	21	4	7	27	69
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		5.9%	8.8%	6.1%	11.1%	10.4%	8.7%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	33	50	13	15	38	149	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.4%	21.0%	19.7%	23.8%	14.7%	18.7%	
Don't know	Count	5	5	2	2	8	22	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.9%	2.1%	3.0%	3.2%	3.1%	2.8%	
Total	Count	170	238	66	63	259	796	

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	61	56	12	16	86	231
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	35.9%	23.5%	18.2%	25.4%	33.2%	29.0%
	Somewhat approve	Count	39	60	19	16	47	181
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.9%	25.2%	28.8%	25.4%	18.1%	22.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	22	46	16	7	53	144
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.9%	19.3%	24.2%	11.1%	20.5%	18.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	10	21	4	7	27	69
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		5.9%	8.8%	6.1%	11.1%	10.4%	8.7%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	33	50	13	15	38	149	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.4%	21.0%	19.7%	23.8%	14.7%	18.7%	
Don't know	Count	5	5	2	2	8	22	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.9%	2.1%	3.0%	3.2%	3.1%	2.8%	
		Count	170	238	66	63	259	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Education Crosstabulation**

		Education	Total



			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	8	79	70	10	52	12	231
		% within Education	28.6%	27.2%	29.2%	34.5%	34.2%	21.8%	29.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	3	71	54	7	34	12	181
		% within Education	10.7%	24.5%	22.5%	24.1%	22.4%	21.8%	22.8%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	3	70	41	6	15	8	143
		% within Education	10.7%	24.1%	17.1%	20.7%	9.9%	14.5%	18.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	7	20	21	2	13	6	69
		% within Education	25.0%	6.9%	8.8%	6.9%	8.6%	10.9%	8.7%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	4	40	48	3	37	16	148
		% within Education	14.3%	13.8%	20.0%	10.3%	24.3%	29.1%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	3	10	6	1	1	1	22
		% within Education	10.7%	3.4%	2.5%	3.4%	.7%	1.8%	2.8%
Total	Count	28	290	240	29	152	55	794	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	57	46	24	29	34	39	229
		% within Frequency attend religious services	40.1%	29.5%	36.9%	31.5%	23.4%	20.6%	29.0%
	Somewhat approve	Count	28	40	14	25	35	37	179
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.7%	25.6%	21.5%	27.2%	24.1%	19.6%	22.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	24	23	10	15	31	39	142
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.9%	14.7%	15.4%	16.3%	21.4%	20.6%	18.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	11	13	6	7	13	18	68
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.7%	8.3%	9.2%	7.6%	9.0%	9.5%	8.6%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	20	24	7	15	30	53	149
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.3%	15.5%	9.2%	18.2%	23.1%	20.8%	16.1%

	% within Frequency attend religious services	14.1%	15.4%	10.8%	16.3%	20.7%	28.0%	18.9%
Don't know	Count	2	10	4	1	2	3	22
	% within Frequency attend religious services	1.4%	6.4%	6.2%	1.1%	1.4%	1.6%	2.8%
Total	Count	142	156	65	92	145	189	789
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	158	19	38	0	3	14	232
		% within Race	31.0%	20.2%	26.6%	.0%	42.9%	38.9%	29.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	121	17	36	2	1	4	181
		% within Race	23.7%	18.1%	25.2%	28.6%	14.3%	11.1%	22.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	79	29	23	2	0	11	144
		% within Race	15.5%	30.9%	16.1%	28.6%	.0%	30.6%	18.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	43	10	14	1	0	2	70
		% within Race	8.4%	10.6%	9.8%	14.3%	.0%	5.6%	8.8%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	98	13	28	2	2	5	148
		% within Race	19.2%	13.8%	19.6%	28.6%	28.6%	13.9%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	11	6	4	0	1	0	22
		% within Race	2.2%	6.4%	2.8%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	2.8%
	Total	Count	510	94	143	7	7	36	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Approval - Science standards	Strongly approve	Count	172	57	229

	% within Own or rent home	28.7%	29.4%	28.9%
Somewhat approve	Count	145	36	181
	% within Own or rent home	24.2%	18.6%	22.8%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	103	41	144
	% within Own or rent home	17.2%	21.1%	18.2%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	47	22	69
	% within Own or rent home	7.8%	11.3%	8.7%
Strongly disapprove	Count	114	35	149
	% within Own or rent home	19.0%	18.0%	18.8%
Don't know	Count	18	3	21
	% within Own or rent home	3.0%	1.5%	2.6%
Total	Count	599	194	793
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

		Marital Status						Total	
		Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership		
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	142	7	27	8	38	7	229
	% within Marital Status		31.6%	41.2%	35.1%	21.6%	21.2%	19.4%	28.8%
Somewhat approve	Count	119	3	12	3	32	12	181	
	% within Marital Status		26.4%	17.6%	15.6%	8.1%	17.9%	33.3%	22.7%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	68	4	14	14	42	3	145	
	% within Marital Status		15.1%	23.5%	18.2%	37.8%	23.5%	8.3%	18.2%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	33	0	10	6	18	2	69	
	% within Marital Status		7.3%	.0%	13.0%	16.2%	10.1%	5.6%	8.7%
Strongly disapprove	Count	76	3	11	5	43	12	150	
	% within Marital Status		16.9%	17.6%	14.3%	13.5%	24.0%	33.3%	18.8%
Don't know	Count	12	0	3	1	6	0	22	
	% within Marital Status		2.7%	.0%	3.9%	2.7%	3.4%	.0%	2.8%
Total		Count	450	17	77	37	179	36	796

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total		
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	142	7	27	8	38	7	229	
		% within Marital Status	31.6%	41.2%	35.1%	21.6%	21.2%	19.4%	28.8%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	119	3	12	3	32	12	181	
		% within Marital Status	26.4%	17.6%	15.6%	8.1%	17.9%	33.3%	22.7%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	68	4	14	14	42	3	145	
		% within Marital Status	15.1%	23.5%	18.2%	37.8%	23.5%	8.3%	18.2%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	33	0	10	6	18	2	69	
		% within Marital Status	7.3%	.0%	13.0%	16.2%	10.1%	5.6%	8.7%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	76	3	11	5	43	12	150	
		% within Marital Status	16.9%	17.6%	14.3%	13.5%	24.0%	33.3%	18.8%	
	Don't know	Count	12	0	3	1	6	0	22	
		% within Marital Status	2.7%	.0%	3.9%	2.7%	3.4%	.0%	2.8%	
			Count	450	17	77	37	179	36	796
			% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	114	116	230
		% within Gender	30.7%	27.4%	28.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	99	83	182
		% within Gender	26.7%	19.6%	22.9%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	55	88	143
		% within Gender	14.8%	20.8%	18.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	27	42	69
		% within Gender	7.3%	9.9%	8.7%

	Strongly disapprove	Count	71	78	149
		% within Gender	19.1%	18.4%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	5	17	22
		% within Gender	1.3%	4.0%	2.8%
Total		Count	371	424	795
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Approval - Science standards that support and criticize evolution	Strongly approve	Count	32	78	84	36	230
		% within Age	30.2%	31.3%	28.0%	25.5%	28.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	11	67	72	32	182
		% within Age	10.4%	26.9%	24.0%	22.7%	22.9%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	21	39	58	26	144
		% within Age	19.8%	15.7%	19.3%	18.4%	18.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	15	16	23	16	70
		% within Age	14.2%	6.4%	7.7%	11.3%	8.8%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	22	43	57	26	148
		% within Age	20.8%	17.3%	19.0%	18.4%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	5	6	6	5	22
		% within Age	4.7%	2.4%	2.0%	3.5%	2.8%
Total	Count	106	249	300	141	796	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale							Total
		Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>	

Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	1	0	8	61	48	104	132	5	359
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	.0%	9.8%	33.5%	43.2%	63.0%	78.1%	33.3%	45.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	2	8	17	30	27	25	11	0	120
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	17.8%	20.7%	16.5%	24.3%	15.2%	6.5%	.0%	15.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	3	5	14	41	16	20	9	7	115
		% within Ideology scale	11.5%	11.1%	17.1%	22.5%	14.4%	12.1%	5.3%	46.7%	14.5%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	2	6	9	10	9	9	4	0	49
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	13.3%	11.0%	5.5%	8.1%	5.5%	2.4%	.0%	6.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	18	25	33	37	8	7	8	2	138
		% within Ideology scale	69.2%	55.6%	40.2%	20.3%	7.2%	4.2%	4.7%	13.3%	17.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1	3	3	0	5	1	14
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	1.6%	2.7%	.0%	3.0%	6.7%	1.8%
Total	Count	26	45	82	182	111	165	169	15	795	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	40	26	5	23	66	31	135	1	327
		% within 7 point Party ID	25.3%	25.7%	11.9%	31.5%	59.5%	50.8%	73.8%	50.0%	44.7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	18	20	7	15	16	12	21	1	110
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.4%	19.8%	16.7%	20.5%	14.4%	19.7%	11.5%	50.0%	15.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	19	29	2	18	13	7	14	0	102
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.0%	28.7%	4.8%	24.7%	11.7%	11.5%	7.7%	.0%	14.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	19	5	7	4	5	6	2	0	48
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.0%	5.0%	16.7%	5.5%	4.5%	9.8%	1.1%	.0%	6.6%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	60	21	21	9	10	4	7	0	132
		% within 7 point Party ID	38.0%	20.8%	50.0%	12.3%	9.0%	6.6%	3.8%	.0%	18.1%
	Don't know	Count	2	0	0	4	1	1	4	0	12
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.9%	1.6%	2.2%	.0%	1.6%
	Total	Count	158	101	42	73	111	61	183	2	731
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	83	182	96	361
		% within Population density	35.9%	46.9%	53.3%	45.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	47	47	28	122
		% within Population density	20.3%	12.1%	15.6%	15.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	33	53	26	112
		% within Population density	14.3%	13.7%	14.4%	14.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	13	27	11	51
		% within Population density	5.6%	7.0%	6.1%	6.4%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	52	73	14	139
		% within Population density	22.5%	18.8%	7.8%	17.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	6	5	14
		% within Population density	1.3%	1.5%	2.8%	1.8%
Total	Count	231	388	180	799	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	89	107	19	23	123	361
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	52.0%	44.6%	28.4%	36.5%	47.3%	45.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	21	43	14	8	36	122
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.3%	17.9%	20.9%	12.7%	13.8%	15.2%
	Neither approve nor	Count	20	29	11	4	50	114
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.7%	12.1%	16.4%	6.3%	19.2%	14.2%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	10	15	7	4	14	50
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.8%	6.3%	10.4%	6.3%	5.4%	6.2%
Strongly disapprove	Count	30	43	15	21	30	139
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.5%	17.9%	22.4%	33.3%	11.5%	17.4%
Don't know	Count	1	3	1	3	7	15
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	1.3%	1.5%	4.8%	2.7%	1.9%
Total	Count	171	240	67	63	260	801
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	21	150	95	16	60	20	362
		% within Education	75.0%	51.5%	39.6%	51.6%	39.7%	36.4%	45.5%
	Somewhat approve	Count	0	48	38	4	25	7	122
		% within Education	.0%	16.5%	15.8%	12.9%	16.6%	12.7%	15.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	1	47	37	1	18	9	113
		% within Education	3.6%	16.2%	15.4%	3.2%	11.9%	16.4%	14.2%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	0	15	15	1	13	5	49
		% within Education	.0%	5.2%	6.3%	3.2%	8.6%	9.1%	6.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	6	24	51	8	34	14	137
		% within Education	21.4%	8.2%	21.3%	25.8%	22.5%	25.5%	17.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	7	4	1	1	0	13



	% within Education	.0%	2.4%	1.7%	3.2%	.7%	.0%	1.6%
Total	Count	28	291	240	31	151	55	796
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	90	94	28	42	57	45	356
		% within Frequency attend religious services	61.6%	59.5%	43.8%	46.2%	39.9%	23.8%	45.0%
	Somewhat approve	Count	18	25	17	16	20	25	121
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.3%	15.8%	26.6%	17.6%	14.0%	13.2%	15.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	11	15	10	11	30	37	114
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.5%	9.5%	15.6%	12.1%	21.0%	19.6%	14.4%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	10	7	2	8	11	11	49
% within Frequency attend religious services		6.8%	4.4%	3.1%	8.8%	7.7%	5.8%	6.2%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	14	15	6	11	22	69	137	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	9.6%	9.5%	9.4%	12.1%	15.4%	36.5%	17.3%	
Don't know	Count	3	2	1	3	3	2	14	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.1%	1.3%	1.6%	3.3%	2.1%	1.1%	1.8%	
Total	Count	146	158	64	91	143	189	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Approval - History	Strongly approve	Count	257	29	57	0	4	14	361

	% within Race	50.6%	30.9%	39.9%	.0%	57.1%	40.0%	45.4%
Somewhat approve	Count	68	14	28	3	0	9	122
	% within Race	13.4%	14.9%	19.6%	37.5%	.0%	25.7%	15.3%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	66	23	19	0	0	4	112
	% within Race	13.0%	24.5%	13.3%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	14.1%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	31	7	10	1	0	1	50
	% within Race	6.1%	7.4%	7.0%	12.5%	.0%	2.9%	6.3%
Strongly disapprove	Count	77	20	28	4	3	6	138
	% within Race	15.2%	21.3%	19.6%	50.0%	42.9%	17.1%	17.4%
Don't know	Count	9	1	1	0	0	1	12
	% within Race	1.8%	1.1%	.7%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	1.5%
Total	Count	508	94	143	8	7	35	795
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	285	73	358
		% within Own or rent home	47.7%	37.2%	45.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	92	29	121
		% within Own or rent home	15.4%	14.8%	15.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	78	36	114
		% within Own or rent home	13.0%	18.4%	14.4%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	35	14	49
		% within Own or rent home	5.9%	7.1%	6.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	96	43	139
		% within Own or rent home	16.1%	21.9%	17.5%
	Don't know	Count	12	1	13
		% within Own or rent home	2.0%	.5%	1.6%
	Total	Count	598	196	794

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total	
			Own	Rent		
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	285	73	358	
		% within Own or rent home	47.7%	37.2%	45.1%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	92	29	121	
		% within Own or rent home	15.4%	14.8%	15.2%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	78	36	114	
		% within Own or rent home	13.0%	18.4%	14.4%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	35	14	49	
		% within Own or rent home	5.9%	7.1%	6.2%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	96	43	139	
		% within Own or rent home	16.1%	21.9%	17.5%	
	Don't know	Count	12	1	13	
		% within Own or rent home	2.0%	.5%	1.6%	
			Count	598	196	794
			% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	241	9	32	19	50	11	362
		% within Marital Status	53.4%	50.0%	41.6%	51.4%	27.9%	30.6%	45.4%
	Somewhat approve	Count	60	4	12	3	37	6	122
		% within Marital Status	13.3%	22.2%	15.6%	8.1%	20.7%	16.7%	15.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	50	4	13	8	35	3	113
		% within Marital Status	11.1%	22.2%	16.9%	21.6%	19.6%	8.3%	14.2%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	30	0	4	3	10	2	49
		% within Marital Status	6.7%	.0%	5.2%	8.1%	5.6%	5.6%	6.1%

	Strongly disapprove	Count	64	1	13	3	44	13	138
		% within Marital Status	14.2%	5.6%	16.9%	8.1%	24.6%	36.1%	17.3%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	3	1	3	1	14
		% within Marital Status	1.3%	.0%	3.9%	2.7%	1.7%	2.8%	1.8%
Total		Count	451	18	77	37	179	36	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	185	176	361
		% within Gender	50.0%	41.2%	45.3%
	Somewhat approve	Count	46	76	122
		% within Gender	12.4%	17.8%	15.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	52	62	114
		% within Gender	14.1%	14.5%	14.3%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	20	30	50
		% within Gender	5.4%	7.0%	6.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	65	72	137
		% within Gender	17.6%	16.9%	17.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	11	13
		% within Gender	.5%	2.6%	1.6%
	Total	Count	370	427	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion \* Age Crosstabulation**

		Age				Total
		18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	

Approval - History standards that discuss Founding Fathers religion	Strongly approve	Count	25	103	156	76	360
		% within Age	23.8%	41.0%	51.7%	53.9%	45.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	14	49	37	23	123
		% within Age	13.3%	19.5%	12.3%	16.3%	15.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	21	40	38	15	114
		% within Age	20.0%	15.9%	12.6%	10.6%	14.3%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	7	15	17	11	50
		% within Age	6.7%	6.0%	5.6%	7.8%	6.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	35	41	48	15	139
		% within Age	33.3%	16.3%	15.9%	10.6%	17.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	3	6	1	13
		% within Age	2.9%	1.2%	2.0%	.7%	1.6%
Total	Count	105	251	302	141	799	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	3	2	10	69	56	126	133	4	403
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	4.4%	12.2%	37.5%	50.0%	75.9%	78.2%	26.7%	50.3%
	Somewhat approve	Count	4	11	32	55	35	24	14	1	176
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	24.4%	39.0%	29.9%	31.3%	14.5%	8.2%	6.7%	22.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	7	14	20	33	11	7	6	7	105
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	31.1%	24.4%	17.9%	9.8%	4.2%	3.5%	46.7%	13.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	6	12	9	8	5	1	3	0	44
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	26.7%	11.0%	4.3%	4.5%	.6%	1.8%	.0%	5.5%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	6	6	9	7	2	6	8	2	46
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	13.3%	11.0%	3.8%	1.8%	3.6%	4.7%	13.3%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	2	12	3	2	6	1	27
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	.0%	2.4%	6.5%	2.7%	1.2%	3.5%	6.7%	3.4%
Total	Count	27	45	82	184	112	166	170	15	801	

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know		
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	3	2	10	69	56	126	133	4	403	
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	4.4%	12.2%	37.5%	50.0%	75.9%	78.2%	26.7%	50.3%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	4	11	32	55	35	24	14	1	176	
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	24.4%	39.0%	29.9%	31.3%	14.5%	8.2%	6.7%	22.0%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	7	14	20	33	11	7	6	7	105	
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	31.1%	24.4%	17.9%	9.8%	4.2%	3.5%	46.7%	13.1%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	6	12	9	8	5	1	3	0	44	
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	26.7%	11.0%	4.3%	4.5%	.6%	1.8%	.0%	5.5%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	6	6	9	7	2	6	8	2	46	
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	13.3%	11.0%	3.8%	1.8%	3.6%	4.7%	13.3%	5.7%	
	Don't know	Count	1	0	2	12	3	2	6	1	27	
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	.0%	2.4%	6.5%	2.7%	1.2%	3.5%	6.7%	3.4%	
	Total		Count	27	45	82	184	112	166	170	15	801
			% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	31	30	7	40	83	38	138	1	368	
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.4%	29.7%	16.3%	54.1%	75.5%	62.3%	75.0%	50.0%	50.1%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	51	32	14	11	17	15	24	1	165	
		% within 7 point Party ID	31.9%	31.7%	32.6%	14.9%	15.5%	24.6%	13.0%	50.0%	22.4%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	35	20	7	15	6	2	10	0	95	
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.9%	19.8%	16.3%	20.3%	5.5%	3.3%	5.4%	.0%	12.9%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	18	11	6	3	3	1	0	0	42	
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.3%	10.9%	14.0%	4.1%	2.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	16	5	7	3	1	2	5	0	39	
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.0%	5.0%	16.3%	4.1%	.9%	3.3%	2.7%	.0%	5.3%	
	Don't know	Count	9	3	2	2	0	3	7	0	26	
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.6%	3.0%	4.7%	2.7%	.0%	4.9%	3.8%	.0%	3.5%	
	Total		Count	160	101	43	74	110	61	184	2	735

Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	31	30	7	40	83	38	138	1	368	
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.4%	29.7%	16.3%	54.1%	75.5%	62.3%	75.0%	50.0%	50.1%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	51	32	14	11	17	15	24	1	165	
		% within 7 point Party ID	31.9%	31.7%	32.6%	14.9%	15.5%	24.6%	13.0%	50.0%	22.4%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	35	20	7	15	6	2	10	0	95	
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.9%	19.8%	16.3%	20.3%	5.5%	3.3%	5.4%	.0%	12.9%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	18	11	6	3	3	1	0	0	42	
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.3%	10.9%	14.0%	4.1%	2.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	16	5	7	3	1	2	5	0	39	
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.0%	5.0%	16.3%	4.1%	.9%	3.3%	2.7%	.0%	5.3%	
	Don't know	Count	9	3	2	2	0	3	7	0	26	
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.6%	3.0%	4.7%	2.7%	.0%	4.9%	3.8%	.0%	3.5%	
			Count	160	101	43	74	110	61	184	2	735
			% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	102	203	100	405
		% within Population density	44.0%	52.3%	55.9%	50.7%
	Somewhat approve	Count	63	75	38	176
		% within Population density	27.2%	19.3%	21.2%	22.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	24	53	27	104
		% within Population density	10.3%	13.7%	15.1%	13.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	17	23	4	44
		% within Population density	7.3%	5.9%	2.2%	5.5%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	18	21	5	44
		% within Population density	7.8%	5.4%	2.8%	5.5%
	Don't know	Count	8	13	5	26
		% within Population density	3.3%	3.3%	1.5%	3.3%

	% within Population density	3.4%	3.4%	2.8%	3.3%
Total	Count	232	388	179	799
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	102	123	29	29	122	405
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	60.4%	51.3%	43.9%	46.0%	46.6%	50.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	30	62	15	10	59	176
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	25.8%	22.7%	15.9%	22.5%	22.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	18	21	8	12	45	104
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.7%	8.8%	12.1%	19.0%	17.2%	13.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	7	17	5	1	13	43
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.1%	7.1%	7.6%	1.6%	5.0%	5.4%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	11	10	5	6	13	45
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.5%	4.2%	7.6%	9.5%	5.0%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	1	7	4	5	10	27
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	2.9%	6.1%	7.9%	3.8%	3.4%
Total	Count	169	240	66	63	262	800	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	13	166	107	14	77	27	404
		% within Education	46.4%	56.7%	44.2%	46.7%	50.7%	48.2%	50.4%
	Somewhat approve	Count	9	64	60	5	31	7	176
		% within Education	32.1%	21.8%	24.8%	16.7%	20.4%	12.5%	22.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	3	32	44	6	13	6	104
		% within Education	10.7%	10.9%	18.2%	20.0%	8.6%	10.7%	13.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	0	8	10	1	18	7	44
		% within Education	.0%	2.7%	4.1%	3.3%	11.8%	12.5%	5.5%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	3	8	14	3	10	8	46
		% within Education	10.7%	2.7%	5.8%	10.0%	6.6%	14.3%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	0	15	7	1	3	1	27
		% within Education	.0%	5.1%	2.9%	3.3%	2.0%	1.8%	3.4%
Total	Count	28	293	242	30	152	56	801	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	80	97	32	55	65	71	400
		% within Frequency attend religious services	55.6%	61.0%	50.0%	60.4%	44.8%	37.6%	50.5%
	Somewhat approve	Count	25	29	18	18	39	46	175
		% within Frequency attend religious services	17.4%	18.2%	28.1%	19.8%	26.9%	24.3%	22.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	19	13	10	8	24	29	103
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.2%	8.2%	15.6%	8.8%	16.6%	15.3%	13.0%

Somewhat disapprove	Count	6	3	1	2	11	19	42
	% within Frequency attend religious services	4.2%	1.9%	1.6%	2.2%	7.6%	10.1%	5.3%
Strongly disapprove	Count	10	6	2	3	5	19	45
	% within Frequency attend religious services	6.9%	3.8%	3.1%	3.3%	3.4%	10.1%	5.7%
Don't know	Count	4	11	1	5	1	5	27
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.8%	6.9%	1.6%	5.5%	.7%	2.6%	3.4%
Total	Count	144	159	64	91	145	189	792
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	290	26	66	1	5	17	405
		% within Race	57.0%	27.1%	45.5%	12.5%	55.6%	48.6%	50.5%
	Somewhat approve	Count	91	32	40	3	1	10	177
		% within Race	17.9%	33.3%	27.6%	37.5%	11.1%	28.6%	22.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	64	19	16	1	2	3	105
		% within Race	12.6%	19.8%	11.0%	12.5%	22.2%	8.6%	13.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	26	4	10	2	0	1	43
		% within Race	5.1%	4.2%	6.9%	25.0%	.0%	2.9%	5.4%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	24	10	5	1	1	4	45
		% within Race	4.7%	10.4%	3.4%	12.5%	11.1%	11.4%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	14	5	8	0	0	0	27
		% within Race	2.8%	5.2%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Total	Count	509	96	145	8	9	35	802
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	322	80	402
		% within Own or rent home	53.8%	40.8%	50.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	129	47	176
		% within Own or rent home	21.5%	24.0%	22.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	71	33	104
		% within Own or rent home	11.9%	16.8%	13.1%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	30	13	43
		% within Own or rent home	5.0%	6.6%	5.4%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	29	15	44
		% within Own or rent home	4.8%	7.7%	5.5%
	Don't know	Count	18	8	26
		% within Own or rent home	3.0%	4.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	599	196	795
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	263	7	35	22	63	15	405
		% within Marital Status	58.4%	41.2%	46.1%	59.5%	35.0%	40.5%	50.8%
	Somewhat approve	Count	86	4	21	7	49	10	177
		% within Marital Status	19.1%	23.5%	27.6%	18.9%	27.2%	27.0%	22.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	42	4	9	6	40	4	105
		% within Marital Status	9.3%	23.5%	11.8%	16.2%	22.2%	10.8%	13.2%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	24	0	1	0	14	3	42
		% within Marital Status	5.3%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	7.8%	8.1%	5.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	23	2	4	1	8	5	43
		% within Marital Status	5.3%	5.0%	4.7%	1.6%	11.1%	13.3%	5.3%

	% within Marital Status	5.1%	11.8%	5.3%	2.7%	4.4%	13.5%	5.4%
Don't know	Count	12	0	6	1	6	0	25
	% within Marital Status	2.7%	.0%	7.9%	2.7%	3.3%	.0%	3.1%
Total	Count	450	17	76	37	180	37	797
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance	Strongly approve	Count	225	179	404
		% within Gender	61.0%	41.6%	50.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	67	110	177
		% within Gender	18.2%	25.6%	22.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	33	71	104
		% within Gender	8.9%	16.5%	13.0%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	18	25	43
		% within Gender	4.9%	5.8%	5.4%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	21	23	44
		% within Gender	5.7%	5.3%	5.5%
	Don't know	Count	5	22	27
		% within Gender	1.4%	5.1%	3.4%
Total	Count	369	430	799	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Approval - History standards that discuss free market economy importance \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Approval - History standards	Strongly approve	Count	29	118	171	87	405

	% within Age	27.6%	46.8%	56.6%	61.3%	50.6%
Somewhat approve	Count	27	61	60	29	177
	% within Age	25.7%	24.2%	19.9%	20.4%	22.1%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	26	33	35	11	105
	% within Age	24.8%	13.1%	11.6%	7.7%	13.1%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	12	17	12	2	43
	% within Age	11.4%	6.7%	4.0%	1.4%	5.4%
Strongly disapprove	Count	6	13	18	8	45
	% within Age	5.7%	5.2%	6.0%	5.6%	5.6%
Don't know	Count	5	10	6	5	26
	% within Age	4.8%	4.0%	2.0%	3.5%	3.2%
Total	Count	105	252	302	142	801
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	2	1	7	21	25	60	68	1	185
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	2.2%	8.6%	11.5%	22.7%	36.6%	40.5%	7.1%	23.4%
	Somewhat approve	Count	0	1	2	15	23	37	19	0	97
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	2.5%	8.2%	20.9%	22.6%	11.3%	.0%	12.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	1	6	5	42	24	30	41	5	154
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	13.3%	6.2%	23.1%	21.8%	18.3%	24.4%	35.7%	19.5%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	4	5	15	30	19	20	16	2	111
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	11.1%	18.5%	16.5%	17.3%	12.2%	9.5%	14.3%	14.0%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	20	32	52	68	14	12	16	5	219
		% within Ideology scale	74.1%	71.1%	64.2%	37.4%	12.7%	7.3%	9.5%	35.7%	27.7%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	6	5	5	8	1	25
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	4.5%	3.0%	4.8%	7.1%	3.2%
	Total	Count	27	45	81	182	110	164	168	14	791
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	13	15	1	12	48	15	67	1	172
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.2%	15.0%	2.4%	16.4%	43.2%	24.2%	36.4%	50.0%	23.5%
	Somewhat approve	Count	7	7	1	9	19	13	30	0	86
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.4%	7.0%	2.4%	12.3%	17.1%	21.0%	16.3%	.0%	11.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	18	18	3	18	25	12	40	1	135
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.4%	18.0%	7.1%	24.7%	22.5%	19.4%	21.7%	50.0%	18.4%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	21	22	6	12	10	9	25	0	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.3%	22.0%	14.3%	16.4%	9.0%	14.5%	13.6%	.0%	14.3%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	93	38	31	20	6	6	16	0	210
		% within 7 point Party ID	58.9%	38.0%	73.8%	27.4%	5.4%	9.7%	8.7%	.0%	28.7%
	Don't know	Count	6	0	0	2	3	7	6	0	24
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	2.7%	11.3%	3.3%	.0%	3.3%
Total	Count	158	100	42	73	111	62	184	2	732	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	42	89	54	185
		% within Population density	18.2%	23.1%	30.2%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	15	52	30	97
		% within Population density	6.5%	13.5%	16.8%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	40	70	46	156
		% within Population density	17.3%	18.1%	25.7%	19.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	36	60	16	112
		% within Population density	15.6%	15.5%	8.9%	14.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	90	102	28	220
		% within Population density	39.8%	26.1%	11.1%	27.3%

	% within Population density	39.0%	26.4%	15.6%	27.6%
Don't know	Count	8	13	5	26
	% within Population density	3.5%	3.4%	2.8%	3.3%
Total	Count	231	386	179	796
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	43	54	14	14	60	185
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.7%	22.6%	20.6%	22.6%	23.0%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	24	32	4	9	28	97
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.4%	13.4%	5.9%	14.5%	10.7%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	24	44	15	11	63	157
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.4%	18.4%	22.1%	17.7%	24.1%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	20	33	12	5	42	112
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		12.0%	13.8%	17.6%	8.1%	16.1%	14.1%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	50	71	22	21	55	219	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.9%	29.7%	32.4%	33.9%	21.1%	27.5%	
Don't know	Count	6	5	1	2	13	27	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	2.1%	1.5%	3.2%	5.0%	3.4%	
Total	Count	167	239	68	62	261	797	

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	43	54	14	14	60	185
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.7%	22.6%	20.6%	22.6%	23.0%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	24	32	4	9	28	97
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.4%	13.4%	5.9%	14.5%	10.7%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	24	44	15	11	63	157
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.4%	18.4%	22.1%	17.7%	24.1%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	20	33	12	5	42	112
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		12.0%	13.8%	17.6%	8.1%	16.1%	14.1%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	50	71	22	21	55	219	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.9%	29.7%	32.4%	33.9%	21.1%	27.5%	
Don't know	Count	6	5	1	2	13	27	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	2.1%	1.5%	3.2%	5.0%	3.4%	
		Count	167	239	68	62	261	797
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Education Crosstabulation**

		Education	Total



			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	4	74	53	7	35	11	184
		% within Education	14.3%	25.4%	21.9%	24.1%	23.2%	20.0%	23.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	6	41	21	4	19	6	97
		% within Education	21.4%	14.1%	8.7%	13.8%	12.6%	10.9%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	4	77	40	4	24	7	156
		% within Education	14.3%	26.5%	16.5%	13.8%	15.9%	12.7%	19.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	4	40	36	4	21	7	112
		% within Education	14.3%	13.7%	14.9%	13.8%	13.9%	12.7%	14.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	7	45	84	10	51	24	221
		% within Education	25.0%	15.5%	34.7%	34.5%	33.8%	43.6%	27.8%
	Don't know	Count	3	14	8	0	1	0	26
		% within Education	10.7%	4.8%	3.3%	.0%	.7%	.0%	3.3%
Total	Count	28	291	242	29	151	55	796	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	32	44	18	28	33	28	183
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.5%	27.8%	28.6%	30.8%	22.8%	14.8%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	22	18	7	17	16	17	97
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.5%	11.4%	11.1%	18.7%	11.0%	9.0%	12.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	33	31	10	14	29	38	155
		% within Frequency attend religious services	23.2%	19.6%	15.9%	15.4%	20.0%	20.1%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	21	18	10	14	25	23	111
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.8%	11.4%	15.9%	15.4%	17.2%	12.2%	14.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	31	37	16	17	38	79	218
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.5%	27.8%	28.6%	30.8%	22.8%	14.8%	23.2%

	% within Frequency attend religious services	21.8%	23.4%	25.4%	18.7%	26.2%	41.8%	27.7%
Don't know	Count	3	10	2	1	4	4	24
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.1%	6.3%	3.2%	1.1%	2.8%	2.1%	3.0%
Total	Count	142	158	63	91	145	189	788
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	148	4	25	0	2	6	185
		% within Race	29.1%	4.2%	17.4%	.0%	25.0%	17.1%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	72	7	12	1	1	4	97
		% within Race	14.2%	7.4%	8.3%	14.3%	12.5%	11.4%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	108	8	30	1	1	9	157
		% within Race	21.3%	8.4%	20.8%	14.3%	12.5%	25.7%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	71	12	23	0	0	6	112
		% within Race	14.0%	12.6%	16.0%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	14.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	91	60	49	5	4	10	219
		% within Race	17.9%	63.2%	34.0%	71.4%	50.0%	28.6%	27.5%
	Don't know	Count	18	4	5	0	0	0	27
		% within Race	3.5%	4.2%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Total	Count	508	95	144	7	8	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Approval - History standards	Strongly approve	Count	164	21	185

	% within Own or rent home	27.5%	10.6%	23.3%
Somewhat approve	Count	72	24	96
	% within Own or rent home	12.1%	12.1%	12.1%
Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	124	32	156
	% within Own or rent home	20.8%	16.2%	19.6%
Somewhat disapprove	Count	73	38	111
	% within Own or rent home	12.2%	19.2%	14.0%
Strongly disapprove	Count	143	77	220
	% within Own or rent home	24.0%	38.9%	27.7%
Don't know	Count	21	6	27
	% within Own or rent home	3.5%	3.0%	3.4%
Total	Count	597	198	795
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	122	1	21	11	25	5	185
		% within Marital Status	27.1%	5.9%	26.9%	29.7%	14.0%	13.9%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	60	3	6	6	18	4	97
		% within Marital Status	13.3%	17.6%	7.7%	16.2%	10.1%	11.1%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	94	5	12	9	32	5	157
		% within Marital Status	20.9%	29.4%	15.4%	24.3%	18.0%	13.9%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	56	4	12	3	30	7	112
		% within Marital Status	12.4%	23.5%	15.4%	8.1%	16.9%	19.4%	14.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	101	4	24	7	68	15	219
		% within Marital Status	22.4%	23.5%	30.8%	18.9%	38.2%	41.7%	27.5%
	Don't know	Count	17	0	3	1	5	0	26
		% within Marital Status	3.8%	.0%	3.8%	2.7%	2.8%	.0%	3.3%
	Total	Count	450	17	78	37	178	36	796

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total		
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	122	1	21	11	25	5	185	
		% within Marital Status	27.1%	5.9%	26.9%	29.7%	14.0%	13.9%	23.2%	
	Somewhat approve	Count	60	3	6	6	18	4	97	
		% within Marital Status	13.3%	17.6%	7.7%	16.2%	10.1%	11.1%	12.2%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	94	5	12	9	32	5	157	
		% within Marital Status	20.9%	29.4%	15.4%	24.3%	18.0%	13.9%	19.7%	
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	56	4	12	3	30	7	112	
		% within Marital Status	12.4%	23.5%	15.4%	8.1%	16.9%	19.4%	14.1%	
	Strongly disapprove	Count	101	4	24	7	68	15	219	
		% within Marital Status	22.4%	23.5%	30.8%	18.9%	38.2%	41.7%	27.5%	
	Don't know	Count	17	0	3	1	5	0	26	
		% within Marital Status	3.8%	.0%	3.8%	2.7%	2.8%	.0%	3.3%	
			Count	450	17	78	37	178	36	796
			% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	99	85	184
		% within Gender	26.9%	19.9%	23.1%
	Somewhat approve	Count	45	52	97
		% within Gender	12.2%	12.1%	12.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	71	86	157
		% within Gender	19.3%	20.1%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	51	61	112
		% within Gender	13.9%	14.3%	14.1%

	Strongly disapprove	Count	98	121	219
		% within Gender	26.6%	28.3%	27.5%
	Don't know	Count	4	23	27
		% within Gender	1.1%	5.4%	3.4%
Total		Count	368	428	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Approval - History standards that reduce discussing minority contributions	Strongly approve	Count	13	61	59	52	185
		% within Age	12.4%	24.2%	19.6%	37.1%	23.2%
	Somewhat approve	Count	14	25	40	19	98
		% within Age	13.3%	9.9%	13.3%	13.6%	12.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	14	50	72	21	157
		% within Age	13.3%	19.8%	23.9%	15.0%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	16	31	45	20	112
		% within Age	15.2%	12.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.0%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	41	77	80	21	219
		% within Age	39.0%	30.6%	26.6%	15.0%	27.4%
	Don't know	Count	7	8	5	7	27
		% within Age	6.7%	3.2%	1.7%	5.0%	3.4%
	Total	Count	105	252	301	140	798
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

	Ideology scale								Total
	Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>	Don't know	

Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	1	0	2	1	1	4	0	0	9
		% within Ideology scale	6.7%	.0%	4.0%	1.1%	2.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	5	4	12	17	13	21	22	1	95
		% within Ideology scale	33.3%	22.2%	24.0%	19.5%	26.5%	24.7%	25.0%	16.7%	23.9%
	Only some confidence	Count	3	10	21	34	22	45	36	3	174
		% within Ideology scale	20.0%	55.6%	42.0%	39.1%	44.9%	52.9%	40.9%	50.0%	43.7%
	Very little confidence	Count	4	4	11	24	10	12	20	2	87
		% within Ideology scale	26.7%	22.2%	22.0%	27.6%	20.4%	14.1%	22.7%	33.3%	21.9%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	2	0	4	11	3	3	10	0	33
		% within Ideology scale	13.3%	.0%	8.0%	12.6%	6.1%	3.5%	11.4%	.0%	8.3%
Total	Count	15	18	50	87	49	85	88	6	398	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	1	3	0	1	1	3	1	10
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.5%	5.4%	.0%	3.0%	1.7%	9.7%	1.0%	2.7%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	18	15	2	6	7	9	32	89
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.7%	26.8%	10.5%	18.2%	12.1%	29.0%	30.5%	24.3%
	Only some confidence	Count	26	19	10	15	32	13	43	158
		% within 7 point Party ID	40.0%	33.9%	52.6%	45.5%	55.2%	41.9%	41.0%	43.1%
	Very little confidence	Count	18	15	5	6	14	6	15	79
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.7%	26.8%	26.3%	18.2%	24.1%	19.4%	14.3%	21.5%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	2	4	2	5	4	0	14	31
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.1%	7.1%	10.5%	15.2%	6.9%	.0%	13.3%	8.4%
Total	Count	65	56	19	33	58	31	105	367	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Population density Crosstabulation

	Population density	Total
--	--------------------	-------

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	1	7	1	9
		% within Population density	1.0%	3.3%	1.2%	2.2%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	30	46	20	96
		% within Population density	29.1%	21.4%	24.1%	23.9%
	Only some confidence	Count	40	94	42	176
		% within Population density	38.8%	43.7%	50.6%	43.9%
Very little confidence	Count	23	50	14	87	
	% within Population density	22.3%	23.3%	16.9%	21.7%	
Hardly any confidence at all	Count	9	18	6	33	
	% within Population density	8.7%	8.4%	7.2%	8.2%	
Total	Count	103	215	83	401	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	0	2	1	1	5	9
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	1.6%	3.6%	2.8%	4.1%	2.2%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	26	28	7	6	29	96
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.2%	21.9%	25.0%	16.7%	23.8%	23.8%
Only some confidence	Count	40	51	14	17	54	176	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.9%	39.8%	50.0%	47.2%	44.3%	43.7%	
Very little confidence	Count	14	36	5	9	24	88	

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.7%	28.1%	17.9%	25.0%	19.7%	21.8%
Hardly any confidence at all	Count	9	11	1	3	10	34
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.1%	8.6%	3.6%	8.3%	8.2%	8.4%
Total	Count	89	128	28	36	122	403
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	0	4	2	0	2	1	9
		% within Education	.0%	2.5%	1.8%	.0%	2.6%	3.8%	2.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	3	38	26	4	21	3	95
		% within Education	25.0%	24.2%	23.2%	25.0%	27.3%	11.5%	23.8%
	Only some confidence	Count	4	73	45	6	32	15	175
		% within Education	33.3%	46.5%	40.2%	37.5%	41.6%	57.7%	43.8%
	Very little confidence	Count	2	30	30	5	18	4	89
		% within Education	16.7%	19.1%	26.8%	31.3%	23.4%	15.4%	22.3%
Total	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	3	12	9	1	4	3	32
		% within Education	25.0%	7.6%	8.0%	6.3%	5.2%	11.5%	8.0%
		Count	12	157	112	16	77	26	400
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	



Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	2	2	0	2	1	2	9
		% within Frequency attend religious services	2.6%	2.9%	.0%	3.8%	1.3%	2.3%	2.3%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	18	20	12	16	13	17	96
		% within Frequency attend religious services	23.1%	29.4%	38.7%	30.2%	16.9%	19.3%	24.3%
	Only some confidence	Count	34	26	9	22	36	45	172
		% within Frequency attend religious services	43.6%	38.2%	29.0%	41.5%	46.8%	51.1%	43.5%
Very little confidence	Count	19	14	6	10	21	17	87	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	24.4%	20.6%	19.4%	18.9%	27.3%	19.3%	22.0%	
Hardly any confidence at all	Count	5	6	4	3	6	7	31	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	6.4%	8.8%	12.9%	5.7%	7.8%	8.0%	7.8%	
Total	Count	78	68	31	53	77	88	395	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	6	1	3	0	0	0	10
		% within Race	2.3%	2.2%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	58	9	19	1	1	8	96
		% within Race	22.2%	19.6%	28.4%	25.0%	33.3%	36.4%	23.8%
	Only some confidence	Count	120	16	27	2	2	9	176
		% within Race	46.0%	34.8%	40.3%	50.0%	66.7%	40.9%	43.7%
Very little confidence	Count	54	18	14	0	0	2	88	
	% within Race	20.7%	39.1%	20.9%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	21.8%	
Hardly any confidence at all	Count	23	2	4	1	0	3	33	
	% within Race	8.8%	4.3%	6.0%	25.0%	.0%	13.6%	8.2%	
Total	Count	261	46	67	4	3	22	403	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	6	3	9
		% within Own or rent home	1.9%	3.7%	2.2%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	73	24	97
		% within Own or rent home	22.8%	29.6%	24.2%
	Only some confidence	Count	149	27	176
		% within Own or rent home	46.6%	33.3%	43.9%
	Very little confidence	Count	63	23	86
		% within Own or rent home	19.7%	28.4%	21.4%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	29	4	33
		% within Own or rent home	9.1%	4.9%	8.2%
Total	Count	320	81	401	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	6	1	1	0	0	2	10
		% within Marital Status	2.6%	10.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	2.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	59	1	7	6	21	3	97
		% within Marital Status	25.5%	10.0%	20.6%	30.0%	22.6%	21.4%	24.1%
	Only some confidence	Count	101	5	13	10	42	4	175
		% within Marital Status	43.7%	50.0%	38.2%	50.0%	45.2%	28.6%	43.5%
	Very little confidence	Count	48	2	11	3	21	3	88
		% within Marital Status	20.8%	20.0%	32.4%	15.0%	22.6%	21.4%	21.9%

	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	17	1	2	1	9	2	32
		% within Marital Status	7.4%	10.0%	5.9%	5.0%	9.7%	14.3%	8.0%
Total		Count	231	10	34	20	93	14	402
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	4	6	10
		% within Gender	2.2%	2.7%	2.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	33	63	96
		% within Gender	18.1%	28.8%	23.9%
	Only some confidence	Count	91	84	175
		% within Gender	50.0%	38.4%	43.6%
	Very little confidence	Count	40	47	87
		% within Gender	22.0%	21.5%	21.7%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	14	19	33
		% within Gender	7.7%	8.7%	8.2%
Total	Count	182	219	401	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Texas public schools confidence - Split B \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Texas public schools confidence - Split B	Complete confidence	Count	0	4	5	1	10
		% within Age	.0%	2.8%	3.4%	1.4%	2.5%
	A great deal of confidence	Count	15	35	33	14	97
		% within Age	35.7%	24.6%	22.4%	19.4%	24.1%

	Only some confidence	Count	12	65	64	35	176
		% within Age	28.6%	45.8%	43.5%	48.6%	43.7%
	Very little confidence	Count	12	22	35	18	87
		% within Age	28.6%	15.5%	23.8%	25.0%	21.6%
	Hardly any confidence at all	Count	3	16	10	4	33
		% within Age	7.1%	11.3%	6.8%	5.6%	8.2%
Total		Count	42	142	147	72	403
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Necessity of college in success \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	13	27	44	82	43	62	57	3	331
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	60.0%	53.7%	45.6%	38.4%	37.6%	33.7%	21.4%	41.7%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	13	17	35	96	63	103	111	9	447
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	37.8%	42.7%	53.3%	56.3%	62.4%	65.7%	64.3%	56.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	3	2	6	0	1	2	16
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	2.2%	3.7%	1.1%	5.4%	.0%	.6%	14.3%	2.0%
Total		Count	27	45	82	180	112	165	169	14	794
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Necessity of college in success \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	86	49	26	23	33	23	77	0	317
		% within 7 point Party ID	54.1%	50.0%	60.5%	31.1%	29.7%	36.5%	41.8%	.0%	43.2%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	63	47	17	51	78	38	105	1	400
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.6%	48.0%	39.5%	68.9%	70.3%	60.3%	57.1%	100.0%	54.6%
	Don't know	Count	10	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	16
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	1.1%	.0%	2.2%

Total	Count	159	98	43	74	111	63	184	1	733
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Necessity of college in success \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	104	160	69	333
		% within Population density	45.4%	41.2%	38.5%	41.8%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	119	219	109	447
		% within Population density	52.0%	56.4%	60.9%	56.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	9	1	16
		% within Population density	2.6%	2.3%	.6%	2.0%
Total	Count	229	388	179	796	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	75	103	30	25	100	333
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.4%	43.3%	44.8%	39.7%	38.3%	41.7%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	89	130	36	38	154	447
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	52.7%	54.6%	53.7%	60.3%	59.0%	56.0%
	Don't know	Count	5	5	1	0	7	18
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.0%	2.1%	1.5%	.0%	2.7%	2.3%

Total	Count	169	238	67	63	261	798
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Necessity of college in success \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	13	100	109	16	68	27	333
		% within Education	46.4%	34.6%	45.0%	53.3%	44.4%	48.2%	41.7%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	13	183	127	14	81	29	447
		% within Education	46.4%	63.3%	52.5%	46.7%	52.9%	51.8%	56.0%
	Don't know	Count	2	6	6	0	4	0	18
		% within Education	7.1%	2.1%	2.5%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.3%
Total	Count	28	289	242	30	153	56	798	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	60	69	26	41	58	74	328
		% within Frequency attend religious services	41.4%	43.7%	41.3%	44.1%	40.3%	39.4%	41.5%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	84	87	35	49	84	106	445
		% within Frequency attend religious services	57.9%	55.1%	55.6%	52.7%	58.3%	56.4%	56.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	2	3	2	8	18
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.7%	1.3%	3.2%	3.2%	1.4%	4.3%	2.3%
Total	Count	145	158	63	93	144	188	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	192	44	77	5	2	13	333
		% within Race	37.9%	45.8%	53.5%	62.5%	28.6%	36.1%	41.7%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	306	47	65	3	5	22	448
		% within Race	60.4%	49.0%	45.1%	37.5%	71.4%	61.1%	56.1%
	Don't know	Count	9	5	2	0	0	1	17
		% within Race	1.8%	5.2%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	2.1%
Total	Count	507	96	144	8	7	36	798	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	242	91	333
		% within Own or rent home	40.5%	46.2%	41.9%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	343	101	444
		% within Own or rent home	57.5%	51.3%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	12	5	17
		% within Own or rent home	2.0%	2.5%	2.1%
Total	Count	597	197	794	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

	Marital Status	Total

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	180	10	26	13	85	19	333
		% within Marital Status	40.0%	58.8%	33.3%	35.1%	47.2%	51.4%	41.7%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	265	7	49	24	85	18	448
		% within Marital Status	58.9%	41.2%	62.8%	64.9%	47.2%	48.6%	56.1%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	3	0	10	0	18
		% within Marital Status	1.1%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	2.3%
Total	Count	450	17	78	37	180	37	799	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	128	204	332
		% within Gender	34.6%	47.9%	41.7%
	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	234	212	446
		% within Gender	63.2%	49.8%	56.0%
	Don't know	Count	8	10	18
		% within Gender	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%
Total	Count	370	426	796	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Necessity of college in success \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Necessity of college in success	College education is necessary	Count	65	112	114	42	333
		% within Age	61.9%	45.0%	37.7%	29.6%	41.7%



	There are many ways to succeed in today's world without a college degree	Count	39	126	183	100	448
		% within Age	37.1%	50.6%	60.6%	70.4%	56.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	11	5	0	17
		% within Age	1.0%	4.4%	1.7%	.0%	2.1%
Total		Count	105	249	302	142	798
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of college for those qualified \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Availability of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	3	8	21	39	40	83	94	3	291
		% within Ideology scale	11.5%	17.8%	25.6%	21.5%	36.0%	50.3%	55.6%	21.4%	36.7%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	22	36	55	128	54	65	60	8	428
		% within Ideology scale	84.6%	80.0%	67.1%	70.7%	48.6%	39.4%	35.5%	57.1%	54.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	6	14	17	17	15	3	74
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	2.2%	7.3%	7.7%	15.3%	10.3%	8.9%	21.4%	9.3%
Total		Count	26	45	82	181	111	165	169	14	793
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of college for those qualified \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Availability of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	31	28	6	21	62	24	95	1	268
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.6%	27.7%	14.3%	28.8%	55.9%	39.3%	51.6%	50.0%	36.6%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	116	69	33	39	40	32	70	1	400
		% within 7 point Party ID	73.4%	68.3%	78.6%	53.4%	36.0%	52.5%	38.0%	50.0%	54.6%
	Don't know	Count	11	4	3	13	9	5	19	0	64
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.0%	4.0%	7.1%	17.8%	8.1%	8.2%	10.3%	.0%	8.7%
Total		Count	158	101	42	73	111	61	184	2	732
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availably of college for those qualified \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Availably of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	77	158	59	294
		% within Population density	33.5%	40.5%	33.0%	36.8%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	134	196	101	431
		% within Population density	58.3%	50.3%	56.4%	53.9%
	Don't know	Count	19	36	19	74
		% within Population density	8.3%	9.2%	10.6%	9.3%
Total	Count	230	390	179	799	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availably of college for those qualified \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Availably of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	68	101	29	22	74	294
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	40.0%	41.9%	43.9%	34.9%	28.5%	36.8%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	95	118	33	34	151	431
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	55.9%	49.0%	50.0%	54.0%	58.1%	53.9%
	Don't know	Count	7	22	4	7	35	75
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.1%	9.1%	6.1%	11.1%	13.5%	9.4%
Total	Count	170	241	66	63	260	800	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availably of college for those qualified \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Availably of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	3	84	84	16	78	29	294
		% within Education	10.3%	28.8%	34.9%	55.2%	51.3%	51.8%	36.8%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	23	176	132	11	64	25	431
		% within Education	79.3%	60.3%	54.8%	37.9%	42.1%	44.6%	53.9%
	Don't know	Count	3	32	25	2	10	2	74
		% within Education	10.3%	11.0%	10.4%	6.9%	6.6%	3.6%	9.3%
Total	Count	29	292	241	29	152	56	799	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availably of college for those qualified \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Availably of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	60	72	21	38	47	53	291
		% within Frequency attend religious services	41.7%	45.6%	32.8%	41.8%	32.4%	28.0%	36.8%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	72	71	35	42	86	123	429
		% within Frequency attend religious services	50.0%	44.9%	54.7%	46.2%	59.3%	65.1%	54.2%
	Don't know	Count	12	15	8	11	12	13	71
		% within Frequency attend religious services	8.3%	9.5%	12.5%	12.1%	8.3%	6.9%	9.0%
Total	Count	144	158	64	91	145	189	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availably of college for those qualified \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Availability of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	192	25	56	3	2	17	295
		% within Race	37.6%	26.0%	38.9%	37.5%	28.6%	47.2%	36.8%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	259	60	86	5	4	17	431
		% within Race	50.8%	62.5%	59.7%	62.5%	57.1%	47.2%	53.8%
	Don't know	Count	59	11	2	0	1	2	75
		% within Race	11.6%	11.5%	1.4%	.0%	14.3%	5.6%	9.4%
Total	Count	510	96	144	8	7	36	801	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availability of college for those qualified \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Availability of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	239	55	294
		% within Own or rent home	39.9%	27.9%	36.9%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	304	124	428
		% within Own or rent home	50.8%	62.9%	53.8%
	Don't know	Count	56	18	74
		% within Own or rent home	9.3%	9.1%	9.3%
Total	Count	599	197	796	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availability of college for those qualified \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Availability of college for those	Have the opportunity	Count	192	3	25	10	56	8	294

	% within Marital Status	42.7%	17.6%	32.1%	27.0%	31.5%	21.6%	36.9%
Don't have the opportunity	Count	222	13	41	26	101	27	430
	% within Marital Status	49.3%	76.5%	52.6%	70.3%	56.7%	73.0%	54.0%
Don't know	Count	36	1	12	1	21	2	73
	% within Marital Status	8.0%	5.9%	15.4%	2.7%	11.8%	5.4%	9.2%
Total	Count	450	17	78	37	178	37	797
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of college for those qualified \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Availability of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	171	123	294
		% within Gender	46.2%	28.7%	36.8%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	170	261	431
		% within Gender	45.9%	61.0%	54.0%
	Don't know	Count	29	44	73
		% within Gender	7.8%	10.3%	9.1%
Total	Count	370	428	798	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Availability of college for those qualified \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Availability of college for those qualified	Have the opportunity	Count	32	96	113	54	295
		% within Age	30.2%	38.4%	37.4%	38.3%	36.9%
	Don't have the opportunity	Count	62	131	164	73	430
		% within Age	58.5%	52.4%	54.3%	51.8%	53.8%
	Don't know	Count	12	23	25	14	74
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within Age	11.3%	9.2%	8.3%	9.9%	9.3%
Total	Count	106	250	302	141	799
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	18	31	40	54	15	14	8	4	184
		% within Ideology scale	69.2%	67.4%	48.8%	30.0%	13.4%	8.5%	4.7%	28.6%	23.2%
	State government	Count	7	13	37	98	85	144	154	3	541
		% within Ideology scale	26.9%	28.3%	45.1%	54.4%	75.9%	87.3%	91.1%	21.4%	68.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	5	28	12	7	7	7	69
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	4.3%	6.1%	15.6%	10.7%	4.2%	4.1%	50.0%	8.7%
Total	Count	26	46	82	180	112	165	169	14	794	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	87	40	18	15	4	7	5	0	176
		% within 7 point Party ID	54.7%	40.0%	41.9%	20.8%	3.6%	11.3%	2.7%	.0%	24.0%
	State government	Count	60	46	22	49	104	49	163	1	494
		% within 7 point Party ID	37.7%	46.0%	51.2%	68.1%	93.7%	79.0%	89.1%	50.0%	67.5%
	Don't know	Count	12	14	3	8	3	6	15	1	62
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.5%	14.0%	7.0%	11.1%	2.7%	9.7%	8.2%	50.0%	8.5%
Total	Count	159	100	43	72	111	62	183	2	732	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Population density Crosstabulation

	Population density	Total
--	--------------------	-------

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	78	81	26	185
		% within Population density	34.1%	20.9%	14.5%	23.2%
	State government	Count	135	275	132	542
		% within Population density	59.0%	70.9%	73.7%	68.1%
	Don't know	Count	16	32	21	69
		% within Population density	7.0%	8.2%	11.7%	8.7%
Total	Count	229	388	179	796	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	40	47	20	18	60	185
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.8%	19.6%	30.3%	28.6%	23.2%	23.2%
	State government	Count	121	177	39	38	167	542
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	72.0%	73.8%	59.1%	60.3%	64.5%	68.1%
	Don't know	Count	7	16	7	7	32	69
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.2%	6.7%	10.6%	11.1%	12.4%	8.7%
Total	Count	168	240	66	63	259	796	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	4	42	71	9	46	13	185
		% within Education	14.3%	14.5%	29.2%	31.0%	30.5%	23.2%	23.2%
	State government	Count	24	217	143	17	101	40	542
		% within Education	85.7%	74.8%	58.8%	58.6%	66.9%	71.4%	68.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	31	29	3	4	3	70
		% within Education	.0%	10.7%	11.9%	10.3%	2.6%	5.4%	8.8%
Total	Count	28	290	243	29	151	56	797	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	26	27	8	22	36	65	184
		% within Frequency attend religious services	17.9%	17.1%	12.5%	23.9%	25.0%	34.6%	23.3%
	State government	Count	106	112	48	64	97	110	537
		% within Frequency attend religious services	73.1%	70.9%	75.0%	69.6%	67.4%	58.5%	67.9%
	Don't know	Count	13	19	8	6	11	13	70
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.0%	12.0%	12.5%	6.5%	7.6%	6.9%	8.8%
Total	Count	145	158	64	92	144	188	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	



Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	83	45	46	5	2	5	186
		% within Race	16.2%	46.9%	32.6%	62.5%	25.0%	14.3%	23.3%
	State government	Count	387	40	83	2	5	25	542
		% within Race	75.7%	41.7%	58.9%	25.0%	62.5%	71.4%	67.8%
	Don't know	Count	41	11	12	1	1	5	71
		% within Race	8.0%	11.5%	8.5%	12.5%	12.5%	14.3%	8.9%
Total	Count	511	96	141	8	8	35	799	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	126	59	185
		% within Own or rent home	21.1%	29.9%	23.3%
	State government	Count	416	122	538
		% within Own or rent home	69.7%	61.9%	67.8%
	Don't know	Count	55	16	71
		% within Own or rent home	9.2%	8.1%	8.9%
Total	Count	597	197	794	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	84	6	17	5	58	14	184
		% within Marital Status	18.6%	37.5%	22.4%	13.5%	32.4%	37.8%	23.1%
	State government	Count	331	9	53	30	98	21	542
		% within Marital Status	73.4%	56.3%	69.7%	81.1%	54.7%	56.8%	68.1%

Don't know	Count	36	1	6	2	23	2	70
	% within Marital Status	8.0%	6.3%	7.9%	5.4%	12.8%	5.4%	8.8%
Total	Count	451	16	76	37	179	37	796
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	76	109	185
		% within Gender	20.6%	25.5%	23.2%
	State government	Count	273	268	541
		% within Gender	74.0%	62.8%	68.0%
	Don't know	Count	20	50	70
		% within Gender	5.4%	11.7%	8.8%
Total	Count	369	427	796	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Improving public schools lead by fed vs state gov	Federal government	Count	33	76	61	14	184
		% within Age	31.4%	30.4%	20.3%	9.9%	23.1%
	State government	Count	59	150	213	121	543
		% within Age	56.2%	60.0%	71.0%	85.2%	68.1%
	Don't know	Count	13	24	26	7	70
		% within Age	12.4%	9.6%	8.7%	4.9%	8.8%
Total	Count	105	250	300	142	797	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - funding \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	23	41	62	132	52	52	52	7	421
		% within Ideology scale	88.5%	89.1%	75.6%	72.9%	46.4%	31.7%	30.8%	50.0%	53.0%
	Minor problem	Count	3	2	15	28	31	58	49	4	190
		% within Ideology scale	11.5%	4.3%	18.3%	15.5%	27.7%	35.4%	29.0%	28.6%	23.9%
	Not a problem	Count	0	2	5	15	22	45	61	0	150
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.3%	6.1%	8.3%	19.6%	27.4%	36.1%	.0%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	6	7	9	7	3	33
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	.0%	3.3%	6.3%	5.5%	4.1%	21.4%	4.2%
Total	Count	26	46	82	181	112	164	169	14	794	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - funding \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	131	67	39	33	32	31	64	1	398
		% within 7 point Party ID	81.9%	67.0%	92.9%	44.6%	29.1%	50.8%	35.0%	50.0%	54.4%
	Minor problem	Count	21	24	2	16	31	18	62	1	175
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.1%	24.0%	4.8%	21.6%	28.2%	29.5%	33.9%	50.0%	23.9%
	Not a problem	Count	6	4	1	20	44	8	46	0	129
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.8%	4.0%	2.4%	27.0%	40.0%	13.1%	25.1%	.0%	17.6%
	Don't know	Count	2	5	0	5	3	4	11	0	30
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	5.0%	.0%	6.8%	2.7%	6.6%	6.0%	.0%	4.1%
Total	Count	160	100	42	74	110	61	183	2	732	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - funding \* Population density Crosstabulation**

		Population density	Total

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	142	198	86	426
		% within Population density	61.2%	51.3%	48.0%	53.5%
	Minor problem	Count	58	89	44	191
		% within Population density	25.0%	23.1%	24.6%	24.0%
	Not a problem	Count	24	86	37	147
		% within Population density	10.3%	22.3%	20.7%	18.4%
	Don't know	Count	8	13	12	33
		% within Population density	3.4%	3.4%	6.7%	4.1%
Total	Count	232	386	179	797	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - funding \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	97	119	38	29	143	426
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	57.4%	49.4%	56.7%	47.5%	55.0%	53.4%
	Minor problem	Count	36	63	17	13	62	191
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.3%	26.1%	25.4%	21.3%	23.8%	23.9%
	Not a problem	Count	31	51	12	18	37	149
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.3%	21.2%	17.9%	29.5%	14.2%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	5	8	0	1	18	32
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.6%	6.9%	4.0%
Total	Count	169	241	67	61	260	798	

**Problem severity - funding \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	97	119	38	29	143	426
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	57.4%	49.4%	56.7%	47.5%	55.0%	53.4%
	Minor problem	Count	36	63	17	13	62	191
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.3%	26.1%	25.4%	21.3%	23.8%	23.9%
Not a problem	Count	31	51	12	18	37	149	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.3%	21.2%	17.9%	29.5%	14.2%	18.7%	
Don't know	Count	5	8	0	1	18	32	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.6%	6.9%	4.0%	
		Count	169	241	67	61	260	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - funding \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	21	143	139	16	77	28	424
		% within Education	75.0%	49.1%	57.7%	53.3%	51.0%	50.9%	53.3%
	Minor problem	Count	3	74	52	7	41	13	190
		% within Education	10.7%	25.4%	21.6%	23.3%	27.2%	23.6%	23.9%
		Count	4	52	42	6	32	13	149

	% within Education	14.3%	17.9%	17.4%	20.0%	21.2%	23.6%	18.7%
Don't know	Count	0	22	8	1	1	1	33
	% within Education	.0%	7.6%	3.3%	3.3%	.7%	1.8%	4.1%
Total	Count	28	291	241	30	151	55	796
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - funding \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	64	80	32	51	79	116	422
		% within Frequency attend religious services	44.4%	51.0%	50.0%	55.4%	54.5%	61.4%	53.4%
	Minor problem	Count	37	44	14	21	42	33	191
		% within Frequency attend religious services	25.7%	28.0%	21.9%	22.8%	29.0%	17.5%	24.1%
	Not a problem	Count	34	28	15	16	22	33	148
		% within Frequency attend religious services	23.6%	17.8%	23.4%	17.4%	15.2%	17.5%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	5	3	4	2	7	30
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.3%	3.2%	4.7%	4.3%	1.4%	3.7%	3.8%
Total		Count	144	157	64	92	145	189	791
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - funding \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	238	71	90	6	3	18	426
		% within Race	46.8%	74.0%	62.9%	85.7%	50.0%	50.0%	53.5%

Minor problem	Count	133	16	32	1	2	7	191
	% within Race	26.1%	16.7%	22.4%	14.3%	33.3%	19.4%	24.0%
Not a problem	Count	113	7	17	0	1	10	148
	% within Race	22.2%	7.3%	11.9%	.0%	16.7%	27.8%	18.6%
Don't know	Count	25	2	4	0	0	1	32
	% within Race	4.9%	2.1%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	4.0%
Total	Count	509	96	143	7	6	36	797
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - funding \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	295	128	423
		% within Own or rent home	49.3%	65.3%	53.3%
	Minor problem	Count	144	45	189
		% within Own or rent home	24.1%	23.0%	23.8%
	Not a problem	Count	134	15	149
		% within Own or rent home	22.4%	7.7%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	25	8	33
		% within Own or rent home	4.2%	4.1%	4.2%
Total		Count	598	196	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - funding \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	208	9	43	22	117	424
		% within Marital Status	46.2%	56.3%	55.1%	59.5%	65.4%	71.4%

Minor problem	Count	133	3	19	5	25	5	190
	% within Marital Status	29.6%	18.8%	24.4%	13.5%	14.0%	14.3%	23.9%
Not a problem	Count	97	2	12	8	27	3	149
	% within Marital Status	21.6%	12.5%	15.4%	21.6%	15.1%	8.6%	18.7%
Don't know	Count	12	2	4	2	10	2	32
	% within Marital Status	2.7%	12.5%	5.1%	5.4%	5.6%	5.7%	4.0%
Total	Count	450	16	78	37	179	35	795
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - funding \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	152	273	425
		% within Gender	41.3%	63.9%	53.5%
	Minor problem	Count	101	89	190
		% within Gender	27.4%	20.8%	23.9%
	Not a problem	Count	103	45	148
		% within Gender	28.0%	10.5%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	12	20	32
		% within Gender	3.3%	4.7%	4.0%
Total	Count	368	427	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - funding \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - funding	Major problem	Count	66	147	152	61	426
		% within Age	62.3%	58.6%	50.5%	43.3%	53.3%



	Minor problem	Count	22	57	76	36	191
		% within Age	20.8%	22.7%	25.2%	25.5%	23.9%
	Not a problem	Count	13	36	67	33	149
		% within Age	12.3%	14.3%	22.3%	23.4%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	5	11	6	11	33
		% within Age	4.7%	4.4%	2.0%	7.8%	4.1%
Total		Count	106	251	301	141	799
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Problem severity - overcrowding \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	17	23	52	99	52	63	75	5	386
		% within Ideology scale	63.0%	51.1%	64.2%	54.4%	46.8%	38.4%	44.1%	33.3%	48.6%
	Minor problem	Count	8	18	21	60	42	71	65	3	288
		% within Ideology scale	29.6%	40.0%	25.9%	33.0%	37.8%	43.3%	38.2%	20.0%	36.2%
	Not a problem	Count	2	3	7	12	8	25	24	1	82
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	6.7%	8.6%	6.6%	7.2%	15.2%	14.1%	6.7%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1	11	9	5	6	6	39
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	6.0%	8.1%	3.0%	3.5%	40.0%	4.9%
Total		Count	27	45	81	182	111	164	170	15	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Problem severity - overcrowding \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	90	58	29	30	52	35	68	0	362
		% within 7 point Party ID	57.0%	57.4%	72.5%	41.1%	46.8%	56.5%	37.2%	.0%	49.7%
	Minor problem	Count	53	32	10	29	42	22	75	0	263
		% within 7 point Party ID	33.5%	31.7%	25.0%	39.7%	37.8%	35.5%	41.0%	.0%	36.1%
	Not a problem	Count	7	7	0	8	14	4	30	1	71

	% within 7 point Party ID	4.4%	6.9%	.0%	11.0%	12.6%	6.5%	16.4%	100.0%	9.7%
Don't know	Count	8	4	1	6	3	1	10	0	33
	% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	4.0%	2.5%	8.2%	2.7%	1.6%	5.5%	.0%	4.5%
Total	Count	158	101	40	73	111	62	183	1	729
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Population density Crosstabulation**

		Population density			Total
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - overcrowding Major problem	Count	108	196	84	388
	% within Population density	47.0%	50.6%	46.7%	48.7%
Minor problem	Count	85	140	64	289
	% within Population density	37.0%	36.2%	35.6%	36.3%
Not a problem	Count	26	38	17	81
	% within Population density	11.3%	9.8%	9.4%	10.2%
Don't know	Count	11	13	15	39
	% within Population density	4.8%	3.4%	8.3%	4.9%
Total	Count	230	387	180	797
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	88	124	28	35	113	388
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	52.4%	51.5%	43.1%	57.4%	43.5%	48.8%
	Minor problem	Count	57	89	30	18	95	289

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	33.9%	36.9%	46.2%	29.5%	36.5%	36.4%
Not a problem	Count	19	20	7	5	29	80
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.3%	8.3%	10.8%	8.2%	11.2%	10.1%
Don't know	Count	4	8	0	3	23	38
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.4%	3.3%	.0%	4.9%	8.8%	4.8%
Total	Count	168	241	65	61	260	795
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	13	131	126	17	75	26	388
		% within Education	44.8%	45.0%	51.9%	58.6%	50.3%	46.4%	48.7%
	Minor problem	Count	8	111	78	11	58	23	289
		% within Education	27.6%	38.1%	32.1%	37.9%	38.9%	41.1%	36.3%
	Not a problem	Count	8	25	27	1	14	6	81
		% within Education	27.6%	8.6%	11.1%	3.4%	9.4%	10.7%	10.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	24	12	0	2	1	39
		% within Education	.0%	8.2%	4.9%	.0%	1.3%	1.8%	4.9%
Total		Count	29	291	243	29	149	56	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	69	79	29	36	83	87	383
		% within Frequency attend religious services	47.6%	50.3%	46.0%	39.1%	57.2%	46.3%	48.5%
	Minor problem	Count	49	58	24	40	43	74	288
		% within Frequency attend religious services	33.8%	36.9%	38.1%	43.5%	29.7%	39.4%	36.5%
	Not a problem	Count	19	15	6	10	11	19	80
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.1%	9.6%	9.5%	10.9%	7.6%	10.1%	10.1%
	Don't know	Count	8	5	4	6	8	8	39
		% within Frequency attend religious services	5.5%	3.2%	6.3%	6.5%	5.5%	4.3%	4.9%
Total		Count	145	157	63	92	145	188	790
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	242	53	73	3	4	13	388
		% within Race	47.5%	55.8%	51.0%	50.0%	50.0%	36.1%	48.7%
	Minor problem	Count	194	27	46	3	3	16	289
		% within Race	38.1%	28.4%	32.2%	50.0%	37.5%	44.4%	36.3%
	Not a problem	Count	49	10	17	0	0	6	82
		% within Race	9.6%	10.5%	11.9%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	24	5	7	0	1	1	38
		% within Race	4.7%	5.3%	4.9%	.0%	12.5%	2.8%	4.8%
Total		Count	509	95	143	6	8	36	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

		Own or rent home		Total
		Own	Rent	
Problem severity - overcrowding Major problem	Count	283	103	386
	% within Own or rent home	47.4%	52.6%	48.7%
Minor problem	Count	224	64	288
	% within Own or rent home	37.5%	32.7%	36.3%
Not a problem	Count	63	18	81
	% within Own or rent home	10.6%	9.2%	10.2%
Don't know	Count	27	11	38
	% within Own or rent home	4.5%	5.6%	4.8%
Total	Count	597	196	793
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - overcrowding	Major problem	Count	203	9	34	25	97	20	388
		% within Marital Status	45.1%	56.3%	43.6%	67.6%	53.9%	54.1%	48.6%
Minor problem	Count	178	3	29	8	59	13	290	
	% within Marital Status	39.6%	18.8%	37.2%	21.6%	32.8%	35.1%	36.3%	
Not a problem	Count	50	2	8	4	14	3	81	
	% within Marital Status	11.1%	12.5%	10.3%	10.8%	7.8%	8.1%	10.2%	
Don't know	Count	19	2	7	0	10	1	39	
	% within Marital Status	4.2%	12.5%	9.0%	.0%	5.6%	2.7%	4.9%	
Total	Count	450	16	78	37	180	37	798	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Problem severity - overcrowding Major problem	Count	147	241	388
	% within Gender	40.1%	56.3%	48.8%
Minor problem	Count	157	132	289
	% within Gender	42.8%	30.8%	36.4%
Not a problem	Count	49	31	80
	% within Gender	13.4%	7.2%	10.1%
Don't know	Count	14	24	38
	% within Gender	3.8%	5.6%	4.8%
Total	Count	367	428	795
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - overcrowding \* Age Crosstabulation**

		Age				Total
		18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - overcrowding Major problem	Count	56	120	146	67	389
	% within Age	52.8%	48.2%	48.5%	47.5%	48.8%
Minor problem	Count	31	96	107	56	290
	% within Age	29.2%	38.6%	35.5%	39.7%	36.4%
Not a problem	Count	13	23	33	11	80
	% within Age	12.3%	9.2%	11.0%	7.8%	10.0%
Don't know	Count	6	10	15	7	38
	% within Age	5.7%	4.0%	5.0%	5.0%	4.8%
Total	Count	106	249	301	141	797
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - crime \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								Total
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>	Don't know	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	14	18	35	116	68	99	119	4	473
		% within Ideology scale	51.9%	39.1%	43.8%	64.1%	61.8%	60.4%	70.4%	26.7%	59.7%
	Minor problem	Count	13	25	41	50	34	57	43	4	267
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	54.3%	51.3%	27.6%	30.9%	34.8%	25.4%	26.7%	33.7%
	Not a problem	Count	0	2	3	2	4	6	3	1	21
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.3%	3.8%	1.1%	3.6%	3.7%	1.8%	6.7%	2.7%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1	13	4	2	4	6	31
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.3%	7.2%	3.6%	1.2%	2.4%	40.0%	3.9%
Total	Count	27	46	80	181	110	164	169	15	792	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - crime \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	94	54	24	39	82	37	110	1	441
		% within 7 point Party ID	59.1%	54.0%	58.5%	52.7%	73.2%	60.7%	60.1%	50.0%	60.2%
	Minor problem	Count	53	35	17	27	26	23	64	0	245
		% within 7 point Party ID	33.3%	35.0%	41.5%	36.5%	23.2%	37.7%	35.0%	.0%	33.5%
	Not a problem	Count	5	4	0	3	1	0	5	1	19
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.1%	4.0%	.0%	4.1%	.9%	.0%	2.7%	50.0%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	7	7	0	5	3	1	4	0	27
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.4%	7.0%	.0%	6.8%	2.7%	1.6%	2.2%	.0%	3.7%
Total	Count	159	100	41	74	112	61	183	2	732	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - crime \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	149	214	115	478
		% within Population density	64.2%	55.2%	64.2%	59.8%
	Minor problem	Count	69	153	45	267
		% within Population density	29.7%	39.4%	25.1%	33.4%
	Not a problem	Count	6	10	6	22
		% within Population density	2.6%	2.6%	3.4%	2.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	11	13	32
		% within Population density	3.4%	2.8%	7.3%	4.0%
Total	Count	232	388	179	799	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - crime \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	103	151	35	29	160	478
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	60.6%	63.2%	53.0%	47.5%	61.5%	60.1%
	Minor problem	Count	60	77	28	28	73	266
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	35.3%	32.2%	42.4%	45.9%	28.1%	33.4%
	Not a problem	Count	5	4	3	3	7	22
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.9%	1.7%	4.5%	4.9%	2.7%	2.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	0	1	20	30
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	0.9%	2.8%	0.0%	1.1%	12.5%	3.7%



	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	2.9%	.0%	1.6%	7.7%	3.8%
Total	Count	170	239	66	61	260	796
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - crime \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	19	188	137	16	88	30	478
		% within Education	67.9%	64.4%	56.6%	53.3%	57.9%	53.6%	59.8%
	Minor problem	Count	9	79	85	13	59	23	268
		% within Education	32.1%	27.1%	35.1%	43.3%	38.8%	41.1%	33.5%
	Not a problem	Count	0	5	11	1	4	2	23
		% within Education	.0%	1.7%	4.5%	3.3%	2.6%	3.6%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	20	9	0	1	1	31
		% within Education	.0%	6.8%	3.7%	.0%	.7%	1.8%	3.9%
Total	Count	28	292	242	30	152	56	800	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - crime \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	98	95	33	60	85	101	472
		% within Frequency attend religious services	68.1%	60.1%	50.8%	65.2%	59.0%	53.4%	59.6%
	Minor problem	Count	40	51	27	25	49	76	268

	% within Frequency attend religious services	27.8%	32.3%	41.5%	27.2%	34.0%	40.2%	33.8%
Not a problem	Count	1	8	1	2	4	6	22
	% within Frequency attend religious services	.7%	5.1%	1.5%	2.2%	2.8%	3.2%	2.8%
Don't know	Count	5	4	4	5	6	6	30
	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	2.5%	6.2%	5.4%	4.2%	3.2%	3.8%
Total	Count	144	158	65	92	144	189	792
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - crime \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	309	57	85	6	6	15	478
		% within Race	60.8%	59.4%	59.0%	85.7%	75.0%	42.9%	59.9%
	Minor problem	Count	168	28	48	1	1	20	266
		% within Race	33.1%	29.2%	33.3%	14.3%	12.5%	57.1%	33.3%
	Not a problem	Count	14	4	5	0	0	0	23
		% within Race	2.8%	4.2%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	17	7	6	0	1	0	31
		% within Race	3.3%	7.3%	4.2%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	3.9%
Total	Count	508	96	144	7	8	35	798	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - crime \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	368	107	475

	% within Own or rent home	61.5%	54.6%	59.8%
Minor problem	Count	193	72	265
	% within Own or rent home	32.3%	36.7%	33.4%
Not a problem	Count	17	6	23
	% within Own or rent home	2.8%	3.1%	2.9%
Don't know	Count	20	11	31
	% within Own or rent home	3.3%	5.6%	3.9%
Total	Count	598	196	794
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - crime \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	276	12	48	25	93	24	478
		% within Marital Status	61.2%	70.6%	62.3%	71.4%	52.0%	64.9%	60.1%
	Minor problem	Count	151	4	23	7	69	12	266
		% within Marital Status	33.5%	23.5%	29.9%	20.0%	38.5%	32.4%	33.4%
	Not a problem	Count	11	0	4	2	4	0	21
		% within Marital Status	2.4%	.0%	5.2%	5.7%	2.2%	.0%	2.6%
	Don't know	Count	13	1	2	1	13	1	31
		% within Marital Status	2.9%	5.9%	2.6%	2.9%	7.3%	2.7%	3.9%
Total	Count	451	17	77	35	179	37	796	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - crime \* Gender Crosstabulation**

	Gender		Total
	Male	Female	

Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	215	262	477
		% within Gender	58.1%	61.4%	59.8%
	Minor problem	Count	133	134	267
		% within Gender	35.9%	31.4%	33.5%
	Not a problem	Count	14	8	22
		% within Gender	3.8%	1.9%	2.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	23	31
		% within Gender	2.2%	5.4%	3.9%
Total		Count	370	427	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - crime \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - crime	Major problem	Count	50	130	197	101	478
		% within Age	47.6%	51.8%	65.4%	71.1%	59.8%
	Minor problem	Count	40	104	88	35	267
		% within Age	38.1%	41.4%	29.2%	24.6%	33.4%
	Not a problem	Count	4	9	7	2	22
		% within Age	3.8%	3.6%	2.3%	1.4%	2.8%
	Don't know	Count	11	8	9	4	32
		% within Age	10.5%	3.2%	3.0%	2.8%	4.0%
Total		Count	105	251	301	142	799
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - low standards \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale							Total
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	

Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	14	23	46	107	71	95	119	7	482
		% within Ideology scale	53.8%	51.1%	56.8%	59.4%	64.0%	57.2%	70.8%	46.7%	60.9%
	Minor problem	Count	10	15	27	40	22	43	29	1	187
		% within Ideology scale	38.5%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	19.8%	25.9%	17.3%	6.7%	23.6%
	Not a problem	Count	2	6	6	19	15	22	16	1	87
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	13.3%	7.4%	10.6%	13.5%	13.3%	9.5%	6.7%	11.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	2	14	3	6	4	6	36
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	2.5%	7.8%	2.7%	3.6%	2.4%	40.0%	4.5%
Total	Count	26	45	81	180	111	166	168	15	792	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Problem severity - low standards \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	92	55	30	49	83	34	111	1	455
		% within 7 point Party ID	57.9%	55.0%	71.4%	66.2%	74.1%	54.8%	60.7%	50.0%	62.0%
	Minor problem	Count	46	27	9	13	18	13	38	1	165
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.9%	27.0%	21.4%	17.6%	16.1%	21.0%	20.8%	50.0%	22.5%
	Not a problem	Count	10	15	3	9	10	12	25	0	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	15.0%	7.1%	12.2%	8.9%	19.4%	13.7%	.0%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	11	3	0	3	1	3	9	0	30
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.9%	3.0%	.0%	4.1%	.9%	4.8%	4.9%	.0%	4.1%
Total	Count	159	100	42	74	112	62	183	2	734	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Problem severity - low standards \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	135	244	107	486
		% within Population density	58.4%	63.0%	59.8%	61.0%
	Minor problem	Count	67	82	37	186
		% within Population density	29.0%	21.2%	20.7%	23.3%

	Not a problem	Count	19	44	25	88
		% within Population density	8.2%	11.4%	14.0%	11.0%
	Don't know	Count	10	17	10	37
		% within Population density	4.3%	4.4%	5.6%	4.6%
Total		Count	231	387	179	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - low standards \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	105	147	43	37	153	485
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	62.1%	61.5%	64.2%	60.7%	58.8%	60.9%
	Minor problem	Count	42	62	14	16	51	185
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.9%	25.9%	20.9%	26.2%	19.6%	23.2%
Not a problem	Count	15	21	9	5	39	89	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	8.9%	8.8%	13.4%	8.2%	15.0%	11.2%	
Don't know	Count	7	9	1	3	17	37	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.1%	3.8%	1.5%	4.9%	6.5%	4.6%	
Total		Count	169	239	67	61	260	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - low standards \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	20	160	145	16	106	39	486
		% within Education	69.0%	55.2%	59.9%	53.3%	69.7%	69.6%	60.8%
	Minor problem	Count	6	71	60	8	30	11	186
		% within Education	20.7%	24.5%	24.8%	26.7%	19.7%	19.6%	23.3%
	Not a problem	Count	3	36	27	6	13	5	90
		% within Education	10.3%	12.4%	11.2%	20.0%	8.6%	8.9%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	23	10	0	3	1	37
		% within Education	.0%	7.9%	4.1%	.0%	2.0%	1.8%	4.6%
Total	Count	29	290	242	30	152	56	799	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - low standards \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	87	99	37	59	91	110	483
		% within Frequency attend religious services	60.0%	62.7%	57.8%	64.1%	63.6%	58.2%	61.1%
	Minor problem	Count	30	35	15	22	36	45	183
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.7%	22.2%	23.4%	23.9%	25.2%	23.8%	23.1%
	Not a problem	Count	22	17	6	6	10	29	90
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.2%	10.8%	9.4%	6.5%	7.0%	15.3%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	6	7	6	5	6	5	35
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.1%	4.4%	9.4%	5.4%	4.2%	2.6%	4.4%
Total	Count	145	158	64	92	143	189	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - low standards \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	324	60	77	4	5	16	486
		% within Race	63.8%	63.2%	53.1%	66.7%	62.5%	44.4%	60.9%
	Minor problem	Count	103	23	45	2	1	11	185
		% within Race	20.3%	24.2%	31.0%	33.3%	12.5%	30.6%	23.2%
	Not a problem	Count	60	9	11	0	1	9	90
		% within Race	11.8%	9.5%	7.6%	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	21	3	12	0	1	0	37
		% within Race	4.1%	3.2%	8.3%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	4.6%
Total	Count	508	95	145	6	8	36	798	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - low standards \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	372	111	483
		% within Own or rent home	62.2%	56.6%	60.8%
	Minor problem	Count	140	46	186
		% within Own or rent home	23.4%	23.5%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	57	31	88
		% within Own or rent home	9.5%	15.8%	11.1%
	Don't know	Count	29	8	37
		% within Own or rent home	4.8%	4.1%	4.7%
Total	Count	598	196	794	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



**Problem severity - low standards \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	273	9	51	26	105	22	486
		% within Marital Status	60.8%	56.3%	65.4%	70.3%	58.7%	61.1%	61.1%
	Minor problem	Count	105	4	15	1	51	9	185
		% within Marital Status	23.4%	25.0%	19.2%	2.7%	28.5%	25.0%	23.3%
	Not a problem	Count	51	2	10	8	14	4	89
		% within Marital Status	11.4%	12.5%	12.8%	21.6%	7.8%	11.1%	11.2%
	Don't know	Count	20	1	2	2	9	1	35
		% within Marital Status	4.5%	6.3%	2.6%	5.4%	5.0%	2.8%	4.4%
Total	Count	449	16	78	37	179	36	795	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - low standards \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	240	244	484
		% within Gender	65.4%	56.9%	60.8%
	Minor problem	Count	88	98	186
		% within Gender	24.0%	22.8%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	29	61	90
		% within Gender	7.9%	14.2%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	10	26	36
		% within Gender	2.7%	6.1%	4.5%
Total	Count	367	429	796	

**Problem severity - low standards \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	240	244	484
		% within Gender	65.4%	56.9%	60.8%
	Minor problem	Count	88	98	186
		% within Gender	24.0%	22.8%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	29	61	90
		% within Gender	7.9%	14.2%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	10	26	36
		% within Gender	2.7%	6.1%	4.5%
		Count	367	429	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - low standards \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - low standards	Major problem	Count	60	137	179	110	486
		% within Age	57.1%	54.6%	59.7%	77.5%	60.9%
	Minor problem	Count	28	64	73	21	186
		% within Age	26.7%	25.5%	24.3%	14.8%	23.3%
	Not a problem	Count	10	40	32	7	89
		% within Age	9.5%	15.9%	10.7%	4.9%	11.2%
	Don't know	Count	7	10	16	4	37
		% within Age	6.7%	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%	4.6%
Total	Count	105	251	300	142	798	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	18	28	65	139	86	128	135	8	607
		% within Ideology scale	69.2%	60.9%	79.3%	76.4%	76.8%	78.5%	80.4%	53.3%	76.4%
	Minor problem	Count	7	15	13	23	17	30	21	0	126
		% within Ideology scale	26.9%	32.6%	15.9%	12.6%	15.2%	18.4%	12.5%	.0%	15.9%
	Not a problem	Count	1	2	4	11	3	3	9	1	34
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	4.3%	4.9%	6.0%	2.7%	1.8%	5.4%	6.7%	4.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	9	6	2	3	6	27
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	.0%	4.9%	5.4%	1.2%	1.8%	40.0%	3.4%
Total	Count	26	46	82	182	112	163	168	15	794	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	123	70	38	54	91	51	137	1	565
		% within 7 point Party ID	77.4%	70.0%	90.5%	73.0%	82.0%	82.3%	75.7%	100.0%	77.4%
	Minor problem	Count	23	21	3	16	13	7	31	0	114
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.5%	21.0%	7.1%	21.6%	11.7%	11.3%	17.1%	.0%	15.6%
	Not a problem	Count	8	5	1	1	3	3	9	0	30
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.0%	5.0%	2.4%	1.4%	2.7%	4.8%	5.0%	.0%	4.1%
	Don't know	Count	5	4	0	3	4	1	4	0	21
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.1%	4.0%	.0%	4.1%	3.6%	1.6%	2.2%	.0%	2.9%
Total	Count	159	100	42	74	111	62	181	1	730	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Population density Crosstabulation**

		Population density			Total
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	

Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	175	302	133	610
		% within Population density	75.8%	78.2%	75.1%	76.8%
	Minor problem	Count	33	60	32	125
		% within Population density	14.3%	15.5%	18.1%	15.7%
	Not a problem	Count	14	16	3	33
		% within Population density	6.1%	4.1%	1.7%	4.2%
	Don't know	Count	9	8	9	26
		% within Population density	3.9%	2.1%	5.1%	3.3%
Total	Count	231	386	177	794	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	138	189	54	42	188	611
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	81.2%	78.8%	80.6%	70.0%	72.3%	76.7%
	Minor problem	Count	26	41	11	11	37	126
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.3%	17.1%	16.4%	18.3%	14.2%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	5	5	1	6	16	33
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.9%	2.1%	1.5%	10.0%	6.2%	4.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	5	1	1	19	27
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	2.1%	1.5%	1.7%	7.3%	3.4%
Total	Count	170	240	67	60	260	797	

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	138	189	54	42	188	611
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	81.2%	78.8%	80.6%	70.0%	72.3%	76.7%
	Minor problem	Count	26	41	11	11	37	126
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.3%	17.1%	16.4%	18.3%	14.2%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	5	5	1	6	16	33
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.9%	2.1%	1.5%	10.0%	6.2%	4.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	5	1	1	19	27
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	2.1%	1.5%	1.7%	7.3%	3.4%
		Count	170	240	67	60	260	797
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	22	219	171	25	124	49	610
		% within Education	78.6%	75.5%	70.7%	83.3%	82.7%	87.5%	76.6%
	Minor problem	Count	2	48	47	4	20	5	126
		% within Education	7.1%	16.6%	19.4%	13.3%	13.3%	8.9%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	4	6	16	1	5	1	33

	% within Education	14.3%	2.1%	6.6%	3.3%	3.3%	1.8%	4.1%
Don't know	Count	0	17	8	0	1	1	27
	% within Education	.0%	5.9%	3.3%	.0%	.7%	1.8%	3.4%
Total	Count	28	290	242	30	150	56	796
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	116	129	46	68	112	135	606
		% within Frequency attend religious services	80.6%	82.2%	70.8%	73.1%	77.2%	71.1%	76.3%
	Minor problem	Count	16	19	14	16	24	38	127
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.1%	12.1%	21.5%	17.2%	16.6%	20.0%	16.0%
	Not a problem	Count	5	7	1	5	4	12	34
		% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	4.5%	1.5%	5.4%	2.8%	6.3%	4.3%
	Don't know	Count	7	2	4	4	5	5	27
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.9%	1.3%	6.2%	4.3%	3.4%	2.6%	3.4%
Total		Count	144	157	65	93	145	190	794
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	395	74	107	4	5	25	610
		% within Race	77.9%	77.9%	74.3%	66.7%	62.5%	69.4%	76.6%

Minor problem	Count	81	14	19	1	2	9	126
	% within Race	16.0%	14.7%	13.2%	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	15.8%
Not a problem	Count	17	4	10	0	0	2	33
	% within Race	3.4%	4.2%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	4.1%
Don't know	Count	14	3	8	1	1	0	27
	% within Race	2.8%	3.2%	5.6%	16.7%	12.5%	.0%	3.4%
Total	Count	507	95	144	6	8	36	796
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	459	148	607
		% within Own or rent home	77.3%	75.1%	76.7%
	Minor problem	Count	96	29	125
		% within Own or rent home	16.2%	14.7%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	19	14	33
		% within Own or rent home	3.2%	7.1%	4.2%
	Don't know	Count	20	6	26
		% within Own or rent home	3.4%	3.0%	3.3%
Total	Count		594	197	791
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	360	11	58	29	124	28	610
		% within Marital Status	80.0%	68.8%	75.3%	78.4%	69.7%	73.7%	76.6%

	Minor problem	Count	69	3	13	6	29	6	126
		% within Marital Status	15.3%	18.8%	16.9%	16.2%	16.3%	15.8%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	10	1	4	2	13	3	33
		% within Marital Status	2.2%	6.3%	5.2%	5.4%	7.3%	7.9%	4.1%
	Don't know	Count	11	1	2	0	12	1	27
		% within Marital Status	2.4%	6.3%	2.6%	.0%	6.7%	2.6%	3.4%
Total		Count	450	16	77	37	178	38	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	286	324	610
		% within Gender	77.7%	75.7%	76.6%
	Minor problem	Count	58	68	126
		% within Gender	15.8%	15.9%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	17	16	33
		% within Gender	4.6%	3.7%	4.1%
	Don't know	Count	7	20	27
		% within Gender	1.9%	4.7%	3.4%
Total		Count	368	428	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - lack of parental involvement \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - lack of parental involvement	Major problem	Count	72	184	234	120	610
		% within Age	69.2%	73.6%	77.7%	85.7%	76.7%



	Minor problem	Count	17	42	53	14	126
		% within Age	16.3%	16.8%	17.6%	10.0%	15.8%
	Not a problem	Count	7	16	7	3	33
		% within Age	6.7%	6.4%	2.3%	2.1%	4.2%
	Don't know	Count	8	8	7	3	26
		% within Age	7.7%	3.2%	2.3%	2.1%	3.3%
Total		Count	104	250	301	140	795
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	8	20	37	101	55	88	92	5	406
		% within Ideology scale	29.6%	44.4%	45.1%	55.8%	49.1%	53.3%	54.4%	35.7%	51.1%
	Minor problem	Count	13	20	40	51	43	55	53	5	280
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	44.4%	48.8%	28.2%	38.4%	33.3%	31.4%	35.7%	35.2%
	Not a problem	Count	6	3	4	22	10	18	18	1	82
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	6.7%	4.9%	12.2%	8.9%	10.9%	10.7%	7.1%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	1	7	4	4	6	3	27
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.4%	1.2%	3.9%	3.6%	2.4%	3.6%	21.4%	3.4%
Total		Count	27	45	82	181	112	165	169	14	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	80	45	21	39	75	27	92	1	380
		% within 7 point Party ID	50.3%	45.0%	50.0%	52.7%	67.0%	43.5%	50.0%	50.0%	51.7%
	Minor problem	Count	59	36	19	24	25	28	63	1	255
		% within 7 point Party ID	37.1%	36.0%	45.2%	32.4%	22.3%	45.2%	34.2%	50.0%	34.7%
	Not a problem	Count	16	14	2	6	9	6	23	0	76
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within 7 point Party ID	10.1%	14.0%	4.8%	8.1%	8.0%	9.7%	12.5%	.0%	10.3%
Don't know	Count	4	5	0	5	3	1	6	0	24
	% within 7 point Party ID	2.5%	5.0%	.0%	6.8%	2.7%	1.6%	3.3%	.0%	3.3%
Total	Count	159	100	42	74	112	62	184	2	735
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	115	198	95	408
		% within Population density	49.6%	51.2%	53.1%	51.1%
	Minor problem	Count	79	147	55	281
		% within Population density	34.1%	38.0%	30.7%	35.2%
	Not a problem	Count	30	31	20	81
		% within Population density	12.9%	8.0%	11.2%	10.2%
	Don't know	Count	8	11	9	28
		% within Population density	3.4%	2.8%	5.0%	3.5%
Total	Count	232	387	179	798	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	95	124	35	26	128	408
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	55.9%	51.7%	52.2%	41.9%	49.0%	51.0%
	Minor problem	Count	49	94	23	26	90	282
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28.8%	39.2%	34.3%	41.9%	34.5%	35.3%
Not a problem	Count	20	19	9	8	26	82
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.8%	7.9%	13.4%	12.9%	10.0%	10.3%
Don't know	Count	6	3	0	2	17	28
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.5%	1.3%	.0%	3.2%	6.5%	3.5%
Total	Count	170	240	67	62	261	800
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	22	147	123	9	79	28	408
		% within Education	78.6%	50.3%	50.6%	31.0%	52.3%	49.1%	51.0%
	Minor problem	Count	4	101	85	15	57	20	282
		% within Education	14.3%	34.6%	35.0%	51.7%	37.7%	35.1%	35.3%
	Not a problem	Count	2	31	27	5	11	6	82
		% within Education	7.1%	10.6%	11.1%	17.2%	7.3%	10.5%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	13	8	0	4	3	28
		% within Education	.0%	4.5%	3.3%	.0%	2.6%	5.3%	3.5%
Total	Count	28	292	243	29	151	57	800	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	65	87	28	51	81	91	403
		% within Frequency attend religious services	44.8%	55.1%	44.4%	56.0%	56.3%	48.1%	51.0%
	Minor problem	Count	52	47	26	28	56	69	278
		% within Frequency attend religious services	35.9%	29.7%	41.3%	30.8%	38.9%	36.5%	35.2%
	Not a problem	Count	23	17	6	7	5	24	82
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.9%	10.8%	9.5%	7.7%	3.5%	12.7%	10.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	7	3	5	2	5	27
		% within Frequency attend religious services	3.4%	4.4%	4.8%	5.5%	1.4%	2.6%	3.4%
Total		Count	145	158	63	91	144	189	790
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	253	58	73	5	4	15	408
		% within Race	49.5%	61.1%	51.0%	83.3%	50.0%	41.7%	51.1%
	Minor problem	Count	185	27	48	1	3	16	280
		% within Race	36.2%	28.4%	33.6%	16.7%	37.5%	44.4%	35.0%
	Not a problem	Count	51	8	19	0	0	4	82
		% within Race	10.0%	8.4%	13.3%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	22	2	3	0	1	1	29
		% within Race	4.3%	2.1%	2.1%	.0%	12.5%	2.8%	3.6%
Total		Count	511	95	143	6	8	36	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	301	104	405
		% within Own or rent home	50.3%	53.1%	51.0%
	Minor problem	Count	217	63	280
		% within Own or rent home	36.3%	32.1%	35.3%
	Not a problem	Count	59	22	81
		% within Own or rent home	9.9%	11.2%	10.2%
	Don't know	Count	21	7	28
		% within Own or rent home	3.5%	3.6%	3.5%
Total		Count	598	196	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	228	12	37	23	86	22	408
		% within Marital Status	50.4%	75.0%	47.4%	62.2%	48.0%	59.5%	51.1%
	Minor problem	Count	162	3	33	9	64	10	281
		% within Marital Status	35.8%	18.8%	42.3%	24.3%	35.8%	27.0%	35.2%
	Not a problem	Count	49	1	7	4	17	4	82
		% within Marital Status	10.8%	6.3%	9.0%	10.8%	9.5%	10.8%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	13	0	1	1	12	1	28
		% within Marital Status	2.9%	.0%	1.3%	2.7%	6.7%	2.7%	3.5%
Total		Count	452	16	78	37	179	37	799
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	194	213	407
		% within Gender	52.6%	49.8%	51.1%
	Minor problem	Count	128	152	280
		% within Gender	34.7%	35.5%	35.1%
	Not a problem	Count	37	45	82
		% within Gender	10.0%	10.5%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	10	18	28
		% within Gender	2.7%	4.2%	3.5%
Total	Count	369	428	797	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - poor quality teachers \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - poor quality teachers	Major problem	Count	57	116	155	80	408
		% within Age	53.8%	46.4%	51.3%	56.3%	51.0%
	Minor problem	Count	33	100	98	51	282
		% within Age	31.1%	40.0%	32.5%	35.9%	35.3%
	Not a problem	Count	11	25	39	7	82
		% within Age	10.4%	10.0%	12.9%	4.9%	10.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	9	10	4	28
		% within Age	4.7%	3.6%	3.3%	2.8%	3.5%
Total	Count	106	250	302	142	800	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	0	4	5	52	49	107	139	5	361
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	9.1%	6.1%	28.7%	44.1%	64.8%	82.2%	35.7%	45.5%
	Minor problem	Count	3	7	12	42	35	38	20	1	158
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	15.9%	14.6%	23.2%	31.5%	23.0%	11.8%	7.1%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	23	30	60	50	16	12	5	2	198
		% within Ideology scale	85.2%	68.2%	73.2%	27.6%	14.4%	7.3%	3.0%	14.3%	25.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	5	37	11	8	5	6	76
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.8%	6.1%	20.4%	9.9%	4.8%	3.0%	42.9%	9.6%
Total	Count	27	44	82	181	111	165	169	14	793	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	25	15	2	34	85	31	131	1	324
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.7%	15.2%	4.8%	45.9%	76.6%	50.0%	71.6%	100.0%	44.3%
	Minor problem	Count	31	24	12	12	19	20	32	0	150
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.5%	24.2%	28.6%	16.2%	17.1%	32.3%	17.5%	.0%	20.5%
	Not a problem	Count	81	46	26	19	2	4	12	0	190
		% within 7 point Party ID	50.9%	46.5%	61.9%	25.7%	1.8%	6.5%	6.6%	.0%	26.0%
	Don't know	Count	22	14	2	9	5	7	8	0	67
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.8%	14.1%	4.8%	12.2%	4.5%	11.3%	4.4%	.0%	9.2%
Total	Count	159	99	42	74	111	62	183	1	731	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Population density Crosstabulation**

		Population density	Total

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	78	195	88	361
		% within Population density	33.8%	50.5%	48.9%	45.3%
	Minor problem	Count	52	68	39	159
		% within Population density	22.5%	17.6%	21.7%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	77	94	29	200
		% within Population density	33.3%	24.4%	16.1%	25.1%
	Don't know	Count	24	29	24	77
		% within Population density	10.4%	7.5%	13.3%	9.7%
Total	Count	231	386	180	797	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	82	114	25	27	113	361
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	48.2%	47.5%	38.5%	43.5%	43.5%	45.3%
	Minor problem	Count	33	46	13	11	56	159
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.4%	19.2%	20.0%	17.7%	21.5%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	45	57	22	22	54	200
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.5%	23.8%	33.8%	35.5%	20.8%	25.1%
	Don't know	Count	10	23	5	2	37	77
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	9.6%	7.7%	3.2%	14.2%	9.7%
Total	Count	170	240	65	62	260	797	



**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

		Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total	
		Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas		
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	82	114	25	27	113	361
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	48.2%	47.5%	38.5%	43.5%	43.5%	45.3%
	Minor problem	Count	33	46	13	11	56	159
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.4%	19.2%	20.0%	17.7%	21.5%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	45	57	22	22	54	200
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.5%	23.8%	33.8%	35.5%	20.8%	25.1%
	Don't know	Count	10	23	5	2	37	77
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	9.6%	7.7%	3.2%	14.2%	9.7%
		Count	170	240	65	62	260	797
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	10	149	102	11	65	25	362
		% within Education	34.5%	51.0%	42.3%	37.9%	43.0%	44.6%	45.4%
	Minor problem	Count	9	52	46	9	36	7	159
		% within Education	31.0%	17.8%	19.1%	31.0%	23.8%	12.5%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	7	53	66	9	43	22	200

	% within Education	24.1%	18.2%	27.4%	31.0%	28.5%	39.3%	25.1%
Don't know	Count	3	38	27	0	7	2	77
	% within Education	10.3%	13.0%	11.2%	.0%	4.6%	3.6%	9.6%
Total	Count	29	292	241	29	151	56	798
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	84	72	34	48	58	62	358
		% within Frequency attend religious services	57.9%	45.9%	53.1%	52.2%	40.0%	32.8%	45.2%
	Minor problem	Count	30	33	9	23	29	34	158
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.7%	21.0%	14.1%	25.0%	20.0%	18.0%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	23	34	15	14	41	73	200
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.9%	21.7%	23.4%	15.2%	28.3%	38.6%	25.3%
Don't know	Count	8	18	6	7	17	20	76	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	5.5%	11.5%	9.4%	7.6%	11.7%	10.6%	9.6%	
Total	Count	145	157	64	92	145	189	792	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	265	23	54	0	3	17	362
		% within Race	52.0%	24.2%	37.5%	.0%	37.5%	48.6%	45.4%

	Minor problem	Count	96	25	32	1	1	4	159
		% within Race	18.8%	26.3%	22.2%	16.7%	12.5%	11.4%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	105	33	43	4	2	13	200
		% within Race	20.6%	34.7%	29.9%	66.7%	25.0%	37.1%	25.1%
	Don't know	Count	44	14	15	1	2	1	77
		% within Race	8.6%	14.7%	10.4%	16.7%	25.0%	2.9%	9.6%
Total		Count	510	95	144	6	8	35	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	294	68	362
		% within Own or rent home	49.2%	34.5%	45.6%
	Minor problem	Count	107	49	156
		% within Own or rent home	17.9%	24.9%	19.6%
	Not a problem	Count	141	59	200
		% within Own or rent home	23.6%	29.9%	25.2%
	Don't know	Count	55	21	76
		% within Own or rent home	9.2%	10.7%	9.6%
Total		Count	597	197	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	236	8	36	20	52	8	360
		% within Marital Status	52.6%	47.1%	46.2%	54.1%	29.1%	21.6%	45.2%

Minor problem	Count	85	5	14	8	37	10	159
	% within Marital Status	18.9%	29.4%	17.9%	21.6%	20.7%	27.0%	19.9%
Not a problem	Count	94	3	17	8	62	16	200
	% within Marital Status	20.9%	17.6%	21.8%	21.6%	34.6%	43.2%	25.1%
Don't know	Count	34	1	11	1	28	3	78
	% within Marital Status	7.6%	5.9%	14.1%	2.7%	15.6%	8.1%	9.8%
Total	Count	449	17	78	37	179	37	797
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	202	159	361
		% within Gender	54.7%	37.1%	45.3%
	Minor problem	Count	65	94	159
		% within Gender	17.6%	22.0%	19.9%
	Not a problem	Count	84	116	200
		% within Gender	22.8%	27.1%	25.1%
	Don't know	Count	18	59	77
		% within Gender	4.9%	13.8%	9.7%
Total	Count	369	428	797	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - liberal bias \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - liberal bias	Major problem	Count	27	93	152	90	362
		% within Age	25.7%	37.1%	50.3%	63.4%	45.3%

Minor problem	Count	26	58	51	24	159
	% within Age	24.8%	23.1%	16.9%	16.9%	19.9%
Not a problem	Count	36	74	71	20	201
	% within Age	34.3%	29.5%	23.5%	14.1%	25.1%
Don't know	Count	16	26	28	8	78
	% within Age	15.2%	10.4%	9.3%	5.6%	9.8%
Total	Count	105	251	302	142	800
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	19	23	38	61	13	15	27	5	201
		% within Ideology scale	70.4%	51.1%	46.9%	33.9%	11.6%	9.1%	16.1%	35.7%	25.4%
	Minor problem	Count	4	12	23	46	29	25	20	1	160
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	26.7%	28.4%	25.6%	25.9%	15.2%	11.9%	7.1%	20.2%
	Not a problem	Count	3	7	15	35	57	113	112	2	344
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	15.6%	18.5%	19.4%	50.9%	68.5%	66.7%	14.3%	43.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	5	38	13	12	9	6	87
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.7%	6.2%	21.1%	11.6%	7.3%	5.4%	42.9%	11.0%
Total	Count	27	45	81	180	112	165	168	14	792	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	83	35	17	18	10	8	19	0	190
		% within 7 point Party ID	52.2%	35.4%	39.5%	24.7%	9.0%	12.9%	10.4%	.0%	26.0%
	Minor problem	Count	40	28	17	11	13	14	30	0	153
		% within 7 point Party ID	25.2%	28.3%	39.5%	15.1%	11.7%	22.6%	16.5%	.0%	20.9%
	Not a problem	Count	20	20	5	33	80	33	119	1	311
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within 7 point Party ID	12.6%	20.2%	11.6%	45.2%	72.1%	53.2%	65.4%	50.0%	42.5%
Don't know	Count	16	16	4	11	8	7	14	1	77
	% within 7 point Party ID	10.1%	16.2%	9.3%	15.1%	7.2%	11.3%	7.7%	50.0%	10.5%
Total	Count	159	99	43	73	111	62	182	2	731
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	79	96	27	202
		% within Population density	34.3%	24.8%	15.1%	25.4%
	Minor problem	Count	52	69	41	162
		% within Population density	22.6%	17.8%	22.9%	20.4%
	Not a problem	Count	75	186	83	344
		% within Population density	32.6%	48.1%	46.4%	43.2%
	Don't know	Count	24	36	28	88
		% within Population density	10.4%	9.3%	15.6%	11.1%
Total	Count	230	387	179	796	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	48	59	22	15	57	201
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28.4%	24.7%	33.3%	24.2%	22.1%	25.3%
	Minor problem	Count	41	49	13	9	50	162

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.3%	20.5%	19.7%	14.5%	19.4%	20.4%
Not a problem	Count	66	105	26	35	112	344
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	39.1%	43.9%	39.4%	56.5%	43.4%	43.3%
Don't know	Count	14	26	5	3	39	87
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	8.3%	10.9%	7.6%	4.8%	15.1%	11.0%
Total	Count	169	239	66	62	258	794
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	6	65	66	8	43	13	201
		% within Education	20.7%	22.4%	27.6%	26.7%	28.5%	22.8%	25.3%
	Minor problem	Count	9	61	43	7	28	13	161
		% within Education	31.0%	21.0%	18.0%	23.3%	18.5%	22.8%	20.2%
	Not a problem	Count	11	123	102	14	68	27	345
		% within Education	37.9%	42.4%	42.7%	46.7%	45.0%	47.4%	43.3%
	Don't know	Count	3	41	28	1	12	4	89
		% within Education	10.3%	14.1%	11.7%	3.3%	7.9%	7.0%	11.2%
Total		Count	29	290	239	30	151	57	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	32	36	13	18	36	66	201
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.1%	22.9%	20.3%	19.8%	24.8%	34.9%	25.4%
	Minor problem	Count	23	30	7	28	37	36	161
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.9%	19.1%	10.9%	30.8%	25.5%	19.0%	20.4%
	Not a problem	Count	77	71	36	38	54	65	341
		% within Frequency attend religious services	53.1%	45.2%	56.3%	41.8%	37.2%	34.4%	43.1%
	Don't know	Count	13	20	8	7	18	22	88
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.0%	12.7%	12.5%	7.7%	12.4%	11.6%	11.1%
Total		Count	145	157	64	91	145	189	791
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	112	38	43	2	1	6	202
		% within Race	22.1%	39.6%	30.1%	33.3%	12.5%	17.1%	25.4%
	Minor problem	Count	96	21	32	1	2	9	161
		% within Race	18.9%	21.9%	22.4%	16.7%	25.0%	25.7%	20.3%
	Not a problem	Count	249	21	51	2	3	18	344
		% within Race	49.1%	21.9%	35.7%	33.3%	37.5%	51.4%	43.3%
	Don't know	Count	50	16	17	1	2	2	88
		% within Race	9.9%	16.7%	11.9%	16.7%	25.0%	5.7%	11.1%
Total		Count	507	96	143	6	8	35	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	144	56	200
		% within Own or rent home	24.2%	28.6%	25.3%
	Minor problem	Count	111	51	162
		% within Own or rent home	18.6%	26.0%	20.5%
	Not a problem	Count	278	64	342
		% within Own or rent home	46.6%	32.7%	43.2%
	Don't know	Count	63	25	88
		% within Own or rent home	10.6%	12.8%	11.1%
Total		Count	596	196	792
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	100	2	22	10	53	15	202
		% within Marital Status	22.3%	12.5%	28.6%	27.0%	29.8%	40.5%	25.5%
	Minor problem	Count	77	4	14	11	46	8	160
		% within Marital Status	17.2%	25.0%	18.2%	29.7%	25.8%	21.6%	20.2%
	Not a problem	Count	228	8	30	15	52	11	344
		% within Marital Status	50.9%	50.0%	39.0%	40.5%	29.2%	29.7%	43.4%
	Don't know	Count	43	2	11	1	27	3	87
		% within Marital Status	9.6%	12.5%	14.3%	2.7%	15.2%	8.1%	11.0%
Total		Count	448	16	77	37	178	37	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	87	115	202
		% within Gender	23.6%	26.9%	25.4%
	Minor problem	Count	61	101	162
		% within Gender	16.6%	23.7%	20.4%
	Not a problem	Count	199	144	343
		% within Gender	54.1%	33.7%	43.1%
	Don't know	Count	21	67	88
		% within Gender	5.7%	15.7%	11.1%
Total	Count	368	427	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - conservative bias \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - conservative bias	Major problem	Count	29	64	73	36	202
		% within Age	27.9%	25.6%	24.3%	25.7%	25.4%
	Minor problem	Count	27	46	58	30	161
		% within Age	26.0%	18.4%	19.3%	21.4%	20.3%
	Not a problem	Count	33	111	136	65	345
		% within Age	31.7%	44.4%	45.2%	46.4%	43.4%
	Don't know	Count	15	29	34	9	87
		% within Age	14.4%	11.6%	11.3%	6.4%	10.9%
Total	Count	104	250	301	140	795	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	4	3	12	67	52	112	137	6	393
		% within Ideology scale	15.4%	6.7%	14.6%	37.0%	47.7%	67.9%	81.1%	40.0%	49.6%
	Minor problem	Count	2	16	21	49	39	35	22	1	185
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	35.6%	25.6%	27.1%	35.8%	21.2%	13.0%	6.7%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	19	23	47	45	13	16	7	2	172
		% within Ideology scale	73.1%	51.1%	57.3%	24.9%	11.9%	9.7%	4.1%	13.3%	21.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	2	20	5	2	3	6	42
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	6.7%	2.4%	11.0%	4.6%	1.2%	1.8%	40.0%	5.3%
Total	Count	26	45	82	181	109	165	169	15	792	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	55	25	6	35	73	33	129	1	357
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.6%	25.0%	14.0%	47.9%	66.4%	53.2%	70.9%	100.0%	48.9%
	Minor problem	Count	34	36	11	14	26	22	36	0	179
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	36.0%	25.6%	19.2%	23.6%	35.5%	19.8%	.0%	24.5%
	Not a problem	Count	60	33	22	16	7	5	14	0	157
		% within 7 point Party ID	37.7%	33.0%	51.2%	21.9%	6.4%	8.1%	7.7%	.0%	21.5%
	Don't know	Count	10	6	4	8	4	2	3	0	37
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	6.0%	9.3%	11.0%	3.6%	3.2%	1.6%	.0%	5.1%
Total	Count	159	100	43	73	110	62	182	1	730	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Population density Crosstabulation**

	Population density	Total
--	--------------------	-------

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	94	199	103	396
		% within Population density	40.9%	51.6%	57.2%	49.7%
	Minor problem	Count	56	89	42	187
		% within Population density	24.3%	23.1%	23.3%	23.5%
	Not a problem	Count	64	82	25	171
		% within Population density	27.8%	21.2%	13.9%	21.5%
	Don't know	Count	16	16	10	42
		% within Population density	7.0%	4.1%	5.6%	5.3%
Total	Count	230	386	180	796	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	96	119	24	20	137	396
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	56.8%	50.2%	35.8%	32.3%	52.5%	49.7%
	Minor problem	Count	41	50	19	20	57	187
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.3%	21.1%	28.4%	32.3%	21.8%	23.5%
	Not a problem	Count	30	55	20	18	48	171
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	23.2%	29.9%	29.0%	18.4%	21.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	13	4	4	19	42
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%	7.3%	5.3%
Total	Count	169	237	67	62	261	796	

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	96	119	24	20	137	396
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	56.8%	50.2%	35.8%	32.3%	52.5%	49.7%
	Minor problem	Count	41	50	19	20	57	187
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.3%	21.1%	28.4%	32.3%	21.8%	23.5%
	Not a problem	Count	30	55	20	18	48	171
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	23.2%	29.9%	29.0%	18.4%	21.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	13	4	4	19	42
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	5.5%	6.0%	6.5%	7.3%	5.3%
		Count	169	237	67	62	261	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	16	171	104	14	70	21	396
		% within Education	57.1%	59.0%	43.2%	46.7%	46.7%	36.8%	49.7%
	Minor problem	Count	4	71	58	6	35	13	187
		% within Education	14.3%	24.5%	24.1%	20.0%	23.3%	22.8%	23.5%
	Not a problem	Count	8	31	65	9	40	19	172

	% within Education	28.6%	10.7%	27.0%	30.0%	26.7%	33.3%	21.6%
Don't know	Count	0	17	14	1	5	4	41
	% within Education	.0%	5.9%	5.8%	3.3%	3.3%	7.0%	5.2%
Total	Count	28	290	241	30	150	57	796
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	93	100	35	47	69	48	392
		% within Frequency attend religious services	65.5%	63.3%	53.8%	51.1%	48.3%	25.3%	49.6%
	Minor problem	Count	28	31	14	25	38	49	185
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.7%	19.6%	21.5%	27.2%	26.6%	25.8%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	16	17	11	16	30	82	172
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.3%	10.8%	16.9%	17.4%	21.0%	43.2%	21.8%
Don't know	Count	5	10	5	4	6	11	41	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	6.3%	7.7%	4.3%	4.2%	5.8%	5.2%	
Total	Count	142	158	65	92	143	190	790	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	278	38	59	1	4	15	395
		% within Race	54.7%	39.2%	41.5%	14.3%	50.0%	42.9%	49.6%

	Minor problem	Count	103	28	41	4	0	11	187
		% within Race	20.3%	28.9%	28.9%	57.1%	.0%	31.4%	23.5%
	Not a problem	Count	102	25	31	2	3	9	172
		% within Race	20.1%	25.8%	21.8%	28.6%	37.5%	25.7%	21.6%
	Don't know	Count	25	6	11	0	1	0	43
		% within Race	4.9%	6.2%	7.7%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	5.4%
Total		Count	508	97	142	7	8	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	307	85	392
		% within Own or rent home	51.3%	44.0%	49.6%
	Minor problem	Count	136	49	185
		% within Own or rent home	22.7%	25.4%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	122	50	172
		% within Own or rent home	20.4%	25.9%	21.7%
	Don't know	Count	33	9	42
		% within Own or rent home	5.5%	4.7%	5.3%
Total		Count	598	193	791
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	253	9	41	21	57	13	394
		% within Marital Status	56.3%	56.3%	51.9%	56.8%	31.8%	35.1%	49.4%

Minor problem	Count	95	5	14	11	55	8	188
	% within Marital Status	21.2%	31.3%	17.7%	29.7%	30.7%	21.6%	23.6%
Not a problem	Count	81	2	18	5	52	15	173
	% within Marital Status	18.0%	12.5%	22.8%	13.5%	29.1%	40.5%	21.7%
Don't know	Count	20	0	6	0	15	1	42
	% within Marital Status	4.5%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	8.4%	2.7%	5.3%
Total	Count	449	16	79	37	179	37	797
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	189	205	394
		% within Gender	51.4%	48.2%	49.7%
	Minor problem	Count	85	101	186
		% within Gender	23.1%	23.8%	23.5%
	Not a problem	Count	82	89	171
		% within Gender	22.3%	20.9%	21.6%
	Don't know	Count	12	30	42
		% within Gender	3.3%	7.1%	5.3%
Total		Count	368	425	793
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - hostility toward religion \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - hostility toward religion	Major problem	Count	35	101	160	99	395
		% within Age	34.0%	40.4%	53.2%	69.7%	49.6%



	Minor problem	Count	29	70	61	26	186
		% within Age	28.2%	28.0%	20.3%	18.3%	23.4%
	Not a problem	Count	30	63	65	15	173
		% within Age	29.1%	25.2%	21.6%	10.6%	21.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	16	15	2	42
		% within Age	8.7%	6.4%	5.0%	1.4%	5.3%
Total		Count	103	250	301	142	796
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	18	17	24	23	3	6	5	0	96
		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	37.8%	29.3%	12.7%	2.7%	3.6%	3.0%	.0%	12.1%
	Minor problem	Count	3	13	22	36	22	21	7	1	125
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	28.9%	26.8%	19.9%	19.5%	12.7%	4.2%	6.7%	15.7%
	Not a problem	Count	5	12	35	104	84	135	149	8	532
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	26.7%	42.7%	57.5%	74.3%	81.3%	89.2%	53.3%	66.8%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	1	18	4	4	6	6	43
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.7%	1.2%	9.9%	3.5%	2.4%	3.6%	40.0%	5.4%
Total		Count	27	45	82	181	113	166	167	15	796
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	45	19	10	9	2	3	5	1	94
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.7%	19.0%	23.8%	12.3%	1.8%	4.8%	2.7%	50.0%	12.9%
	Minor problem	Count	31	12	14	14	19	9	17	0	116
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.7%	12.0%	33.3%	19.2%	17.1%	14.5%	9.3%	.0%	15.9%
	Not a problem	Count	72	63	15	45	88	49	153	1	486
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within 7 point Party ID	45.9%	63.0%	35.7%	61.6%	79.3%	79.0%	84.1%	50.0%	66.7%
Don't know	Count	9	6	3	5	2	1	7	0	33
	% within 7 point Party ID	5.7%	6.0%	7.1%	6.8%	1.8%	1.6%	3.8%	.0%	4.5%
Total	Count	157	100	42	73	111	62	182	2	729
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	39	47	10	96
		% within Population density	17.0%	12.2%	5.6%	12.1%
	Minor problem	Count	41	62	21	124
		% within Population density	17.8%	16.1%	11.7%	15.6%
	Not a problem	Count	137	264	134	535
		% within Population density	59.6%	68.4%	74.9%	67.3%
	Don't know	Count	13	13	14	40
		% within Population density	5.7%	3.4%	7.8%	5.0%
Total	Count	230	386	179	795	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	16	29	9	12	30	96
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.5%	12.0%	13.4%	19.7%	11.6%	12.1%
	Minor problem	Count	27	35	13	12	39	126
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.5%	14.5%	16.7%	19.0%	12.9%	15.9%

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	16.1%	14.5%	19.4%	19.7%	15.1%	15.8%
Not a problem	Count	123	163	43	35	171	535
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	73.2%	67.6%	64.2%	57.4%	66.0%	67.2%
Don't know	Count	2	14	2	2	19	39
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	5.8%	3.0%	3.3%	7.3%	4.9%
Total	Count	168	241	67	61	259	796
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	3	22	33	2	26	9	95
		% within Education	11.1%	7.5%	13.7%	6.7%	17.1%	16.4%	11.9%
	Minor problem	Count	3	39	40	5	28	10	125
		% within Education	11.1%	13.4%	16.6%	16.7%	18.4%	18.2%	15.7%
	Not a problem	Count	21	210	154	22	95	34	536
		% within Education	77.8%	71.9%	63.9%	73.3%	62.5%	61.8%	67.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	21	14	1	3	2	41
		% within Education	.0%	7.2%	5.8%	3.3%	2.0%	3.6%	5.1%
Total	Count	27	292	241	30	152	55	797	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	10	5	5	11	18	46	95
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.8%	3.2%	7.9%	11.8%	12.6%	24.3%	12.0%
	Minor problem	Count	14	21	8	11	27	43	124
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.6%	13.4%	12.7%	11.8%	18.9%	22.8%	15.7%
	Not a problem	Count	116	125	44	67	90	89	531
		% within Frequency attend religious services	79.5%	79.6%	69.8%	72.0%	62.9%	47.1%	67.1%
	Don't know	Count	6	6	6	4	8	11	41
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.1%	3.8%	9.5%	4.3%	5.6%	5.8%	5.2%
Total	Count	146	157	63	93	143	189	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	64	13	13	0	2	4	96
		% within Race	12.6%	13.7%	9.0%	.0%	22.2%	11.4%	12.0%
	Minor problem	Count	78	12	27	2	2	4	125
		% within Race	15.4%	12.6%	18.8%	33.3%	22.2%	11.4%	15.7%
	Not a problem	Count	343	66	93	3	4	27	536
		% within Race	67.5%	69.5%	64.6%	50.0%	44.4%	77.1%	67.3%
	Don't know	Count	23	4	11	1	1	0	40
		% within Race	4.5%	4.2%	7.6%	16.7%	11.1%	.0%	5.0%
	Total	Count	508	95	144	6	9	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	75	21	96
		% within Own or rent home	12.6%	10.7%	12.1%
	Minor problem	Count	88	35	123
		% within Own or rent home	14.8%	17.8%	15.5%
	Not a problem	Count	400	133	533
		% within Own or rent home	67.1%	67.5%	67.2%
	Don't know	Count	33	8	41
		% within Own or rent home	5.5%	4.1%	5.2%
Total		Count	596	197	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	45	0	9	1	35	6	96
		% within Marital Status	10.0%	.0%	11.5%	2.8%	19.4%	16.2%	12.1%
	Minor problem	Count	62	5	14	1	33	9	124
		% within Marital Status	13.8%	29.4%	17.9%	2.8%	18.3%	24.3%	15.6%
	Not a problem	Count	323	12	51	34	94	21	535
		% within Marital Status	72.1%	70.6%	65.4%	94.4%	52.2%	56.8%	67.2%
	Don't know	Count	18	0	4	0	18	1	41
		% within Marital Status	4.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	10.0%	2.7%	5.2%
Total		Count	448	17	78	36	180	37	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	53	42	95
		% within Gender	14.4%	9.9%	11.9%
	Minor problem	Count	59	65	124
		% within Gender	16.0%	15.3%	15.6%
	Not a problem	Count	246	289	535
		% within Gender	66.7%	67.8%	67.3%
	Don't know	Count	11	30	41
		% within Gender	3.0%	7.0%	5.2%
Total	Count	369	426	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Problem severity - too much religion \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Problem severity - too much religion	Major problem	Count	15	35	30	16	96
		% within Age	14.3%	13.9%	10.0%	11.3%	12.1%
	Minor problem	Count	26	35	39	24	124
		% within Age	24.8%	13.9%	13.0%	17.0%	15.6%
	Not a problem	Count	54	169	215	98	536
		% within Age	51.4%	67.3%	71.9%	69.5%	67.3%
	Don't know	Count	10	12	15	3	40
		% within Age	9.5%	4.8%	5.0%	2.1%	5.0%
Total	Count	105	251	299	141	796	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	16	24	38	44	20	19	14	3	178
		% within Ideology scale	61.5%	53.3%	46.9%	24.7%	18.3%	11.8%	8.4%	21.4%	22.8%
	Somewhat support	Count	5	15	24	52	21	23	20	6	166
		% within Ideology scale	19.2%	33.3%	29.6%	29.2%	19.3%	14.3%	12.0%	42.9%	21.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	2	7	33	18	31	19	0	111
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	4.4%	8.6%	18.5%	16.5%	19.3%	11.4%	.0%	14.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	3	3	10	29	42	78	110	0	275
		% within Ideology scale	11.5%	6.7%	12.3%	16.3%	38.5%	48.4%	66.3%	.0%	35.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	2	20	8	10	3	5	50
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	2.2%	2.5%	11.2%	7.3%	6.2%	1.8%	35.7%	6.4%
Total	Count	26	45	81	178	109	161	166	14	780	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	65	34	17	13	13	12	14	0	168
		% within 7 point Party ID	41.4%	34.0%	40.5%	18.1%	11.9%	19.7%	7.7%	.0%	23.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	54	31	14	14	15	11	22	0	161
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.4%	31.0%	33.3%	19.4%	13.8%	18.0%	12.1%	.0%	22.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	15	12	3	13	16	14	28	0	101
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.6%	12.0%	7.1%	18.1%	14.7%	23.0%	15.4%	.0%	14.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	15	12	5	26	63	20	108	1	250
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.6%	12.0%	11.9%	36.1%	57.8%	32.8%	59.3%	100.0%	34.5%
	Don't know	Count	8	11	3	6	2	4	10	0	44
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	11.0%	7.1%	8.3%	1.8%	6.6%	5.5%	.0%	6.1%
Total	Count	157	100	42	72	109	61	182	1	724	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	39	56	19	16	49	179
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.2%	23.7%	30.2%	26.2%	19.0%	22.8%
	Somewhat support	Count	37	45	17	14	55	168
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.0%	19.1%	27.0%	23.0%	21.3%	21.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	25	37	8	13	30	113
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		14.9%	15.7%	12.7%	21.3%	11.6%	14.4%	
Strong oppose	Count	62	89	16	14	96	277	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.9%	37.7%	25.4%	23.0%	37.2%	35.2%	
Don't know	Count	5	9	3	4	28	49	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.0%	3.8%	4.8%	6.6%	10.9%	6.2%	
Total	Count	168	236	63	61	258	786	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Education Crosstabulation**

		Education					Total
		No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	



Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	6	34	69	7	46	19	181
		% within Education	21.4%	11.8%	29.1%	23.3%	30.7%	33.9%	22.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	6	60	44	9	35	15	169
		% within Education	21.4%	20.8%	18.6%	30.0%	23.3%	26.8%	21.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	44	34	2	24	8	113
		% within Education	3.6%	15.2%	14.3%	6.7%	16.0%	14.3%	14.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	13	127	71	11	43	13	278
		% within Education	46.4%	43.9%	30.0%	36.7%	28.7%	23.2%	35.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	24	19	1	2	1	49
		% within Education	7.1%	8.3%	8.0%	3.3%	1.3%	1.8%	6.2%
Total	Count	28	289	237	30	150	56	790	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services					Total	
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year		Never
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	32	32	18	15	37	46	180
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.4%	20.8%	28.6%	16.3%	26.1%	24.2%	23.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	22	27	13	15	32	60	169
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.4%	17.5%	20.6%	16.3%	22.5%	31.6%	21.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	20	17	6	17	27	24	111
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.0%	11.0%	9.5%	18.5%	19.0%	12.6%	14.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	60	67	22	39	39	47	274
		% within Frequency attend religious services	42.0%	43.5%	34.9%	42.4%	27.5%	24.7%	34.9%
	Don't know	Count	9	11	4	6	7	13	50
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.3%	7.1%	6.3%	6.5%	4.9%	6.8%	6.4%
Total	Count	143	154	63	92	142	190	784	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	32	32	18	15	37	46	180
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.4%	20.8%	28.6%	16.3%	26.1%	24.2%	23.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	22	27	13	15	32	60	169
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.4%	17.5%	20.6%	16.3%	22.5%	31.6%	21.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	20	17	6	17	27	24	111
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.0%	11.0%	9.5%	18.5%	19.0%	12.6%	14.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	60	67	22	39	39	47	274
		% within Frequency attend religious services	42.0%	43.5%	34.9%	42.4%	27.5%	24.7%	34.9%
	Don't know	Count	9	11	4	6	7	13	50
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.3%	7.1%	6.3%	6.5%	4.9%	6.8%	6.4%
		Count	143	154	63	92	142	190	784
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	87	25	57	3	3	6	181
		% within Race	17.2%	26.6%	40.4%	50.0%	37.5%	17.1%	22.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	88	34	34	2	0	10	168
		% within Race	17.4%	36.2%	24.1%	33.3%	.0%	28.6%	21.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	73	14	22	0	0	5	114
		% within Race	14.4%	14.9%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	14.4%
	Strong oppose	Count	229	10	20	1	4	13	277
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within Race	45.3%	10.6%	14.2%	16.7%	50.0%	37.1%	35.1%
Don't know	Count	29	11	8	0	1	1	50
	% within Race	5.7%	11.7%	5.7%	.0%	12.5%	2.9%	6.3%
Total	Count	506	94	141	6	8	35	790
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

		Own or rent home		Total	
		Own	Rent		
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	124	54	178
		% within Own or rent home	21.1%	27.7%	22.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	117	51	168
		% within Own or rent home	19.9%	26.2%	21.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	88	25	113
		% within Own or rent home	14.9%	12.8%	14.4%
	Strong oppose	Count	229	47	276
		% within Own or rent home	38.9%	24.1%	35.2%
	Don't know	Count	31	18	49
		% within Own or rent home	5.3%	9.2%	6.3%
Total	Count	589	195	784	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	83	7	14	5	58	14	181
		% within Marital Status	18.6%	41.2%	18.2%	14.3%	32.6%	37.8%	22.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	92	5	21	7	37	7	169

	% within Marital Status	20.6%	29.4%	27.3%	20.0%	20.8%	18.9%	21.4%
Somewhat oppose	Count	64	0	5	6	33	4	112
	% within Marital Status	14.3%	.0%	6.5%	17.1%	18.5%	10.8%	14.2%
Strong oppose	Count	184	3	31	15	34	11	278
	% within Marital Status	41.3%	17.6%	40.3%	42.9%	19.1%	29.7%	35.2%
Don't know	Count	23	2	6	2	16	1	50
	% within Marital Status	5.2%	11.8%	7.8%	5.7%	9.0%	2.7%	6.3%
Total	Count	446	17	77	35	178	37	790
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	87	93	180
		% within Gender	24.0%	21.9%	22.8%
	Somewhat support	Count	74	95	169
		% within Gender	20.4%	22.4%	21.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	47	65	112
		% within Gender	12.9%	15.3%	14.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	145	132	277
		% within Gender	39.9%	31.1%	35.2%
	Don't know	Count	10	40	50
		% within Gender	2.8%	9.4%	6.3%
Total	Count	363	425	788	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants \* Age Crosstabulation**

	Age	Total
--	-----	-------

			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	40	54	68	19	181
		% within Age	38.1%	21.8%	22.7%	13.9%	22.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	20	69	54	25	168
		% within Age	19.0%	27.8%	18.1%	18.2%	21.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	17	39	42	15	113
		% within Age	16.2%	15.7%	14.0%	10.9%	14.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	15	70	118	74	277
		% within Age	14.3%	28.2%	39.5%	54.0%	35.1%
	Don't know	Count	13	16	17	4	50
		% within Age	12.4%	6.5%	5.7%	2.9%	6.3%
Total	Count	105	248	299	137	789	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	4	18	30	102	81	135	153	4	527
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	40.9%	37.0%	56.0%	73.6%	81.8%	91.1%	26.7%	66.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	3	10	17	19	13	13	6	0	81
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	22.7%	21.0%	10.4%	11.8%	7.9%	3.6%	.0%	10.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	6	4	11	14	3	6	3	0	47
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	9.1%	13.6%	7.7%	2.7%	3.6%	1.8%	.0%	5.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	13	7	17	26	8	9	4	4	88
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	15.9%	21.0%	14.3%	7.3%	5.5%	2.4%	26.7%	11.1%
	Don't know	Count	1	5	6	21	5	2	2	7	49
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	11.4%	7.4%	11.5%	4.5%	1.2%	1.2%	46.7%	6.2%
Total	Count	27	44	81	182	110	165	168	15	792	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	60	44	20	51	99	45	162	1	482
		% within 7 point Party ID	37.5%	44.0%	48.8%	69.9%	90.0%	73.8%	89.0%	100.0%	66.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	28	16	9	4	5	6	8	0	76
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.5%	16.0%	22.0%	5.5%	4.5%	9.8%	4.4%	.0%	10.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	20	10	3	3	2	2	3	0	43
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.5%	10.0%	7.3%	4.1%	1.8%	3.3%	1.6%	.0%	5.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	39	15	5	9	3	3	8	0	82
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.4%	15.0%	12.2%	12.3%	2.7%	4.9%	4.4%	.0%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	13	15	4	6	1	5	1	0	45
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.1%	15.0%	9.8%	8.2%	.9%	8.2%	.5%	.0%	6.2%
	Total	Count	160	100	41	73	110	61	182	1	728
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	124	275	131	530
		% within Population density	53.4%	71.1%	73.6%	66.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	26	47	7	80
		% within Population density	11.2%	12.1%	3.9%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	24	18	5	47
		% within Population density	10.3%	4.7%	2.8%	5.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	42	26	21	89
		% within Population density	18.1%	6.7%	11.8%	11.2%
	Don't know	Count	16	21	14	51
		% within Population density	6.9%	5.4%	7.9%	6.4%
	Total	Count	232	387	178	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	123	169	38	41	159	530
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	72.4%	70.4%	56.7%	66.1%	61.2%	66.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	14	29	7	8	23	81
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	8.2%	12.1%	10.4%	12.9%	8.8%	10.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	11	12	9	2	12	46
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		6.5%	5.0%	13.4%	3.2%	4.6%	5.8%	
Strong oppose	Count	18	20	9	9	35	91	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.6%	8.3%	13.4%	14.5%	13.5%	11.4%	
Don't know	Count	4	10	4	2	31	51	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.4%	4.2%	6.0%	3.2%	11.9%	6.4%	
Total	Count	170	240	67	62	260	799	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - ending in-state	Strong support	Count	18	220	142	21	95	32	528

	% within Education	62.1%	75.9%	58.7%	72.4%	62.9%	57.1%	66.2%
Somewhat support	Count	3	18	22	6	23	10	82
	% within Education	10.3%	6.2%	9.1%	20.7%	15.2%	17.9%	10.3%
Somewhat oppose	Count	3	13	15	1	8	6	46
	% within Education	10.3%	4.5%	6.2%	3.4%	5.3%	10.7%	5.8%
Strong oppose	Count	5	18	40	0	20	7	90
	% within Education	17.2%	6.2%	16.5%	.0%	13.2%	12.5%	11.3%
Don't know	Count	0	21	23	1	5	1	51
	% within Education	.0%	7.2%	9.5%	3.4%	3.3%	1.8%	6.4%
Total	Count	29	290	242	29	151	56	797
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	100	113	39	60	92	122	526
		% within Frequency attend religious services	69.4%	71.5%	60.9%	65.2%	63.4%	64.9%	66.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	18	12	6	12	13	20	81
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.5%	7.6%	9.4%	13.0%	9.0%	10.6%	10.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	5	10	5	6	6	14	46
		% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	6.3%	7.8%	6.5%	4.1%	7.4%	5.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	16	13	8	10	25	16	88
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.1%	8.2%	12.5%	10.9%	17.2%	8.5%	11.1%
	Don't know	Count	5	10	6	4	9	16	50
		% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	6.3%	9.4%	4.3%	6.2%	8.5%	6.3%
Total	Count	144	158	64	92	145	188	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	397	41	62	1	5	23	529
		% within Race	78.3%	42.7%	43.1%	16.7%	55.6%	65.7%	66.4%
	Somewhat support	Count	39	22	15	1	1	3	81
		% within Race	7.7%	22.9%	10.4%	16.7%	11.1%	8.6%	10.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	18	4	23	1	0	0	46
		% within Race	3.6%	4.2%	16.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	35	13	31	2	2	7	90
		% within Race	6.9%	13.5%	21.5%	33.3%	22.2%	20.0%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	18	16	13	1	1	2	51
		% within Race	3.6%	16.7%	9.0%	16.7%	11.1%	5.7%	6.4%
Total	Count	507	96	144	6	9	35	797	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	417	110	527
		% within Own or rent home	70.1%	56.1%	66.6%
	Somewhat support	Count	55	23	78
		% within Own or rent home	9.2%	11.7%	9.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	33	13	46
		% within Own or rent home	5.5%	6.6%	5.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	58	32	90
		% within Own or rent home	9.7%	16.3%	11.4%

Don't know	Count	32	18	50
	% within Own or rent home	5.4%	9.2%	6.3%
Total	Count	595	196	791
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	331	8	53	27	91	18	528
		% within Marital Status	73.7%	50.0%	68.8%	75.0%	50.6%	47.4%	66.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	41	5	7	1	24	4	82
		% within Marital Status	9.1%	31.3%	9.1%	2.8%	13.3%	10.5%	10.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	25	0	1	0	17	3	46
		% within Marital Status	5.6%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	9.4%	7.9%	5.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	35	2	9	7	26	10	89
		% within Marital Status	7.8%	12.5%	11.7%	19.4%	14.4%	26.3%	11.2%
	Don't know	Count	17	1	7	1	22	3	51
		% within Marital Status	3.8%	6.3%	9.1%	2.8%	12.2%	7.9%	6.4%
Total	Count	449	16	77	36	180	38	796	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	264	264	528
		% within Gender	71.4%	62.0%	66.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	38	43	81

	% within Gender	10.3%	10.1%	10.2%
Somewhat oppose	Count	21	26	47
	% within Gender	5.7%	6.1%	5.9%
Strong oppose	Count	38	52	90
	% within Gender	10.3%	12.2%	11.3%
Don't know	Count	9	41	50
	% within Gender	2.4%	9.6%	6.3%
Total	Count	370	426	796
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - ending in-state tuition for illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	39	164	216	110	529
		% within Age	36.8%	65.9%	72.0%	76.9%	66.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	17	27	31	6	81
		% within Age	16.0%	10.8%	10.3%	4.2%	10.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	12	20	10	5	47
		% within Age	11.3%	8.0%	3.3%	3.5%	5.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	21	21	29	20	91
		% within Age	19.8%	8.4%	9.7%	14.0%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	17	17	14	2	50
		% within Age	16.0%	6.8%	4.7%	1.4%	6.3%
	Total	Count	106	249	300	143	798
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale	Total

			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	4	10	23	74	63	118	145	2	439
		% within Ideology scale	15.4%	21.7%	28.0%	41.1%	57.3%	71.5%	86.3%	15.4%	55.6%
	Somewhat support	Count	0	5	11	23	25	13	12	1	90
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	10.9%	13.4%	12.8%	22.7%	7.9%	7.1%	7.7%	11.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	9	11	21	10	19	4	0	75
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	19.6%	13.4%	11.7%	9.1%	11.5%	2.4%	.0%	9.5%
	Strong oppose	Count	20	19	32	48	6	11	7	5	148
		% within Ideology scale	76.9%	41.3%	39.0%	26.7%	5.5%	6.7%	4.2%	38.5%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	5	14	6	4	0	5	38
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	6.5%	6.1%	7.8%	5.5%	2.4%	.0%	38.5%	4.8%
Total	Count	26	46	82	180	110	165	168	13	790	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	45	33	15	37	84	40	147	1	402
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.5%	33.7%	35.7%	50.7%	75.7%	65.6%	80.8%	50.0%	55.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	21	7	7	11	12	8	18	0	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.3%	7.1%	16.7%	15.1%	10.8%	13.1%	9.9%	.0%	11.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	19	16	3	4	9	2	13	1	67
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.0%	16.3%	7.1%	5.5%	8.1%	3.3%	7.1%	50.0%	9.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	62	34	16	14	5	8	3	0	142
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.2%	34.7%	38.1%	19.2%	4.5%	13.1%	1.6%	.0%	19.5%
	Don't know	Count	11	8	1	7	1	3	1	0	32
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.0%	8.2%	2.4%	9.6%	.9%	4.9%	.5%	.0%	4.4%
Total	Count	158	98	42	73	111	61	182	2	727	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Population density Crosstabulation

Population density	Total
--------------------	-------

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	104	217	121	442
		% within Population density	45.6%	56.2%	67.6%	55.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	25	46	19	90
		% within Population density	11.0%	11.9%	10.6%	11.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	27	36	11	74
		% within Population density	11.8%	9.3%	6.1%	9.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	62	68	19	149
		% within Population density	27.2%	17.6%	10.6%	18.8%
Don't know	Count	10	19	9	38	
	% within Population density	4.4%	4.9%	5.0%	4.8%	
Total	Count	228	386	179	793	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	110	140	26	25	141	442
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	64.3%	58.8%	40.0%	40.3%	54.4%	55.6%
	Somewhat support	Count	13	30	9	9	31	92
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	12.6%	13.8%	14.5%	12.0%	11.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	12	30	6	7	19	74
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.0%	12.6%	9.2%	11.3%	7.3%	9.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	34	33	21	16	45	149
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.9%	13.9%	32.3%	25.8%	17.4%	18.7%
Don't know	Count	2	5	3	5	23	38
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	2.1%	4.6%	8.1%	8.9%	4.8%
Total	Count	171	238	65	62	259	795
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	18	203	118	17	63	23	442
		% within Education	64.3%	69.8%	49.6%	56.7%	41.7%	41.1%	55.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	5	24	28	4	22	9	92
		% within Education	17.9%	8.2%	11.8%	13.3%	14.6%	16.1%	11.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	19	20	3	21	9	73
		% within Education	3.6%	6.5%	8.4%	10.0%	13.9%	16.1%	9.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	4	30	57	4	41	13	149
		% within Education	14.3%	10.3%	23.9%	13.3%	27.2%	23.2%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	0	15	15	2	4	2	38
		% within Education	.0%	5.2%	6.3%	6.7%	2.6%	3.6%	4.8%
Total	Count	28	291	238	30	151	56	794	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

		Frequency attend religious services						Total
		More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	

Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	82	98	29	52	80	96	437
		% within Frequency attend religious services	56.9%	62.4%	45.3%	55.9%	56.7%	50.5%	55.4%
	Somewhat support	Count	24	15	13	12	11	16	91
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.7%	9.6%	20.3%	12.9%	7.8%	8.4%	11.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	11	18	5	10	14	16	74
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.6%	11.5%	7.8%	10.8%	9.9%	8.4%	9.4%
	Strong oppose	Count	23	18	12	15	31	49	148
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.0%	11.5%	18.8%	16.1%	22.0%	25.8%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	4	8	5	4	5	13	39
		% within Frequency attend religious services	2.8%	5.1%	7.8%	4.3%	3.5%	6.8%	4.9%
Total	Count	144	157	64	93	141	190	789	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	348	37	33	1	4	18	441
		% within Race	68.8%	38.9%	23.2%	16.7%	50.0%	51.4%	55.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	50	15	16	1	1	8	91
		% within Race	9.9%	15.8%	11.3%	16.7%	12.5%	22.9%	11.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	36	15	20	0	0	2	73
		% within Race	7.1%	15.8%	14.1%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	9.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	55	19	65	3	2	5	149
		% within Race	10.9%	20.0%	45.8%	50.0%	25.0%	14.3%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	17	9	8	1	1	2	38
		% within Race	3.4%	9.5%	5.6%	16.7%	12.5%	5.7%	4.8%
Total	Count	506	95	142	6	8	35	792	

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	348	37	33	1	4	18	441
		% within Race	68.8%	38.9%	23.2%	16.7%	50.0%	51.4%	55.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	50	15	16	1	1	8	91
		% within Race	9.9%	15.8%	11.3%	16.7%	12.5%	22.9%	11.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	36	15	20	0	0	2	73
		% within Race	7.1%	15.8%	14.1%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	9.2%
	Strong oppose	Count	55	19	65	3	2	5	149
		% within Race	10.9%	20.0%	45.8%	50.0%	25.0%	14.3%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	17	9	8	1	1	2	38
		% within Race	3.4%	9.5%	5.6%	16.7%	12.5%	5.7%	4.8%
		Count	506	95	142	6	8	35	792
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	356	85	441
		% within Own or rent home	59.6%	44.3%	55.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	61	30	91
		% within Own or rent home	10.2%	15.6%	11.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	49	23	72
		% within Own or rent home	8.2%	12.0%	9.1%
	Strong oppose	Count	105	43	148
		% within Own or rent home	17.6%	22.4%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	26	11	37
		% within Own or rent home	4.4%	5.7%	4.7%



Total	Count	597	192	789
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	271	10	43	31	71	16	442
		% within Marital Status	60.4%	62.5%	55.8%	83.8%	39.7%	44.4%	55.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	56	0	13	2	18	1	90
		% within Marital Status	12.5%	.0%	16.9%	5.4%	10.1%	2.8%	11.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	34	3	5	1	25	6	74
		% within Marital Status	7.6%	18.8%	6.5%	2.7%	14.0%	16.7%	9.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	75	3	13	3	44	12	150
		% within Marital Status	16.7%	18.8%	16.9%	8.1%	24.6%	33.3%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	13	0	3	0	21	1	38
		% within Marital Status	2.9%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	11.7%	2.8%	4.8%
Total	Count	449	16	77	37	179	36	794	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	217	224	441
		% within Gender	59.5%	52.6%	55.8%
	Somewhat support	Count	42	48	90
		% within Gender	11.5%	11.3%	11.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	28	45	73
		% within Gender			

	% within Gender	7.7%	10.6%	9.2%
Strong oppose	Count	66	83	149
	% within Gender	18.1%	19.5%	18.8%
Don't know	Count	12	26	38
	% within Gender	3.3%	6.1%	4.8%
Total	Count	365	426	791
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - english-only amendment to the Texas constitution	Strong support	Count	24	126	186	106	442
		% within Age	23.3%	50.6%	62.0%	74.6%	55.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	15	29	35	12	91
		% within Age	14.6%	11.6%	11.7%	8.5%	11.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	19	25	23	7	74
		% within Age	18.4%	10.0%	7.7%	4.9%	9.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	32	52	50	15	149
		% within Age	31.1%	20.9%	16.7%	10.6%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	13	17	6	2	38
		% within Age	12.6%	6.8%	2.0%	1.4%	4.8%
Total	Count	103	249	300	142	794	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Support - requiring	Strong support	Count	15	17	47	120	85	141	152	5	582

		% within Ideology scale	57.7%	37.8%	57.3%	66.3%	75.9%	86.5%	89.9%	35.7%	73.5%
Somewhat support	Count		6	15	15	29	18	19	12	1	115
	% within Ideology scale		23.1%	33.3%	18.3%	16.0%	16.1%	11.7%	7.1%	7.1%	14.5%
Somewhat oppose	Count		3	6	8	7	3	1	4	1	33
	% within Ideology scale		11.5%	13.3%	9.8%	3.9%	2.7%	.6%	2.4%	7.1%	4.2%
Strong oppose	Count		2	5	8	7	2	1	1	0	26
	% within Ideology scale		7.7%	11.1%	9.8%	3.9%	1.8%	.6%	.6%	.0%	3.3%
Don't know	Count		0	2	4	18	4	1	0	7	36
	% within Ideology scale		.0%	4.4%	4.9%	9.9%	3.6%	.6%	.0%	50.0%	4.5%
Total	Count		26	45	82	181	112	163	169	14	792
	% within Ideology scale		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	96	52	23	55	98	44	162	1	531
		% within 7 point Party ID	60.4%	52.5%	56.1%	76.4%	88.3%	73.3%	88.0%	100.0%	73.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	34	20	11	4	11	14	16	0	110
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	20.2%	26.8%	5.6%	9.9%	23.3%	8.7%	.0%	15.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	10	10	3	3	0	1	4	0	31
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	10.1%	7.3%	4.2%	.0%	1.7%	2.2%	.0%	4.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	9	6	2	4	1	0	1	0	23
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.7%	6.1%	4.9%	5.6%	.9%	.0%	.5%	.0%	3.2%
	Don't know	Count	10	11	2	6	1	1	1	0	32
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	11.1%	4.9%	8.3%	.9%	1.7%	.5%	.0%	4.4%
Total	Count		159	99	41	72	111	60	184	1	727
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support - requiring businesses	Strong support	Count	148	299	137	584

	% within Population density	64.3%	77.5%	76.1%	73.4%
Somewhat support	Count	43	52	21	116
	% within Population density	18.7%	13.5%	11.7%	14.6%
Somewhat oppose	Count	15	13	6	34
	% within Population density	6.5%	3.4%	3.3%	4.3%
Strong oppose	Count	16	7	3	26
	% within Population density	7.0%	1.8%	1.7%	3.3%
Don't know	Count	8	15	13	36
	% within Population density	3.5%	3.9%	7.2%	4.5%
Total	Count	230	386	180	796
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	134	195	41	39	175	584
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	79.3%	80.9%	62.1%	62.9%	67.8%	73.4%
	Somewhat support	Count	21	29	13	17	37	117
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.4%	12.0%	19.7%	27.4%	14.3%	14.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	6	6	6	3	12	33
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	2.5%	9.1%	4.8%	4.7%	4.1%
	Strong oppose	Count	6	6	5	1	8	26
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	2.5%	7.6%	1.6%	3.1%	3.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	5	1	2	26	36

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.2%	2.1%	1.5%	3.2%	10.1%	4.5%
Total	Count	169	241	66	62	258	796
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	26	233	164	22	105	33	583
		% within Education	89.7%	80.3%	67.8%	75.9%	69.1%	58.9%	73.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	1	24	37	6	32	16	116
		% within Education	3.4%	8.3%	15.3%	20.7%	21.1%	28.6%	14.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	0	8	14	0	9	3	34
		% within Education	.0%	2.8%	5.8%	.0%	5.9%	5.4%	4.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	2	5	13	1	4	3	28
		% within Education	6.9%	1.7%	5.4%	3.4%	2.6%	5.4%	3.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	20	14	0	2	1	37
		% within Education	.0%	6.9%	5.8%	.0%	1.3%	1.8%	4.6%
Total	Count	29	290	242	29	152	56	798	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	111	121	46	67	103	130	578
		% within Frequency attend religious services	77.1%	77.1%	71.9%	72.8%	71.0%	69.1%	73.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	18	20	8	14	21	35	116
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.9%	22.9%	28.1%	27.2%	29.0%	30.9%	26.8%

	% within Frequency attend religious services	12.5%	12.7%	12.5%	15.2%	14.5%	18.6%	14.7%
Somewhat oppose	Count	5	6	3	4	9	7	34
	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	3.8%	4.7%	4.3%	6.2%	3.7%	4.3%
Strong oppose	Count	6	4	3	3	5	5	26
	% within Frequency attend religious services	4.2%	2.5%	4.7%	3.3%	3.4%	2.7%	3.3%
Don't know	Count	4	6	4	4	7	11	36
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.8%	3.8%	6.3%	4.3%	4.8%	5.9%	4.6%
Total	Count	144	157	64	92	145	188	790
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	414	60	76	1	6	26	583
		% within Race	81.5%	62.5%	52.8%	14.3%	75.0%	72.2%	73.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	56	18	32	5	1	6	118
		% within Race	11.0%	18.8%	22.2%	71.4%	12.5%	16.7%	14.8%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	17	2	15	0	0	0	34
		% within Race	3.3%	2.1%	10.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	8	3	13	0	0	3	27
		% within Race	1.6%	3.1%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	3.4%
	Don't know	Count	13	13	8	1	1	1	37
		% within Race	2.6%	13.5%	5.6%	14.3%	12.5%	2.8%	4.6%
Total	Count	508	96	144	7	8	36	799	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	450	132	582
		% within Own or rent home	75.1%	67.7%	73.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	90	25	115
		% within Own or rent home	15.0%	12.8%	14.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	26	8	34
		% within Own or rent home	4.3%	4.1%	4.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	14	12	26
		% within Own or rent home	2.3%	6.2%	3.3%
	Don't know	Count	19	18	37
		% within Own or rent home	3.2%	9.2%	4.7%
Total	Count	599	195	794	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	352	11	65	30	104	21	583
		% within Marital Status	78.0%	64.7%	84.4%	78.9%	58.1%	60.0%	73.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	58	4	5	6	36	7	116
		% within Marital Status	12.9%	23.5%	6.5%	15.8%	20.1%	20.0%	14.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	20	0	3	1	10	1	35
		% within Marital Status	4.4%	.0%	3.9%	2.6%	5.6%	2.9%	4.4%
	Strong oppose	Count	7	2	2	0	10	5	26
		% within Marital Status	1.6%	11.8%	2.6%	.0%	5.6%	14.3%	3.3%
	Don't know	Count	14	0	2	1	19	1	37
		% within Marital Status	3.1%	.0%	2.6%	2.6%	10.6%	2.9%	4.6%

Total	Count	451	17	77	38	179	35	797
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	281	301	582
		% within Gender	76.6%	70.3%	73.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	55	61	116
		% within Gender	15.0%	14.3%	14.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	12	22	34
		% within Gender	3.3%	5.1%	4.3%
	Strong oppose	Count	14	13	27
		% within Gender	3.8%	3.0%	3.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	31	36
		% within Gender	1.4%	7.2%	4.5%
Total	Count	367	428	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - requiring businesses to verify immigration status	Strong support	Count	49	177	238	119	583
		% within Age	46.7%	71.1%	79.1%	83.8%	73.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	23	42	35	17	117
		% within Age	21.9%	16.9%	11.6%	12.0%	14.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	4	16	13	1	34
		% within Age	3.8%	6.4%	4.3%	.7%	4.3%



	Strong oppose	Count	11	3	9	3	26
		% within Age	10.5%	1.2%	3.0%	2.1%	3.3%
	Don't know	Count	18	11	6	2	37
		% within Age	17.1%	4.4%	2.0%	1.4%	4.6%
Total		Count	105	249	301	142	797
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total		
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know	
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	4	4	18	62	47	105	117	2	359	
		% within Ideology scale	15.4%	8.9%	22.2%	34.3%	42.7%	63.3%	68.8%	15.4%	45.3%	
	Somewhat support	Count	0	3	12	21	25	22	21	1	105	
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	6.7%	14.8%	11.6%	22.7%	13.3%	12.4%	7.7%	13.3%	
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	14	14	19	21	19	13	0	101	
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	31.1%	17.3%	10.5%	19.1%	11.4%	7.6%	.0%	12.8%	
	Strong oppose	Count	21	21	35	60	14	15	12	4	182	
		% within Ideology scale	80.8%	46.7%	43.2%	33.1%	12.7%	9.0%	7.1%	30.8%	23.0%	
	Don't know	Count	0	3	2	19	3	5	7	6	45	
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	6.7%	2.5%	10.5%	2.7%	3.0%	4.1%	46.2%	5.7%	
	Total		Count	26	45	81	181	110	166	170	13	792
			% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	42	26	9	36	65	28	122	1	329
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.8%	26.0%	21.4%	50.0%	59.1%	45.2%	66.3%	100.0%	45.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	14	7	6	8	24	9	26	0	94
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.9%	7.0%	14.3%	11.1%	21.8%	14.5%	14.1%	.0%	12.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	17	18	8	7	13	9	19	0	91
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	18.0%	19.0%	9.7%	11.8%	14.5%	10.3%	.0%	12.5%
Strong oppose	Count	75	42	15	13	6	11	12	0	174
	% within 7 point Party ID	47.8%	42.0%	35.7%	18.1%	5.5%	17.7%	6.5%	.0%	23.9%
Don't know	Count	9	7	4	8	2	5	5	0	40
	% within 7 point Party ID	5.7%	7.0%	9.5%	11.1%	1.8%	8.1%	2.7%	.0%	5.5%
Total	Count	157	100	42	72	110	62	184	1	728
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Population density Crosstabulation**

		Population density			Total	
		Urban	Suburban	Rural		
Support - pathway to citizenship for most illegal immigrants	Strong support	Count	72	83	26	181
		% within Population density	31.6%	21.6%	14.6%	22.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	51	82	36	169
		% within Population density	22.4%	21.4%	20.2%	21.4%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	30	63	21	114
		% within Population density	13.2%	16.4%	11.8%	14.4%
	Strong oppose	Count	57	138	82	277
		% within Population density	25.0%	35.9%	46.1%	35.1%
	Don't know	Count	18	18	13	49
		% within Population density	7.9%	4.7%	7.3%	6.2%
Total	Count	228	384	178	790	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

		Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total	
		Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas		
Support - ending bilingual	Strong support	Count	86	112	20	18	123	359

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	51.2%	46.7%	30.3%	30.0%	47.7%	45.3%
Somewhat support	Count	22	29	12	6	35	104
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.1%	12.1%	18.2%	10.0%	13.6%	13.1%
Somewhat oppose	Count	18	38	11	12	23	102
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.7%	15.8%	16.7%	20.0%	8.9%	12.9%
Strong oppose	Count	36	52	21	21	52	182
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.4%	21.7%	31.8%	35.0%	20.2%	23.0%
Don't know	Count	6	9	2	3	25	45
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	3.8%	3.0%	5.0%	9.7%	5.7%
Total	Count	168	240	66	60	258	792
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	17	162	92	11	59	20	361
		% within Education	60.7%	55.9%	38.3%	36.7%	38.8%	37.0%	45.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	4	40	27	8	14	10	103
		% within Education	14.3%	13.8%	11.3%	26.7%	9.2%	18.5%	13.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	3	20	39	4	23	13	102
		% within Education	10.7%	6.9%	16.3%	13.3%	15.1%	24.1%	12.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	4	48	63	6	50	11	182
		% within Education	14.3%	16.6%	26.3%	20.0%	32.9%	20.4%	22.9%

	Don't know	Count	0	20	19	1	6	0	46
		% within Education	.0%	6.9%	7.9%	3.3%	3.9%	.0%	5.8%
Total		Count	28	290	240	30	152	54	794
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	68	75	23	39	64	86	355
		% within Frequency attend religious services	47.2%	48.1%	36.5%	42.4%	44.8%	45.5%	45.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	23	19	7	17	20	19	105
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.0%	12.2%	11.1%	18.5%	14.0%	10.1%	13.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	23	24	9	14	14	17	101
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.0%	15.4%	14.3%	15.2%	9.8%	9.0%	12.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	23	30	19	18	38	52	180
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.0%	19.2%	30.2%	19.6%	26.6%	27.5%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	7	8	5	4	7	15	46
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.9%	5.1%	7.9%	4.3%	4.9%	7.9%	5.8%
Total	Count	144	156	63	92	143	189	787	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - ending bilingual	Strong support	Count	277	28	32	1	5	18	361

	% within Race	54.6%	29.8%	22.4%	16.7%	62.5%	51.4%	45.5%
Somewhat support	Count	68	14	18	0	0	4	104
	% within Race	13.4%	14.9%	12.6%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	13.1%
Somewhat oppose	Count	59	16	22	0	0	5	102
	% within Race	11.6%	17.0%	15.4%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	12.9%
Strong oppose	Count	78	27	63	4	2	7	181
	% within Race	15.4%	28.7%	44.1%	66.7%	25.0%	20.0%	22.8%
Don't know	Count	25	9	8	1	1	1	45
	% within Race	4.9%	9.6%	5.6%	16.7%	12.5%	2.9%	5.7%
Total	Count	507	94	143	6	8	35	793
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	293	67	360
		% within Own or rent home	49.2%	34.2%	45.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	84	20	104
		% within Own or rent home	14.1%	10.2%	13.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	69	33	102
		% within Own or rent home	11.6%	16.8%	12.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	117	63	180
		% within Own or rent home	19.7%	32.1%	22.8%
	Don't know	Count	32	13	45
		% within Own or rent home	5.4%	6.6%	5.7%
	Total	Count	595	196	791
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	219	6	39	26	55	15	360
		% within Marital Status	48.9%	33.3%	50.0%	70.3%	30.7%	41.7%	45.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	68	3	10	4	20	0	105
		% within Marital Status	15.2%	16.7%	12.8%	10.8%	11.2%	.0%	13.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	56	5	12	3	24	3	103
		% within Marital Status	12.5%	27.8%	15.4%	8.1%	13.4%	8.3%	12.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	88	4	12	4	58	16	182
		% within Marital Status	19.6%	22.2%	15.4%	10.8%	32.4%	44.4%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	17	0	5	0	22	2	46
		% within Marital Status	3.8%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	12.3%	5.6%	5.8%
Total		Count	448	18	78	37	179	36	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	181	178	359
		% within Gender	49.1%	41.8%	45.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	55	49	104
		% within Gender	14.9%	11.5%	13.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	43	60	103
		% within Gender	11.7%	14.1%	13.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	76	107	183
		% within Gender	20.6%	25.1%	23.0%
	Don't know	Count	14	32	46
		% within Gender	3.8%	7.5%	5.8%

Total	Count	369	426	795
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - ending bilingual education in Texas public schools	Strong support	Count	24	87	165	84	360
		% within Age	22.9%	35.1%	55.0%	59.2%	45.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	14	32	37	21	104
		% within Age	13.3%	12.9%	12.3%	14.8%	13.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	14	41	29	19	103
		% within Age	13.3%	16.5%	9.7%	13.4%	13.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	40	66	59	17	182
		% within Age	38.1%	26.6%	19.7%	12.0%	22.9%
	Don't know	Count	13	22	10	1	46
		% within Age	12.4%	8.9%	3.3%	.7%	5.8%
Total	Count	105	248	300	142	795	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	6	4	17	73	70	126	145	3	444
		% within Ideology scale	23.1%	8.9%	21.0%	40.3%	63.1%	76.4%	85.8%	21.4%	56.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	0	4	11	21	16	15	8	1	76
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	8.9%	13.6%	11.6%	14.4%	9.1%	4.7%	7.1%	9.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	11	14	25	12	13	7	1	84
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	24.4%	17.3%	13.8%	10.8%	7.9%	4.1%	7.1%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	19	23	37	43	10	10	6	2	150

	% within Ideology scale	73.1%	51.1%	45.7%	23.8%	9.0%	6.1%	3.6%	14.3%	18.9%
Don't know	Count	0	3	2	19	3	1	3	7	38
	% within Ideology scale	.0%	6.7%	2.5%	10.5%	2.7%	.6%	1.8%	50.0%	4.8%
Total	Count	26	45	81	181	111	165	169	14	792
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	42	25	11	43	87	41	156	1	406
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.4%	25.3%	25.6%	60.6%	78.4%	67.2%	85.2%	100.0%	55.8%
	Somewhat support	Count	14	10	6	5	13	8	13	0	69
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.8%	10.1%	14.0%	7.0%	11.7%	13.1%	7.1%	.0%	9.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	27	18	3	7	11	6	8	0	80
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.0%	18.2%	7.0%	9.9%	9.9%	9.8%	4.4%	.0%	11.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	64	37	22	10	0	3	5	0	141
		% within 7 point Party ID	40.3%	37.4%	51.2%	14.1%	.0%	4.9%	2.7%	.0%	19.4%
	Don't know	Count	12	9	1	6	0	3	1	0	32
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.5%	9.1%	2.3%	8.5%	.0%	4.9%	.5%	.0%	4.4%
Total	Count	159	99	43	71	111	61	183	1	728	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	108	218	122	448
		% within Population density	47.0%	56.3%	68.5%	56.4%
	Somewhat support	Count	19	43	15	77
		% within Population density	8.3%	11.1%	8.4%	9.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	28	47	10	85
		% within Population density	12.2%	12.1%	5.6%	10.7%



	Strong oppose	Count	64	68	17	149
		% within Population density	27.8%	17.6%	9.6%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	11	11	14	36
		% within Population density	4.8%	2.8%	7.9%	4.5%
Total		Count	230	387	178	795
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	101	153	23	24	148	449
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	59.4%	63.5%	34.8%	38.7%	56.9%	56.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	10	25	13	9	22	79
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	10.4%	19.7%	14.5%	8.5%	9.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	21	19	5	14	26	85
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		12.4%	7.9%	7.6%	22.6%	10.0%	10.6%	
Strong oppose	Count	33	39	22	14	41	149	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.4%	16.2%	33.3%	22.6%	15.8%	18.6%	
Don't know	Count	5	5	3	1	23	37	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.9%	2.1%	4.5%	1.6%	8.8%	4.6%	
Total		Count	170	241	66	62	260	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	19	200	121	15	73	20	448
		% within Education	67.9%	68.7%	49.8%	50.0%	48.7%	36.4%	56.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	1	14	32	7	15	8	77
		% within Education	3.6%	4.8%	13.2%	23.3%	10.0%	14.5%	9.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	3	31	21	3	18	9	85
		% within Education	10.7%	10.7%	8.6%	10.0%	12.0%	16.4%	10.7%
	Strong oppose	Count	3	28	56	5	41	17	150
		% within Education	10.7%	9.6%	23.0%	16.7%	27.3%	30.9%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	18	13	0	3	1	37
		% within Education	7.1%	6.2%	5.3%	.0%	2.0%	1.8%	4.6%
Total	Count	28	291	243	30	150	55	797	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	91	96	32	55	77	93	444
		% within Frequency attend religious services	63.2%	61.9%	50.0%	59.8%	53.1%	48.9%	56.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	13	20	7	10	14	14	78
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.0%	12.9%	10.9%	10.9%	9.7%	7.4%	9.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	16	14	9	9	12	24	84
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.1%	9.0%	14.1%	9.8%	8.3%	12.6%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	20	20	11	14	33	51	149
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.3%	13.8%	14.3%	10.9%	21.7%	15.6%	18.1%

	% within Frequency attend religious services	13.9%	12.9%	17.2%	15.2%	22.8%	26.8%	18.9%
Don't know	Count	4	5	5	4	9	8	35
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.8%	3.2%	7.8%	4.3%	6.2%	4.2%	4.4%
Total	Count	144	155	64	92	145	190	790
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	353	31	39	1	4	20	448
		% within Race	69.4%	33.0%	27.1%	16.7%	44.4%	57.1%	56.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	48	13	12	1	1	3	78
		% within Race	9.4%	13.8%	8.3%	16.7%	11.1%	8.6%	9.8%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	45	13	19	1	2	5	85
		% within Race	8.8%	13.8%	13.2%	16.7%	22.2%	14.3%	10.7%
	Strong oppose	Count	50	25	65	2	1	6	149
		% within Race	9.8%	26.6%	45.1%	33.3%	11.1%	17.1%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	13	12	9	1	1	1	37
		% within Race	2.6%	12.8%	6.3%	16.7%	11.1%	2.9%	4.6%
	Total	Count	509	94	144	6	9	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	357	90	447
		% within Own or rent home	59.7%	46.2%	56.4%

	Somewhat support	Count	59	18	77
		% within Own or rent home	9.9%	9.2%	9.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	63	22	85
		% within Own or rent home	10.5%	11.3%	10.7%
	Strong oppose	Count	94	53	147
		% within Own or rent home	15.7%	27.2%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	25	12	37
		% within Own or rent home	4.2%	6.2%	4.7%
Total		Count	598	195	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	280	9	53	27	64	14	447
		% within Marital Status	62.2%	52.9%	67.9%	77.1%	35.8%	37.8%	56.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	42	2	5	2	25	2	78
		% within Marital Status	9.3%	11.8%	6.4%	5.7%	14.0%	5.4%	9.8%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	47	1	5	1	27	4	85
		% within Marital Status	10.4%	5.9%	6.4%	2.9%	15.1%	10.8%	10.7%
	Strong oppose	Count	70	5	10	2	46	16	149
		% within Marital Status	15.6%	29.4%	12.8%	5.7%	25.7%	43.2%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	11	0	5	3	17	1	37
		% within Marital Status	2.4%	.0%	6.4%	8.6%	9.5%	2.7%	4.6%
Total		Count	450	17	78	35	179	37	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	225	222	447
		% within Gender	61.3%	51.9%	56.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	38	41	79
		% within Gender	10.4%	9.6%	9.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	36	48	84
		% within Gender	9.8%	11.2%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	63	86	149
		% within Gender	17.2%	20.1%	18.7%
	Don't know	Count	5	31	36
		% within Gender	1.4%	7.2%	4.5%
Total	Count	367	428	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - requiring law enforcement officials to check immigration status	Strong support	Count	29	124	190	106	449
		% within Age	27.4%	49.4%	63.1%	74.6%	56.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	13	23	28	14	78
		% within Age	12.3%	9.2%	9.3%	9.9%	9.8%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	17	38	23	7	85
		% within Age	16.0%	15.1%	7.6%	4.9%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	34	53	51	12	150
		% within Age	32.1%	21.1%	16.9%	8.5%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	13	13	9	3	38
		% within Age	12.3%	5.2%	3.0%	2.1%	4.8%

Total	Count	106	251	301	142	800
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	6	10	18	67	50	85	90	2	328
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	36.8%	45.0%	51.2%	52.9%	15.4%	41.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	5	11	17	28	23	36	38	2	160
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	24.4%	21.0%	15.4%	20.7%	21.7%	22.4%	15.4%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	8	14	20	32	19	18	22	2	135
		% within Ideology scale	29.6%	31.1%	24.7%	17.6%	17.1%	10.8%	12.9%	15.4%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	7	8	18	26	8	11	9	2	89
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	17.8%	22.2%	14.3%	7.2%	6.6%	5.3%	15.4%	11.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	8	29	11	16	11	5	83
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	4.4%	9.9%	15.9%	9.9%	9.6%	6.5%	38.5%	10.4%
	Total	Count	27	45	81	182	111	166	170	13	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	44	29	8	37	58	26	97	1	300
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.5%	29.3%	19.0%	50.7%	53.2%	41.3%	53.0%	50.0%	41.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	31	17	12	12	19	16	43	0	150
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.4%	17.2%	28.6%	16.4%	17.4%	25.4%	23.5%	.0%	20.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	34	22	12	12	12	8	23	1	124
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.3%	22.2%	28.6%	16.4%	11.0%	12.7%	12.6%	50.0%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	34	18	5	4	9	4	10	0	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.3%	18.2%	11.9%	5.5%	8.3%	6.3%	5.5%	.0%	11.5%
	Don't know	Count	17	13	5	8	11	9	10	0	73
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.6%	13.1%	11.9%	11.0%	10.1%	14.3%	5.5%	.0%	10.0%

Total	Count	160	99	42	73	109	63	183	2	731
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	74	165	89	328
		% within Population density	32.3%	42.5%	49.7%	41.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	48	83	29	160
		% within Population density	21.0%	21.4%	16.2%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	54	62	19	135
		% within Population density	23.6%	16.0%	10.6%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	32	42	17	91
		% within Population density	14.0%	10.8%	9.5%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	21	36	25	82
		% within Population density	9.2%	9.3%	14.0%	10.3%
Total	Count	229	388	179	796	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	83	109	16	21	100	329
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	49.1%	45.4%	25.0%	33.9%	38.6%	41.4%
	Somewhat support	Count	35	48	16	9	51	159

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	20.7%	20.0%	25.0%	14.5%	19.7%	20.0%
Somewhat oppose	Count	32	30	12	17	43	134
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.9%	12.5%	18.8%	27.4%	16.6%	16.9%
Strong oppose	Count	13	30	17	7	23	90
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.7%	12.5%	26.6%	11.3%	8.9%	11.3%
Don't know	Count	6	23	3	8	42	82
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.6%	9.6%	4.7%	12.9%	16.2%	10.3%
Total	Count	169	240	64	62	259	794
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	9	148	80	15	56	20	328
		% within Education	32.1%	50.7%	33.3%	51.7%	37.1%	35.7%	41.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	11	47	52	4	38	8	160
		% within Education	39.3%	16.1%	21.7%	13.8%	25.2%	14.3%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	3	41	44	5	27	15	135
		% within Education	10.7%	14.0%	18.3%	17.2%	17.9%	26.8%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	2	22	34	4	20	9	91
		% within Education	7.1%	7.5%	14.2%	13.8%	13.2%	16.1%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	34	30	1	10	4	82
		% within Education	10.7%	11.6%	12.5%	3.4%	6.6%	7.1%	10.3%
Total		Count	28	292	240	29	151	56	796



**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	9	148	80	15	56	20	328
		% within Education	32.1%	50.7%	33.3%	51.7%	37.1%	35.7%	41.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	11	47	52	4	38	8	160
		% within Education	39.3%	16.1%	21.7%	13.8%	25.2%	14.3%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	3	41	44	5	27	15	135
		% within Education	10.7%	14.0%	18.3%	17.2%	17.9%	26.8%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	2	22	34	4	20	9	91
		% within Education	7.1%	7.5%	14.2%	13.8%	13.2%	16.1%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	34	30	1	10	4	82
		% within Education	10.7%	11.6%	12.5%	3.4%	6.6%	7.1%	10.3%
		Count	28	292	240	29	151	56	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	60	76	26	39	51	72	324
		% within Frequency attend religious services	42.3%	48.7%	41.3%	42.4%	35.4%	38.1%	41.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	35	16	14	24	29	40	158
		% within Frequency attend religious services	24.6%	10.3%	22.2%	26.1%	20.1%	21.2%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	18	30	8	16	24	36	132
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.7%	19.2%	12.7%	17.4%	16.7%	19.0%	16.8%
	Strong oppose	Count	16	17	6	7	21	23	90
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.3%	10.9%	9.5%	7.6%	14.6%	12.2%	11.5%

	Don't know	Count	13	17	9	6	19	18	82
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.2%	10.9%	14.3%	6.5%	13.2%	9.5%	10.4%
Total		Count	142	156	63	92	144	189	786
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	254	25	31	1	5	12	328
		% within Race	50.0%	26.6%	21.7%	16.7%	62.5%	34.3%	41.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	100	25	24	1	0	9	159
		% within Race	19.7%	26.6%	16.8%	16.7%	.0%	25.7%	20.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	75	17	29	3	1	9	134
		% within Race	14.8%	18.1%	20.3%	50.0%	12.5%	25.7%	16.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	39	9	39	1	1	2	91
		% within Race	7.7%	9.6%	27.3%	16.7%	12.5%	5.7%	11.5%
	Don't know	Count	40	18	20	0	1	3	82
		% within Race	7.9%	19.1%	14.0%	.0%	12.5%	8.6%	10.3%
	Total	Count	508	94	143	6	8	35	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	263	64	327
		% within Own or rent home	44.1%	32.7%	41.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	124	36	160

	% within Own or rent home	20.8%	18.4%	20.2%
Somewhat oppose	Count	94	40	134
	% within Own or rent home	15.7%	20.4%	16.9%
Strong oppose	Count	56	33	89
	% within Own or rent home	9.4%	16.8%	11.2%
Don't know	Count	60	23	83
	% within Own or rent home	10.1%	11.7%	10.5%
Total	Count	597	196	793
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	193	4	40	16	60	15	328
		% within Marital Status	42.9%	23.5%	51.9%	44.4%	33.5%	41.7%	41.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	93	4	13	7	35	8	160
		% within Marital Status	20.7%	23.5%	16.9%	19.4%	19.6%	22.2%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	79	3	10	6	32	4	134
		% within Marital Status	17.6%	17.6%	13.0%	16.7%	17.9%	11.1%	16.9%
	Strong oppose	Count	48	3	4	5	24	6	90
		% within Marital Status	10.7%	17.6%	5.2%	13.9%	13.4%	16.7%	11.3%
	Don't know	Count	37	3	10	2	28	3	83
		% within Marital Status	8.2%	17.6%	13.0%	5.6%	15.6%	8.3%	10.4%
Total		Count	450	17	77	36	179	36	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	160	167	327
		% within Gender	43.5%	39.1%	41.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	78	81	159
		% within Gender	21.2%	19.0%	20.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	70	65	135
		% within Gender	19.0%	15.2%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	41	50	91
		% within Gender	11.1%	11.7%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	19	64	83
		% within Gender	5.2%	15.0%	10.4%
Total	Count	368	427	795	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - prohibiting businesses from soliciting laborers at day labor sites	Strong support	Count	22	89	138	79	328
		% within Age	21.0%	35.5%	45.8%	56.0%	41.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	21	66	52	21	160
		% within Age	20.0%	26.3%	17.3%	14.9%	20.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	20	44	53	19	136
		% within Age	19.0%	17.5%	17.6%	13.5%	17.0%
	Strong oppose	Count	21	25	31	14	91
		% within Age	20.0%	10.0%	10.3%	9.9%	11.4%
	Don't know	Count	21	27	27	8	83
		% within Age	20.0%	10.8%	9.0%	5.7%	10.4%

Total	Count	105	251	301	141	798
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	6	10	23	42	39	68	68	4	260
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	22.2%	29.5%	23.3%	35.1%	41.5%	40.5%	26.7%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	2	6	17	21	12	15	7	0	80
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	13.3%	21.8%	11.7%	10.8%	9.1%	4.2%	.0%	10.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	6	10	10	22	14	14	6	0	82
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	22.2%	12.8%	12.2%	12.6%	8.5%	3.6%	.0%	10.4%
	Strong oppose	Count	9	7	16	52	33	55	78	0	250
		% within Ideology scale	33.3%	15.6%	20.5%	28.9%	29.7%	33.5%	46.4%	.0%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	4	12	12	43	13	12	9	11	116
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	26.7%	15.4%	23.9%	11.7%	7.3%	5.4%	73.3%	14.7%
	Total	Count	27	45	78	180	111	164	168	15	788
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	43	21	10	22	55	18	69	0	238
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.6%	20.8%	23.3%	31.4%	49.1%	29.0%	37.9%	.0%	32.7%
	Somewhat support	Count	17	19	7	5	9	6	10	0	73
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.9%	18.8%	16.3%	7.1%	8.0%	9.7%	5.5%	.0%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	34	15	4	5	7	5	8	0	78
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.8%	14.9%	9.3%	7.1%	6.3%	8.1%	4.4%	.0%	10.7%
	Strong oppose	Count	33	21	10	27	37	23	82	1	234
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.2%	20.8%	23.3%	38.6%	33.0%	37.1%	45.1%	50.0%	32.1%
	Don't know	Count	29	25	12	11	4	10	13	1	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.6%	24.8%	27.9%	15.7%	3.6%	16.1%	7.1%	50.0%	14.4%

Total	Count	156	101	43	70	112	62	182	2	728
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Population density  
Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	52	146	63	261
		% within Population density	22.9%	37.7%	35.4%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	33	32	15	80
		% within Population density	14.5%	8.3%	8.4%	10.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	30	36	18	84
		% within Population density	13.2%	9.3%	10.1%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	73	125	53	251
		% within Population density	32.2%	32.3%	29.8%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	39	48	29	116
		% within Population density	17.2%	12.4%	16.3%	14.6%
Total	Count	227	387	178	792	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin  
Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	60	83	20	20	78	261
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.4%	34.7%	29.9%	32.3%	30.2%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	13	27	11	4	24	79

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.9%	11.3%	16.4%	6.5%	9.3%	10.0%
Somewhat oppose	Count	18	22	11	7	25	83
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.9%	9.2%	16.4%	11.3%	9.7%	10.5%
Strong oppose	Count	55	81	16	16	84	252
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	33.3%	33.9%	23.9%	25.8%	32.6%	31.9%
Don't know	Count	19	26	9	15	47	116
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.5%	10.9%	13.4%	24.2%	18.2%	14.7%
Total	Count	165	239	67	62	258	791
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	10	100	69	8	53	20	260
		% within Education	34.5%	35.1%	28.5%	27.6%	35.3%	36.4%	32.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	6	21	23	4	17	9	80
		% within Education	20.7%	7.4%	9.5%	13.8%	11.3%	16.4%	10.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	0	23	30	3	20	7	83
		% within Education	.0%	8.1%	12.4%	10.3%	13.3%	12.7%	10.5%
	Strong oppose	Count	12	97	73	11	45	13	251
		% within Education	41.4%	34.0%	30.2%	37.9%	30.0%	23.6%	31.8%
	Don't know	Count	1	44	47	3	15	6	116
		% within Education	3.4%	15.4%	19.4%	10.3%	10.0%	10.9%	14.7%
	Total	Count	29	285	242	29	150	55	790

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	10	100	69	8	53	20	260
		% within Education	34.5%	35.1%	28.5%	27.6%	35.3%	36.4%	32.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	6	21	23	4	17	9	80
		% within Education	20.7%	7.4%	9.5%	13.8%	11.3%	16.4%	10.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	0	23	30	3	20	7	83
		% within Education	.0%	8.1%	12.4%	10.3%	13.3%	12.7%	10.5%
	Strong oppose	Count	12	97	73	11	45	13	251
		% within Education	41.4%	34.0%	30.2%	37.9%	30.0%	23.6%	31.8%
	Don't know	Count	1	44	47	3	15	6	116
		% within Education	3.4%	15.4%	19.4%	10.3%	10.0%	10.9%	14.7%
		Count	29	285	242	29	150	55	790
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	48	53	17	26	53	61	258
		% within Frequency attend religious services	33.8%	33.8%	27.4%	28.0%	37.3%	32.6%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	16	14	4	10	14	21	79
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.3%	8.9%	6.5%	10.8%	9.9%	11.2%	10.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	11	17	7	13	14	21	83
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.7%	10.8%	11.3%	14.0%	9.9%	11.2%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	53	55	23	29	44	44	248
		% within Frequency attend religious services	37.3%	35.0%	37.1%	31.2%	31.0%	23.5%	31.7%



	Don't know	Count	14	18	11	15	17	40	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.9%	11.5%	17.7%	16.1%	12.0%	21.4%	14.7%
Total		Count	142	157	62	93	142	187	783
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	192	20	35	1	3	11	262
		% within Race	37.9%	20.8%	24.6%	14.3%	37.5%	33.3%	33.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	36	19	16	4	0	4	79
		% within Race	7.1%	19.8%	11.3%	57.1%	.0%	12.1%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	44	13	23	0	0	3	83
		% within Race	8.7%	13.5%	16.2%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	10.5%
	Strong oppose	Count	174	20	40	1	4	12	251
		% within Race	34.4%	20.8%	28.2%	14.3%	50.0%	36.4%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	60	24	28	1	1	3	117
		% within Race	11.9%	25.0%	19.7%	14.3%	12.5%	9.1%	14.8%
Total	Count	506	96	142	7	8	33	792	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	202	58	260
		% within Own or rent home	34.2%	29.4%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	53	26	79

	% within Own or rent home	9.0%	13.2%	10.0%
Somewhat oppose	Count	62	22	84
	% within Own or rent home	10.5%	11.2%	10.7%
Strong oppose	Count	199	50	249
	% within Own or rent home	33.7%	25.4%	31.6%
Don't know	Count	75	41	116
	% within Own or rent home	12.7%	20.8%	14.7%
Total	Count	591	197	788
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	161	2	26	16	43	13	261
		% within Marital Status	35.9%	12.5%	34.7%	42.1%	24.4%	35.1%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	34	4	8	1	30	2	79
		% within Marital Status	7.6%	25.0%	10.7%	2.6%	17.0%	5.4%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	50	1	4	2	23	3	83
		% within Marital Status	11.2%	6.3%	5.3%	5.3%	13.1%	8.1%	10.5%
	Strong oppose	Count	151	6	24	16	43	11	251
		% within Marital Status	33.7%	37.5%	32.0%	42.1%	24.4%	29.7%	31.8%
	Don't know	Count	52	3	13	3	37	8	116
		% within Marital Status	11.6%	18.8%	17.3%	7.9%	21.0%	21.6%	14.7%
	Total	Count	448	16	75	38	176	37	790
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	138	123	261
		% within Gender	37.5%	29.1%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	43	36	79
		% within Gender	11.7%	8.5%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	36	47	83
		% within Gender	9.8%	11.1%	10.5%
	Strong oppose	Count	127	124	251
		% within Gender	34.5%	29.3%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	24	93	117
		% within Gender	6.5%	22.0%	14.8%
Total	Count	368	423	791	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Support - prohibiting local governments from restricting the enforcement of federal immigration laws	Strong support	Count	27	79	107	49	262
		% within Age	26.0%	31.6%	35.8%	35.0%	33.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	17	29	28	5	79
		% within Age	16.3%	11.6%	9.4%	3.6%	10.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	16	27	30	11	84
		% within Age	15.4%	10.8%	10.0%	7.9%	10.6%
	Strong oppose	Count	15	64	105	68	252
		% within Age	14.4%	25.6%	35.1%	48.6%	31.8%
	Don't know	Count	29	51	29	7	116
		% within Age	27.9%	20.4%	9.7%	5.0%	14.6%

Total	Count	104	250	299	140	793
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	Total
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count	19	34	49	103	50	54	53	5	367
		% within Ideology scale	67.9%	75.6%	60.5%	56.6%	45.5%	32.5%	31.4%	33.3%	46.1%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count	7	1	21	26	13	17	22	0	107
		% within Ideology scale	25.0%	2.2%	25.9%	14.3%	11.8%	10.2%	13.0%	.0%	13.4%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	1	4	7	19	21	51	45	0	148
	% within Ideology scale	3.6%	8.9%	8.6%	10.4%	19.1%	30.7%	26.6%	.0%	18.6%	
State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	0	1	1	5	14	17	29	0	67	
	% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	2.7%	12.7%	10.2%	17.2%	.0%	8.4%	
Don't know	Count	1	5	3	29	12	27	20	10	107	
	% within Ideology scale	3.6%	11.1%	3.7%	15.9%	10.9%	16.3%	11.8%	66.7%	13.4%	
Total	Count	28	45	81	182	110	166	169	15	796	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count	109	57	30	26	30	27	63	0	342
		% within 7 point Party ID	68.1%	57.0%	69.8%	36.1%	27.0%	44.3%	34.4%	.0%	46.7%
	State revenues should be	Count	15	15	10	11	13	7	27	1	99

	% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	15.0%	23.3%	15.3%	11.7%	11.5%	14.8%	50.0%	13.5%
State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	10	12	1	11	36	14	54	0	138
	% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	12.0%	2.3%	15.3%	32.4%	23.0%	29.5%	.0%	18.9%
State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	2	1	1	14	19	2	17	1	57
	% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	1.0%	2.3%	19.4%	17.1%	3.3%	9.3%	50.0%	7.8%
Don't know	Count	24	15	1	10	13	11	22	0	96
	% within 7 point Party ID	15.0%	15.0%	2.3%	13.9%	11.7%	18.0%	12.0%	.0%	13.1%
Total	Count	160	100	43	72	111	61	183	2	732
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count	120	175	73	368
		% within Population density	51.9%	45.2%	40.8%	46.2%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count	39	51	17	107
		% within Population density	16.9%	13.2%	9.5%	13.4%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	36	81	31	148
		% within Population density	15.6%	20.9%	17.3%	18.6%
	State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	7	32	27	66
		% within Population density	3.0%	8.3%	15.1%	8.3%
	Don't know	Count	29	48	31	108
		% within Population density	12.6%	12.4%	17.3%	13.6%
Total	Count	231	387	179	797	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	83 48.8%	120 50.2%	31 47.7%	26 41.9%	108 41.5%	368 46.2%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25 14.7%	34 14.2%	7 10.8%	7 11.3%	34 13.1%	107 13.4%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28 16.5%	49 20.5%	16 24.6%	9 14.5%	46 17.7%	148 18.6%
	State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	16 9.4%	16 6.7%	6 9.2%	11 17.7%	18 6.9%	67 8.4%
	Don't know	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18 10.6%	20 8.4%	5 7.7%	9 14.5%	54 20.8%	106 13.3%
<b>Total</b>	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	170 100.0%	239 100.0%	65 100.0%	62 100.0%	260 100.0%	796 100.0%	

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
State revenue used to make	State revenues should be	Count	13	117	118	18	76	26	368

	% within Education	46.4%	40.2%	49.0%	60.0%	50.3%	46.4%	46.2%
State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count	3	46	31	4	16	7	107
	% within Education	10.7%	15.8%	12.9%	13.3%	10.6%	12.5%	13.4%
State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	3	50	42	4	35	15	149
	% within Education	10.7%	17.2%	17.4%	13.3%	23.2%	26.8%	18.7%
State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	1	23	23	2	13	4	66
	% within Education	3.6%	7.9%	9.5%	6.7%	8.6%	7.1%	8.3%
Don't know	Count	8	55	27	2	11	4	107
	% within Education	28.6%	18.9%	11.2%	6.7%	7.3%	7.1%	13.4%
Total	Count	28	291	241	30	151	56	797
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count	65	75	22	40	59	105	366
		% within Frequency attend religious services	45.1%	47.8%	34.4%	43.5%	40.7%	55.3%	46.2%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count	19	17	12	13	27	17	105
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.2%	10.8%	18.8%	14.1%	18.6%	8.9%	13.3%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	28	30	13	24	31	22	148
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.4%	19.1%	20.3%	26.1%	21.4%	11.6%	18.7%
State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	10	17	5	6	7	21	66	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	6.9%	10.8%	7.8%	6.5%	4.8%	11.1%	8.3%	
Don't know	Count	22	18	12	9	21	25	107	

	% within Frequency attend religious services	15.3%	11.5%	18.8%	9.8%	14.5%	13.2%	13.5%
Total	Count	144	157	64	92	145	190	792
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count	210	56	81	4	3	13	367
		% within Race	41.3%	58.3%	56.3%	66.7%	37.5%	38.2%	46.1%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count	62	11	24	1	2	6	106
		% within Race	12.2%	11.5%	16.7%	16.7%	25.0%	17.6%	13.3%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	115	8	16	1	1	7	148
		% within Race	22.6%	8.3%	11.1%	16.7%	12.5%	20.6%	18.6%
Total	State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	53	2	7	0	1	4	67
		% within Race	10.4%	2.1%	4.9%	.0%	12.5%	11.8%	8.4%
Total	Don't know	Count	68	19	16	0	1	4	108
		% within Race	13.4%	19.8%	11.1%	.0%	12.5%	11.8%	13.6%
Total	Count	508	96	144	6	8	34	796	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

	Marital Status	Total



			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count % within Marital Status	201 44.7%	10 62.5%	30 39.0%	18 48.6%	87 48.6%	22 59.5%	368 46.2%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count % within Marital Status	48 10.7%	2 12.5%	16 20.8%	8 21.6%	29 16.2%	3 8.1%	106 13.3%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count % within Marital Status	95 21.1%	1 6.3%	16 20.8%	5 13.5%	24 13.4%	6 16.2%	147 18.5%
	State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count % within Marital Status	47 10.4%	1 6.3%	4 5.2%	3 8.1%	11 6.1%	1 2.7%	67 8.4%
	Don't know	Count % within Marital Status	59 13.1%	2 12.5%	11 14.3%	3 8.1%	28 15.6%	5 13.5%	108 13.6%
Total	Count % within Marital Status	450 100.0%	16 100.0%	77 100.0%	37 100.0%	179 100.0%	37 100.0%	796 100.0%	

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count % within Gender	156 42.4%	212 49.4%	368 46.2%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count % within Gender	43 11.7%	64 14.9%	107 13.4%
	State revenues should stay the	Count	92	56	148

	% within Gender	25.0%	13.1%	18.6%
State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	50	16	66
	% within Gender	13.6%	3.7%	8.3%
Don't know	Count	27	81	108
	% within Gender	7.3%	18.9%	13.6%
Total	Count	368	429	797
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for all Texans*	Count	55	120	146	47	368
		% within Age	52.9%	47.8%	48.3%	33.1%	46.1%
	State revenues should be increased to make college education more affordable *for only the most needy Texans*	Count	16	30	34	27	107
		% within Age	15.4%	12.0%	11.3%	19.0%	13.4%
	State revenues should stay the same with no changes in the affordability of college education for Texans	Count	12	45	60	32	149
		% within Age	11.5%	17.9%	19.9%	22.5%	18.6%
	State revenues should be decreased even if it means making college education more expensive for Texans	Count	2	21	26	18	67
		% within Age	1.9%	8.4%	8.6%	12.7%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	19	35	36	18	108
		% within Age	18.3%	13.9%	11.9%	12.7%	13.5%
Total	Count	104	251	302	142	799	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Availability of abortion - opinion \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale								Total
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Don't know	
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	2	0	2	12	15	22	61	1	115
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	.0%	2.5%	6.6%	13.5%	13.3%	36.3%	7.1%	14.5%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	1	2	12	55	43	104	74	2	293
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	4.3%	14.8%	30.2%	38.7%	63.0%	44.0%	14.3%	36.9%
	The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	1	4	18	29	22	13	12	4	103
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	8.7%	22.2%	15.9%	19.8%	7.9%	7.1%	28.6%	13.0%
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	22	37	46	77	28	23	17	2	252	
	% within Ideology scale	84.6%	80.4%	56.8%	42.3%	25.2%	13.9%	10.1%	14.3%	31.8%	
Don't know	Count	0	3	3	9	3	3	4	5	30	
	% within Ideology scale	.0%	6.5%	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%	1.8%	2.4%	35.7%	3.8%	
Total	Count	26	46	81	182	111	165	168	14	793	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Availability of abortion - opinion \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	14	7	2	8	19	6	44	1	101
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.8%	7.0%	4.7%	11.1%	17.3%	9.5%	23.9%	50.0%	13.8%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	32	24	6	25	55	30	91	1	264
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.0%	24.0%	14.0%	34.7%	50.0%	47.6%	49.5%	50.0%	36.0%
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	26	13	7	7	14	12	19	0	98	
	% within 7 point Party ID	16.3%	13.0%	16.3%	9.7%	12.7%	19.0%	10.3%	.0%	13.4%	
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	81	51	27	26	20	12	27	0	244	
	% within 7 point Party ID	50.6%	51.0%	62.8%	36.1%	18.2%	19.0%	14.7%	.0%	33.2%	

Don't know	Count	7	5	1	6	2	3	3	0	27
	% within 7 point Party ID	4.4%	5.0%	2.3%	8.3%	1.8%	4.8%	1.6%	.0%	3.7%
Total	Count	160	100	43	72	110	63	184	2	734
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	30	58	29	117
		% within Population density	12.9%	14.9%	16.1%	14.6%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	82	132	80	294
		% within Population density	35.3%	33.8%	44.4%	36.7%
	The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	25	58	21	104
		% within Population density	10.8%	14.9%	11.7%	13.0%
	By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	85	131	40	256
		% within Population density	36.6%	33.6%	22.2%	31.9%
	Don't know	Count	10	11	10	31
		% within Population density	4.3%	2.8%	5.6%	3.9%
Total		Count	232	390	180	802
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Availability of abortion -	By law, abortion should	Count	23	35	7	5	46	116

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.7%	14.6%	10.4%	7.9%	17.6%	14.5%
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	61	83	24	19	106	293
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.3%	34.6%	35.8%	30.2%	40.6%	36.7%
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	17	31	7	15	34	104
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.1%	12.9%	10.4%	23.8%	13.0%	13.0%
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	60	84	27	22	62	255
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	35.7%	35.0%	40.3%	34.9%	23.8%	31.9%
Don't know	Count	7	7	2	2	13	31
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.2%	2.9%	3.0%	3.2%	5.0%	3.9%
Total	Count	168	240	67	63	261	799
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	4	44	35	3	22	7	115
		% within Education	14.3%	15.1%	14.3%	10.3%	14.5%	12.7%	14.4%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	16	129	69	13	48	19	294
		% within Education	57.1%	44.3%	28.3%	44.8%	31.6%	34.5%	36.8%
	The law should permit	Count	1	44	31	2	18	7	103

	% within Education	3.6%	15.1%	12.7%	6.9%	11.8%	12.7%	12.9%
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	7	65	93	10	60	21	256
	% within Education	25.0%	22.3%	38.1%	34.5%	39.5%	38.2%	32.0%
Don't know	Count	0	9	16	1	4	1	31
	% within Education	.0%	3.1%	6.6%	3.4%	2.6%	1.8%	3.9%
Total	Count	28	291	244	29	152	55	799
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Availability of abortion - opinion \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

		Frequency attend religious services						Total	
		More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never		
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	60	24	9	6	11	5	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	41.4%	15.3%	14.1%	6.7%	7.6%	2.6%	14.6%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	53	77	27	38	51	43	289
		% within Frequency attend religious services	36.6%	49.0%	42.2%	42.2%	35.4%	22.6%	36.6%
	The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	7	23	8	12	31	22	103
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.8%	14.6%	12.5%	13.3%	21.5%	11.6%	13.0%
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	22	28	16	30	47	110	253	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	15.2%	17.8%	25.0%	33.3%	32.6%	57.9%	32.0%	
Don't know	Count	3	5	4	4	4	10	30	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.1%	3.2%	6.3%	4.4%	2.8%	5.3%	3.8%	
Total	Count	145	157	64	90	144	190	790	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Availability of abortion - opinion \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	81	6	23	0	2	5	117
		% within Race	15.9%	6.3%	16.0%	.0%	25.0%	13.9%	14.6%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	202	20	55	2	2	14	295
		% within Race	39.5%	20.8%	38.2%	25.0%	25.0%	38.9%	36.7%
	The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	55	20	19	4	2	5	105
		% within Race	10.8%	20.8%	13.2%	50.0%	25.0%	13.9%	13.1%
	By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	156	43	42	2	2	10	255
		% within Race	30.5%	44.8%	29.2%	25.0%	25.0%	27.8%	31.8%
	Don't know	Count	17	7	5	0	0	2	31
		% within Race	3.3%	7.3%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	3.9%
Total		Count	511	96	144	8	8	36	803
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	90	26	116
		% within Own or rent home	15.0%	13.2%	14.6%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	230	62	292
		% within Own or rent home	38.4%	31.5%	36.7%
	The law should permit abortion	Count	79	25	104

	% within Own or rent home	13.2%	12.7%	13.1%
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	176	78	254
	% within Own or rent home	29.4%	39.6%	31.9%
Don't know	Count	24	6	30
	% within Own or rent home	4.0%	3.0%	3.8%
Total	Count	599	197	796
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	79	4	7	8	13	5	116
		% within Marital Status	17.5%	25.0%	9.0%	21.6%	7.3%	13.2%	14.5%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	189	6	30	13	46	10	294
		% within Marital Status	41.8%	37.5%	38.5%	35.1%	25.7%	26.3%	36.8%
	The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	52	2	9	10	28	3	104
		% within Marital Status	11.5%	12.5%	11.5%	27.0%	15.6%	7.9%	13.0%
	By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	118	4	30	5	79	19	255
		% within Marital Status	26.1%	25.0%	38.5%	13.5%	44.1%	50.0%	31.9%
	Don't know	Count	14	0	2	1	13	1	31
		% within Marital Status	3.1%	.0%	2.6%	2.7%	7.3%	2.6%	3.9%
Total		Count	452	16	78	37	179	38	800
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Gender Crosstabulation**



			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	48	68	116
		% within Gender	13.0%	15.9%	14.6%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	156	137	293
		% within Gender	42.4%	31.9%	36.8%
	The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the ne	Count	43	61	104
		% within Gender	11.7%	14.2%	13.0%
	By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	109	145	254
		% within Gender	29.6%	33.8%	31.9%
	Don't know	Count	12	18	30
		% within Gender	3.3%	4.2%	3.8%
Total		Count	368	429	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Availability of abortion - opinion \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Availability of abortion - opinion	By law, abortion should never be permitted	Count	7	39	42	28	116
		% within Age	6.6%	15.7%	13.9%	19.9%	14.5%
	The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger	Count	42	78	111	63	294
		% within Age	39.6%	31.3%	36.8%	44.7%	36.8%
	The law should permit abortion	Count	17	30	35	21	103

	% within Age	16.0%	12.0%	11.6%	14.9%	12.9%
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	Count	33	92	102	27	254
	% within Age	31.1%	36.9%	33.8%	19.1%	31.8%
Don't know	Count	7	10	12	2	31
	% within Age	6.6%	4.0%	4.0%	1.4%	3.9%
Total	Count	106	249	302	141	798
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count	4	3	7	34	26	51	89	2	216
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	6.5%	8.5%	18.9%	23.4%	30.9%	53.0%	14.3%	27.2%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	4	7	18	70	27	48	36	6	216
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	15.2%	22.0%	38.9%	24.3%	29.1%	21.4%	42.9%	27.2%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	8	23	36	46	35	43	26	3	220
		% within Ideology scale	29.6%	50.0%	43.9%	25.6%	31.5%	26.1%	15.5%	21.4%	27.7%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	10	13	19	21	19	16	14	1	113
		% within Ideology scale	37.0%	28.3%	23.2%	11.7%	17.1%	9.7%	8.3%	7.1%	14.2%
Don't know	Count	1	0	2	9	4	7	3	2	28	
	% within Ideology scale	3.7%	.0%	2.4%	5.0%	3.6%	4.2%	1.8%	14.3%	3.5%	
Total	Count	27	46	82	180	111	165	168	14	793	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Legalization of marijuana	Marijuana possession should	Count	34	18	1	9	37	15	86	0	200

	% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	18.4%	2.3%	12.3%	33.6%	24.2%	46.7%	.0%	27.4%
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	52	25	9	20	24	21	48	1	200
	% within 7 point Party ID	32.7%	25.5%	20.9%	27.4%	21.8%	33.9%	26.1%	100.0%	27.4%
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	43	37	22	25	31	17	31	0	206
	% within 7 point Party ID	27.0%	37.8%	51.2%	34.2%	28.2%	27.4%	16.8%	.0%	28.2%
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	27	13	9	15	15	8	13	0	100
	% within 7 point Party ID	17.0%	13.3%	20.9%	20.5%	13.6%	12.9%	7.1%	.0%	13.7%
Don't know	Count	3	5	2	4	3	1	6	0	24
	% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	5.1%	4.7%	5.5%	2.7%	1.6%	3.3%	.0%	3.3%
Total	Count	159	98	43	73	110	62	184	1	730
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count	62	101	55	218
		% within Population density	26.8%	26.0%	30.7%	27.3%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	64	103	51	218
		% within Population density	27.7%	26.5%	28.5%	27.3%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	58	119	43	220
		% within Population density	25.1%	30.7%	24.0%	27.6%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	38	56	21	115
		% within Population density	16.5%	14.4%	11.7%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	9	9	9	27
		% within Population density	3.9%	2.3%	5.0%	3.4%
Total		Count	231	388	179	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	49 29.0%	64 26.8%	15 22.4%	10 15.6%	80 30.8%	218 27.3%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	45 26.6%	66 27.6%	17 25.4%	13 20.3%	78 30.0%	219 27.4%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	50 29.6%	60 25.1%	21 31.3%	27 42.2%	63 24.2%	221 27.7%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22 13.0%	43 18.0%	12 17.9%	9 14.1%	28 10.8%	114 14.3%
	Don't know	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3 1.8%	6 2.5%	2 3.0%	5 7.8%	11 4.2%	27 3.4%
Total	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	169 100.0%	239 100.0%	67 100.0%	64 100.0%	260 100.0%	799 100.0%	

**Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count % within Education	9 31.0%	89 30.8%	64 26.4%	7 24.1%	37 24.2%	12 22.2%	218 27.4%
	Marijuana possession should	Count	11	88	58	7	38	16	218

	% within Education	37.9%	30.4%	24.0%	24.1%	24.8%	29.6%	27.4%
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	6	75	66	8	50	14	219
	% within Education	20.7%	26.0%	27.3%	27.6%	32.7%	25.9%	27.5%
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	3	29	44	6	23	10	115
	% within Education	10.3%	10.0%	18.2%	20.7%	15.0%	18.5%	14.4%
Don't know	Count	0	8	10	1	5	2	26
	% within Education	.0%	2.8%	4.1%	3.4%	3.3%	3.7%	3.3%
Total	Count	29	289	242	29	153	54	796
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count	62	62	14	28	28	20	214
		% within Frequency attend religious services	43.1%	39.0%	21.5%	30.4%	19.3%	10.6%	27.0%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	43	50	20	26	38	41	218
		% within Frequency attend religious services	29.9%	31.4%	30.8%	28.3%	26.2%	21.8%	27.5%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	28	29	11	25	50	76	219
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.4%	18.2%	16.9%	27.2%	34.5%	40.4%	27.6%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	9	13	15	8	24	46	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.3%	8.2%	23.1%	8.7%	16.6%	24.5%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	5	5	5	5	5	27
		% within Frequency attend religious services	1.4%	3.1%	7.7%	5.4%	3.4%	2.7%	3.4%
Total		Count	144	159	65	92	145	188	793
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count	151	17	41	2	2	5	218
		% within Race	29.8%	17.7%	28.3%	25.0%	22.2%	14.3%	27.3%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	126	31	45	1	2	13	218
		% within Race	24.9%	32.3%	31.0%	12.5%	22.2%	37.1%	27.3%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	135	32	35	5	4	10	221
	% within Race	26.7%	33.3%	24.1%	62.5%	44.4%	28.6%	27.7%	
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	80	11	18	0	1	5	115
		% within Race	15.8%	11.5%	12.4%	.0%	11.1%	14.3%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	14	5	6	0	0	2	27
		% within Race	2.8%	5.2%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	3.4%
Total		Count	506	96	145	8	9	35	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count	174	42	216
		% within Own or rent home	29.1%	21.3%	27.2%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	165	52	217
		% within Own or rent home	27.6%	26.4%	27.3%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	162	58	220
		% within Own or rent home	27.1%	29.4%	27.7%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	74	40	114
		% within Own or rent home	12.4%	20.3%	14.4%

Don't know	Count	22	5	27
	% within Own or rent home	3.7%	2.5%	3.4%
Total	Count	597	197	794
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count % within Marital Status	139 30.9%	5 29.4%	15 19.0%	16 42.1%	37 20.6%	6 16.7%	218 27.3%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count % within Marital Status	126 28.0%	6 35.3%	21 26.6%	13 34.2%	45 25.0%	8 22.2%	219 27.4%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count % within Marital Status	124 27.6%	4 23.5%	25 31.6%	3 7.9%	53 29.4%	11 30.6%	220 27.5%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count % within Marital Status	48 10.7%	2 11.8%	14 17.7%	4 10.5%	39 21.7%	8 22.2%	115 14.4%
	Don't know	Count % within Marital Status	13 2.9%	0 .0%	4 5.1%	2 5.3%	6 3.3%	3 8.3%	28 3.5%
Total	Count % within Marital Status	450 100.0%	17 100.0%	79 100.0%	38 100.0%	180 100.0%	36 100.0%	800 100.0%	

**Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count % within Gender	102 27.6%	115 27.1%	217 27.3%
	Marijuana possession should be	Count	78	140	218

	% within Gender	21.1%	32.9%	27.4%
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	110	109	219
	% within Gender	29.7%	25.6%	27.5%
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	68	46	114
	% within Gender	18.4%	10.8%	14.3%
Don't know	Count	12	15	27
	% within Gender	3.2%	3.5%	3.4%
Total	Count	370	425	795
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Legalization of marijuana possession - opinion	Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	Count	17	63	75	63	218
		% within Age	16.2%	25.5%	24.9%	44.4%	27.4%
	Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	Count	35	62	87	34	218
		% within Age	33.3%	25.1%	28.9%	23.9%	27.4%
	Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	Count	29	75	85	30	219
		% within Age	27.6%	30.4%	28.2%	21.1%	27.5%
	Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal	Count	20	39	45	10	114
		% within Age	19.0%	15.8%	15.0%	7.0%	14.3%
	Don't know	Count	4	8	9	5	26
		% within Age	3.8%	3.2%	3.0%	3.5%	3.3%
Total	Count	105	247	301	142	795	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation



			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	0	0	3	17	24	75	76	0	195
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	3.7%	9.3%	21.6%	45.5%	44.7%	.0%	24.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	3	6	16	54	47	64	75	5	270
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	13.3%	19.8%	29.7%	42.3%	38.8%	44.1%	33.3%	33.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	3	13	22	38	15	8	8	0	107
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	28.9%	27.2%	20.9%	13.5%	4.8%	4.7%	.0%	13.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	20	21	34	33	7	8	8	2	133
		% within Ideology scale	74.1%	46.7%	42.0%	18.1%	6.3%	4.8%	4.7%	13.3%	16.7%
	Don't know	Count	1	5	6	40	18	10	3	8	91
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	11.1%	7.4%	22.0%	16.2%	6.1%	1.8%	53.3%	11.4%
Total	Count	27	45	81	182	111	165	170	15	796	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	10	7	1	14	38	16	95	1	182
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.3%	7.0%	2.3%	19.2%	34.5%	25.8%	51.6%	100.0%	24.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	31	27	8	20	56	33	65	0	240
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.5%	27.0%	18.6%	27.4%	50.9%	53.2%	35.3%	.0%	32.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	37	23	8	10	9	5	9	0	101
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.3%	23.0%	18.6%	13.7%	8.2%	8.1%	4.9%	.0%	13.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	64	20	19	18	0	2	3	0	126
		% within 7 point Party ID	40.3%	20.0%	44.2%	24.7%	.0%	3.2%	1.6%	.0%	17.2%
	Don't know	Count	17	23	7	11	7	6	12	0	83
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.7%	23.0%	16.3%	15.1%	6.4%	9.7%	6.5%	.0%	11.3%
Total	Count	159	100	43	73	110	62	184	1	732	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	41	100	53	194
		% within Population density	17.7%	25.7%	29.8%	24.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	72	132	68	272
		% within Population density	31.0%	33.9%	38.2%	34.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	29	64	15	108
		% within Population density	12.5%	16.5%	8.4%	13.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	60	56	18	134
		% within Population density	25.9%	14.4%	10.1%	16.8%
	Don't know	Count	30	37	24	91
		% within Population density	12.9%	9.5%	13.5%	11.4%
Total	Count	232	389	178	799	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	51	47	16	13	67	194
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	30.2%	19.6%	24.2%	21.0%	25.7%	24.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	53	87	24	22	86	272
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	31.4%	36.3%	36.4%	35.5%	33.0%	34.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	25	38	9	9	27	108
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.8%	15.8%	13.6%	14.5%	10.3%	13.5%

	Strongly disagree	Count	30	44	14	12	34	134
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.8%	18.3%	21.2%	19.4%	13.0%	16.8%
	Don't know	Count	10	24	3	6	47	90
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.9%	10.0%	4.5%	9.7%	18.0%	11.3%
Total		Count	169	240	66	62	261	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	15	71	53	7	40	10	196
		% within Education	51.7%	24.3%	21.8%	23.3%	26.5%	17.9%	24.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	11	117	69	9	46	20	272
		% within Education	37.9%	40.1%	28.4%	30.0%	30.5%	35.7%	34.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	0	34	35	7	21	10	107
		% within Education	.0%	11.6%	14.4%	23.3%	13.9%	17.9%	13.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	2	30	50	4	36	13	135
		% within Education	6.9%	10.3%	20.6%	13.3%	23.8%	23.2%	16.9%
	Don't know	Count	1	40	36	3	8	3	91
		% within Education	3.4%	13.7%	14.8%	10.0%	5.3%	5.4%	11.4%
Total		Count	29	292	243	30	151	56	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

	Frequency attend religious services	Total

			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	40	53	16	20	38	26	193
		% within Frequency attend religious services	27.8%	33.5%	24.6%	22.0%	26.4%	13.8%	24.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	50	53	21	38	46	62	270
		% within Frequency attend religious services	34.7%	33.5%	32.3%	41.8%	31.9%	32.8%	34.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	16	15	8	11	24	31	105
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.1%	9.5%	12.3%	12.1%	16.7%	16.4%	13.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	22	22	11	12	18	49	134
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.3%	13.9%	16.9%	13.2%	12.5%	25.9%	16.9%
	Don't know	Count	16	15	9	10	18	21	89
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.1%	9.5%	13.8%	11.0%	12.5%	11.1%	11.3%
Total	Count	144	158	65	91	144	189	791	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	144	5	37	0	1	8	195
		% within Race	28.2%	5.2%	25.9%	.0%	14.3%	23.5%	24.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	196	15	41	4	2	14	272
		% within Race	38.4%	15.5%	28.7%	50.0%	28.6%	41.2%	34.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	58	17	25	3	1	3	107
		% within Race	11.4%	17.5%	17.5%	37.5%	14.3%	8.8%	13.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	63	36	25	1	2	8	135
		% within Race	12.3%	37.1%	17.5%	12.5%	28.6%	23.5%	16.9%
	Don't know	Count	50	24	15	0	1	1	91
		% within Race	9.8%	24.7%	10.5%	.0%	14.3%	2.9%	11.4%
	Total	Count	511	97	143	8	7	34	800

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total		
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	144	5	37	0	1	8	195	
		% within Race	28.2%	5.2%	25.9%	.0%	14.3%	23.5%	24.4%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	196	15	41	4	2	14	272	
		% within Race	38.4%	15.5%	28.7%	50.0%	28.6%	41.2%	34.0%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	58	17	25	3	1	3	107	
		% within Race	11.4%	17.5%	17.5%	37.5%	14.3%	8.8%	13.4%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	63	36	25	1	2	8	135	
		% within Race	12.3%	37.1%	17.5%	12.5%	28.6%	23.5%	16.9%	
	Don't know	Count	50	24	15	0	1	1	91	
		% within Race	9.8%	24.7%	10.5%	.0%	14.3%	2.9%	11.4%	
			Count	511	97	143	8	7	34	800
			% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	155	39	194
		% within Own or rent home	25.9%	19.8%	24.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	212	61	273
		% within Own or rent home	35.4%	31.0%	34.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	77	28	105
		% within Own or rent home	12.9%	14.2%	13.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	92	41	133
		% within Own or rent home	15.4%	20.8%	16.7%
	Don't know	Count	63	28	91
		% within Own or rent home	10.5%	14.2%	11.4%

Total	Count	599	197	796
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	137	4	13	11	23	6	194
		% within Marital Status	30.3%	25.0%	16.7%	29.7%	12.8%	16.2%	24.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	161	5	31	19	48	8	272
		% within Marital Status	35.6%	31.3%	39.7%	51.4%	26.7%	21.6%	34.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	61	3	8	0	28	9	109
		% within Marital Status	13.5%	18.8%	10.3%	.0%	15.6%	24.3%	13.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	55	0	17	5	46	12	135
		% within Marital Status	12.2%	.0%	21.8%	13.5%	25.6%	32.4%	16.9%
	Don't know	Count	38	4	9	2	35	2	90
		% within Marital Status	8.4%	25.0%	11.5%	5.4%	19.4%	5.4%	11.3%
Total	Count	452	16	78	37	180	37	800	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	107	87	194
		% within Gender	28.9%	20.3%	24.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	136	137	273
		% within Gender	36.8%	32.0%	34.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	39	68	107
		% within Gender	10.5%	15.9%	13.4%

	Strongly disagree	Count	64	70	134
		% within Gender	17.3%	16.4%	16.8%
	Don't know	Count	24	66	90
		% within Gender	6.5%	15.4%	11.3%
Total		Count	370	428	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow	Strongly agree	Count	22	58	69	45	194
		% within Age	21.0%	23.2%	22.8%	31.9%	24.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	24	80	108	61	273
		% within Age	22.9%	32.0%	35.6%	43.3%	34.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	14	38	45	10	107
		% within Age	13.3%	15.2%	14.9%	7.1%	13.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	20	44	53	17	134
		% within Age	19.0%	17.6%	17.5%	12.1%	16.8%
	Don't know	Count	25	30	28	8	91
		% within Age	23.8%	12.0%	9.2%	5.7%	11.4%
Total		Count	105	250	303	141	799
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	19	28	50	80	42	65	48	2	334
		% within Ideology scale	70.4%	62.2%	61.7%	43.7%	37.8%	39.4%	28.6%	14.3%	42.1%

	Oppose	Count	6	6	13	30	31	53	84	0	223
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	13.3%	16.0%	16.4%	27.9%	32.1%	50.0%	.0%	28.1%
	Don't know	Count	2	11	18	73	38	47	36	12	237
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	24.4%	22.2%	39.9%	34.2%	28.5%	21.4%	85.7%	29.8%
Total		Count	27	45	81	183	111	165	168	14	794
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	88	40	30	32	41	27	44	0	302
		% within 7 point Party ID	55.0%	39.6%	69.8%	43.2%	36.9%	42.9%	24.0%	.0%	41.0%
	Oppose	Count	25	18	4	18	50	16	84	1	216
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.6%	17.8%	9.3%	24.3%	45.0%	25.4%	45.9%	100.0%	29.3%
	Don't know	Count	47	43	9	24	20	20	55	0	218
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.4%	42.6%	20.9%	32.4%	18.0%	31.7%	30.1%	.0%	29.6%
Total		Count	160	101	43	74	111	63	183	1	736
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	100	164	71	335
		% within Population density	43.1%	42.4%	39.7%	42.0%
	Oppose	Count	52	116	55	223
		% within Population density	22.4%	30.0%	30.7%	27.9%
	Don't know	Count	80	107	53	240
		% within Population density	34.5%	27.6%	29.6%	30.1%
Total		Count	232	387	179	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	76	102	30	32	95	335
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.7%	42.5%	44.8%	51.6%	36.7%	42.0%
	Oppose	Count	50	72	19	18	64	223
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.4%	30.0%	28.4%	29.0%	24.7%	27.9%
	Don't know	Count	44	66	18	12	100	240
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.9%	27.5%	26.9%	19.4%	38.6%	30.1%
Total		Count	170	240	67	62	259	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	11	105	96	17	72	34	335
		% within Education	37.9%	36.2%	39.7%	56.7%	47.7%	60.7%	42.0%
	Oppose	Count	9	88	66	7	42	12	224
		% within Education	31.0%	30.3%	27.3%	23.3%	27.8%	21.4%	28.1%
	Don't know	Count	9	97	80	6	37	10	239
		% within Education	31.0%	33.4%	33.1%	20.0%	24.5%	17.9%	29.9%

Total	Count	29	290	242	30	151	56	798
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

		Frequency attend religious services						Total	
		More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never		
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	57	62	18	42	66	88	333
		% within Frequency attend religious services	39.6%	39.2%	27.7%	45.7%	45.8%	46.3%	42.0%
	Oppose	Count	55	46	24	25	31	40	221
		% within Frequency attend religious services	38.2%	29.1%	36.9%	27.2%	21.5%	21.1%	27.9%
	Don't know	Count	32	50	23	25	47	62	239
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.2%	31.6%	35.4%	27.2%	32.6%	32.6%	30.1%
Total		Count	144	158	65	92	144	190	793
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race						Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	226	39	58	5	2	6	336
		% within Race	44.5%	41.1%	40.3%	62.5%	28.6%	17.1%	42.2%
	Oppose	Count	146	19	38	0	1	18	222
		% within Race	28.7%	20.0%	26.4%	.0%	14.3%	51.4%	27.9%
	Don't know	Count	136	37	48	3	4	11	239
		% within Race	26.8%	38.9%	33.3%	37.5%	57.1%	31.4%	30.0%
Total		Count	508	95	144	8	7	35	797

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	226	39	58	5	2	6	336
		% within Race	44.5%	41.1%	40.3%	62.5%	28.6%	17.1%	42.2%
	Oppose	Count	146	19	38	0	1	18	222
		% within Race	28.7%	20.0%	26.4%	.0%	14.3%	51.4%	27.9%
	Don't know	Count	136	37	48	3	4	11	239
		% within Race	26.8%	38.9%	33.3%	37.5%	57.1%	31.4%	30.0%
		Count	508	95	144	8	7	35	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	250	84	334
		% within Own or rent home	41.8%	42.9%	42.1%
	Oppose	Count	177	44	221
		% within Own or rent home	29.6%	22.4%	27.8%
	Don't know	Count	171	68	239
		% within Own or rent home	28.6%	34.7%	30.1%
Total		Count	598	196	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

		Marital Status	Total

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	198	6	35	11	65	20	335
		% within Marital Status	44.0%	35.3%	44.9%	28.9%	36.3%	54.1%	41.9%
	Oppose	Count	128	5	17	18	52	5	225
		% within Marital Status	28.4%	29.4%	21.8%	47.4%	29.1%	13.5%	28.2%
	Don't know	Count	124	6	26	9	62	12	239
		% within Marital Status	27.6%	35.3%	33.3%	23.7%	34.6%	32.4%	29.9%
Total	Count	450	17	78	38	179	37	799	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	177	158	335
		% within Gender	48.0%	36.8%	42.0%
	Oppose	Count	119	105	224
		% within Gender	32.2%	24.5%	28.1%
	Don't know	Count	73	166	239
		% within Gender	19.8%	38.7%	29.9%
Total	Count	369	429	798	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Giving redistricting authority to an independent, appointed commission	Favor	Count	36	110	133	56	335
		% within Age	34.3%	43.8%	44.3%	39.4%	42.0%

	Oppose	Count	19	64	84	56	223
		% within Age	18.1%	25.5%	28.0%	39.4%	27.9%
	Don't know	Count	50	77	83	30	240
		% within Age	47.6%	30.7%	27.7%	21.1%	30.1%
Total		Count	105	251	300	142	798
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Feelings about the Bible \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	5	1	9	66	44	65	106	5	301
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	2.2%	11.0%	36.7%	39.6%	39.2%	63.5%	35.7%	38.0%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	7	18	37	78	50	81	50	7	328
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	39.1%	45.1%	43.3%	45.0%	48.8%	29.9%	50.0%	41.4%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	15	27	36	36	17	20	11	2	164
		% within Ideology scale	55.6%	58.7%	43.9%	20.0%	15.3%	12.0%	6.6%	14.3%	20.7%
Total		Count	27	46	82	180	111	166	167	14	793
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Feelings about the Bible \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						Total		
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican		Strong Republican	Not sure
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	60	27	5	21	40	26	99	1	279
		% within 7 point Party ID	38.5%	27.0%	11.6%	29.2%	36.0%	41.9%	54.7%	50.0%	38.4%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	54	49	14	29	55	24	72	1	298
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.6%	49.0%	32.6%	40.3%	49.5%	38.7%	39.8%	50.0%	41.0%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	42	24	24	22	16	12	10	0	150
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.9%	24.0%	55.8%	30.6%	14.4%	19.4%	5.5%	.0%	20.6%
Total		Count	156	100	43	72	111	62	181	2	727

Feelings about the Bible \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID							Total	
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count 60	Count 27	Count 5	Count 21	Count 40	Count 26	Count 99	Count 1	Count 279
	% within 7 point Party ID	38.5%	27.0%	11.6%	29.2%	36.0%	41.9%	54.7%	50.0%	38.4%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count 54	Count 49	Count 14	Count 29	Count 55	Count 24	Count 72	Count 1	Count 298
	% within 7 point Party ID	34.6%	49.0%	32.6%	40.3%	49.5%	38.7%	39.8%	50.0%	41.0%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count 42	Count 24	Count 24	Count 22	Count 16	Count 12	Count 10	Count 0	Count 150
	% within 7 point Party ID	26.9%	24.0%	55.8%	30.6%	14.4%	19.4%	5.5%	.0%	20.6%
	Count	156	100	43	72	111	62	181	2	727
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Feelings about the Bible \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count 87	Count 135	Count 80	Count 302	
	% within Population density	37.8%	35.2%	44.9%	38.1%	
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count 94	Count 161	Count 72	Count 327	
	% within Population density	40.9%	41.9%	40.4%	41.3%	
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count 49	Count 88	Count 26	Count 163	
	% within Population density	21.3%	22.9%	14.6%	20.6%	
Total	Count	230	384	178	792	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Feelings about the Bible \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

		Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
		Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	

Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	59	91	21	15	117	303
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	35.1%	38.1%	31.8%	24.2%	45.5%	38.3%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	77	95	29	28	98	327
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	45.8%	39.7%	43.9%	45.2%	38.1%	41.3%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	32	53	16	19	42	162
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.0%	22.2%	24.2%	30.6%	16.3%	20.5%
Total		Count	168	239	66	62	257	792
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education					Total	
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year		Post-grad
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	16	138	74	13	52	10	303
		% within Education	57.1%	48.1%	30.7%	43.3%	34.0%	18.2%	38.2%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	8	105	109	11	64	31	328
		% within Education	28.6%	36.6%	45.2%	36.7%	41.8%	56.4%	41.3%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	4	44	58	6	37	14	163
		% within Education	14.3%	15.3%	24.1%	20.0%	24.2%	25.5%	20.5%
Total		Count	28	287	241	30	153	55	794
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

	Frequency attend religious services	Total

			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count % within Frequency attend religious services	105 72.4%	96 60.4%	23 37.1%	26 29.2%	36 25.0%	15 8.0%	301 38.2%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count % within Frequency attend religious services	38 26.2%	60 37.7%	35 56.5%	51 57.3%	77 53.5%	63 33.5%	324 41.2%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count % within Frequency attend religious services	2 1.4%	3 1.9%	4 6.5%	12 13.5%	31 21.5%	110 58.5%	162 20.6%
Total		Count % within Frequency attend religious services	145 100.0%	159 100.0%	62 100.0%	89 100.0%	144 100.0%	188 100.0%	787 100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count % within Race	197 38.9%	38 40.0%	50 35.0%	0 .0%	4 50.0%	14 40.0%	303 38.2%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count % within Race	195 38.5%	40 42.1%	73 51.0%	3 42.9%	2 25.0%	14 40.0%	327 41.2%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count % within Race	114 22.5%	17 17.9%	20 14.0%	4 57.1%	2 25.0%	7 20.0%	164 20.7%
Total		Count % within Race	506 100.0%	95 100.0%	143 100.0%	7 100.0%	8 100.0%	35 100.0%	794 100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

		Own or rent home		Total
		Own	Rent	



Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	236	63	299
		% within Own or rent home	39.7%	32.3%	37.9%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	239	89	328
		% within Own or rent home	40.2%	45.6%	41.6%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	119	43	162
		% within Own or rent home	20.0%	22.1%	20.5%
Total		Count	594	195	789
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	189	7	25	23	52	6	302
		% within Marital Status	42.2%	41.2%	32.9%	62.2%	29.2%	16.7%	38.1%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	182	6	42	9	76	13	328
		% within Marital Status	40.6%	35.3%	55.3%	24.3%	42.7%	36.1%	41.4%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	77	4	9	5	50	17	162
		% within Marital Status	17.2%	23.5%	11.8%	13.5%	28.1%	47.2%	20.5%
Total		Count	448	17	76	37	178	36	792
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	123	179	302
		% within Gender	33.6%	42.1%	38.2%

	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	159	169	328
		% within Gender	43.4%	39.8%	41.5%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	84	77	161
		% within Gender	23.0%	18.1%	20.4%
Total		Count	366	425	791
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Feelings about the Bible \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Feelings about the Bible	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word.	Count	32	68	128	75	303
		% within Age	30.8%	27.2%	42.7%	53.6%	38.2%
	The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word.	Count	50	119	109	50	328
		% within Age	48.1%	47.6%	36.3%	35.7%	41.3%
	The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.	Count	22	63	63	15	163
		% within Age	21.2%	25.2%	21.0%	10.7%	20.5%
Total		Count	104	250	300	140	794
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	18	21	40	82	34	62	67	7	331
		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	46.7%	49.4%	45.8%	30.6%	38.0%	40.6%	50.0%	42.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	6	11	21	56	49	52	52	0	247
		% within Ideology scale	22.2%	24.4%	25.9%	31.3%	44.1%	31.9%	31.5%	.0%	31.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	0	1	3	8	11	30	21	0	74
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.2%	3.7%	4.5%	9.9%	18.4%	12.7%	.0%	9.4%

	Strongly disagree	Count	2	6	9	13	8	11	14	0	63
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	13.3%	11.1%	7.3%	7.2%	6.7%	8.5%	.0%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	6	8	20	9	8	11	7	70
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	13.3%	9.9%	11.2%	8.1%	4.9%	6.7%	50.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	27	45	81	179	111	163	165	14	785
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	95	36	24	28	36	23	71	0	313
		% within 7 point Party ID	59.7%	36.0%	57.1%	40.0%	32.4%	37.1%	39.2%	.0%	43.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	38	34	10	19	39	29	63	1	233
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.9%	34.0%	23.8%	27.1%	35.1%	46.8%	34.8%	50.0%	32.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	1	9	0	5	20	4	22	0	61
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	9.0%	.0%	7.1%	18.0%	6.5%	12.2%	.0%	8.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	12	5	5	11	5	4	13	1	56
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.5%	5.0%	11.9%	15.7%	4.5%	6.5%	7.2%	50.0%	7.7%
	Don't know	Count	13	16	3	7	11	2	12	0	64
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.2%	16.0%	7.1%	10.0%	9.9%	3.2%	6.6%	.0%	8.8%
Total		Count	159	100	42	70	111	62	181	2	727
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	113	156	65	334
		% within Population density	49.3%	40.6%	36.7%	42.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	67	131	50	248
		% within Population density	29.3%	34.1%	28.2%	31.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	16	39	20	75
		% within Population density	7.0%	10.2%	11.3%	9.5%

	Strongly disagree	Count	11	31	20	62
		% within Population density	4.8%	8.1%	11.3%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	22	27	22	71
		% within Population density	9.6%	7.0%	12.4%	9.0%
Total		Count	229	384	177	790
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	74	106	25	23	105	333
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.6%	44.9%	37.9%	37.1%	40.7%	42.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	60	73	23	25	67	248
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.1%	30.9%	34.8%	40.3%	26.0%	31.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	14	21	6	4	29	74
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		8.4%	8.9%	9.1%	6.5%	11.2%	9.4%	
Strongly disagree	Count	8	21	4	7	23	63	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.8%	8.9%	6.1%	11.3%	8.9%	8.0%	
Don't know	Count	10	15	8	3	34	70	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.0%	6.4%	12.1%	4.8%	13.2%	8.9%	
Total		Count	166	236	66	62	258	788
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	18	112	105	11	63	25	334
		% within Education	64.3%	39.3%	43.4%	37.9%	41.4%	45.5%	42.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	6	94	63	11	57	18	249
		% within Education	21.4%	33.0%	26.0%	37.9%	37.5%	32.7%	31.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	0	30	21	4	14	6	75
		% within Education	.0%	10.5%	8.7%	13.8%	9.2%	10.9%	9.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	3	21	25	0	11	3	63
		% within Education	10.7%	7.4%	10.3%	.0%	7.2%	5.5%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	1	28	28	3	7	3	70
		% within Education	3.6%	9.8%	11.6%	10.3%	4.6%	5.5%	8.8%
Total	Count	28	285	242	29	152	55	791	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	82	84	26	29	50	63	334
		% within Frequency attend religious services	57.3%	53.8%	41.3%	31.2%	34.5%	33.7%	42.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	36	47	23	42	52	45	245
		% within Frequency attend religious services	25.2%	30.1%	36.5%	45.2%	35.9%	24.1%	31.1%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	10	11	9	12	21	11	74
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.0%	7.1%	14.3%	12.9%	14.5%	5.9%	9.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	12	6	3	1	12	29	63
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.7%	4.0%	12.0%	1.3%	15.4%	17.6%	7.9%

	% within Frequency attend religious services	8.4%	3.8%	4.8%	1.1%	8.3%	15.5%	8.0%
Don't know	Count	3	8	2	9	10	39	71
	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.1%	5.1%	3.2%	9.7%	6.9%	20.9%	9.0%
Total	Count	143	156	63	93	145	187	787
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	199	44	67	2	5	16	333
		% within Race	39.5%	45.8%	47.2%	33.3%	62.5%	45.7%	42.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	163	33	45	3	0	5	249
		% within Race	32.3%	34.4%	31.7%	50.0%	.0%	14.3%	31.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	57	3	8	0	1	5	74
		% within Race	11.3%	3.1%	5.6%	.0%	12.5%	14.3%	9.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	39	7	10	0	1	6	63
		% within Race	7.7%	7.3%	7.0%	.0%	12.5%	17.1%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	46	9	12	1	1	3	72
		% within Race	9.1%	9.4%	8.5%	16.7%	12.5%	8.6%	9.1%
Total	Count	504	96	142	6	8	35	791	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	232	98	330
		% within Own or rent home	39.3%	50.0%	41.9%
	Somewhat agree	Count	191	57	248

	% within Own or rent home	32.3%	29.1%	31.5%
Somewhat disagree	Count	58	17	75
	% within Own or rent home	9.8%	8.7%	9.5%
Strongly disagree	Count	55	8	63
	% within Own or rent home	9.3%	4.1%	8.0%
Don't know	Count	55	16	71
	% within Own or rent home	9.3%	8.2%	9.0%
Total	Count	591	196	787
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	179	8	30	17	84	15	333
		% within Marital Status	40.2%	50.0%	39.0%	45.9%	46.7%	40.5%	42.0%
	Somewhat agree	Count	142	6	28	12	50	11	249
		% within Marital Status	31.9%	37.5%	36.4%	32.4%	27.8%	29.7%	31.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	50	1	7	3	12	2	75
		% within Marital Status	11.2%	6.3%	9.1%	8.1%	6.7%	5.4%	9.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	37	0	9	2	11	4	63
		% within Marital Status	8.3%	.0%	11.7%	5.4%	6.1%	10.8%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	37	1	3	3	23	5	72
		% within Marital Status	8.3%	6.3%	3.9%	8.1%	12.8%	13.5%	9.1%
Total	Count	445	16	77	37	180	37	792	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Gender Crosstabulation**

	Gender	Total

			Male	Female	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	159	174	333
		% within Gender	43.3%	41.1%	42.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	113	135	248
		% within Gender	30.8%	31.9%	31.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	40	35	75
		% within Gender	10.9%	8.3%	9.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	32	31	63
		% within Gender	8.7%	7.3%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	23	48	71
		% within Gender	6.3%	11.3%	9.0%
Total	Count	367	423	790	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Agreement - God instructs us to protect the poor	Strongly agree	Count	53	103	117	60	333
		% within Age	50.5%	41.2%	39.3%	43.8%	42.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	29	76	103	41	249
		% within Age	27.6%	30.4%	34.6%	29.9%	31.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	6	25	26	17	74
		% within Age	5.7%	10.0%	8.7%	12.4%	9.4%
	Strongly disagree	Count	0	22	30	11	63
		% within Age	.0%	8.8%	10.1%	8.0%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	17	24	22	8	71
		% within Age	16.2%	9.6%	7.4%	5.8%	9.0%
Total	Count	105	250	298	137	790	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								Total
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>	Don't know	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	15	16	30	36	17	14	19	6	153
		% within Ideology scale	55.6%	36.4%	37.0%	20.0%	15.2%	8.5%	11.7%	40.0%	19.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	5	17	21	48	34	34	28	0	187
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	38.6%	25.9%	26.7%	30.4%	20.7%	17.2%	.0%	23.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	1	1	12	29	21	37	27	0	128
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	2.3%	14.8%	16.1%	18.8%	22.6%	16.6%	.0%	16.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	4	4	8	34	28	57	73	0	208
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	9.1%	9.9%	18.9%	25.0%	34.8%	44.8%	.0%	26.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	6	10	33	12	22	16	9	110
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	13.6%	12.3%	18.3%	10.7%	13.4%	9.8%	60.0%	14.0%
	Total	Count	27	44	81	180	112	164	163	15	786
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	57	22	15	19	6	5	22	0	146
		% within 7 point Party ID	36.1%	22.0%	36.6%	26.8%	5.4%	8.1%	12.4%	.0%	20.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	44	32	10	6	25	23	32	0	172
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.8%	32.0%	24.4%	8.5%	22.5%	37.1%	18.0%	.0%	23.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	14	15	6	13	20	12	43	0	123
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.9%	15.0%	14.6%	18.3%	18.0%	19.4%	24.2%	.0%	17.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	23	14	4	25	49	9	57	1	182
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.6%	14.0%	9.8%	35.2%	44.1%	14.5%	32.0%	100.0%	25.2%
	Don't know	Count	20	17	6	8	11	13	24	0	99
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.7%	17.0%	14.6%	11.3%	9.9%	21.0%	13.5%	.0%	13.7%
	Total	Count	158	100	41	71	111	62	178	1	722
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	58	72	23	153
		% within Population density	25.2%	18.8%	13.1%	19.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	58	91	37	186
		% within Population density	25.2%	23.7%	21.0%	23.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	39	63	26	128
		% within Population density	17.0%	16.4%	14.8%	16.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	39	114	56	209
		% within Population density	17.0%	29.7%	31.8%	26.5%
	Don't know	Count	36	44	34	114
		% within Population density	15.7%	11.5%	19.3%	14.4%
Total	Count		230	384	176	790
	% within Population density		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	43	46	11	9	44	153
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.1%	19.2%	17.5%	14.3%	17.1%	19.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	35	47	19	19	66	186
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.2%	19.7%	30.2%	30.2%	25.7%	23.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	25	42	9	11	40	127

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.2%	17.6%	14.3%	17.5%	15.6%	16.1%
Strongly disagree	Count	41	70	15	18	64	208
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.8%	29.3%	23.8%	28.6%	24.9%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	21	34	9	6	43	113
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.7%	14.2%	14.3%	9.5%	16.7%	14.4%
Total	Count	165	239	63	63	257	787
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	6	47	50	5	34	12	154
		% within Education	20.7%	16.5%	20.7%	16.7%	22.7%	21.4%	19.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	1	67	54	7	44	14	187
		% within Education	3.4%	23.5%	22.4%	23.3%	29.3%	25.0%	23.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	8	39	29	9	33	10	128
		% within Education	27.6%	13.7%	12.0%	30.0%	22.0%	17.9%	16.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	6	87	66	6	28	15	208
		% within Education	20.7%	30.5%	27.4%	20.0%	18.7%	26.8%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	8	45	42	3	11	5	114
		% within Education	27.6%	15.8%	17.4%	10.0%	7.3%	8.9%	14.4%
	Total	Count	29	285	241	30	150	56	791
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	32	34	12	18	23	34	153
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.1%	21.9%	19.7%	19.6%	16.0%	18.1%	19.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	33	47	11	24	36	33	184
		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.8%	30.3%	18.0%	26.1%	25.0%	17.6%	23.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	24	29	14	19	24	18	128
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.6%	18.7%	23.0%	20.7%	16.7%	9.6%	16.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	38	32	15	20	40	62	207
		% within Frequency attend religious services	26.2%	20.6%	24.6%	21.7%	27.8%	33.0%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	18	13	9	11	21	41	113
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.4%	8.4%	14.8%	12.0%	14.6%	21.8%	14.4%
Total	Count	145	155	61	92	144	188	785	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	79	27	32	2	3	10	153
		% within Race	15.7%	28.1%	22.5%	28.6%	42.9%	27.8%	19.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	105	29	41	5	0	7	187
		% within Race	20.9%	30.2%	28.9%	71.4%	.0%	19.4%	23.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	89	13	15	0	2	8	127
		% within Race	17.7%	13.5%	10.6%	.0%	28.6%	22.2%	16.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	154	16	30	0	1	7	208
		% within Race	30.7%	16.7%	21.1%	.0%	14.3%	19.4%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	75	11	24	0	1	4	115
		% within Race	15.7%	11.3%	17.7%	0.0%	7.1%	11.1%	14.8%

	% within Race	14.9%	11.5%	16.9%	.0%	14.3%	11.1%	14.6%
Total	Count	502	96	142	7	7	36	790
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**State revenue used to make education more affordable - opinion \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	99	51	150
		% within Own or rent home	16.8%	26.0%	19.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	153	32	185
		% within Own or rent home	25.9%	16.3%	23.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	92	36	128
		% within Own or rent home	15.6%	18.4%	16.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	164	45	209
		% within Own or rent home	27.8%	23.0%	26.6%
	Don't know	Count	82	32	114
		% within Own or rent home	13.9%	16.3%	14.5%
Total	Count	590	196	786	
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status						Total
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	70	4	10	6	51	12	153
		% within Marital Status	15.8%	25.0%	12.8%	17.1%	28.5%	34.3%	19.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	108	5	19	11	35	8	186
		% within Marital Status	24.4%	31.3%	24.4%	31.4%	19.6%	22.9%	23.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	80	4	10	3	27	3	127
		% within Marital Status							

	% within Marital Status	18.1%	25.0%	12.8%	8.6%	15.1%	8.6%	16.2%
Strongly disagree	Count	127	3	31	10	30	6	207
	% within Marital Status	28.7%	18.8%	39.7%	28.6%	16.8%	17.1%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	57	0	8	5	36	6	112
	% within Marital Status	12.9%	.0%	10.3%	14.3%	20.1%	17.1%	14.3%
Total	Count	442	16	78	35	179	35	785
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin	Strongly agree	Count	77	77	154
		% within Gender	21.0%	18.2%	19.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	75	112	187
		% within Gender	20.5%	26.5%	23.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	61	67	128
		% within Gender	16.7%	15.8%	16.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	114	93	207
		% within Gender	31.1%	22.0%	26.2%
	Don't know	Count	39	74	113
		% within Gender	10.7%	17.5%	14.3%
Total		Count	366	423	789
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Failure to confront social unfairness is a sin \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Agreement - Failure to confront	Strongly agree	Count	21	49	60	23	153

	% within Age	20.2%	19.8%	20.1%	16.5%	19.4%
Somewhat agree	Count	29	55	59	44	187
	% within Age	27.9%	22.2%	19.8%	31.7%	23.7%
Somewhat disagree	Count	16	45	49	18	128
	% within Age	15.4%	18.1%	16.4%	12.9%	16.2%
Strongly disagree	Count	10	56	99	43	208
	% within Age	9.6%	22.6%	33.2%	30.9%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	28	43	31	11	113
	% within Age	26.9%	17.3%	10.4%	7.9%	14.3%
Total	Count	104	248	298	139	789
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	13	14	30	29	21	16	30	7	160
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	31.1%	37.0%	16.2%	19.3%	9.7%	18.1%	46.7%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	3	12	18	58	22	33	24	1	171
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	26.7%	22.2%	32.4%	20.2%	20.0%	14.5%	6.7%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	3	4	10	22	24	30	23	0	116
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	8.9%	12.3%	12.3%	22.0%	18.2%	13.9%	.0%	14.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	4	7	5	25	29	64	73	0	207
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	15.6%	6.2%	14.0%	26.6%	38.8%	44.0%	.0%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	4	8	18	45	13	22	16	7	133
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	17.8%	22.2%	25.1%	11.9%	13.3%	9.6%	46.7%	16.9%
Total	Count	27	45	81	179	109	165	166	15	787	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID	Total

			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	52	19	16	13	9	11	29	0	149
		% within 7 point Party ID	32.7%	19.0%	38.1%	18.3%	8.3%	17.7%	16.0%	.0%	20.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	29	34	13	16	21	9	37	0	159
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.2%	34.0%	31.0%	22.5%	19.3%	14.5%	20.4%	.0%	21.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	23	11	4	8	23	12	30	0	111
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.5%	11.0%	9.5%	11.3%	21.1%	19.4%	16.6%	.0%	15.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	22	7	4	25	47	20	60	1	186
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.8%	7.0%	9.5%	35.2%	43.1%	32.3%	33.1%	100.0%	25.7%
	Don't know	Count	33	29	5	9	9	10	25	0	120
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.8%	29.0%	11.9%	12.7%	8.3%	16.1%	13.8%	.0%	16.6%
	Total	Count	159	100	42	71	109	62	181	1	725
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	58	71	31	160
		% within Population density	25.4%	18.5%	17.6%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	56	87	28	171
		% within Population density	24.6%	22.7%	15.9%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	34	62	19	115
		% within Population density	14.9%	16.1%	10.8%	14.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	35	115	58	208
		% within Population density	15.4%	29.9%	33.0%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	45	49	40	134
		% within Population density	19.7%	12.8%	22.7%	17.0%
	Total	Count	228	384	176	788
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**



			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	34	51	12	14	50	161
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	20.6%	21.2%	18.5%	22.6%	19.5%	20.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	39	49	20	11	52	171
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.6%	20.3%	30.8%	17.7%	20.2%	21.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	20	36	9	16	34	115
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		12.1%	14.9%	13.8%	25.8%	13.2%	14.6%	
Strongly disagree	Count	49	68	14	16	63	210	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.7%	28.2%	21.5%	25.8%	24.5%	26.6%	
Don't know	Count	23	37	10	5	58	133	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.9%	15.4%	15.4%	8.1%	22.6%	16.8%	
Total	Count	165	241	65	62	257	790	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	9	45	47	10	35	14	160
		% within Education	32.1%	15.8%	19.6%	33.3%	23.0%	25.5%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	6	54	56	6	40	10	172

	% within Education	21.4%	18.9%	23.3%	20.0%	26.3%	18.2%	21.8%
Somewhat disagree	Count	3	42	34	5	21	11	116
	% within Education	10.7%	14.7%	14.2%	16.7%	13.8%	20.0%	14.7%
Strongly disagree	Count	6	76	62	6	41	17	208
	% within Education	21.4%	26.7%	25.8%	20.0%	27.0%	30.9%	26.3%
Don't know	Count	4	68	41	3	15	3	134
	% within Education	14.3%	23.9%	17.1%	10.0%	9.9%	5.5%	17.0%
Total	Count	28	285	240	30	152	55	790
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	34	34	13	23	28	28	160
		% within Frequency attend religious services	23.6%	22.2%	20.6%	24.7%	19.4%	15.1%	20.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	30	43	12	24	33	29	171
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.8%	28.1%	19.0%	25.8%	22.9%	15.6%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	19	17	14	21	20	24	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.2%	11.1%	22.2%	22.6%	13.9%	12.9%	14.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	52	37	11	17	29	58	204
		% within Frequency attend religious services	36.1%	24.2%	17.5%	18.3%	20.1%	31.2%	26.1%
	Don't know	Count	9	22	13	8	34	47	133
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.3%	14.4%	20.6%	8.6%	23.6%	25.3%	17.0%
Total		Count	144	153	63	93	144	186	783
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total	
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	85	29	38	1	1	7	161
		% within Race	16.9%	30.5%	27.0%	16.7%	12.5%	20.0%	20.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	92	28	37	3	2	9	171
		% within Race	18.3%	29.5%	26.2%	50.0%	25.0%	25.7%	21.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	85	10	16	0	0	4	115
		% within Race	16.9%	10.5%	11.3%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	14.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	155	10	29	0	3	11	208
		% within Race	30.8%	10.5%	20.6%	.0%	37.5%	31.4%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	86	18	21	2	2	4	133
		% within Race	17.1%	18.9%	14.9%	33.3%	25.0%	11.4%	16.9%
	Total	Count	503	95	141	6	8	35	788
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	106	53	159
		% within Own or rent home	18.0%	27.2%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	131	40	171
		% within Own or rent home	22.2%	20.5%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	90	26	116
		% within Own or rent home	15.3%	13.3%	14.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	175	31	206
		% within Own or rent home	29.7%	15.9%	26.2%
	Don't know	Count	88	45	133
		% within Own or rent home	14.9%	23.1%	16.9%
	Total	Count	590	195	785

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	106	53	159
		% within Own or rent home	18.0%	27.2%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	131	40	171
		% within Own or rent home	22.2%	20.5%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	90	26	116
		% within Own or rent home	15.3%	13.3%	14.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	175	31	206
		% within Own or rent home	29.7%	15.9%	26.2%
	Don't know	Count	88	45	133
		% within Own or rent home	14.9%	23.1%	16.9%
		Count	590	195	785
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	70	5	16	14	46	8	159
		% within Marital Status	15.8%	29.4%	21.1%	40.0%	25.7%	22.9%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	93	6	10	8	44	10	171
		% within Marital Status	21.0%	35.3%	13.2%	22.9%	24.6%	28.6%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	76	3	12	3	17	4	115
		% within Marital Status	17.2%	17.6%	15.8%	8.6%	9.5%	11.4%	14.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	138	1	21	5	34	8	207
		% within Marital Status	31.2%	5.9%	27.6%	14.3%	19.0%	22.9%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	66	2	17	5	38	5	133
		% within Marital Status	14.9%	11.8%	22.4%	14.3%	21.2%	14.3%	16.9%

Total	Count	443	17	76	35	179	35	785
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	79	81	160
		% within Gender	21.6%	19.2%	20.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	75	97	172
		% within Gender	20.5%	23.0%	21.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	59	56	115
		% within Gender	16.2%	13.3%	14.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	111	95	206
		% within Gender	30.4%	22.5%	26.2%
	Don't know	Count	41	93	134
		% within Gender	11.2%	22.0%	17.0%
Total		Count	365	422	787
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Agreement - Social justice is at the heart of the Gospel	Strongly agree	Count	22	47	59	33	161
		% within Age	20.8%	18.7%	20.1%	23.7%	20.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	33	53	57	29	172
		% within Age	31.1%	21.1%	19.4%	20.9%	21.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	12	38	45	21	116
		% within Age	11.3%	15.1%	15.3%	15.1%	14.7%

	Strongly disagree	Count	8	68	91	41	208
		% within Age	7.5%	27.1%	31.0%	29.5%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	31	45	42	15	133
		% within Age	29.2%	17.9%	14.3%	10.8%	16.8%
Total		Count	106	251	294	139	790
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	5	2	9	42	44	81	115	2	300
		% within Ideology scale	20.0%	4.5%	11.1%	23.3%	40.0%	49.1%	69.3%	14.3%	38.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	1	4	16	34	23	40	16	2	136
		% within Ideology scale	4.0%	9.1%	19.8%	18.9%	20.9%	24.2%	9.6%	14.3%	17.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	2	10	11	26	15	11	7	1	83
		% within Ideology scale	8.0%	22.7%	13.6%	14.4%	13.6%	6.7%	4.2%	7.1%	10.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	14	19	29	49	12	10	12	1	146
		% within Ideology scale	56.0%	43.2%	35.8%	27.2%	10.9%	6.1%	7.2%	7.1%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	3	9	16	29	16	23	16	8	120
		% within Ideology scale	12.0%	20.5%	19.8%	16.1%	14.5%	13.9%	9.6%	57.1%	15.3%
Total	Count	25	44	81	180	110	165	166	14	785	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	33	20	3	28	59	25	104	1	273
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.8%	20.0%	7.0%	39.4%	53.6%	40.3%	57.8%	50.0%	37.6%
	Somewhat agree	Count	26	18	8	8	23	16	27	1	127
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.4%	18.0%	18.6%	11.3%	20.9%	25.8%	15.0%	50.0%	17.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	16	19	7	4	10	5	16	0	77
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% within 7 point Party ID	10.1%	19.0%	16.3%	5.6%	9.1%	8.1%	8.9%	.0%	10.6%
Strongly disagree	Count	57	22	18	17	7	8	10	0	139
	% within 7 point Party ID	35.8%	22.0%	41.9%	23.9%	6.4%	12.9%	5.6%	.0%	19.1%
Don't know	Count	27	21	7	14	11	8	23	0	111
	% within 7 point Party ID	17.0%	21.0%	16.3%	19.7%	10.0%	12.9%	12.8%	.0%	15.3%
Total	Count	159	100	43	71	110	62	180	2	727
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	74	146	81	301
		% within Population density	32.5%	37.9%	46.0%	38.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	37	75	25	137
		% within Population density	16.2%	19.5%	14.2%	17.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	31	42	10	83
		% within Population density	13.6%	10.9%	5.7%	10.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	50	67	30	147
		% within Population density	21.9%	17.4%	17.0%	18.6%
Don't know	Count	36	55	30	121	
	% within Population density	15.8%	14.3%	17.0%	15.3%	
Total	Count	228	385	176	789	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - God is more	Strongly agree	Count	71	93	19	17	102	302

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	43.0%	38.8%	28.8%	27.9%	39.7%	38.3%
Somewhat agree	Count	22	45	13	16	41	137
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.3%	18.8%	19.7%	26.2%	16.0%	17.4%
Somewhat disagree	Count	16	28	12	7	20	83
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.7%	11.7%	18.2%	11.5%	7.8%	10.5%
Strongly disagree	Count	40	39	13	11	44	147
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.2%	16.3%	19.7%	18.0%	17.1%	18.6%
Don't know	Count	16	35	9	10	50	120
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.7%	14.6%	13.6%	16.4%	19.5%	15.2%
Total	Count	165	240	66	61	257	789
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	15	129	77	16	48	16	301
		% within Education	55.6%	44.8%	32.2%	55.2%	31.6%	29.6%	38.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	1	49	41	4	30	11	136
		% within Education	3.7%	17.0%	17.2%	13.8%	19.7%	20.4%	17.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	1	25	24	1	24	8	83
		% within Education	3.7%	8.7%	10.0%	3.4%	15.8%	14.8%	10.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	9	35	53	5	32	14	148
		% within Education	33.3%	12.2%	22.2%	17.2%	21.1%	25.9%	18.8%



	Don't know	Count	1	50	44	3	18	5	121
		% within Education	3.7%	17.4%	18.4%	10.3%	11.8%	9.3%	15.3%
Total		Count	27	288	239	29	152	54	789
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	80	70	22	35	46	46	299
		% within Frequency attend religious services	55.9%	44.3%	34.9%	38.0%	31.7%	24.7%	38.0%
	Somewhat agree	Count	21	32	10	20	27	24	134
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.7%	20.3%	15.9%	21.7%	18.6%	12.9%	17.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	12	12	13	15	15	17	84
% within Frequency attend religious services		8.4%	7.6%	20.6%	16.3%	10.3%	9.1%	10.7%	
Strongly disagree	Count	22	25	9	11	32	48	147	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	15.4%	15.8%	14.3%	12.0%	22.1%	25.8%	18.7%	
Don't know	Count	8	19	9	11	25	51	123	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	5.6%	12.0%	14.3%	12.0%	17.2%	27.4%	15.6%	
Total	Count	143	158	63	92	145	186	787	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	226	19	42	0	3	12	302
		% within Race	45.0%	19.8%	29.6%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	38.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	82	18	26	2	2	6	136

	% within Race	16.3%	18.8%	18.3%	28.6%	22.2%	16.7%	17.2%
Somewhat disagree	Count	43	11	17	4	2	7	84
	% within Race	8.6%	11.5%	12.0%	57.1%	22.2%	19.4%	10.6%
Strongly disagree	Count	69	35	36	1	1	6	148
	% within Race	13.7%	36.5%	25.4%	14.3%	11.1%	16.7%	18.7%
Don't know	Count	82	13	21	0	1	5	122
	% within Race	16.3%	13.5%	14.8%	.0%	11.1%	13.9%	15.4%
Total	Count	502	96	142	7	9	36	792
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	246	55	301
		% within Own or rent home	41.6%	28.1%	38.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	103	34	137
		% within Own or rent home	17.4%	17.3%	17.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	62	22	84
		% within Own or rent home	10.5%	11.2%	10.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	93	53	146
		% within Own or rent home	15.7%	27.0%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	88	32	120
		% within Own or rent home	14.9%	16.3%	15.2%
Total		Count	592	196	788
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

	Marital Status	Total

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	190	7	37	19	46	2	301
		% within Marital Status	42.7%	41.2%	48.1%	54.3%	25.8%	5.7%	38.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	89	2	13	5	22	4	135
		% within Marital Status	20.0%	11.8%	16.9%	14.3%	12.4%	11.4%	17.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	46	1	2	3	27	5	84
		% within Marital Status	10.3%	5.9%	2.6%	8.6%	15.2%	14.3%	10.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	67	5	16	5	42	11	146
		% within Marital Status	15.1%	29.4%	20.8%	14.3%	23.6%	31.4%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	53	2	9	3	41	13	121
		% within Marital Status	11.9%	11.8%	11.7%	8.6%	23.0%	37.1%	15.4%
Total	Count	445	17	77	35	178	35	787	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	157	144	301
		% within Gender	42.9%	34.1%	38.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	67	69	136
		% within Gender	18.3%	16.4%	17.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	33	51	84
		% within Gender	9.0%	12.1%	10.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	60	86	146
		% within Gender	16.4%	20.4%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	49	72	121
		% within Gender	13.4%	17.1%	15.4%
Total	Count	366	422	788	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Agreement - God is more concerned about individual morality than social inequalities	Strongly agree	Count	21	74	128	80	303
		% within Age	19.8%	29.7%	43.1%	57.6%	38.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	17	50	49	20	136
		% within Age	16.0%	20.1%	16.5%	14.4%	17.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	14	34	23	13	84
		% within Age	13.2%	13.7%	7.7%	9.4%	10.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	24	54	54	15	147
		% within Age	22.6%	21.7%	18.2%	10.8%	18.6%
	Don't know	Count	30	37	43	11	121
		% within Age	28.3%	14.9%	14.5%	7.9%	15.3%
Total	Count	106	249	297	139	791	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal <sup>1</sup>	2	3	In the middle <sup>4</sup>	5	6	Extremely conservative <sup>7</sup>		Don't know
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	1	1	4	16	6	13	40	1	82
		% within Ideology scale	3.8%	2.2%	5.0%	9.0%	5.4%	7.8%	24.2%	7.1%	10.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	0	0	6	26	20	30	34	1	117
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	7.5%	14.6%	17.9%	18.1%	20.6%	7.1%	14.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	2	3	14	40	32	44	32	2	169
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	6.7%	17.5%	22.5%	28.6%	26.5%	19.4%	14.3%	21.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	21	33	45	71	36	48	40	3	297
		% within Ideology scale	80.8%	73.3%	56.3%	39.9%	32.1%	28.9%	24.2%	21.4%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	8	11	25	18	31	19	7	121
		% within Ideology scale	7.7%	24.2%	13.8%	12.8%	11.8%	13.8%	14.7%	21.4%	15.3%

	% within Ideology scale	7.7%	17.8%	13.8%	14.0%	16.1%	18.7%	11.5%	50.0%	15.4%
Total	Count	26	45	80	178	112	166	165	14	786
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	13	5	1	8	14	2	33	0	76
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.2%	5.1%	2.4%	11.3%	12.8%	3.3%	18.3%	.0%	10.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	15	9	3	10	23	10	35	0	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.5%	9.1%	7.1%	14.1%	21.1%	16.4%	19.4%	.0%	14.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	26	28	8	11	22	17	41	0	153
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.5%	28.3%	19.0%	15.5%	20.2%	27.9%	22.8%	.0%	21.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	87	42	24	32	28	20	47	1	281
		% within 7 point Party ID	55.1%	42.4%	57.1%	45.1%	25.7%	32.8%	26.1%	50.0%	38.9%
	Don't know	Count	17	15	6	10	22	12	24	1	107
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	15.2%	14.3%	14.1%	20.2%	19.7%	13.3%	50.0%	14.8%
Total	Count		158	99	42	71	109	61	180	2	722
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	23	38	20	81
		% within Population density	10.0%	9.9%	11.4%	10.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	34	61	22	117
		% within Population density	14.8%	16.0%	12.5%	14.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	50	79	40	169
		% within Population density	21.8%	20.7%	22.7%	21.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	90	152	56	298
		% within Population density	39.3%	39.8%	31.8%	37.9%

Don't know	Count	32	52	38	122
	% within Population density	14.0%	13.6%	21.6%	15.5%
Total	Count	229	382	176	787
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	19	21	4	4	33	81
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.4%	8.8%	6.2%	6.5%	12.8%	10.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	26	35	10	9	37	117
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.7%	14.6%	15.4%	14.5%	14.4%	14.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	29	62	15	13	51	170
% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin		17.5%	25.9%	23.1%	21.0%	19.8%	21.5%	
Strongly disagree	Count	71	83	29	30	86	299	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	42.8%	34.7%	44.6%	48.4%	33.5%	37.9%	
Don't know	Count	21	38	7	6	50	122	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.7%	15.9%	10.8%	9.7%	19.5%	15.5%	
Total	Count	166	239	65	62	257	789	
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	6	39	20	3	10	4	82
		% within Education	20.0%	13.5%	8.4%	10.0%	6.7%	7.3%	10.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	3	50	32	5	22	5	117
		% within Education	10.0%	17.4%	13.4%	16.7%	14.7%	9.1%	14.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	2	72	47	5	29	15	170
		% within Education	6.7%	25.0%	19.7%	16.7%	19.3%	27.3%	21.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	13	76	99	12	75	26	301
		% within Education	43.3%	26.4%	41.4%	40.0%	50.0%	47.3%	38.0%
	Don't know	Count	6	51	41	5	14	5	122
		% within Education	20.0%	17.7%	17.2%	16.7%	9.3%	9.1%	15.4%
Total	Count	30	288	239	30	150	55	792	
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

			Frequency attend religious services						Total
			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	25	16	7	9	17	7	81
		% within Frequency attend religious services	17.5%	10.3%	11.3%	9.7%	11.8%	3.7%	10.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	19	39	4	18	18	19	117
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.3%	25.0%	6.5%	19.4%	12.5%	10.2%	14.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	35	24	21	22	37	31	170
		% within Frequency attend religious services	24.5%	15.4%	33.9%	23.7%	25.7%	16.6%	21.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	51	63	20	31	42	89	296
		% within Frequency attend religious services	35.7%	40.4%	32.3%	33.3%	29.2%	47.6%	37.7%
	Don't know	Count	13	14	10	13	30	41	121

	% within Frequency attend religious services	9.1%	9.0%	16.1%	14.0%	20.8%	21.9%	15.4%
Total	Count	143	156	62	93	144	187	785
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	58	5	12	0	1	5	81
		% within Race	11.6%	5.3%	8.6%	.0%	12.5%	14.7%	10.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	76	9	27	0	2	2	116
		% within Race	15.1%	9.5%	19.3%	.0%	25.0%	5.9%	14.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	106	25	27	1	2	8	169
		% within Race	21.1%	26.3%	19.3%	16.7%	25.0%	23.5%	21.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	172	48	58	5	1	14	298
		% within Race	34.3%	50.5%	41.4%	83.3%	12.5%	41.2%	38.0%
	Don't know	Count	90	8	16	0	2	5	121
		% within Race	17.9%	8.4%	11.4%	.0%	25.0%	14.7%	15.4%
	Total	Count	502	95	140	6	8	34	785
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	67	14	81
		% within Own or rent home	11.4%	7.1%	10.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	89	26	115
		% within Own or rent home	15.2%	13.2%	14.7%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	118	49	167
		% within Own or rent home			



	% within Own or rent home	20.1%	24.9%	21.3%
Strongly disagree	Count	215	84	299
	% within Own or rent home	36.6%	42.6%	38.1%
Don't know	Count	98	24	122
	% within Own or rent home	16.7%	12.2%	15.6%
Total	Count	587	197	784
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	41	3	7	7	20	3	81
		% within Marital Status	9.3%	18.8%	9.1%	19.4%	11.1%	8.3%	10.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	74	3	12	3	21	3	116
		% within Marital Status	16.8%	18.8%	15.6%	8.3%	11.7%	8.3%	14.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	98	3	16	8	36	9	170
		% within Marital Status	22.2%	18.8%	20.8%	22.2%	20.0%	25.0%	21.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	156	7	33	15	73	14	298
		% within Marital Status	35.4%	43.8%	42.9%	41.7%	40.6%	38.9%	37.9%
	Don't know	Count	72	0	9	3	30	7	121
		% within Marital Status	16.3%	.0%	11.7%	8.3%	16.7%	19.4%	15.4%
Total	Count		441	16	77	36	180	36	786
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agreement - Addressing social	Strongly agree	Count	40	41	81

	% within Gender	11.0%	9.7%	10.3%
Somewhat agree	Count	67	50	117
	% within Gender	18.5%	11.8%	14.9%
Somewhat disagree	Count	74	95	169
	% within Gender	20.4%	22.5%	21.5%
Strongly disagree	Count	131	166	297
	% within Gender	36.1%	39.3%	37.8%
Don't know	Count	51	70	121
	% within Gender	14.0%	16.6%	15.4%
Total	Count	363	422	785
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Agreement - Addressing social issues distracts people from achieving salvation	Strongly agree	Count	9	20	36	17	82
		% within Age	8.5%	8.0%	12.1%	12.3%	10.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	16	35	43	23	117
		% within Age	15.1%	14.1%	14.5%	16.7%	14.8%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	21	54	66	29	170
		% within Age	19.8%	21.7%	22.2%	21.0%	21.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	39	99	113	49	300
		% within Age	36.8%	39.8%	38.0%	35.5%	38.0%
	Don't know	Count	21	41	39	20	121
		% within Age	19.8%	16.5%	13.1%	14.5%	15.3%
Total	Count	106	249	297	138	790	
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							Total	
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		Don't know
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	7	7	5	53	31	69	93	4	269
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	15.9%	6.2%	29.4%	28.2%	41.8%	56.7%	26.7%	34.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	1	2	17	39	29	38	27	0	153
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	4.5%	21.0%	21.7%	26.4%	23.0%	16.5%	.0%	19.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	2	6	11	25	20	26	13	1	104
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	13.6%	13.6%	13.9%	18.2%	15.8%	7.9%	6.7%	13.2%
	Strongly disagree	Count	13	15	34	36	19	17	19	2	155
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	34.1%	42.0%	20.0%	17.3%	10.3%	11.6%	13.3%	19.7%
	Don't know	Count	4	14	14	27	11	15	12	8	105
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	31.8%	17.3%	15.0%	10.0%	9.1%	7.3%	53.3%	13.4%
	Total	Count	27	44	81	180	110	165	164	15	786
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID							Total	
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	43	18	5	26	53	19	82	1	247
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.4%	18.0%	12.2%	36.1%	48.6%	31.1%	45.3%	50.0%	34.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	21	30	4	10	25	15	37	0	142
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.4%	30.0%	9.8%	13.9%	22.9%	24.6%	20.4%	.0%	19.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	22	12	6	8	12	9	23	0	92
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.0%	12.0%	14.6%	11.1%	11.0%	14.8%	12.7%	.0%	12.7%
	Strongly disagree	Count	42	26	19	18	9	11	24	0	149
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.8%	26.0%	46.3%	25.0%	8.3%	18.0%	13.3%	.0%	20.6%
	Don't know	Count	29	14	7	10	10	7	15	1	93
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.5%	14.0%	17.1%	13.9%	9.2%	11.5%	8.3%	50.0%	12.9%
	Total	Count	157	100	41	72	109	61	181	2	723
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Population density Crosstabulation**

			Population density			Total
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	72	131	67	270
		% within Population density	31.4%	34.2%	37.6%	34.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	45	76	33	154
		% within Population density	19.7%	19.8%	18.5%	19.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	28	57	18	103
		% within Population density	12.2%	14.9%	10.1%	13.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	53	73	32	158
		% within Population density	23.1%	19.1%	18.0%	20.0%
	Don't know	Count	31	46	28	105
		% within Population density	13.5%	12.0%	15.7%	13.3%
Total	Count	229	383	178	790	
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation**

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					Total
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	57	86	17	20	91	271
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.1%	35.7%	25.8%	31.7%	35.7%	34.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	31	45	19	11	47	153
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.6%	18.7%	28.8%	17.5%	18.4%	19.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	20	29	9	13	33	104
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.0%	12.0%	13.6%	20.6%	12.9%	13.1%

	Strongly disagree	Count	38	52	13	12	44	159
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.8%	21.6%	19.7%	19.0%	17.3%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	21	29	8	7	40	105
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.6%	12.0%	12.1%	11.1%	15.7%	13.3%
Total		Count	167	241	66	63	255	792
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Education Crosstabulation**

			Education						Total
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	12	127	67	9	43	12	270
		% within Education	41.4%	44.6%	27.8%	31.0%	28.9%	21.8%	34.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	3	55	44	6	34	11	153
		% within Education	10.3%	19.3%	18.3%	20.7%	22.8%	20.0%	19.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	4	19	37	6	26	11	103
		% within Education	13.8%	6.7%	15.4%	20.7%	17.4%	20.0%	13.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	7	42	56	4	33	15	157
		% within Education	24.1%	14.7%	23.2%	13.8%	22.1%	27.3%	19.9%
	Don't know	Count	3	42	37	4	13	6	105
		% within Education	10.3%	14.7%	15.4%	13.8%	8.7%	10.9%	13.3%
Total		Count	29	285	241	29	149	55	788
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation**

	Frequency attend religious services	Total

			More than once a week	Once a week	Once or twice a month	Every few months	Once or twice a year	Never	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	61	59	19	30	44	53	266
		% within Frequency attend religious services	42.1%	37.6%	30.6%	33.3%	30.6%	28.6%	34.0%
	Somewhat agree	Count	23	31	12	23	36	25	150
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.9%	19.7%	19.4%	25.6%	25.0%	13.5%	19.2%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	25	25	11	12	17	14	104
% within Frequency attend religious services		17.2%	15.9%	17.7%	13.3%	11.8%	7.6%	13.3%	
Strongly disagree	Count	30	33	11	14	23	47	158	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	20.7%	21.0%	17.7%	15.6%	16.0%	25.4%	20.2%	
Don't know	Count	6	9	9	11	24	46	105	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	4.1%	5.7%	14.5%	12.2%	16.7%	24.9%	13.4%	
Total	Count	145	157	62	90	144	185	783	
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						Total
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	183	22	50	0	3	12	270
		% within Race	36.3%	23.4%	35.2%	.0%	37.5%	34.3%	34.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	99	14	30	1	3	6	153
		% within Race	19.6%	14.9%	21.1%	14.3%	37.5%	17.1%	19.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	53	22	17	4	1	6	103
		% within Race	10.5%	23.4%	12.0%	57.1%	12.5%	17.1%	13.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	92	25	32	2	0	7	158
		% within Race	18.3%	26.6%	22.5%	28.6%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	Don't know	Count	77	11	13	0	1	4	106
		% within Race	15.3%	11.7%	9.2%	.0%	12.5%	11.4%	13.4%
	Total	Count	504	94	142	7	8	35	790

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					Total		
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American		Multi-racial	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	183	22	50	0	3	12	270	
		% within Race	36.3%	23.4%	35.2%	.0%	37.5%	34.3%	34.2%	
	Somewhat agree	Count	99	14	30	1	3	6	153	
		% within Race	19.6%	14.9%	21.1%	14.3%	37.5%	17.1%	19.4%	
	Somewhat disagree	Count	53	22	17	4	1	6	103	
		% within Race	10.5%	23.4%	12.0%	57.1%	12.5%	17.1%	13.0%	
	Strongly disagree	Count	92	25	32	2	0	7	158	
		% within Race	18.3%	26.6%	22.5%	28.6%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	
	Don't know	Count	77	11	13	0	1	4	106	
		% within Race	15.3%	11.7%	9.2%	.0%	12.5%	11.4%	13.4%	
			Count	504	94	142	7	8	35	790
			% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation**

			Own or rent home		Total
			Own	Rent	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	220	48	268
		% within Own or rent home	37.3%	24.4%	34.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	117	37	154
		% within Own or rent home	19.8%	18.8%	19.6%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	68	34	102
		% within Own or rent home	11.5%	17.3%	13.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	109	49	158
		% within Own or rent home	18.5%	24.9%	20.1%
	Don't know	Count	76	29	105

	% within Own or rent home	12.9%	14.7%	13.3%
Total	Count	590	197	787
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Marital Status Crosstabulation**

			Marital Status					Total	
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single		Domestic partnership
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	168	3	32	12	47	8	270
		% within Marital Status	37.8%	17.6%	41.0%	33.3%	26.3%	21.6%	34.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	88	5	12	5	35	8	153
		% within Marital Status	19.8%	29.4%	15.4%	13.9%	19.6%	21.6%	19.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	61	4	7	6	23	3	104
		% within Marital Status	13.7%	23.5%	9.0%	16.7%	12.8%	8.1%	13.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	77	4	16	10	43	8	158
		% within Marital Status	17.3%	23.5%	20.5%	27.8%	24.0%	21.6%	20.0%
	Don't know	Count	50	1	11	3	31	10	106
		% within Marital Status	11.3%	5.9%	14.1%	8.3%	17.3%	27.0%	13.4%
Total	Count	444	17	78	36	179	37	791	
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	126	143	269
		% within Gender	34.6%	33.7%	34.1%
	Somewhat agree	Count	77	76	153
		% within Gender	21.2%	17.9%	19.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	49	54	103
		% within Gender			



	% within Gender	13.5%	12.7%	13.1%
Strongly disagree	Count	70	88	158
	% within Gender	19.2%	20.8%	20.1%
Don't know	Count	42	63	105
	% within Gender	11.5%	14.9%	13.3%
Total	Count	364	424	788
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ \* Age Crosstabulation**

			Age				Total
			18-29	30-44	45-64	65 and over	
Agreement - Building the kingdom of God on earth is only about bringing people to Christ	Strongly agree	Count	20	67	113	70	270
		% within Age	19.2%	26.7%	38.0%	50.7%	34.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	20	50	65	19	154
		% within Age	19.2%	19.9%	21.9%	13.8%	19.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	18	41	33	11	103
		% within Age	17.3%	16.3%	11.1%	8.0%	13.0%
	Strongly disagree	Count	22	54	55	27	158
		% within Age	21.2%	21.5%	18.5%	19.6%	20.0%
	Don't know	Count	24	39	31	11	105
		% within Age	23.1%	15.5%	10.4%	8.0%	13.3%
Total	Count		104	251	297	138	790
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%